


## DEPARTMENT OF FRENCH

Introduction

Thank you to the Department of French for their kind assistance in providing these course evaluations.

Editor

**FCS 195H1F French Culture from Napoleon to Asterix**

Instructor(s): J. Papillon

Enr: 77	Resp: 62							Retake: 94%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	0	6	25	65	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	1	8	30	59	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	20	74	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	3	6	30	59	6.5
Workload	1	10	15	66	3	1	0	3.6
Difficulty	1	12	22	62	1	0	0	3.5
Learn Exp	0	1	1	13	24	24	33	5.7

Students found the instructor's lectures to be very interesting, stimulating and enjoyable. They also thought she was extremely enthusiastic, approachable and helpful.

**FCS 292H1S Special Topics in French Cultural Studies I: Love, Sex and Desire in French Literature and Cinema**

Instructor(s): M-A. Visoi

Enr: 50	Resp: 34							Retake: 96%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	27	27	42	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	3	6	42	48	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	20	76	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	12	24	63	6.5
Workload	0	0	12	59	15	9	3	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	6	74	6	3	9	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	8	39	26	26	5.7

Students found the instructor to be enthusiastic and innovative in presenting the material, which made the course interesting.

**FRE 210H1S Introduction to Quebec Literature and Culture**

Instructor(s): J. Papillon

Enr: 68	Resp: 36							Retake: 71%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	5	44	47	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	5	13	33	47	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	25	69	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	2	8	33	55	6.4

Workload	0	2	0	63	22	11	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	2	63	25	5	2	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	3	37	17	31	10	5.1

Students praised Papillon for being passionate and approachable. Some felt the term tests were too difficult due to a high volume of content covered.

**FRE 241H1S Introduction to Research and Writing in Literary Studies**

Instructor(s): A. Motsch

Enr: 54	Resp: 36							Retake: 26%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	5	16	27	27	2	16	2	3.7
Explains	2	5	22	22	27	16	2	4.3
Communicates	0	0	0	33	13	30	22	5.4
Teaching	5	0	16	33	19	22	2	4.4
Workload	0	0	13	52	13	16	2	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	19	38	19	19	2	4.5
Learn Exp	14	7	22	29	0	14	11	3.8

Students found Motsch enthusiastic, but many had serious concern about the course's organization. Many felt the tutorials did not add value to the content discussed in lectures, files on Blackboard were added without notice and students were confused as to when assignments would be available. A solid syllabus would provide significant improvements to the course.

**FRE 250H1F French Literature: From its Beginnings to the Twenty-First Century**

Instructor(s): A. Motsch

Enr: 31	Resp: 24							Retake: 38%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	17	8	17	21	17	13	4	3.7
Explains	4	8	17	17	26	21	4	4.3
Communicates	8	0	4	12	25	41	8	5.0
Teaching	4	8	12	20	29	16	8	4.5
Workload	0	0	4	50	20	12	12	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	39	34	21	4	4.9
Learn Exp	4	4	19	28	28	9	4	4.2

Students felt the course had organizational issues and felt it should be restructured as a full year course.

**FRE 272H1F The Structure of Modern French: An Introduction**

Instructor(s): A-J. Villeneuve

Enr: 100	Resp: 85							Retake: 78%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	7	48	44	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	41	52	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	4	28	65	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	7	42	50	6.4
Workload	0	1	11	64	17	4	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	1	9	52	29	5	1	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	25	29	30	14	5.3

Overall, this was an excellent course, and a great experience. Villeneuve was an outstanding instructor who was enthusiastic, approachable, helpful and fun. She used good examples and exercises to keep the course engaging and enjoyable.

The course itself was very well-structured with clear explanations and knowledge. The only criticisms were that students would have appreciated a 5 or 10 minute break in between the 2 hour class. They also suggested for her to slow down when going through the slides. There was a lot of material to cover and she tended to rush through the slides. However, the slides were very clear and helpful, and were available online.

**FRE 274H1S Introduction to the Linguistic Analysis of French**

Instructor(s): A-J. Villeneuve

Enr: 50	Resp: 38							Retake: 81%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	2	31	65	6.6
Explains	0	0	2	0	2	31	63	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	21	78	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	2	28	68	6.7
Workload	0	2	10	73	10	2	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	2	0	63	26	5	2	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	3	25	21	31	18	5.4

Students praised the instructor for their enthusiasm and dedication. Many found the tutorials very helpful for mastering topics discussed and enjoyed the content of the course.

**FRE 304H1S Contemporary French Women's Prose Fiction**

Instructor(s): J. Papillon

Enr: 20	Resp: 18							Retake: 88%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	11	22	66	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	11	22	66	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	27	66	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	22	66	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	83	11	5	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	27	22	16	33	4.1
Learn Exp	0	6	0	18	18	31	25	5.4

Students praised Papillon for creating a very student-oriented environment and for providing clear and concise information.

**FRE 310H1S Relations between Text and Other Media**

Instructor(s): A. Mostch

Enr: 23	Resp: 15							Retake: 21%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	20	20	26	20	6	6	3.9
Explains	0	0	26	33	6	20	13	4.6
Communicates	0	0	0	6	26	33	33	5.9
Teaching	0	0	20	33	26	13	6	4.5
Workload	6	0	0	33	40	13	6	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	64	14	21	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	18	72	0	9	0	4.0

Students found the instructor knowledgeable and understanding but many requested that requirements of assignments and schedules of readings be more clearly conveyed in the syllabus.

**FRE 314H1S Quebec and French-Canadian Literature**

Instructor(s): J. Papillon

Enr: 34	Resp: 21							Retake: 90%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	20	25	50	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	5	10	35	50	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	35	55	6.4
Teaching	0	0	5	0	15	35	45	6.2
Workload	0	0	4	80	9	4	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	71	23	4	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	6	0	6	33	53	0	5.3

Students praised Papillon for making the content interesting, being organized and offering help outside of class.

**FRE 320H1F French Literature of Classicism and Enlightenment**

Instructor(s): A. Motsch

Enr: 13	Resp: 9							Retake: 77%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	12	37	50	0	0	4.4
Explains	0	0	0	12	50	37	0	5.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	33	44	22	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	11	44	44	0	5.3
Workload	0	0	0	55	33	0	11	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	44	44	11	0	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	42	28	14	14	5.0

**FRE 324H1F French Literature in the Time of Revolutions and Industrialization**

Instructor(s): R. Le Huenen

Enr: 11	Resp: 10							Retake: 88%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	11	22	44	22	5.8
Explains	0	0	10	10	10	40	30	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	20	30	0	50	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	10	30	30	30	5.8
Workload	0	0	10	30	50	10	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	80	20	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	33	16	16	5.2

**FRE 326H1S Contemporary French Literature**

Instructor(s): S. Sacre

Enr: 28	Resp: 23							Retake: 95%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	0	0	4	18	40	31	5.8
Explains	4	0	0	0	8	52	34	6.0
Communicates	4	0	0	0	4	45	45	6.2
Teaching	4	0	0	4	4	47	38	6.0
Workload	0	0	4	60	30	4	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	69	17	8	4	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	45	30	5	5.2

Students found the instructor was very knowledgeable and explained concepts clearly. Questions were always answered and examples were provided where needed.

**FRE 376H1F French Phonology and Phonetics**

Instructor(s): A-J. Villeneuve

Enr: 21	Resp: 18							Retake: 91%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	15	84	6.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	30	69	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	15	84	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	23	76	6.8
Workload	0	0	0	84	15	0	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	7	69	23	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	16	33	25	25	5.6

Students thought the instructor was engaging, knowledgeable, and enthusiastic. They also felt the material was presented in a very organized manner, and that she gave her best effort to make the course material more interesting.

**FRE 378H1F French Syntax**

Instructor(s): P. Bessler

Enr: 24	Resp: 19							Retake: 58%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	26	31	31	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	5	31	31	31	5.9
Communicates	0	0	5	5	26	47	15	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	26	42	31	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	89	10	0	0	4.1

## 74 FRENCH

Difficulty	0	0	0	63	26	10	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	37	37	25	0	4.9

Students thought Bessler was an excellent instructor but a few students felt there should have been more in-class examples/assignments.

### FRE 379H1S Sociolinguistics of French

Instructor(s): A-J. Villeneuve

Enr: 32	Resp: 26							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	7	38	53	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	7	38	53	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	23	73	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	3	57	38	6.3
Workload	0	0	3	73	15	7	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	76	11	11	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	9	33	52	4	5.5

Students enjoyed the course and found the instructor organized and clear. Some felt certain assignments did not require group work.

### FRE 383H1F Experimental and Quantitative Methods for the Study of French

Instructor(s): A-J. Villeneuve

Enr: 56	Resp: 39							Retake: 50%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	5	10	38	46	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	5	17	41	35	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	2	15	23	58	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	2	17	35	43	6.2
Workload	0	0	10	69	12	2	5	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	10	48	30	7	2	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	3	53	21	14	7	4.7

Students thought Villeneuve was an excellent instructor whose enthusiasm helped make up for the fact that the course material did not interest some of the students. Students really enjoyed Villeneuve's teaching and said it motivated them and made the learning experience much better.

### FRE 386H1S French Semantics

Instructor(s): P. Bessler

Enr: 47	Resp: 31							Retake: 53%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	10	33	30	26	5.7
Explains	0	0	3	13	26	26	30	5.7
Communicates	3	0	0	13	33	26	23	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	3	36	33	26	5.8
Workload	0	3	3	76	13	0	3	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	3	46	36	10	3	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	7	46	26	15	3	4.6

Students found Bessler to be accommodating and clear in presentation, however, some felt the lecture format was too dense to follow.

### FRE 388H1S Bilingualism and second language acquisition of French

Instructor(s): J. Steele

Enr: 45	Resp: 29							Retake: 69%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	13	17	48	20	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	7	21	50	21	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	6	27	44	20	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	13	13	51	20	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	62	15	11	11	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	25	21	3	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	4	44	26	16	0	4.6

Students found Steele to be organized, efficient, concise and

clear in expectations for evaluations and overall course progression.

### FRE 410H1F Advanced Topics in Quebec Literature: Storytelling with Words and Images: Cinema Photography and Paintings

Instructor(s): J. Le Blanc

Enr: 13	Resp: 13							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	38	61	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	38	61	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	15	84	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	46	53	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	92	0	7	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	7	84	0	7	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	54	24	18	5.6

Students thought Le Blanc was an organized and helpful instructor who always made herself available to help students. They also thought she was kind, encouraging and passionate about the material.

### FRE 443H1F Advanced Topics: Authors

Instructor(s): R. Le Huenen

Enr: 11	Resp: 10							Retake: 80%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	60	40	0	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	40	40	20	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	10	20	20	50	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	30	60	0	5.8
Workload	0	0	20	60	20	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	90	10	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	44	22	0	4.9

Students thought Le Huenen was an excellent instructor but suggested he use other methods such as discussion in addition to his lecture-based approach.

### FRE 488H1S Special Topics in Advanced Linguistics I

Instructor(s): A-M. Brousseau

Enr: 19	Resp: 10							Retake: 90%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	20	60	20	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	10	40	50	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	10	10	20	60	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	10	60	30	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	80	10	10	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	11	22	44	22	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	25	37	12	25	5.4

Students found Brousseau's lectures enjoyable. She was noted as being approachable for questions and knowledgeable of the subject she was teaching. Overall, students enjoyed the experience they had in this course.

### FSL 100H1F French for Beginners

Instructor(s): T. Robinson

Enr: 49	Resp: 23							Retake: 89%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	5	0	21	5	42	26	5.6
Explains	0	0	5	10	15	35	35	5.8
Communicates	0	0	5	0	5	35	55	6.3
Teaching	0	0	10	0	5	45	40	6.1
Workload	0	0	20	35	25	10	10	4.6
Difficulty	0	5	15	45	20	10	5	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	40	13	13	5.1

Students enjoyed the instructor and found him a passionate and effective teacher, but strongly disliked the online labs at "myfrenchlab" describing it almost universally as "useless", "unnecessary" and a "waste of time".

### FSL 102H1S Introductory French

Instructor(s): T. Robinson

Enr: 39	Resp: 21							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	5	35	60	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	10	15	75	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	15	75	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	30	70	6.7
Workload	0	10	20	45	10	10	5	4.1
Difficulty	5	0	10	35	30	10	10	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	13	33	13	40	5.8

A majority of students found the language lab used in the course hard to work and of little value to their learning experience. However, students enjoyed the instructor's enthusiasm.

### FSL 121Y1Y French Language I

Instructor(s): R-E. St. Onge

Enr: 28	Resp: 14							Retake: 85%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	21	42	35	6.1
Explains	0	0	7	14	21	35	21	5.5
Communicates	0	0	7	14	28	35	14	5.4
Teaching	0	0	7	0	15	46	30	5.9
Workload	0	0	28	64	7	0	0	3.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	78	21	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	38	46	15	0	4.8

This was a good course with an instructor who was approachable, helpful and clear with the expectations for the course. However, some students commented that the course material could have been explained a bit better with more examples and exercises.

Instructor(s): M. Witek

Enr: 32	Resp: 15							Retake: 86%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	30	30	30	7	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	14	42	14	28	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	7	42	21	28	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	21	28	28	21	5.5
Workload	0	13	13	60	13	0	0	3.7
Difficulty	0	6	20	53	13	6	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	46	15	23	15	5.1

Students thought Witek was a helpful and friendly instructor who genuinely cared about students' learning and explained things clearly with the use of examples.

Students did not like the textbook used in the course.

### FSL 221Y1Y French Language II

Instructor(s): A.G. Viselli

Enr: 35	Resp: 26							Retake: 84%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	15	53	26	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	3	15	50	30	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	30	65	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	30	57	6.5
Workload	0	0	7	76	7	7	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	3	46	42	7	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	40	30	25	5	4.9

Students thought the instructor was friendly, knowledgeable, and approachable and cared about their success. They thought the evaluations were fair except for the listening test which many found difficult.

Instructor(s): E. Kalisa

Enr: 35	Resp: 33							Retake: 83%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	9	3	51	27	6	5.1
Explains	0	0	6	3	18	53	18	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	15	81	6.8
Teaching	0	0	6	9	3	45	35	5.9
Workload	0	12	37	43	3	3	0	3.5
Difficulty	0	12	18	60	9	0	0	3.7
Learn Exp	0	0	14	18	18	29	18	5.2

Kalisa was a great instructor who was very enthusiastic and fun. He was also very helpful and approachable, and cared about the students' success in the class. The one thing that students wanted was for him to be a little bit more organized in class.

Overall, a great class with a great instructor.

Instructor(s): L. Popic

Enr: 35	Resp: 34							Retake: 84%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	11	35	47	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	2	8	44	44	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	5	5	20	67	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	2	2	35	58	6.5
Workload	0	2	5	70	11	8	0	4.2
Difficulty	3	3	6	66	15	6	0	4.1
Learn Exp	4	0	0	28	32	16	20	5.4

Students thought Popic was very friendly and helpful, and felt she had a strong desire to help her students improve, and actually cared about their progress.

Instructor(s): S. Sacre

Enr: 34	Resp: 27							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	22	44	33	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	7	18	48	25	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	33	62	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	3	7	37	51	6.4
Workload	0	0	12	76	8	0	4	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	15	65	19	0	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	23	23	38	14	5.4

Students found Sacre to be an effective and well-organized lecturer. Students appreciated her enthusiasm and engaging teaching style.

Instructor(s): M. Alkurdi-Alzirkly

Enr: 32	Resp: 26							Retake: 80%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	16	56	20	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	26	23	26	23	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	16	56	12	16	5.3
Teaching	0	0	0	4	36	48	12	5.7
Workload	7	3	11	69	7	0	0	3.7
Difficulty	3	3	7	61	23	0	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	9	13	31	31	9	4	4.3

Instructor(s): J. Steele

Enr: 34	Resp: 30							Retake: 68%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	0	26	66	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	6	10	46	36	6.1
Communicates	0	0	3	3	3	30	60	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	6	3	26	63	6.5
Workload	0	3	10	44	31	10	0	4.3
Difficulty	3	0	6	23	50	10	6	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	8	13	30	34	13	5.3

## 76 FRENCH

Students thought Steele was a very enthusiastic instructor who was passionate about the subject matter. They thought he did a good job facilitating and encouraging student learning but some students felt the tests were more difficult than the material learned in class.

Instructor(s): F. Leger

	Resp: 24							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	8	12	20	45	12	5.4
Explains	0	4	8	12	12	45	16	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	4	8	45	41	6.2
Teaching	0	0	4	12	20	33	29	5.7
Workload	0	0	8	75	4	8	4	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	4	58	20	16	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	5	0	42	10	31	10	4.8

Students appreciated Leger's enthusiasm.

Instructor(s): S. Sacre

	Resp: 26							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	3	26	34	34	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	3	34	26	34	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	3	19	34	42	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	42	46	6.3
Workload	0	0	7	69	15	7	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	8	56	24	12	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	8	33	41	8	8	4.8

Students described Sacre as approachable, kind and helpful

### FSL 321Y1Y French Language III

Instructor(s): N. Lenina

	Resp: 28							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	14	28	32	25	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	7	17	46	28	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	17	28	53	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	7	14	46	32	6.0
Workload	3	0	10	60	7	14	3	4.2
Difficulty	0	3	14	57	14	7	3	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	3	35	28	21	10	5.0

Instructor(s): C. Micu

	Resp: 32							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	29	9	12	25	12	3	6	4.5
Explains	21	12	15	21	18	3	6	3.4
Communicates	12	9	9	21	9	21	15	4.3
Teaching	25	3	12	19	22	9	6	3.6
Workload	0	9	6	62	12	6	3	4.1
Difficulty	0	6	0	65	15	9	3	4.3
Learn Exp	18	12	15	21	21	0	9	3.5

The majority of students who commented were disappointed with Micu as an instructor. While he was knowledgeable, they did not feel he was an effective teacher. Material was not conveyed clearly in an organized manner. Students also wished for more grammar practice as they felt they did not learn much from this course.

Students' main complaints were that they found Micu to be "rude" and "disrespectful". Students were afraid to ask questions because of his irrational attitude when responding.

Instructor(s): P. Bessler

	Resp: 18							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	5	27	22	22	22	5.3

Explains	0	0	0	11	38	33	16	5.6
Communicates	0	0	5	5	27	33	27	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	16	16	38	27	5.8
Workload	0	6	25	50	18	0	0	3.8
Difficulty	0	6	6	68	18	0	0	4.0
Learn Exp	6	6	18	25	18	12	12	4.3

Overall, students enjoyed Bessler's lectures and appreciated his helpfulness. However, several students felt that more time should have been spent on developing oral communication skills.

Instructor(s): J. Papillon

	Resp: 24							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	4	8	33	54	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	8	4	20	66	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	20	70	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	4	4	33	58	6.5
Workload	0	0	8	47	26	17	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	9	36	36	13	4	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	10	26	47	15	5.7

Overall, students enjoyed the enthusiasm the instructor expressed in lecture. Papillon was noted as being helpful when answering students' questions and addressing their concerns. A few students felt more attention to speaking ability development would have added to the course experience.

### FSL 375Y1Y Comparative Stylistics

Instructor(s): S. Sacre

	Resp: 35							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	5	28	51	14	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	2	14	62	20	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	34	45	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	25	48	25	6.0
Workload	0	2	14	60	17	2	2	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	2	45	34	14	2	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	38	30	23	7	5.0

Many students expressed their appreciation for Sacre's enthusiasm and attention to clarifying difficult concepts in lectures. However, several students felt more assignments and a course textbook would have improved the course experience. Overall, students enjoyed and felt they benefitted from this course.

### FSL 421Y1Y French Language IV

Instructor(s): M-A. Visoi

	Resp: 26							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	3	3	46	15	30	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	3	34	23	38	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	3	15	38	42	6.2
Teaching	0	0	3	3	19	34	38	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	46	23	19	11	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	19	19	11	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	42	19	19	19	5.1

Students thought Visoi was an excellent, knowledgeable and enthusiastic instructor. Some students felt the workload was difficult.

Instructor(s): M-A. Visoi

	Resp: 22							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	4	4	9	18	36	18	9	4.7
Explains	0	4	9	31	22	22	9	4.8
Communicates	0	0	4	18	13	36	27	5.6
Teaching	0	0	9	9	19	47	14	5.5



Workload	0	0	4	71	23	0	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	14	33	38	9	4	4.6
Learn Exp	0	12	0	37	25	12	12	4.6

Students found this course very challenging. Overall, students expressed that they appreciated the dedication of the instructor, however, they found the course material did not relate directly to what they were tested on. As well, several students found the course disorganized in its structure.

Instructor(s): R. Machado

Enr: 36	Resp: 21							Retake: 45%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	21	15	26	31	5	4.8
Explains	5	5	10	26	21	26	5	4.5
Communicates	0	0	0	21	15	26	36	5.8
Teaching	5	0	10	21	36	21	5	4.7
Workload	4	4	14	57	4	14	0	4.0
Difficulty	9	0	19	52	14	4	0	3.8
Learn Exp	11	16	0	44	27	0	0	3.6

Overall, students were not satisfied with the course structure. Although several students appreciated Machado's enthusiasm, a number of students felt that he did not sufficiently field students' questions about grammar concepts.

Instructor(s): M-A. Visoi

Enr: 36	Resp: 25							Retake: 48%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	12	8	28	24	16	12	4.6
Explains	0	0	16	24	36	16	8	4.8
Communicates	0	0	4	8	36	36	16	5.5
Teaching	0	4	0	16	44	16	20	5.3
Workload	0	4	20	32	28	16	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	8	52	24	16	0	4.5
Learn Exp	6	6	18	37	12	12	6	4.1

Students felt that more class time should have been focused on preparation for tests. Less class time should have been spent on discussion however, several students appreciated Visoi's clarification of students' questions.

#### FSL 442H1F French Language V: Written French

Instructor(s): J. Papillon

Enr: 27	Resp: 17							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	29	70	6.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	52	47	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	25	75	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	23	76	6.8
Workload	5	5	52	29	5	0	0	3.2
Difficulty	0	0	17	58	17	5	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	13	26	46	13	5.6

Students praised Papillon for clear and concise lecture material. The content presented was all valuable and the instructor was available for help outside of class. The course material was presented enthusiastically and lectures were intellectually engaging.



## ARTS & SCIENCE STUDENTS' UNION

\*the ANTI-CALENDAR  
\*the Course Unions  
\*Academic Services

\*Scholarships  
\*Bursaries  
\*Grievances

100 St. George Street, Rm 1068, Sidney Smith Hall  
Tel: 416.978.4903; Fax: 416.971.2161  
Email: [students.assu@utoronto.ca](mailto:students.assu@utoronto.ca)  
Website: [www.assu.ca](http://www.assu.ca)