CSSU
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
COMPUTER SCIENCE STUDENT UNION

Introduction

The Computer Science Students' Union (CSSU) holds events for students who are in the Computer Science program. To get in touch with the CSSU check out their website - www.cssu.ca, visit their office in the Bahen centre, Rm 2283, or email them at cssu@cdf.utoronto.ca.

Editor

CSC 108H1F Introduction to Computer Programming

Instructor(s): D. Horton

Enr: 203　　　　　Resp: 102　　　　　Retake: 86%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	10	23	35	30	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	7	17	37	36	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	2	16	23	56	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	7	14	33	43	6.1
Workload	0	2	4	38	31	18	2	4.7
Difficulty	0	1	10	38	27	10	9	4.6
Learn Exp	2	0	0	17	30	34	16	5.4

Horton was an excellent instructor who explained course material clearly and effectively. She was very helpful during office hours but students wished they were longer. Students enjoyed the codelabs, but found the assignments challenging and marked hard.

Overall, this was a great course with an approachable instructor and students had a great learning experience.

Instructor(s): D. Horton

Enr: 106　　　　　Resp: 51　　　　　Retake: 78%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	9	15	33	39	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	5	21	29	43	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	3	11	29	54	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	5	15	33	45	6.2
Workload	1	1	7	35	19	19	13	4.8
Difficulty	1	0	11	39	19	17	9	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	2	17	36	24	19	5.4

Horton's lecture were clear and she explained course material effectively. She was very helpful and students found the classes fun and interesting. The assignments were challenging and required a lot of time.

Overall, a great learning experience.

Instructor(s): D. Zingaro

Enr: 105　　　　　Resp: 48　　　　　Retake: 63%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	14	45	35	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	2	14	35	47	6.3
Communicates	0	2	0	2	6	16	72	6.5
Teaching	2	0	0	2	8	37	50	6.3
Workload	2	0	10	25	39	16	6	4.8
Difficulty	0	2	6	33	25	16	16	5.0
Learn Exp	2	0	7	22	22	12	32	5.3

Zingaro was very enthusiastic during lectures and kept the 3 hour night lectures interesting. He was a fun and excellent instructor and many students felt he was the best instructor they have had to date. The lectures were worth attending. Some students felt however, tests and assignments were very challenging considering this was an introductory course.

Instructor(s): M. Craig

Enr: 83　　　　　Resp: 30　　　　　Retake: 80%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	13	13	26	46	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	3	6	36	53	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	40	60	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	27	20	51	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	46	33	10	10	4.8
Difficulty	0	3	6	30	26	30	3	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	8	8	17	34	30	5.7

Craig was very enthusiastic and clearly loved teaching this course. She used good concrete examples to explain more difficult concepts. She presented material in an engaging way and was very personable. Some students felt the course material was too heavy for students with no programming experience. Many felt assignment 2 was challenging to understand, especially for students who were new to computer programming and that the assignment didn't reflect material covered up to that point.

CSC 148H1F Introduction to Computer Science

Instructor(s): P. Gries

Enr: 94　　　　　Resp: 49　　　　　Retake: 93%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	4	25	27	41	6.0
Explains	0	0	2	0	10	32	55	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	8	83	6.8
Teaching	2	0	0	4	8	30	55	6.3
Workload	0	0	4	60	18	10	6	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	4	54	27	8	6	4.6
Learn Exp	2	2	0	7	15	50	22	5.7

Gries was a very enthusiastic instructor. He used a lot of examples to explain material. He had a genuine concern for his students. Some students felt some of the exercises were difficult and wording of assignment was sometimes ambiguous which made it harder to obtain the full marks. Overall students enjoyed the course and Gries' teaching style.

CSC 165H1F Mathematical Expression and Reasoning For Computer Science

Instructor(s): T. Fairgrieve

Enr: 112　　　　　Resp: 31　　　　　Retake: 40%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	6	6	16	26	26	16	5.1
Explains	3	6	3	23	30	23	10	4.8
Communicates	3	3	10	20	23	26	13	4.9
Teaching	0	0	13	20	16	33	16	5.2
Workload	0	0	3	36	33	23	3	4.9
Difficulty	3	3	0	23	30	26	13	5.1
Learn Exp	13	0	27	31	13	9	4	3.8

Instructor(s): F. Pitt

Enr: 113　　　　　Resp: 59　　　　　Retake: 67%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	5	25	25	41	6.0
Explains	0	1	1	5	17	39	33	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	23	67	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	7	14	36	42	6.1
Workload	1	0	1	39	28	25	3	4.8
Difficulty	1	1	3	22	22	28	19	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	4	34	29	15	15	5.0

Students found Pitt very enthusiastic and helpful. They wished for more examples and faster grading of assignments.

CSC 207H1F Software Design

Instructor(s): P. Gries

Enr: 126   Resp: 81   Retake: 91%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	7	19	25	42	5.9
Explains	0	1	1	5	17	29	45	6.1
Communicates	0	0	1	0	8	12	77	6.6
Teaching	0	0	1	6	5	41	45	6.2
Workload	0	1	3	48	24	7	3	4.7
Difficulty	1	0	6	48	31	10	2	4.5
Learn Exp	0	1	0	23	23	35	16	5.4

Gries was great at responding to students' concerns. He was funny, very enthusiastic and explained the concepts well. Some students thought that there were too many group assignments and that they were unfair. Overall, an enjoyable course, and Gries was an excellent instructor.

Instructor(s): P. Gries

Enr: 80   Resp: 54   Retake: 81%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	30	30	38	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	7	14	22	55	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	1	7	18	72	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	1	14	22	61	6.4
Workload	0	1	3	44	34	7	7	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	5	50	29	12	1	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	26	30	19	23	5.4

Students generally liked the instructor's teaching style. They thought he was informative and passionate about the material that he taught. Some students were frustrated with the group project.

CSC 209H1F Software Tools and Systems Programming

Instructor(s): A. Rosenthal

Enr: 68   Resp: 21   Retake: 84%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	4	19	33	38	6.0
Explains	4	0	4	9	9	33	38	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	5	10	40	45	6.2
Teaching	0	5	0	0	15	50	30	5.9
Workload	0	4	4	52	23	9	4	4.4
Difficulty	4	0	9	47	23	14	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	5	0	17	11	47	17	5.5

The students thought Rosenthal was very organized. They also liked his prompt responses to students' emails. Students wished assignment were marked in a more timely manner.

CSC 236H1F Introduction to the Theory of Computation

Instructor(s): S. Cohen

Enr: 101   Resp: 72   Retake: 59%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	1	4	9	27	33	23	5.6
Explains	0	0	5	12	23	36	22	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	4	8	20	66	6.5
Teaching	0	0	1	7	22	40	28	5.9
Workload	0	0	1	18	30	33	15	5.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	7	33	27	31	5.8
Learn Exp	0	0	3	25	28	36	6	5.2

Cohen was an excellent instructor who was enthusiastic and motivational. His lectures were very interesting and did a very good job of making this course enjoyable. Students found the assignments to be very hard, but they appreciated the challenge. Some did not enjoy the group assignments.

Overall, this was a great learning experience.

Instructor(s): S. Cohen

Enr: 33   Resp: 20   Retake: 65%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	20	15	40	20	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	15	20	30	35	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	30	65	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	10	20	25	45	6.1
Workload	0	0	5	25	35	35	0	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	5	15	55	25	6.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	5	41	29	23	5.7

The course was interesting and Cohen was described as enthusiastic and knowledgeable. He explained the material well. His evaluations were described as challenging as assignments and tests were long and tough for a 200-level course. They did however give an opportunity to think hard and develop an understanding for the course.

CSC 258H1F Computer Organization

Instructor(s): R. Hehner

Enr: 77   Resp: 37   Retake: 78%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	16	27	51	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	8	18	24	48	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	32	59	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	2	16	35	45	6.2
Workload	0	0	2	66	13	13	2	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	5	47	22	19	5	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	6	16	20	30	26	5.5

Most students enjoyed the course material and thought Hehner was very enthusiastic.

CSC 290H1F Communication Skills for Computer Scientists

Instructor(s): L. Blume

Enr: 27   Resp: 17   Retake: 88%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	11	52	35	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	35	58	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	11	88	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	47	52	6.5
Workload	0	5	0	47	35	11	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	11	11	47	23	0	6	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	6	6	26	40	20	5.6

The students enjoyed the course and thought that the learning experience was valuable. The students also liked the instructors' style of teaching.

CSC 301H1F Introduction to Software Engineering

Instructor(s): S. Engels

Enr: 75   Resp: 36   Retake: 71%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	5	13	8	22	22	19	8	4.3
Explains	2	8	5	17	25	25	14	4.9
Communicates	0	2	5	5	16	30	38	5.8
Teaching	2	8	1	5	30	27	16	5.0
Workload	0	0	0	44	8	38	8	5.1
Difficulty	0	2	8	58	14	11	2	4.3
Learn Exp	11	11	7	19	30	0	19	4.2

Many students shared the opinion that having students do presentations instead of having the instructor give lectures was detrimental to the course. They expressed that, while the topics covered in the presentations were relevant, the presentations themselves were lacking and would have been better served as lectures by the instructor.

Students also agreed that the project portion of the course was beneficial, but that a full time project while taking a full course load led to problems.

Some students complained that the final exam was difficult to study for, since the questions were submitted by the students, presentations notes were not posted in a timely manner, and the notes were provided were insufficient

CSC 309H1F Programming on the Web

Instructor(s): E. De Lara

Enr: 39   Resp: 13   Retake: 30%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	16	33	16	16	16	4.8
Explains	7	0	15	30	23	15	7	4.4
Communicates	9	0	0	27	27	18	18	4.9
Teaching	7	7	0	30	15	23	15	4.7
Workload	0	0	0	23	30	30	15	5.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	30	38	23	7	5.1
Learn Exp	8	0	8	25	41	8	8	4.5

Many students felt that too much time was spent on CSS and HTML, and that not enough attention was given to the more challenging topic of struts. Some felt that the midterm was disconnected from the material covered up to that point.

Overall, students wanted to learn more modern technologies, wanted clearer examples and better instructions.

CSC 310H1F Informational Theory

Instructor(s): R. Neal

Enr: 38   Resp: 17   Retake: 46%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	11	11	41	35	0	5.0
Explains	0	5	17	23	35	17	0	4.4
Communicates	0	5	11	5	29	35	11	5.1
Teaching	0	5	11	23	35	23	0	4.6
Workload	0	5	0	17	35	17	23	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	17	29	23	29	5.6
Learn Exp	7	0	23	38	15	15	0	4.0

Neal was described as being helpful in email, but the course was very work heavy. The readings were described as unhelpful, and the evaluation methods were difficult to understand.

CSC 324H1F Principles of Programming Language

Instructor(s): G. Trippen

Enr: 65   Resp: 39   Retake: 64%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	17	46	28	5.9
Explains	0	0	2	5	23	38	30	5.9
Communicates	0	0	5	2	7	42	42	6.1
Teaching	0	0	5	2	18	42	31	5.9
Workload	0	0	7	57	21	10	2	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	5	58	15	17	2	4.5
Learn Exp	0	3	11	34	11	23	15	4.8

Trippen was described as an enthusiastic instructor who made himself very available to students and answered questions quickly and clearly. He tried to keep the material engaging and interesting. The midterm was described as difficult and long, and much harder than the assignments. The project was work-intensive.

CSC 336H1F Numerical Methods

Instructor(s): K. Jackson

Enr: 80   Resp: 47   Retake: 73%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	19	38	42	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	2	21	36	40	6.1
Communicates	2	0	0	8	12	36	40	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	2	17	39	41	6.2
Workload	0	2	15	56	21	4	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	2	13	58	23	2	0	4.1

| Learn Exp | 3 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 39 | 24 | 0 | 4.8 |

The students thought Jackson was approachable, knowledgeable, and organized. They however, thought that the exams and midterms were tough and the pacing was slow.

CSC 343H1F Introduction to Databases

Instructor(s): D. Horton

Enr: 62   Resp: 55   Retake: 97%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	1	14	50	32	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	1	20	38	40	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	1	10	36	50	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	9	47	43	6.3
Workload	0	0	5	72	18	3	0	4.2
Difficulty	1	1	12	68	11	1	1	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	26	32	32	8	5.2

Horton was a skillful and friendly lecturer.

Instructor(s): E. Papangelis

Enr: 62   Resp: 23   Retake: 90%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	17	30	43	8	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	18	27	36	18	5.5
Communicates	0	8	0	26	30	21	13	5.0
Teaching	0	0	0	21	39	26	13	5.3
Workload	0	0	0	52	34	8	4	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	13	43	30	8	4	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	47	31	21	0	4.7

Good instructor, but some students suggested it would help them better-prepare for the lecture if the slides were posted ahead of the class.

CSC 369H1F Operating Systems

Instructor(s): K. Reid

Enr: 71   Resp: 46   Retake: 78%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	2	6	19	39	30	5.8
Explains	0	0	2	8	21	36	30	5.8
Communicates	0	0	2	2	8	13	73	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	6	13	34	45	6.2
Workload	0	2	0	2	6	40	48	6.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	13	17	48	20	5.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	26	35	26	5.8

Students found the instructor to be very helpful and enthusiastic about the course. They found the workload to be well above average, with one assignment in particular as impossible. The course discussion board was very useful and considered essential to completing the course. All in all the course was found to be rewarding.

CSC 373H1F Algorithm Design, Analysis & Complexity

Instructor(s): A. Borodin

Enr: 74   Resp: 30   Retake: 46%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	6	0	17	48	13	10	3	4.1
Explains	10	0	10	44	20	13	0	4.1
Communicates	6	0	0	10	13	41	27	5.6
Teaching	3	3	6	20	27	31	6	4.9
Workload	0	0	0	41	37	10	10	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	10	41	24	24	5.6
Learn Exp	3	7	3	30	15	34	3	4.7

The course was ranked as tough as the material was abstract although interesting. Borodin while enthusiastic about the course, used extensive slides to explain the material which lacked the ability to maintain student interest. They commented that actual examples on blackboard vs slides

would be a significant improvement.

CSC 411H1F Machine Learning and the Date Mining

Instructor(s): R. Zemel

Enr: 44   Resp: 27   Retake: 87%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	11	30	26	26	5.6
Explains	0	0	3	18	40	18	18	5.3
Communicates	0	0	3	3	29	37	25	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	3	29	51	14	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	44	25	18	11	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	25	33	22	18	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	27	27	31	13	5.3

CSC 418H1F Computer Graphics

Instructor(s): P. Simari

Enr: 33   Resp: 29   Retake: 81%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	7	0	14	17	21	21	17	4.8
Explains	7	0	10	14	21	28	17	5.0
Communicates	0	10	0	14	10	35	28	5.5
Teaching	0	0	10	10	24	27	27	5.5
Workload	0	0	3	34	42	19	0	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	3	46	38	11	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	8	13	34	26	17	5.3

Students thought Simari was a friendly and generally good instructor - particularly considering that this was his first time teaching - but many pointed out that his lectures could benefit from clearer explanations and more examples. Most students emphasized that greater detail, both in the forms of detailed slides and longer lectures (ie: not ending class early so often) wold improve the course.

CSC 420H1F Introduction to Image Understanding

Instructor(s): A. Jepson

Enr: 10   Resp: 7   Retake: 83%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	14	57	28	6.1
Explains	0	0	14	0	42	14	28	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	14	42	42	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	16	50	33	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	0	14	42	42	6.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	14	14	14	57	6.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	0	40	60	6.6

CSC 454H1F The Business of Software

Instructor(s): R. Baecker

Enr: 51   Resp: 28   Retake: 84%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	28	28	25	14	5.2
Explains	0	0	7	10	25	46	10	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	10	25	42	21	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	10	28	50	10	5.6
Workload	0	0	3	28	17	35	14	5.3
Difficulty	7	0	3	46	28	10	3	4.4
Learn Exp	0	4	0	33	16	29	16	5.2

The instructor was described as interesting, and the course material was described as useful. There were a lot of guest lecturers which ranged from very useful to redundant, but which were overall positive.
The amount of material covered was overwhelming.

CSC 458H1F Computer Networking Systems

Instructor(s): Y. Ganjali

Enr: 41   Resp: 30   Retake: 86%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	10	23	66	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	10	20	70	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	20	76	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	6	30	63	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	3	6	34	55	6.4
Difficulty	0	0	3	13	37	34	10	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	4	30	4	60	6.2

The instructor was very enthusiastic and gave engaging lectures which were well-planned and organized.
Assignments were interesting and useful, but fairly work-intensive. The tests were fair. Feedback on assignments was lacking.

CSC 463H1F Computational Complexity and Computability

Instructor(s): S.A. Cook

Enr: 30   Resp: 14   Retake: 76%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	28	35	28	5.9
Explains	0	0	7	0	28	42	21	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	28	64	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	7	7	50	35	6.1
Workload	0	0	7	35	35	7	14	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	7	21	28	42	6.1
Learn Exp	0	0	7	15	30	7	38	5.5

CSC 465H1F Formal Methods in Software Design

Instructor(s): R. Hehner

Enr: 12   Resp: 8   Retake: 75%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	12	0	25	62	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	12	0	50	37	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	25	75	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	25	75	6.8
Workload	0	0	0	62	25	12	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	14	14	42	0	28	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	40	20	20	20	5.2

Hehner was enthusiastic about the material and thorough in explaining the concepts.

CSC 469H1F Operating Systems Design and Implementation

Instructor(s): G.S. Graham

Enr: 26   Resp: 16   Retake: 46%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	6	12	18	0	18	25	18	4.6
Explains	6	6	6	12	18	31	18	5.0
Communicates	6	0	12	0	6	43	31	5.6
Teaching	6	6	6	12	12	37	18	5.1
Workload	6	0	13	26	20	13	20	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	13	13	33	13	26	5.3
Learn Exp	14	0	0	21	21	21	21	4.9

Many students though that the assignments were poorly put together and didn't relate to the material at all.

CSC 485H1F Computational Linguistics

Instructor(s): G. Hirst

Enr: 22   Resp: 17   Retake: 56%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	11	47	41	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	5	17	47	29	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	5	5	41	47	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	5	5	47	41	6.2

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Workload	0	0	0	47	23	29	0	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	5	52	23	11	5	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	6	33	33	13	13	4.9

The students generally liked the instructors attitude when teaching. Students also liked that there were no exams, but instead, more assignments.

CSC 490H1F Capstone Design Project

Instructor(s): K. Singh

Enr: 7   Resp: 7   Retake: 100%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	42	28	28	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	42	14	42	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	28	71	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	57	42	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	0	50	33	16	5.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	14	71	14	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	20	60	20	6.0

DIASPORA STUDIES COURSES

DTS 200Y1Y Introduction to Diaspora and Transnational Studies I

Instructor(s): K. O'Neill

Enr: 139   Resp: 100   Retake: 90%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	22	33	38	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	4	14	29	51	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	2	4	17	75	6.7
Teaching	0	1	0	3	7	27	60	6.4
Workload	1	1	14	68	10	3	1	4.0
Difficulty	1	1	8	70	14	3	1	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	2	13	16	26	41	5.9

A great course with interesting material and an excellent instructor. O"Neill used good examples and was very knowledgeable. He was enthusiastic, engaging and made the class very enjoyable.

Overall, the students enjoyed this course very much.

Instructor(s): C. McMahon

Enr: 130   Resp: 76   Retake: 95%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	19	30	47	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	4	15	35	45	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	4	20	23	51	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	16	40	43	6.3
Workload	0	0	13	71	13	1	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	1	8	71	17	1	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	26	19	22	31	5.6

Students praised McMahon as an outstanding instructor who was enthusiastic and knowledgeable. The course material was very interesting. His classes were great and not only effective, but also useful. Overall, students really enjoyed this course with McMahon.

DTS 403H1S Advanced Topics in Diaspora and Transnationalism (The Diasporic Lives of Objects)

Instructor(s): K. MacDonald

Enr: 22   Resp: 14   Retake: 92%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	21	50	28	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	21	35	42	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	42	57	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	7	30	13	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	23	30	15	30	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	30	30	23	15	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	11	22	66	6.6

A great course with a knowledgeable and enthusiastic instructor.

DTS 404H1F Advanced Topics in Diaspora and Transnationalism (Jewish Storytelling from around the World)

Instructor(s): A. Shternshis

Enr: 23   Resp: 14   Retake: 100%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	14	35	42	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	14	21	64	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	21	21	57	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	7	0	42	50	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	64	28	0	7	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	78	14	0	7	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	28	28	0	42	5.6

U of T GREAT MINDS WITH GREAT DEBTS — UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO