

## ASSOCIATION OF CLASSICS STUDENTS

Introduction

The Association of Classics Students (ACS) exists to promote the study of Latin, Greek, and Classical Civilizations at the undergraduate level at the University of Toronto. If you are interested in getting involved, stop by the ASSU Office.

Editor

**CLA 160H1F Introduction to Classical Studies**

Instructor(s): J. Burgess

Enr: 198	Resp: 111							Retake: 82%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	4	19	31	26	14	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	11	24	42	19	5.6
Communicates	0	0	1	11	13	30	41	6.0
Teaching	0	1	0	8	28	34	27	5.7
Workload	0	2	7	67	20	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	4	11	71	7	3	0	4.0
Learn Exp	1	0	3	21	30	28	14	5.2

The instructor was described as knowledgeable and enthusiastic. As well, Burgess was described as a very effective lecturer. However, students felt that Burgess could have been a bit more organized.

Overall, the course was described as fun and informative.

**CLA 201H1S Latin & Greek in Scientific Terminology**

Instructor(s): J.S. Traill

Enr: 183	Resp: 46							Retake: 87%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	0	15	15	20	46	5.9
Explains	0	0	4	4	13	24	53	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	17	75	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	2	13	22	61	6.4
Workload	0	0	6	59	22	6	4	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	20	56	15	4	2	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	22	25	33	19	5.5

Students highly approved of Traill's lectures, and found his lecture style interesting, entertaining, and engaging.

**CLA 204H1F Introduction to Classical Mythology**

Instructor(s): Y. Lozynsky

Enr: 206	Resp: 91							Retake: 96%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	8	17	51	18	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	4	16	51	27	6.0
Communicates	0	1	1	9	38	31	17	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	7	20	41	24	5.9
Workload	2	3	8	68	9	2	5	4.1
Difficulty	1	5	14	71	3	1	3	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	26	28	25	19	5.4

The course was described as enjoyable and having a good selection of readings within the textbook. The instructor was knowledgeable, organized and detailed in lectures. However, students felt that he did not

provide enough commentary and feedback on tests and essays.

Instructor(s): A. Keith

Enr: 271	Resp: 55							Retake: 87%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	9	16	29	18	27	5.4
Explains	0	0	1	14	30	30	21	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	3	14	27	54	6.3
Teaching	0	0	1	7	21	25	43	6.0
Workload	5	7	9	54	20	1	1	3.9
Difficulty	5	5	14	61	9	1	1	3.8
Learn Exp	0	0	8	23	19	25	23	5.3

Students thought the instructor showed a lot of interest in the course material and put effort into communicating this to the students. Some students felt that the lecture would have been more rewarding if it was split into 2 shorter lectures per week.

Instructor(s): R. Hoeschele

Enr: 260	Resp: 101							Retake: 89%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	4	3	9	20	34	24	5.5
Explains	1	3	3	4	31	30	28	5.6
Communicates	0	1	0	6	10	32	51	6.2
Teaching	0	1	3	2	19	37	32	5.8
Workload	1	5	12	53	15	6	2	4.1
Difficulty	2	7	16	54	18	2	1	3.9
Learn Exp	4	3	2	26	23	20	18	5.0

Students praised Hoeschele's enthusiasm, knowledge, and humour. Students also felt there was a lot of reading material covered and as a result some of the lectures seemed "rushed".

**CLA 231H1F Introduction to Roman History**

Instructor(s): M. Cottier

Enr: 185	Resp: 103							Retake: 84%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	1	2	8	11	30	27	21	5.3
Explains	0	0	0	12	27	32	24	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	5	14	40	37	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	5	27	41	22	5.7
Workload	1	3	7	49	23	8	4	4.3
Difficulty	1	3	8	56	22	4	0	4.1
Learn Exp	2	1	3	34	22	22	13	5.0

The instructor was knowledgeable and approachable. As well, it was evident that he had put effort into the course as students appreciated his online lecture notes posted prior to lectures.

It was an enjoyable course, however, there were too many things crammed into a half-year course. Also, students thought three hours for an evening class was too much and the class should have been twice a week for 1.5 hours.

**CLA 232H1S Introduction to Greek Society and Culture**

Instructor(s): V. Wohl

Enr: 203	Resp: 102							Retake: 88%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	1	9	28	58	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	1	8	29	59	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	22	72	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	8	27	62	6.5
Workload	1	1	11	66	15	4	2	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	12	68	12	2	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	1	14	30	27	25	5.6

Students highly praised Wohl's lecture style, as they felt that her enthusiasm and passion for the material helped make the lectures very enjoyable and interesting. They felt the material was communicated well and

## 34 CLASSICS

that Wohl was a great instructor.

Some felt that there should have been more assignments or other forms of evaluation rather than several tests being weighted very heavily.

### CLA 260H1S Method and Theory in Classics

Instructor(s): B. Akrigg

	Resp: 63							Retake: 62%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	1	8	22	34	21	11	5.0
Explains	0	0	8	12	35	27	16	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	11	18	47	22	5.8
Teaching	0	0	3	12	25	41	17	5.6
Workload	0	6	12	73	7	0	0	3.8
Difficulty	0	3	12	71	12	0	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	9	7	45	13	13	9	4.4

Students felt Akrigg was an enthusiastic instructor and did his best to make the material interesting. They appreciated his lecture handout and found it useful.

However, students did not find the course content particularly stimulating, and would have preferred a greater emphasis on methods rather than theory and bibliographic details that were not that relevant.

### CLA 303H1S The Ancient Novel

Instructor(s): H.J. Mason

	Resp: 28							Retake: 84%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	3	7	3	35	25	25	5.5
Explains	0	0	3	7	32	10	46	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	17	71	6.6
Teaching	0	3	0	0	7	42	46	6.2
Workload	0	0	3	53	28	7	7	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	7	67	14	10	0	4.3
Learn Exp	4	0	0	22	18	36	18	5.3

### CLA 373H1S The Environment in the Greco-Roman World

Instructor(s): M. Cottier

	Resp: 15							Retake: 71%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	6	20	33	26	13	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	46	40	13	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	13	53	33	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	26	46	26	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	53	26	20	0	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	40	20	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	38	38	23	0	4.8

Students viewed Cottier favourably and thought he was knowledgeable and enthusiastic, and they expressed gratitude for his handouts.

The textbook and readings were poor and were lengthy, sometimes boring, and not always relevant to the course and lecture content.

### CLA 383H1S Comedy

Instructor(s): J. Welsh

	Resp: 25							Retake: 90%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	8	40	32	20	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	20	45	33	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	16	76	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	12	36	52	6.4
Workload	0	0	8	68	16	8	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	84	16	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	19	28	38	14	5.5

Students really enjoyed the class discussions, but commented that they would also have liked more lecturing from Welsh because of his knowledge and insight. Overall, students enjoyed the class experience and felt Welsh was approachable and available to help.

### CLA 389H1F Classical Spaces: Sites, and Monuments (The City of Rome)

Instructor(s): C. Bruun

	Resp: 33							Retake: 82%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	6	21	24	30	18	5.3
Explains	0	3	3	3	37	31	21	5.6
Communicates	0	3	0	0	19	32	45	6.1
Teaching	0	0	3	6	15	53	21	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	61	32	6	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	3	81	15	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	34	30	21	13	5.1

The instructor was knowledgeable and very enthusiastic about the course material. He was always available for questions both inside and outside of lectures, which maximized the potential for learning. His lectures were engaging and he was attentive throughout the course. His use of humour in lectures also made the course material more memorable.

Overall, an interesting course, but goals and objectives were unclear at times. As well, use of visual aids such as slides would have been useful.

### CLA 402H1F Advanced Seminar in Greek Civilization

Instructor(s): J. Burgess

	Resp: 10							Retake: 88%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	10	0	10	80	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	10	0	40	50	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	30	70	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	10	10	80	6.7
Workload	0	0	0	60	30	10	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	10	30	50	10	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	25	0	25	50	6.0

Burgess was described as being an extremely helpful instructor in terms of the assignments. He made the content enjoyable and interesting. His class discussion was highly organized and marked fairly.

### CLA 403H1S Advanced Seminar in Roman Civilization

Instructor(s): C. Bruun

	Resp: 6							Retake: 80%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	16	16	16	50	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	16	0	33	50	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	33	16	50	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	16	33	50	6.3
Workload	0	0	0	66	16	16	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	66	33	0	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	16	33	33	16	5.5

### GRK 101H1F Introductory Ancient Greek I

Instructor(s): M. Campbell

	Resp: 15							Retake: 93%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	33	40	26	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	20	46	33	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	33	46	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	13	40	46	6.3
Workload	0	6	0	20	33	13	26	5.3
Difficulty	0	6	0	26	33	33	0	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	7	23	23	15	30	5.4

Campbell was an excellent instructor who expressed great patience when helping students with the language. She communicated her enthusiasm for the material.

The course workload was very high, and students had issues with the textbook as it wasn't very helpful.

Instructor(s): H.J Mason

	Resp: 14							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	14	14	21	7	28	14	4.6
Explains	0	7	21	0	21	7	42	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	23	76	6.8
Teaching	0	0	7	14	14	28	35	5.7
Workload	0	15	7	15	15	38	7	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	14	7	57	21	5.9
Learn Exp	0	0	7	30	7	38	15	5.2

The instructor was clearly passionate about Ancient Greek. He was dedicated and always available to help. However, the pace of the course was seen as quite rapid. As well, the textbook was described as being poorly designed and impossible to use effectively.

#### GRK 102H1S Introductory Ancient Greek II

Instructor(s): A. Brook

	Resp: 11							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	27	27	45	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	9	36	54	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	9	90	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	27	72	6.7
Workload	0	0	0	40	20	30	10	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	45	18	27	9	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	22	11	22	44	5.9

#### GRK 351/451H1F Greek Comedy I/Greek Comedy II

Instructor(s): V. Wohl

	Resp: 6							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	16	16	66	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	33	66	6.7
Workload	0	0	0	33	50	16	0	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	60	0	40	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	0	40	40	6.0

Wohl was described as a very attentive instructor who expressed a great enthusiasm for the material. She expressed the goals for assignments and the course very clearly.

#### GRK 341/441H1S Greek Historians I/Greek Historians II

Instructor(s): B. Akrigg

	Resp: 6							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5
Workload	0	0	16	83	0	0	0	3.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	66	33	0	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	40	0	40	5.6

#### GRK 353/453H1S Greek Verse Authors I/Greek Verse Authors II

Instructor(s): J. Burgess

	Resp: 5							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	20	80	0	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	20	60	20	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	40	60	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	80	20	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	20	80	0	0	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	60	40	0	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	40	20	20	5.4

#### LAT 101H1F Introductory Latin I

Instructor(s): J. Lynd

	Resp: 13							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	7	7	23	30	30	5.7
Explains	0	0	7	23	15	23	30	5.5
Communicates	0	7	23	15	0	23	30	5.0
Teaching	0	0	0	25	16	25	33	5.7
Workload	0	0	0	16	33	33	16	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	25	25	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	45	0	36	18	5.3

The course was described as having a heavy but manageable workload. The instructor was described as helpful and he answered questions and concerns clearly. Assessments were graded fairly and returned rather quickly, but more feedback was desired.

Instructor(s): R. McCutcheon

	Resp: 29							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	10	10	48	31	6.0
Explains	0	0	3	10	10	46	28	5.9
Communicates	0	0	3	0	6	27	62	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	3	6	41	48	6.3
Workload	0	3	3	20	34	27	10	5.1
Difficulty	0	3	10	41	17	20	6	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	10	21	46	21	5.8

The course was well conducted and a great learning experience. Students felt that the material was challenging but rewarding. The instructor was reported as being enthusiastic about Latin which made the lectures engaging. He encouraged class participation which aided in the learning process. He was seen as a fair grader who knew how to raise a dead language back from the grave.

However, the classes felt slightly rushed, but overall it was a great learning experience.

#### LAT 102H1S Introductory Latin II

Instructor(s): J. Lynd

	Resp: 16							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	6	31	56	6	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	12	50	25	12	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	18	25	25	31	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	6	56	37	6.3
Workload	0	0	6	6	43	37	6	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	6	25	18	43	6	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	28	57	14	5.9

#### LAT 102H1S Introductory Latin II

Instructor(s): C. Jordan

	Resp: 7							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	14	0	14	42	28	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	14	14	71	0	5.6
Communicates	0	0	14	28	14	42	0	4.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	28	42	28	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	28	57	0	14	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	28	42	14	14	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	57	28	0	5.1

Instructor(s): K. Lawson

	Resp: 8							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	12	12	37	37	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	25	37	37	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	62	37	6.4

## 36 CLASSICS

Teaching	0	0	0	0	12	75	12	6.0
Workload	0	12	0	25	25	12	25	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	12	37	25	25	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	40	60	0	5.6

Instructor(s): M. Pietropaolo

Enr: 13		Resp: 8				Retake: 100%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	37	0	62	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	37	62	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	25	75	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	37	62	6.6
Workload	0	0	12	12	37	25	12	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	12	37	25	12	12	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	14	28	57	6.4

Pietropaolo was an excellent instructor who showed enthusiasm and passion for the course.

### LAT 201H1F Intermediate Latin I

Instructor(s): S. McCallum

Enr: 16		Resp: 15				Retake: 92%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	20	13	60	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	6	26	66	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	33	66	6.7
Workload	0	0	0	26	40	33	0	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	20	33	40	6	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	15	15	15	53	6.1

The instructor was exceptionally learned. It was said that McCallum was enthusiastic, helpful, and diligent in the work. The instructor was accessible to students and was always open to answering questions.

Overall, McCallum was able to provide a friendly environment which encouraged learning difficult material.

Instructor(s): M. Cottier

Enr: 18		Resp: 16				Retake: 85%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	12	56	25	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	12	68	18	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	37	56	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	6	43	50	6.4
Workload	0	6	0	37	18	31	6	4.9
Difficulty	0	6	0	12	37	37	6	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	7	23	46	23	5.8

Cottier was described as very fun and likable. He was highly organized and he explained concepts well.

The course load was heavy but manageable. The value of the sight readings and translations was very high and the layout of the course was well organized.

### LAT 202H1S Intermediate Latin II

Instructor(s): M. Dewar

Enr: 13		Resp: 9				Retake: 100%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	22	77	6.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	11	88	6.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	11	88	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Workload	0	0	0	33	11	44	11	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	33	33	22	11	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	0	62	37	6.4

Dewar was praised as being an outstanding instructor. His enthusiasm and knowledge for this course made the classes fun to attend. Overall, a

very valuable learning experience.

### LAT 341/441H1S Latin Historians I/Latin Historians II

Instructor(s): C. Bruun

Enr: 17		Resp: 11				Retake: 81%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	9	54	36	0	5.3
Explains	0	0	0	9	18	54	18	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	27	45	27	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	10	30	50	10	5.6
Workload	0	9	0	27	27	9	27	5.1
Difficulty	0	9	9	27	18	18	18	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	22	33	11	5.2

### LAT 351/451H1S Latin Drama I/Latin Drama II

Instructor(s): J. Welsh

Enr: 12		Resp: 9				Retake: 87%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	44	55	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	11	44	44	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	44	55	6.6
Workload	0	0	50	50	0	0	0	3.5
Difficulty	0	12	37	37	0	12	0	3.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	12	12	37	37	6.0

### LAT 353/453H1F Latin Verse Authors I/Latin Verse Authors II

Instructor(s): A. Keith

Enr: 18		Resp: 13				Retake: 100%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	15	46	38	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	30	69	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	0	92	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	7	30	61	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	50	33	16	0	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	8	58	33	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	30	38	30	6.0

The instructor was described as being extremely enthusiastic, helpful, and knowledgeable. The organization of the instructor was said to make tricky literature pleasurable. Overall, students felt that nothing should be changed with the course.

### LAT 430H1S Advanced Latin Language Study

Instructor(s): M. Dewar

Enr: 13		Resp: 12				Retake: 91%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	16	16	66	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	8	41	50	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	25	75	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	8	33	58	6.5
Workload	0	8	8	50	16	8	8	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	8	50	25	0	16	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	10	10	20	60	6.3

Students thought Dewar was an excellent instructor overall. They expressed a desire for more translation assignments, for practice and enjoyment.