


baass the bachelor of arts architectural studies society



#### Introduction

BAASS is the Bachelor of Arts Architectural Studies Society at the Faculty of Architecture Landscape and Design (al&d) at the University of Toronto. In short, we are the course union for undergraduate architecture students.

BAASS Executives are dedicated to helping ensure that the education of all students registered in ARC courses at U of T is the best that can be possibly offered. We strive to ensure easier access to academic resources and general information; provide a direct communication link to the faculty and professors; and work to provide an overall better university experience through social and educational opportunities outside the class room.

BAASS Executive

#### ARC 213H1S Architectural Design I

Instructor(s): M. Denegri

Enr: 26	Resp: 20							Retake: 73%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	10	15	31	36	5	5.1
Explains	0	0	5	25	25	30	15	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	10	30	35	25	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	15	30	45	10	5.5
Workload	0	0	0	15	40	15	30	5.6
Difficulty	0	0	5	35	30	30	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	6	13	33	40	6	5.3

Students found Denegri to be a great and helpful instructor, however, some students would have liked more feedback on their assignments

Instructor(s): D. Lieberman

Enr: 30	Resp: 22							Retake: 95%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	9	4	14	23	33	14	0	4.1
Explains	4	13	9	31	18	4	18	4.3
Communicates	0	0	0	4	27	36	31	6.0
Teaching	0	0	13	9	40	22	13	5.1
Workload	0	0	4	18	22	40	13	5.4
Difficulty	0	4	4	36	40	9	4	4.6
Learn Exp	0	5	5	0	50	27	11	5.2

Students commented that they would have liked more guidance and instruction.

#### ARC 221H1F Architectural Representation I

Instructor(s): K. Chey

Enr: 30	Resp: 24							Retake: 72%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	4	0	20	33	29	12	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	25	37	29	8	5.2
Communicates	0	0	0	12	25	45	16	5.7
Teaching	0	0	4	8	33	50	4	5.4
Workload	0	0	0	4	37	20	37	5.9
Difficulty	0	0	4	25	41	20	8	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	25	25	40	10	5.3

Students described Chey as very helpful and organized. Though most students found this course to be an enjoyable experience, the high workload in such a short amount of time left some often feeling confused.

Instructor(s): K. Ljubanovic

Enr: 31	Resp: 25							Retake: 79%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	12	24	36	24	4	4.8
Explains	4	0	20	40	24	12	0	4.2
Communicates	0	4	8	20	32	32	4	4.9
Teaching	0	4	4	29	37	25	0	4.8
Workload	0	0	0	12	24	44	20	5.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	24	48	20	8	5.1
Learn Exp	0	4	4	9	45	27	9	5.1

Students felt that the class was a good learning experience, however the workload was heavy. Students felt technical workshops would have been very helpful and at times felt the material hard to grasp.

#### ARC 232H1F Architecture, Media, and Communication

Instructor(s): M. Allen

Enr: 63	Resp: 40							Retake: 70%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	7	15	25	37	15	5.4
Explains	0	2	0	17	30	32	17	5.4
Communicates	0	5	7	12	10	40	25	5.5
Teaching	0	0	7	12	20	37	22	5.6
Workload	0	2	12	77	7	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	5	55	32	7	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	10	3	30	16	16	23	5.0

Allen was described as an engaging and approachable instructor. Students loved his use of multimedia examples and the fact that he answered questions with passion and clarity.

Some students found the course work to be quite abstract and often not relating to evaluations or assignments. Overall, most students thoroughly enjoyed the course.

#### ARC 236H1S Design and Cultural Transformation

Instructor(s): M. Allen

Enr: 55	Resp: 40							Retake: 83%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	20	45	27	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	10	25	37	27	5.8
Communicates	0	0	2	5	22	37	32	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	10	27	37	25	5.8
Workload	0	0	2	65	22	5	5	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	72	22	2	2	4.3
Learn Exp	0	3	0	27	39	12	18	5.1

#### ARC 313H1F Architectural Design II

Instructor(s): K. Ljubanovic

Enr: 27	Resp: 22							Retake: 76%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	4	4	9	59	18	4	5.0
Explains	0	4	0	36	31	22	4	4.8
Communicates	0	4	4	31	18	31	9	5.0
Teaching	0	0	13	13	31	36	4	5.0
Workload	0	0	0	0	18	18	63	6.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	10	40	20	30	5.7
Learn Exp	6	0	0	18	25	37	12	5.2

Most students were incredibly displeased with the high workload that this course required. They felt that although they spent so much time on the course work, they learned very minimal information. Some students explained that a better explanation of technical details may have been helpful.

## 12 ARCHITECTURE

### ARC 313H1F Architectural Design II

Instructor(s): M. Denegri

	Resp: 20							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	5	10	50	30	5	5.2
Explains	0	0	5	20	35	35	5	5.2
Communicates	0	0	5	10	35	35	15	5.4
Teaching	0	0	0	10	15	57	15	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	0	21	31	47	6.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	0	36	42	21	5.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	18	31	31	18	5.5

Though students thoroughly enjoyed their experience in the course, some felt that the workload was far too high.

### ARC 314H1S Architectural Design III

Instructor(s): M. Allen

	Resp: 23							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	8	21	39	21	17	5.0
Explains	0	0	13	39	21	17	8	4.7
Communicates	0	0	4	4	56	21	13	5.3
Teaching	0	0	8	13	39	21	17	5.3
Workload	0	0	0	0	19	9	71	6.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	13	39	34	13	5.5
Learn Exp	0	5	0	22	22	22	27	5.4

### ARC 321H1F Architectural Representation II

Instructor(s): M. Allen

	Resp: 21							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	33	42	23	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	9	38	28	23	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	5	25	45	25	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	4	19	47	28	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	10	45	20	25	5.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	33	27	38	0	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	22	0	77	0	5.6

Allen was described as a great instructor who at times offered extremely insightful and helpful one-on-one conversations.

Instructor(s): M. Denegri

	Resp: 24							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	20	25	41	12	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	16	41	33	8	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	12	12	45	29	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	4	29	45	20	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	4	12	37	45	6.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	12	29	50	8	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	5	15	26	36	15	5.4

Students felt that the projects were very interesting, however they were very intensive and time-consuming. They found Denegri to be very engaging and helpful.

Greater preparation for using computer programs would have been appreciated.

### ARC 335H1F History/Theory of Urban Landscape Architecture Design I

Instructor(s): J. Boydanowicz

	Resp: 17							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	5	11	52	29	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	5	11	29	52	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	18	37	43	6.2

Teaching	0	0	0	0	17	35	47	6.3
Workload	0	0	5	64	17	5	5	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	5	70	11	5	5	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	10	20	50	20	5.8

Students found Boydanowicz to be very passionate and enthusiastic. They felt that she performed effectively as an instructor and many wished to have her once again.

The course material was enjoyed and was found to be very relevant amongst the historical courses offered in the program.

### ARC 337H1S Housing Design: Theory & Practice

Instructor(s): K. Chey

	Resp: 34							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	2	5	2	14	44	23	5	5.1
Explains	0	2	0	29	29	32	5	5.1
Communicates	2	2	8	8	29	35	11	5.1
Teaching	2	2	20	20	38	11	2	4.4
Workload	0	3	0	66	12	6	12	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	11	67	5	11	2	4.3
Learn Exp	11	3	11	37	29	3	3	4.0

Students commented that they did not enjoy the lecture format for this course. They also did not receive feedback or comments on their assignments and felt they needed this in order to know what could be improved. They found this to be unfair.

### ARC 341H1S Building Technology-Ecology

Instructor(s): L. Margolis

	Resp: 28							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	7	7	25	35	17	7	4.7
Explains	0	3	0	25	39	28	3	5.0
Communicates	0	3	7	7	21	32	28	5.6
Teaching	0	3	3	29	25	25	11	5.0
Workload	0	0	0	70	22	3	3	4.4
Difficulty	0	3	0	70	14	11	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	8	16	28	24	12	12	4.5

