



# ANTI-CALENDAR

published by the Arts & Science Students' Union

# EDITOR'S NOTES:

Welcome to the 2011 Summer ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR. This edition includes the reviews of courses that were offered in the Summer 2011.

Thank you to the all instructors who participated in the summer evaluation process and to all the students who took the time to fill out the forms. The Departments also play a big role in the course evaluation process and so, I would like to take this time to thank them as well.

To my co-workers and the ASSU Executive, thank you for helping with the packaging, delivering and summarizing the evaluations. Special thanks goes to Yoko for typing this edition!

Jane Seto Paul

( Executive 2011-12

Katharine Ball - President Sarah Ball Paola Jo Manisha Kaura Onaizah Onaizah Jacob Raggiunti Shawn Tian

# Staff

Jane Seto Paul - Executive Assistant Yoko Minoda - Administrative Officer Jake Reynolds - Administrative Assistant Evaluations from F, S and Y Summer 2011 courses were used to produce this Summer ANTI-CALENDAR. To use these evaluations effectively, please read the following **METHODOLOGY:** 

All numbers represent the percentages (%) of respondents.

Enr: Actual number of students enrolled at the time of the survey.

**Resp**: Number of students who responded to the survey.

**Retake**: Considering your experience with this course, and disregarding your need for it to meet program or degree requirements, would you still have taken this course?

# For the Instructor(s):

1=extremely poor 2=very poor 3=poor 4=adequate 5=good 6=very good 7=outstanding

**PRESENTATION**: Presents the material in an organized, well-planned manner.

**EXPLAINS**: Explains concepts clearly and with appropriate use of examples.

**COMMUNICATION**: Communicates enthusiasm and interest in the course material.

**TEACHING**: All things considered, performs effectively as a university teacher.

For the Course:

1=very low 2=low 3=below average 4=average 5=above average 6=high 7=very high

WORKLOAD: Compared to other courses at the same level, the workload is...

DIFFICULTY: Compared to other courses at the same level, the level of difficulty of the material is...

**LEARNING EXPERIENCE**: The value of the overall learning experience is...



THIS PUBLICATION WAS PRODUCED BY UNION LABOUR CANADIAN UNION OF PUBLIC EMPLOYEES (CUPE) LOCAL 1281

# **ABORIGINAL STUDIES**

#### ABS 201YY Introduction to Aboriginal Studies

Instructor(s):	E. Neegan
----------------	-----------

Enr: 46	Resp: 37 Retake: 94%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	5	11	38	41	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	8	11	35	66	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	29	64	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	32	11	22	63	6.5
Workload	0	0	5	72	11	11	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	5	17	57	11	8	0	4.0
Learning Exp	0	0	0	6	13	20	60	6.3

Students found Neegan to be an outstanding instructor who was not only very knowledgeable, but also very passionate, helpful, understanding and fair. Students learned a lot and said they wanted to take more Aboriginal Studies courses. She was a highly recommended instructor. Overall, an amazing and enjoyable instructor.

# ABS 302H1F Aboriginal Representation in the Mass Media and Society

Instructor(s): E. Neegan

Enr: 19	Resp: 13 Retake: 92%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	8	66	25	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	8	0	58	33	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	41	58	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	45	54	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	61	30	0	7	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	84	7	7	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	27	18	54	6.3

# **ACTUARIAL SCIENCE & STATISTICS**

#### ACT 230H1F Mathematics of Finance for Non-Actuaries

Instructor(s): G. Pitt

Enr: 28	Resp: 23 Retake: 78%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	4	0	9	27	22	36	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	4	31	27	36	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	4	27	18	50	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	4	22	18	54	6.2
Workload	4	8	17	56	7	4	0	3.7
Difficulty	4	8	21	47	17	0	0	3.7
Learning Exp	0	6	0	40	33	13	6	4.7

#### ACT 240H1F Mathematics of Investment & Credit

Instructor(s): K. Sharp

Enr: 45	Resp: 30				Retake: 65%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents Explains	0 0	0 0	0 0	13 13	23 20	16 26	46 40	6.0 5.6

Communicates	0	0	0	13	3	33	50	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	6	10	33	50	6.3
Workload	0	3	0	36	23	23	13	5.0
Difficulty	0	3	0	16	26	30	23	5.5
Learning Exp	0	0	0	12	37	37	12	5.5

Students said they enjoyed having Sharp as their instructor. He was enthusiastic and explained concepts well.

#### ACT 245H1S Financial Planning for Actuarial Science

#### Instructor(s): K. Sharp

Enr: 27	Resp: 15 Retake: 94%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	6	20	60	13	5.8	
Explains	0	0	0	6	33	40	20	5.7	
Communicates	0	0	0	6	13	46	3.3	6.1	
Teaching	0	0	0	6	20	40	33	6.0	
Workload	0	6	0	26	33	33	0	4.9	
Difficulty	0	0	6	6	53	20	13	5.3	
Learning Exp	0	0	0	6	33	46	13	5.7	

Students that Sharp was an excellent instructor with great enthusiasm.

# ACT 247H1S Introductory to Life Contingencies

Instructor(s): K. Sharp

Enr: 52	Resp: 20 Retake: 41%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	63	21	5	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	5	52	31	10	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	10	21	36	31	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	10	26	47	15	5.7
Workload	5	5	0	21	31	15	21	5.0
Difficulty	5	0	0	0	42	26	26	5.6
Learning Exp	0	0	0	26	40	20	13	5.2

# STA 220H1F The Practice of Statistics II

Instructor(s): A. Vukov

Enr: 163	Resp: 80 Retake: 44%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	11	18	36	15	13	4.9
Explains	0	6	6	20	32	20	15	5.0
Communicates	0	0	3	17	25	37	16	5.4
Teaching	0	0	3	11	45	21	18	5.4
Workload	0	2	5	43	25	20	3	4.7
Difficulty	1	1	3	46	29	8	8	4.6
Learning Exp	1	1	8	45	22	14	4	4.5

While Vukov was appreciated by students as a good, knowledgeable, approachable and enthusiastic instructor. Students found the course material a bit heavy and said that it could have been organized.

## STA 221H1S The Practice of Statistics II

Instructor(s	): A.	Vukov
--------------	-------	-------

Enr: 38	Resp: 18 Retake: 37%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	27	16	44	11	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	16	33	38	11	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	11	22	50	16	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	11	38	27	22	5.6
Workload	0	0	11	22	38	22	5	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	5	16	55	22	0	4.9
Learning Exp	0	0	15	30	38	7	7	4.6

# STA 302H1F Methods of Data Analysis

Instructor(s): H. Moshonov

Enr: 118	Resp: 46 Retake: 55%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	4	8	4	28	22	31	5.5
Explains	2	2	12	17	26	23	26	5.4
Communicates	2	0	2	10	39	21	23	5.5
Teaching	0	2	2	6	28	36	23	5.7
Workload	0	12	9	56	20	4	6	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	9	41	34	9	4	4.6
Learning Exp	2	5	8	40	22	17	2	4.4

Students seemed to feel that more organized tutorial sessions would have helped them understand the material better.

# STA 304H1F Surveys, Sampling, and Observational Data

Instructor(s): D. Banjevic

Enr: 78	Resp:	28		F	etake: 88	%		<u>Mean</u> 5.6 5.5 5.4				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean				
Presents	0	0	11	11	7	44	25	5.6				
Explains	0	0	10	14	10	39	25	5.5				
Communicates	0	7	3	14	10	42	21	5.4				
Teaching	3	0	3	7	10	53	21	5.7				
Workload	0	3	22	62	7	3	0	3.9				
Difficulty	0	0	5	62	7	3	0	3.9				
Learning Exp	0	0	8	34	26	26	4	4.8				

Students liked having Banjevic as their instructor. They found the course material not intensive and easy to follow.

# STA 347H1S Probability

Instructor(s): H. Moshonov

Enr: 67	Resp:	35		Retake: 55%					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	2	8	8	20	32	26	5.5	
Explains	0	0	8	8	16	44	23	5.6	
Communicates	0	0	2	5	41	29	20	5.6	
Teaching	0	0	9	9	27	27	27	5.5	
Workload	6	3	9	41	16	16	6	4.3	

Difficulty Learning Exp	6 4	3 4	6 0	37 40	18 18	18 27	9 4	4.5 4.6
STA 457H1S Time Se	ries Analys	sis						
Instructor(s): J-W. Lin								
Enr: 129	Resp	69		R	etake: 39	9%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	1	1	6	21	27	16	25	5.2
Explains	1	5	5	22	23	13	26	5.1
Communicates	1	0	3	18	21	21	34	5.6
Teaching	1	0	2	19	25	23	26	5.5
Workload	3	1	7	40	23	12	10	4.6
Difficulty	3	0	0	24	29	23	20	5.3
Learning Exp	2	2	9	53	18	4	9	4.3

The few students that commented said that Lin was a great instructor, but the class needed to be more organized and structured.

# ANTHROPOLOGY

#### ANT 100Y1Y Introduction to Anthropology

Instructor(s): S. Lehman

Enr: 78	Resp	43		R	Retake: 80	)%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	6	58	32	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	2	6	46	44	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	16	83	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	13	41	44	6.3
Workload	0	0	0	41	32	23	2	4.9
Difficulty	0	2	7	54	28	4	2	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	26	53	23	14	5.3

This course was an outstanding course. Many students found Lehman an amazing instructor who was extremely enthusiastic, engaging and funny. His lectures were organize, and he used very good examples which were relevant to the course material. Not only was he knowledgeable, but he was also helpful and made the class very enjoyable. Some students found readings for the Archaeology section heave and difficult. They also would have liked to have their first assignment back before the second assignment was due, so that they could have improved from the feedback. Assignments were also not related to the class material. Overall, a very enjoyable course and a great learning experience.

#### ANT 200Y1Y Introduction to Archaeology

Instructor(s): C. Watts

Enr: 48	Resp:	26		F	Retake: 84	%		<u>Mean</u> 6.0 6.1 6.4				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean				
Presents	0	0	0	7	15	42	34	6.0				
Explains	0	0	0	8	16	36	40	6.1				
Communicates	0	0	0	3	3	38	53	6.4				
Teaching	0	0	0	3	19	46	30	6.0				
Workload	0	0	11	76	11	0	0	4.0				
Difficulty	0	0	7	76	15	0	0	4.1				
Learning Exp	0	4	4	31	18	31	9	5.0				

Watt was a great instructor who was very enthusiastic about the course material. Over a good course.

# ANT 253H1F Language & Society

Instructor(s): M. Danesi									
Enr: 192	Resp	64		R	etake: 95				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	1	1	17	21	29	28	5.6	
Explains	0	0	1	1	14	32	50	6.3	
Communicates	0	0	0	1	6	15	76	6.7	
Teaching	0	0	0	1	9	28	60	6.5	
Workload	3	9	15	65	1	1	3	3.7	
Difficulty	0	4	22	68	0	0	4	3.8	
Learning Exp	0	0	0	29	16	20	33	5.6	

This was a very fun and enjoyable course with a great instructor who was enthusiastic, funny, knowledgeable, and approachable.

# ANT 363Y1Y anthropology of State Societies

Instructor(s): L. Jermyn

Enr: 24	Resp:	20		F	Retake: 78	%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	5	55	40	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	5	5	36	52	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	30	50	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	10	15	20	55	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	20	35	35	10	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	25	25	10	5.1
Learning Exp	0	0	5	5	29	23	35	5.8

This was an extremely interesting course with an excellent instructor.

# ARH 306Y1F Archaeological Field Methods

#### Instructor(s): E. Banning

Enr: 15	Res	o: 5		R	etake: 10	0%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	20	20	60	0	0	4.4
Explains	0	0	0	20	60	0	20	5.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	25	75	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	60	20	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	60	20	0	20	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	80	20	0	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0

# **ARCHITECTURAL STUDIES**

# ARC 231H1F Architecture and Technology

Instructor(s): A. Bobette

Enr: 71	Resp:	40		R	etake: 82	2%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	18	54	24	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	8	13	55	22	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	2	20	31	45	6.2

Teaching	0	0	0	2	8	48	40	6.3
Workload	0	2	12	60	17	2	5	4.2
Difficulty	0	2	2	41	38	7	7	4.7
Learning Exp	0	0	3	12	21	30	33	5.8

Overall, this course was very interesting and enjoyable. Students learned a lot.

# ART

#### FAH 102H1F The Practice of Art History

Instructor(s): L. Carroll

Enr: 60	Resp	: 39		F	Retake: 44	%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	20	23	43	12	5.5
Explains	0	0	10	35	27	21	5	4.8
Communicates	0	5	7	23	39	21	2	4.7
Teaching	0	0	7	15	31	39	5	5.2
Workload	0	0	8	63	22	5	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	36	7	5	4.7
Learning Exp	0	12	12	29	29	16	0	4.2

The 10 minute discussion period at the end of each class was very much appreciated and helped students. However, some students found this to be more of an English writing course than an Art History course due to the fact that a lot of marks (1%) were taken off for each grammatical mistake they made. They also would have liked to see more details on the slides as well as visual aids and examples. Some students also thought that the lectures were a bit dry.

## FAH 207H1F Greek and Roman Art and Archaeology

#### Instructor(s): C. Katsougiannopoulou

Enr: 41	Resp:	22		F	Retake: 84	%		<u>Mean</u> 5.8				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean				
Presents	0	0	0	4	36	31	27	5.8				
Explains	0	0	4	0	22	40	31	6.0				
Communicates	0	0	4	9	13	50	22	5.8				
Teaching	0	0	4	0	13	54	27	6.0				
Workload	0	0	4	90	4	0	0	4.0				
Difficulty	0	0	13	81	4	0	0	3.9				
Learning Exp	0	5	0	35	25	25	10	4.9				

Students who commented found this a very interesting class, taught by an excellent knowledgeable instructor. She was fair and her classes were interesting.

#### FAH 215H1F Early Medieval Art and Architecture

Instructor(s): A. Cohen

Enr: 54	Resp	26		R	etake: 95			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	24	40	28	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	3	19	46	30	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	11	80	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	12	44	44	6.3
Workload	0	0	8	56	24	8	4	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	83	12	4	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	16	38	22	22	5.5

Most students enjoyed this 3 week intensive course. The course was well managed and informative. Students found Cohen enthusiastic and organized. They also appreciated the recordings of the lectures. Some students found the readings too much, but overall this was an enjoyable course.

#### FAH 272H1S Modern Architecture from 1750 to the Present

Instructor(s): S. Vattay

Enr: 92	Resp:	61		R	etake: 54	ŀ%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	8	40	35	8	5.3
Explains	0	0	3	11	30	42	11	5.5
Communicates	0	1	3	10	23	45	16	5.6
Teaching	1	0	1	15	30	40	11	5.4
Workload	0	0	10	66	15	8	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	3	75	15	5	1	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	6	46	26	15	4	4.6

Vattay was a good instructor, but students found her marking to be quite harsh. There was a lot of memorization required for the tests, and there was no guidelines on what to expect. Also, students commented that the time given for the midterm was too short.

#### FAH 335H1F The Art of Love in the Renaissance

Instructor(s): K. Tsoumis

Enr: 40	Resp:	R	etake: 65					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	13	34	27	20	5.5
Explains	0	0	3	13	43	23	16	5.4
Communicates	3	0	16	16	26	30	6	4.8
Teaching	0	0	0	23	20	43	13	5.5
Workload	0	0	0	66	23	10	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	76	10	13	0	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	14	52	23	4	4	4.3

This class had mixed reviews. Some students found this a very well organized course with a great instructor. On the other hand, some other students found the class lacked enthusiasm and it tended to be comprised of going over the readings. They wished it was more engaging. Students who commented agreed that the course material itself was very interesting.

#### FAH 349H1F Abstraction in Twentieth-Century Art

Instructor(s): A. Mikulinsky

Enr: 58	Resp	Resp: 42				Retake: 94%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	2	0	5	20	45	27	5.9		
Explains	0	2	0	2	22	35	37	6.0		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	20	72	6.7		
Teaching	0	0	2	2	9	36	48	6.3		
Workload	0	0	7	77	12	0	2	4.1		
Difficulty	0	0	5	75	17	0	2	4.2		
Learning Exp	0	0	3	20	24	27	24	5.5		

Mikulinsky was an enthusiastic instructor who presented the course material in a clear and engaging way. She was also very approachable, nice, and always willing to help. Overall, a great course.

#### VIS 130H1F Visual Strategies

Instructor(s): J-P. Kelly									
Enr: 16	Resp	12		R	etake: 10				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	8	50	41	6.3	
Explains	0	0	0	0	25	33	41	6.2	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	16	25	58	6.4	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	16	41	41	6.2	
Workload	0	9	9	36	27	18	0	4.4	
Difficulty	0	0	18	63	18	0	0	4.0	
Learning Exp	0	0	0	14	14	42	28	5.9	

Students enjoyed this course very much.

# ASTRONOMY

# AST 201H1S Stars and Galaxies

Instructor(s): S-W. Lee

Enr: 258	Resp:	123		R	etake: 48	%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	22	20	42	10	5.3
Explains	0	0	5	20	28	31	11	5.2
Communicates	2	2	3	19	32	23	15	5.1
Teaching	0	1	4	19	30	33	9	5.1
Workload	0	3	14	66	10	3	1	4.0
Difficulty	0	4	13	45	22	7	6	4.4
Learning Exp	1	4	6	48	24	13	1	4.4

This was an interesting course with a good instructor who was knowledgeable. However, many students were unhappy with the midterms. The time alotted (45 minutes) was not nearly enough for the test. The test also was more difficult than the practise test and what was taught in class. The second term test was significantly harder than the first. The class itself was also a little rushed because there was a lot of material to cover over a short period of time.

#### AST 210H1S Great Moments in Astronomy

Instructor(s): I. Shelton

Enr: 59	Resp	26		R	etake: 68	%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	0	0	15	38	23	19	5.3
Explains	3	0	0	15	34	15	30	5.5
Communicates	3	0	0	11	7	42	34	5.8
Teaching	3	0	0	11	15	42	26	5.7
Workload	0	3	7	73	3	7	3	4.2
Difficulty	0	7	7	57	15	7	3	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	38	38	19	4	4.9

Overall this was an interesting course with a very good instructor. One suggestion was to allow more time for the midterm - 45 minutes was too short.

# CHEMISTRY

Instructor(s): M Winnik

# CHM 138H1F Introductory Organic Chemistry I

Enr: 254	Resp:	135		R	etake: 34	%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	8	21	37	29	5.8
Explains	0	0	2	8	18	38	31	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	2	11	37	47	6.3
Teaching	0	0	1	7	10	43	35	6.0
Workload	0	0	1	20	31	32	13	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	1	19	38	27	12	5.3
Learning Exp	2	0	8	34	28	19	5	4.6

Winnik was a great instructor who made the course material fun and interesting. His lectures were easy to understand and follow. Some students found the workload very high and the tests hard.

#### CHM 139H1S Chemistry: Physical Principles

Instructor(s): M. Staikova

Enr: 185	Resp	: 49						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	6	10	16	35	14	14	4.8
Explains	2	4	10	33	25	12	12	4.6
Communicates	0	0	6	20	31	22	18	5.3
Teaching	0	0	8	25	25	25	16	5.2
Workload	0	0	0	18	25	39	16	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	18	31	29	20	5.5
Learning Exp	0	12	7	37	17	20	5	4.4

Staikova was an enthusiastic and helpful instructor. However, some students had some concerns with this course. First of all, this being a summer course, the workload was very high including labs, and the classes were fast paced. They also commented that the test questions did not reflect what was taught in class. They wanted more practice questions and tutorials did not help at all.

#### CHM 220H1F Physical Chemistry for Life Sciences

Instructor(s): T. Mirkovic

Enr: 151	Resp	: 73		F	Retake: 22%	6		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	1	1	0	15	28	29	23	5.5
Explains	1	1	4	12	28	33	18	5.4
Communicates	2	1	2	21	25	29	16	5.2
Teaching	2	0	1	10	31	32	21	5.5
Workload	1	0	0	28	32	24	12	5.2
Difficulty	1	0	1	11	32	35	18	5.5
Learning Exp	8	1	8	32	30	8	8	4.4

A small number of students commented that more time should have been given for the midterms.

# CLASSICS

## **CLA 160H1S** Introduction to Classical Studies

Instructor(s): A. Brook

Enr: 49	Resp	31		Retake: 86%					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	19	35	45	6.3	
Explains	0	0	0	3	16	40	40	6.2	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	29	64	6.6	
Teaching	0	0	0	3	6	35	54	6.4	
Workload	0	0	3	70	19	6	0	4.3	
Difficulty	0	0	6	70	19	3	0	4.2	
Learning Exp	0	0	0	28	19	42	9	5.3	

Brook was an excellent instructor who was enthusiastic and knowledgeable. Although there was a lot of material to cover, she did a great job of making it interesting. Her lectures were also very organized and enjoyable.

#### CLA 201H1S Latin & Greek in Scientific Terminology

Instructor(s): M. Robinson

Enr: 90	Resp:	35		Retake: 96%					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	2	2	5	28	42	17	5.6	
Explains	0	0	5	8	28	40	17	5.5	
Communicates	2	5	0	14	28	37	11	5.2	
Teaching	0	0	0	2	28	42	25	5.9	
Workload	2	5	11	38	17	17	5	4.4	
Difficulty	0	5	23	50	17	2	0	3.9	
Learning Exp	0	3	0	18	29	33	14	5.3	

This was a great course taught by a great instructor. Robinson was organized and explained course material well. She was also approachable and helpful.

## CLA 204H1F Introduction to Classical Mythology

Instructor(s): E. Barbiero

Enr: 84	Resp:							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	14	31	37	14	5.5
Explains	0	0	2	8	31	37	20	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	22	31	45	6.2
Teaching	0	0	2	6	27	43	20	5.8
Workload	0	0	10	58	20	10	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	2	18	64	10	4	0	4.0
Learning Exp	0	0	0	34	28	25	11	5.1

Barbiero was an enthusiastic instructor who was approachable. Some students commented that she talked too quickly and found it to follow her and take notes. Students suggested she use powerpoint slides to highlight her main points.

#### CLA 231H1F Introduction to Roman History

Instructor(s): C. Hope								
Enr: 57	Resp	: 29		R	etake: 84			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	0	0	10	27	20	37	5.7
Explains	3	0	0	0	27	27	41	6.0
Communicates	3	0	0	7	17	14	57	6.1
Teaching	3	0	0	3	24	27	41	5.9
Workload	0	0	3	46	25	17	7	4.8
Difficulty	0	3	7	64	17	3	3	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	20	29	25	25	5.5

Students enjoyed this course very much. Hope was organized, knowledgeable and his classes were very enjoyable. He also was very approachable.

#### CLA 232H1S Introduction to Greek Society and Culture

Instructor(s): L. Sawchuk

Enr: 51	Resp:							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	12	48	38	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	16	48	35	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	13	0	50	36	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	3	9	53	34	6.2
Workload	0	6	3	64	19	6	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	6	10	63	16	0	3	4.0
Learning Exp	0	0	0	17	34	39	8	5.4

Overall this was a great course with a great instructor. Students greatly appreciated that all reading materials were available online and that they didn't have to purchase a textbook. His lectures were interesting and well organized. Overall, a good experience.

# CLA 305H1F Theories of Myth

Instructor(s): J. Westerhold

Enr: 19	Resp:	Resp: 15 Retake: 66%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	40	33	26	5.9	
Explains	0	0	0	0	40	26	36	5.9	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	60	33	6.3	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	26	26	46	6.2	
Workload	0	0	0	40	33	20	6	4.9	
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	33	20	6	4.9	
Learning Exp	0	7	0	15	30	15	30	5.4	

Although this was a very intense course with a lot of material to cover, this was done in a very effective way. Westerhold was an excellent lecturer who explained concepts clearly. She was also approachable and enthusiastic. A small number of students suggested that more information should have been put on the slides, and the tests should have been out of less marks than 200.

## CLA 368H1S Augustus and the Julio-Claudians

Instructor(s): J. Neel								
Enr: 25	Resp: 13			R	etake: 75%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	7	0	0	38	46	7	5.4
Explains	0	0	7	0	7	67	15	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	30	61	6.5
Teaching	0	7	0	0	7	53	30	5.9
Workload	0	0	7	76	7	7	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	69	7	23	0	4.5
Learning Exp	0	0	0	11	44	11	33	5.7

This was a great, engaging course. Neel was enthusiastic and knowledgeable. Good open class discussions as well.

#### CLA 387H1S Spectacle in the Roman World

Instructor(s): C. Jordan

Enr: 22	Resp: 14 Retake: 100%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	7	21	42	14	14	5.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	50	42	7	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	14	35	50	0	5.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	30	61	7	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	57	35	7	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	78	14	7	0	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	20	50	30	0	5.1

Jordan was a very knowledgeable instructor who replied to students' emails promptly. Some students thought that there was too much information on the slides which made it hard to follow. Overall, a good course.

#### LAT 102H1S Introductory Latin II

Instructor(s): E Eletcher

	51							
Enr: 14	Resp:	: 10		R	etake: 88			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	30	40	20	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	30	50	20	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	30	60	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	50	30	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	30	10	40	20	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	30	20	10	5.0
Learning Exp	0	0	0	11	33	33	22	5.7

Overall, a good class with good structure. Fletcher was an organized instructor.

# **CELL & SYSTEMS BIOLOGY**

# BIO 270H1F Animal Physiology I

Instructor(s):	C. Youngson
----------------	-------------

Enr: 118	Resp	72		R	etake: 85			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	29	40	25	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	4	23	41	30	6.0

Communicates	0	0	0	5	27	37	29	5.9	
Teaching	0	0	0	4	22	41	31	6.0	
Workload	1	4	15	71	7	0	0	3.8	
Difficulty	0	2	20	69	7	0	0	3.8	
Learning Exp	0	0	3	50	28	14	3	4.6	

Youngson was a very effective instructor who presented course material very well with good examples. She was enthusiastic and helpful. Some students thought she spoke too fast. Overall, an excellent learning experience with a great instructor.

## **BIO 271H1S Animal Physiology**

Instructor(s): N.J. Kabani

Enr: 88	Resp: 47 Retake: 72%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	2	14	29	34	17	5.4
Explains	0	0	6	14	21	31	25	5.6
Communicates	0	0	2	10	27	36	23	5.7
Teaching	0	0	4	10	21	40	23	5.7
Workload	0	2	8	72	17	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	2	10	65	21	0	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	2	2	50	20	14	8	4.7

Kabani was a knowledgeable instructor who was always willing to help. Some students did not enjoy the labs. Overall, an enjoyable class.

# CSB 328H1F Developmental Biology

Instructor(s): D. Dansereau

Enr: 52	Resp	Resp: 37 Retake: 76%						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	18	32	48	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	13	29	56	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	2	10	27	59	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	13	32	54	6.4
Workload	2	0	8	52	30	5	0	4.2
Difficulty	2	2	8	58	22	5	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	29	37	22	11	5.1

Dansereau was a great instructor who explained things clearly and effectively. The course material was also very interesting. He was organized and students enjoyed this course.

# CSB 332H1S Neurobiology of the Synapse

Instructor(s): J. Forder

Enr: 145	Resp	92		R	etake: 83	8%		<u>Mean</u> 5.6 5.6 6.2 5.8			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean			
Presents	2	0	2	14	21	31	28	5.6			
Explains	2	0	4	12	20	33	27	5.6			
Communicates	1	0	0	7	13	27	51	6.2			
Teaching	2	0	3	9	15	35	34	5.8			
Workload	3	3	14	66	10	1	1	3.8			
Difficulty	3	2	15	60	16	2	0	3.9			
Learning Exp	3	1	0	30	26	22	15	5.0			

Forder was an excellent instructor who was enthusiastic, engaging and helpful. Although she sometimes rushed

through material at the end of the class, she was knowledgeable and gave good examples. Her quizzes were helpful, and she was available for help when needed. She made this course very interesting. Her tests were also very fair, and the discussion board was very helpful. Overall, a very good learning experience.

#### CSB 332H1S Bioinformatic Methods

Instructor(s): R. Ammar

Enr: 37	Resp:	32		R	etake: 83	8%		Mean					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean					
Presents	0	0	0	12	28	40	18	5.7					
Explains	0	0	3	12	34	37	12	5.4					
Communicates	0	0	0	3	38	38	19	5.7					
Teaching	0	0	3	6	12	53	25	5.9					
Workload	0	3	16	45	19	12	3	4.3					
Difficulty	0	3	12	48	19	16	0	4.3					
Learning Exp	0	0	0	30	10	30	30	5.6					

# **COMPUTER SCIENCE**

## CSC 209H1S Software Tools and Systems Programming

Instructor(s): D. Zingaro

Enr: 35	Resp:	18		R	etake: 10	0%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	5	22	72	6.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	38	61	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	11	83	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	27	72	6.7
Workload	0	0	0	35	41	17	5	4.9
Difficulty	0	5	0	29	41	17	5	4.8
Learning Exp	0	0	0	6	53	26	13	5.5

Students commented that Zingaro was a fantastic instructor.

## CSC 309H1Y Programming on the Web

Instructor(s): E. Papangelis

Enr: 60	Resp:	23		F	Retake: 64			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	13	13	40	9	22	5.1
Explains	0	4	18	18	36	9	13	4.7
Communicates	0	0	14	23	28	9	23	5.0
Teaching	0	0	9	31	31	22	4	4.8
Workload	0	0	9	50	22	18	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	9	86	4	0	0	4.0
Learning Exp	0	6	20	33	6	20	13	4.5

A small number of students commented that there should have been a more detailed guidelines for the project.

# CSC 373H1Y Algorithm Design, Analysis, & Complexity

Instructor(s): B. Zimny

Enr: 72	Resp:	39		R	etake: 63			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	7	38	23	28	5.7

Explains	0	0	2	7	35	33	20	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	15	38	46	6.3
Teaching	0	0	2	5	17	48	25	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	10	32	32	24	5.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	5	13	45	35	6.1
Learning Exp	0	0	3	22	33	25	14	5.3

The instructor presented and explained the material well. He was helpful and answered emails promptly.

# **EAST ASIAN STUDIES**

#### EAS 102Y1Y Introduction to East Asian Civilizations

Instructor(s): J. Stowe

Enr: 42	Resp:	15		R	etake: 10	0%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	20	26	46	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	6	26	13	53	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	6	86	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	6	20	73	6.7
Workload	0	0	20	73	6	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	20	73	6	0	0	3.9
Learning Exp	0	0	0	36	9	27	27	5.5

Students appreciated the instructor's enthusiasm. Examples provided in class were interesting and useful.

# EAS 110Y1Y Modern Standard Korean I

Instructor(s): H.Y. Im

Enr: 45	Resp	: 33		R	etake: 96	5%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	18	39	42	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	6	12	39	42	6.2
Communicates	0	0	3	0	18	37	140	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	12	33	54	6.4
Workload	0	3	3	31	18	28	15	5.1
Difficulty	0	3	9	51	21	3	12	4.5
Learning Exp	0	0	0	6	23	36	33	6.0

The instructor was well-prepared for lectures, explained concepts clearly and was very helpful. Overall, students had an enjoyable experience.

# EAS 217Y1F Major Aspects of Contemporary Korea

Instructor(s): Y-S. Yoo

Enr: 51	Resp	: 47		F	Retake: 69	9%		<u>Mean</u> 5.1 4.9 5.6 5.3			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean			
Presents	2	2	6	23	18	32	13	5.1			
Explains	2	2	9	20	31	22	11	4.9			
Communicates	2	0	2	11	24	33	26	5.6			
Teaching	2	0	6	15	26	36	13	5.3			
Workload	4	0	4	53	13	15	8	4.5			
Difficulty	4	0	4	46	23	16	4	4.5			
Learning Exp	5	2	8	31	11	28	11	4.7			

This was a great course with a kind instructor. The course material was also very interesting. However, some stu-

dent found that tests did not reflect what was taught in class.

# EAS 389Y1S History of Korean Religion

Instructor(s): Y-S. Yoo								
Enr: 60	Resp	41		R	etake: 72			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	5	5	5	25	25	17	17	4.8
Explains	4	2	11	16	26	19	19	4.9
Communicates	4	2	0	9	26	24	31	5.5
Teaching	5	0	7	25	17	27	17	5.0
Workload	2	0	7	60	20	5	5	4.3
Difficulty	2	0	7	58	17	12	2	4.3
Learning Exp	0	2	2	41	20	17	14	4.9

# ECONOMICS

# ECO 100Y1Y Introduction to Economics

Instructor(s): G. Indart

Enr: 136	Resp:	63		R	Retake: 66%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	1	25	30	41	6.1	
Explains	0	0	3	9	24	0	32	5.8	
Communicates	0	0	1	6	34	27	29	5.8	
Teaching	0	0	3	6	21	36	32	5.9	
Workload	0	4	6	43	17	22	4	4.6	
Difficulty	1	3	0	38	24	22	9	4.9	
Learning Exp	0	0	8	42	22	16	12	4.8	

Indart was a dedicated instructor. Students found his slides to be well-laid out and useful. He was also helpful.

## Instructor(s): G. Indart

Enr: 131	Resp	: 47		R	etake: 59	9%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	17	44	34	6.1
Explains	0	2	4	10	25	31	25	5.6
Communicates	0	0	6	12	27	27	25	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	4	23	42	29	6.0
Workload	2	0	13	44	22	17	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	2	39	28	23	6	4.9
Learning Exp	0	0	0	35	26	26	11	5.1

Instructor(s): M. Ho

Enr: 126	Resp: 79 Retake: 69%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	1	3	5	19	32	38	5.9	
Explains	0	1	2	6	20	30	38	5.9	
Communicates	1	0	1	6	15	32	42	6.0	
Teaching	0	1	1	2	16	32	46	6.2	
Workload	1	3	6	49	19	12	6	4.5	
Difficulty	0	6	2	35	28	18	7	4.7	
Learning Exp	1	0	1	33	25	19	17	5.1	

Overall, students were very satisfied with the instructor's performance. Many students noted that the instructor provided numerous examples and explained them clearly.

Instructor	(s):	: K.	Fur	long
------------	------	------	-----	------

Enr: 137	Resp	Resp: 65 Retake: 82%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	6	23	35	32	5.9		
Explains	0	0	0	1	21	46	30	6.1		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	30	56	6.4		
Teaching	0	0	0	1	13	50	33	6.2		
Workload	0	3	9	57	17	7	4	4.3		
Difficulty	1	1	9	40	23	18	4	4.6		
Learning Exp	0	0	3	17	36	34	7	5.2		

Many students felt the instructor demonstrated enthusiasm and kept them interested. Concepts were explained well, with good use of examples.

# ECO 200Y1Y Microeconomic Theory

Instructor(s): W. Wolfson

Instructor(s): M Aniomshoa

Enr: 89	Resp: 69 Retake: 75%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	1	0	8	17	41	30	5.9	
Explains	0	0	0	2	20	45	30	6.0	
Communicates	0	0	0	4	11	33	50	6.3	
Teaching	0	0	0	1	12	33	53	6.4	
Workload	1	4	4	52	26	4	5	4.4	
Difficulty	0	5	4	41	22	13	5	4.5	
Learning Exp	0	0	0	25	29	27	17	5.4	

Wolfson was a passionate instructor, who tried his best to help students understand the material.

#### ECO 202Y1Y Macroeconomic Theory and Policy

Instructor(s). IN. Anjon	isilua							
Enr: 50	Resp							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	8	45	45	6.4
Explains	0	0	4	4	8	50	33	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	4	12	37	45	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	41	37	6.2
Workload	4	0	4	60	21	8	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	4	0	56	26	13	0	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	0	5	47	23	23	5.6

The instructor's teaching style was effective.

#### ECO 204Y1Y Microeconomic Theory and Applications (for Commerce)

Instructor(s): S. Hussain

Enr: 83	Resp:	55		Re	etake: 72			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	1	5	21	70	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	3	18	77	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	1	12	85	6.8

Teaching	0	0	0	0	1	26	71	6.7
Workload	0	0	0	13	35	35	16	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	7	23	34	34	6.0
Learning Exp	0	0	0	2	6	43	47	6.4

Hussain was described by most as enthusiastic and engaging, taking time to go over material as necessary and providing real life examples. Quite a few students considered him to be an exemplary instructor for these reasons. A few students found the tests to be difficult.

#### ECO 206Y1Y Microeconomic Theory

Instructor(s): R. Lindstrom

Enr: 110	Resp: 69 Retake: 44%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	30	26	26	13	5.2
Explains	0	0	10	38	20	16	13	4.8
Communicates	0	0	6	27	28	21	16	5.2
Teaching	1	1	10	27	23	24	10	4.9
Workload	1	0	7	39	24	9	18	4.8
Difficulty	1	0	6	24	26	21	20	5.2
Learning Exp	2	2	8	48	19	8	10	4.5

Lindstrom was described as easy to contact by email, but students felt lectures could have been more organized. Students also felt they were not provided with the answer to online problems. Some students felt the final exam was very difficult.

#### ECO209Y1Y Macroeconomic Theory and Policy (for Commerce)

Instructor(s): G. Indart

Enr: 50	Resp:	Resp: 27 Retake: 64%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	7	23	34	34	6.0		
Explains	0	0	0	15	15	46	23	5.8		
Communicates	0	0	0	11	23	42	23	5.8		
Teaching	0	0	0	7	15	53	23	5.9		
Workload	0	0	3	61	23	11	0	4.4		
Difficulty	0	0	0	38	30	26	3	5.0		
Learning Exp	0	0	0	38	23	33	4	5.0		

Indart was described as a good professor, but some students thought he moved through material too quickly. Students expressed a desire for more problems/coursework to prepare for tests and also an opportunity to review the tests with the instructor/TA.

## ECO220Y1Y Quantitative Methods in Economics

Instructor(s): J. Murdock

Enr: 81	Resp: 45 Retake: 56%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	8	37	53	6.4	
Explains	0	0	0	4	17	24	53	6.3	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	28	62	6.5	
Teaching	0	0	0	2	6	40	51	6.4	
Workload	0	0	11	40	15	20	11	4.8	
Difficulty	0	2	6	38	18	20	13	4.9	
Learning Exp	0	0	0	24	29	18	27	5.5	

Murdock was an excellent instructor. She presented the course material with enthusiasm and explained concepts in a clear and effective way with good examples. She also had good lecture slides. Overall a great course with an excellent lecturer.

#### Instructor(s): J. Murdock

Enr: 89	Resp: 100 Retake: 52%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	1	4	13	35	47	6.2	
Explains	0	0	0	5	16	39	38	6.1	
Communicates	0	0	1	3	13	33	49	6.3	
Teaching	0	1	0	2	13	36	48	6.3	
Workload	0	2	0	27	29	23	18	5.3	
Difficulty	0	1	1	26	24	24	24	5.4	
Learning Exp	1	0	6	24	24	29	14	5.2	

Murdock was a great instructor who was enthusiastic and helpful. Her lectured were very clear and useful, and students also liked the text book. Students would have liked more examples in lectures and found tests to be very hard. The iclicker had mixed reviews. Some liked it and some didn't.

#### Instructor(s): V. Yu

Enr: 72	Resp: 30 Retake: 39%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	7	3	14	14	25	33	5.5	
Explains	0	0	0	21	7	32	39	5.9	
Communicates	0	0	7	10	21	32	28	5.6	
Teaching	0	0	3	7	7	44	37	6.0	
Workload	0	0	7	57	21	14	0	4.4	
Difficulty	0	0	7	46	35	10	0	4.5	
Learning Exp	0	0	6	6	40	20	26	5.5	

Students thought Yu was very effective at "teaching as opposed to lecturing", and thought he was effective at ensuring the material was understood. On the other hand, some students thought too much time was spent on elementary examples as opposed to the more difficult material.

#### Instructor(s): V. Yu

Enr: 77	Resp: 57 Retake: 65%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	10	12	14	25	32	5.4
Explains	0	0	1	5	21	35	35	6.0
Communicates	0	0	1	9	21	30	36	5.9
Teaching	0	0	3	12	20	29	34	5.8
Workload	1	1	1	55	18	14	5	4.5
Difficulty	1	0	5	46	26	10	8	4.6
Learning Exp	2	0	5	36	27	13	13	4.8

The small number of students that commented said that Yu was a good instructor, however, needed to be more organized. He was also late at times.

## ECO 310Y1Y Industrial Organization and Public Policy

Instructor(s): D, Dimitropoulos

Enr: 26	Resp: 17			Re	etake: 80			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	6	12	81	6.8

Explains	0	0	0	5	5	23	64	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	23	64	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	6	0	37	56	6.4
Workload	0	0	5	82	5	5	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	64	29	5	0	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	0	28	7	21	42	5.8

This was a very interesting course with an excellent instructor. Dimitropoulos was an organized instructor who explained concepts clearly. Students wished there were more practice questions.

#### ECO 321Y1Y Canadian Economic History since 1500

Instructor(s): A. Rotstein

Enr: 21	Resp: 15 Retake: 92%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	6	26	26	33	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	20	40	40	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	40	40	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	6	20	20	53	6.2
Workload	0	0	13	53	20	13	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	6	60	33	0	0	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	8	16	58	16	5.8

Rotstein made this course a very interesting and informative course. He was an outstanding instructor and this course was highly recommended.

# ECO 324Y1Y Economic Development

Instructor(s): M. Hare

Enr: 26	Resp	: 17		R	etake: 93			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	11	5	11	29	41	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	5	11	35	47	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	23	70	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	5	5	23	64	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	35	17	35	11	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	11	52	17	17	0	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	16	41	41	6.2

## ECO 349H1S Money, Banking, and Financial Markets

Instructor(s): G. Georgopoulos

Enr: 79	Resp: 38 Retake: 76%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	7	5	36	31	18	5.5
Explains	0	2	2	10	36	28	18	5.4
Communicates	0	0	2	10	39	26	21	5.5
Teaching	0	0	5	7	26	42	18	5.6
Workload	0	2	11	55	13	16	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	2	5	58	16	16	0	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	0	37	29	20	12	5.1

#### ECO 365H1S International Monetary Economics

Instructor	(s):	M. Anjomshoa	
------------	------	--------------	--

Enr: 64	Resp: 43 Retake: 94%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	0	0	2	9	42	42	6.2
Explains	2	0	4	0	16	42	33	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	14	35	50	6.4
Teaching	0	0	2	0	21	38	38	6.1
Workload	0	4	9	73	9	2	0	4.0
Difficulty	2	4	4	67	16	4	0	4.0
Learning Exp	0	0	2	25	20	37	14	5.5

# ECO 349H1F Money, Banking, and Financial Markets

Instructor(s): G. Georgopoulos

Enr: 79	Resp: 47 Retake: 95%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	2	6	31	40	17	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	10	31	36	21	5.7
Communicates	0	0	2	10	25	38	23	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	8	25	42	23	5.8
Workload	2	0	4	50	34	6	2	4.4
Difficulty	2	0	2	63	21	8	2	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	5	28	17	40	8	5.2

Georgopoulos was a great instructor who was enthusiastic and knowledgeable. The course material was interesting and tests were fair.

#### ECO 358H1F Financial Economics I

Instructor(s): L. Sun

Enr: 59	Resp: 33 Retake: 86%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	6	12	30	30	18	5.3
Explains	0	6	6	15	24	30	18	5.2
Communicates	3	3	12	12	30	21	18	5.0
Teaching	0	3	0	15	30	33	18	5.5
Workload	0	0	6	72	15	0	6	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	9	57	18	9	6	4.5
Learning Exp	0	8	4	36	40	8	4	4.5

#### ECO 364 International Trade Theory

Instructor(s): F. Casas

Enr: 84	Resp: 59 Retake: 74%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	1	5	20	23	30	18	5.3
Explains	0	0	0	23	28	27	20	5.4
Communicates	0	0	8	22	30	27	11	5.1
Teaching	0	0	0	20	20	37	20	5.6
Workload	0	3	10	74	12	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	3	5	70	19	1	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	7	45	27	15	5	4.7

Instructor(s): E. Casas								
Enr: 86	Resp: 48			R	etake: 84			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	16	35	27	14	5.3
Explains	0	0	0	20	33	29	16	5.4
Communicates	0	0	14	20	27	27	10	5.0
Teaching	0	0	0	14	31	38	14	5.5
Workload	0	2	14	72	6	4	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	4	10	59	19	6	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	33	44	22	0	4.9

# ECO 365H1S International Monetary Economics

# Instructor(s): M. Ho

Enr: 48	Resp	35		R	etake: 85	5%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean					
Presents	0	3	6	6	9	40	34	5.8					
Explains	0	0	3	12	15	39	30	5.8					
Communicates	2	0	0	5	11	41	38	6.0					
Teaching	0	0	0	9	12	39	39	6.1					
Workload	0	0	6	66	15	9	0	4.4					
Difficulty	0	0	9	46	37	6	0	4.4					
Learning Exp	0	0	4	29	20	33	12	5.2					

# ECO 375H1F Applied Econometrics

Instructor(s): D. Benjamin

Enr: 30	Resp:	18		R	etake: 68	8%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean					
Presents	0	0	0	5	5	33	55	6.4					
Explains	0	0	0	5	22	33	38	6.1					
Communicates	0	0	0	11	11	38	38	6.1					
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	55	33	6.2					
Workload	0	0	0	17	17	29	35	5.8					
Difficulty	0	0	0	5	17	41	35	6.1					
Learning Exp	0	0	0	14	28	28	28	5.7					

Benjamin was an organized instructor.

# ECO 381H1F Managerial Economics II: Personnel Economics

# Instructor(s): J. Kantarevic

Enr: 43	Resp: 22 Retake: 83%							<u>Mean</u> 6.1 6.0 5.9 6.0 4.1 4.2			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean			
Presents	0	0	0	4	9	57	28	6.1			
Explains	0	0	0	14	0	61	23	6.0			
Communicates	0	0	0	9	19	47	23	5.9			
Teaching	0	0	4	4	14	33	42	6.0			
Workload	0	0	25	55	10	10	0	4.1			
Difficulty	0	5	15	50	20	0	10	4.2			
Learning Exp	0	0	0	23	35	35	5	5.2			

#### ECO 429Y1Y History of Economic Thought

Instructor(s): K. Furlon	g									
Enr: 16	Res	o: 7		R	etake: 10	ke: 100%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	0	28	42	28	6.0		
Explains	0	0	0	14	14	42	28	5.9		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	71	28	6.3		
Teaching	0	0	0	0	28	57	28	5.9		
Workload	0	0	0	28	42	28	0	5.0		
Difficulty	0	0	0	57	42	0	0	4.4		
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	66	0	33	5.7		

This was a very interesting course with a very knowledgeable instructor who explained the course material well.

# **ECOLOGY & EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY**

# BIO 120H1F Adaptation and Biodiversity

Instructor(s): L. Duquette

Enr: 83	Resp:	54		R	etake: 75	%		<u>Mean</u> 6.0 6.1				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean				
Presents	0	0	1	3	18	42	33	6.0				
Explains	0	1	0	1	22	33	40	6.1				
Communicates	0	0	0	1	11	37	49	6.3				
Teaching	0	0	0	3	11	53	53	6.1				
Workload	5	3	5	62	11	5	5	4.1				
Difficulty	1	5	9	51	20	9	1	4.2				
Learning Exp	0	0	2	22	29	29	15	5.3				

The students that commented found Duquette a great instructor who was enthusiastic. Her lectures were interesting and clear. She was also very helpful and approachable. Overall, an enjoyable course.

#### EEB 409H1Y Field Ornithology

Instructor(s): A. Mills

Enr: 18	Resp:	17		R	etake: 10	0%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	29	70	6.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	29	70	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	5	94	6.9
Workload	0	0	11	64	11	11	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	17	70	11	0	0	3.9
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0

This was one amazing course. Every student found this course enjoyable. Mills was an outstanding instructor who was knowledgeable, extremely enthusiastic, and very helpful. All students appreciated the rotating system of the experiment. This way, everybody was able to experience and collect data for all projects that were available. This was much more interesting and a better learning experience. The TAs were also both amazing, knowledgeable and helpful. Overall, students learned a lot about birds in the short 2 weeks and had an amazing experience.

# ENGLISH

# ENG 100H1Y Effective Writing

Instructor(s): J. Carter

Enr: 29	Resp	: 11		R	etake: 72	2%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	9	9	9	0	27	45	5.6
Explains	0	9	0	18	9	18	45	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	18	0	18	63	6.3
Teaching	0	9	0	18	9	9	54	5.7
Workload	0	0	0	36	45	18	0	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	9	45	18	18	9	4.7
Learning Exp	12	0	0	25	12	12	37	5.1

# ENG 100H1F Effective Writing

#### Instructor(s): P. Loosemore

Enr: 40	Resp:	: 30		R	etake: 96	5%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	3	35	60	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	6	20	72	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	24	68	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	3	13	83	6.8
Workload	3	0	10	50	23	10	3	4.3
Difficulty	3	0	6	53	30	3	3	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	16	11	44	27	5.8

Loosemore was well-liked by his students. They said he was an enthusiastic, and organized instructor. He explained concepts clearly and was very approachable.

#### ENG 213H1F The Short Story

Instructor(s): A. Lesk

Enr: 102	Resp:	66		F	Retake: 81	%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	1	0	10	26	46	15	5.6
Explains	0	0	1	7	27	41	21	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	6	12	44	36	6.1
Teaching	0	0	1	9	17	42	29	5.9
Workload	0	3	11	75	8	1	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	1	8	70	14	4	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	1	7	32	37	12	8	4.8

Lesk was overall appreciated to be an effective instructor who was helpful with informative and eye-opening lectures. The course material was diverse and interesting. Students enjoyed lectures.

## ENG 214H1F The Short-Story Collection

Instructor(s): D. Flynn

Enr: 81	Resp	: 51	Retake: 86%					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	11	50	31	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	3	11	49	35	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	1	21	31	45	6.2

Teaching	0	0	0	3	7	47	41	6.3
Workload	0	0	4	59	28	8	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	4	71	16	8	0	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	23	34	34	7	5.3

Students found the course material informative and interesting. Flynn was said to have been a good instructor. She explained concepts clearly. She gave though-provoking lectures and was approachable and encouraging.

#### ENG 235H1S The Graphic Novel

Instructor(s): A. Lesk

Enr: 110	Resp	: 56		R	etake: 79	9%		<u>Mean</u> 5.5 5.8 6.2				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean				
Presents	0	1	3	14	24	29	25	5.5				
Explains	0	0	1	12	22	27	35	5.8				
Communicates	0	0	1	1	16	31	48	6.2				
Teaching	0	0	1	12	18	38	27	5.8				
Workload	1	3	12	70	11	0	0	3.9				
Difficulty	0	33	3	72	16	1	1	4.1				
Learning Exp	0	0	10	21	32	19	15	5.1				

Lesk was an enthusiastic and approachable instructor who made the course very easy going. The books assigned were very interesting as well. Overall, students enjoyed this course.

#### ENG 237H1F Science Fiction

Instructor(s): M. Johnstone

Enr: 95	Resp: 47 Retake: 93%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	13	17	36	32	5.9
Explains	2	0	0	4	15	43	34	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	2	19	30	47	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	2	17	43	36	6.2
Workload	0	0	6	77	8	6	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	2	2	73	19	2	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	25	25	31	18	5.4

Students enjoyed having Johnstone as their instructor. He presented lectures in an enjoyable and interesting manner. Johnstone was an enthusiastic and approachable instructor.

#### ENG 323H1S Austen and Her Contemporaries

Instructor(s): M. Johnstone

Enr: 48	Resp: 24 Retake: 69%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	4	13	47	34	6.1	
Explains	0	0	0	4	13	39	43	6.2	
Communicates	0	0	0	4	17	39	39	6.1	
Teaching	0	0	0	4	21	47	26	4.8	
Workload	0	0	0	47	26	21	4	4.8	
Difficulty	0	0	0	52	34	8	4	4.7	
Learning Exp	0	0	5	41	29	11	11	4.8	

Johnstone was a very approachable instructor who was well-organized and enthusiastic.

## ENG 325H1F Victorian Realist Novels

Instructor(s): H. de Groot								
Enr: 42	Resp	: 25		R	etake: 36	%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	8	32	28	20	8	0	3.8
Explains	0	12	20	32	24	8	4	4.1
Communicates	0	0	4	36	28	20	12	5.0
Teaching	4	4	12	32	32	12	4	4.4
Workload	0	0	0	32	36	20	12	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	4	52	28	12	4	4.6
Learning Exp	4	9	0	61	14	4	4	4.0

Students though that this was not a very well organized course. A few students mentioned that it was hard to hear him. Some also commented that classes always ended too early.

#### ENG 329H1F Contemporary British Fiction

Instructor(s): G. Fenwick

Enr: 46	Resp: 32 Retake: 93%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	3	9	51	35	6.2	
Explains	0	0	3	3	20	36	36	6.0	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	32	61	6.5	
Teaching	0	0	0	3	6	32	58	6.5	
Workload	0	0	3	70	16	9	0	4.3	
Difficulty	0	0	6	77	12	3	0	4.1	
Learning Exp	0	3	0	15	34	26	19	5.4	

Students thought this was a great course. Fenwick was an excellent instructor who was enthusiastic, positive, and motivating. She had a very effective way of teaching and was good at leading discussions. Her classes were engaging and interesting. She was also very helpful. Overall, this was a great learning experience and students highly recommended it.

## ENG 349H1F Contemporary Poetry

Instructor(s): M. Boughn

Enr: 43	Resp: 26 Retake: 95%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	40	20	30	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	4	12	44	40	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	3	3	3	88	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	4	4	24	68	6.6
Workload	0	0	12	76	8	4	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	58	29	12	0	4.5
Learning Exp	0	0	4	19	19	19	38	5.7

This was a great class that was taught by an excellent instructor. He was very knowledgeable and he made the classes interesting and enjoyable. He also led good discussions. Some students commented that Boughn was the best instructor they have had at the University of Toronto.

#### ENG 364Y1Y Twentieth-Century American Literature

Instructor(s): S. Rayter								
Enr: 50	Resp: 29			F	Retake: 92			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	6	107	37	31	5.8
Explains	0	0	3	3	17	35	39	6.0
Communicates	0	0	3	3	13	24	55	6.2
Teaching	0	0	3	3	6	37	48	6.2
Workload	0	0	3	89	7	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	96	3	0	0	4.0
Learning Exp	0	0	4	13	21	34	26	5.7

Rayter was an excellent instructor who was enthusiastic and approachable. He was engaging and was good at leading discussions.

#### ENG 380H1F History of Literary Theory

Instructor(s): S. Marentette

Enr: 45	Resp: 27 Retake: 74%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	15	23	42	15	5.5
Explains	0	0	3	14	11	37	33	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	40	51	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	3	33	37	25	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	44	25	25	3	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	18	44	33	3	5.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	33	28	28	9	5.1

Marentette was an extremely enthusiastic instructor who was very passionate and knowledgeable. Although the course load and readings were very heavy and the classes seemed rushed at times, the course itself was engaging with good examples and videos. He was also good at bringing modern ideas into the course. Some students wished he had given more detailed essay questions.

#### ENG 448H1F Advanced Studies Seminar: British Literature to the 19th Century (Jane Austen)

Instructor(s): G. Fenwick

Enr: 22	Resp: 20 Retake: 100%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	30	65	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	15	85	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	15	84	6.8
Workload	0	0	0	76	17	5	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	64	23	11	0	4.5
Learning Exp	0	0	0	7	0	38	53	6.4

Students enjoyed this excellent course. Fenwick was a great instructor who was encouraging. She also lead good discussions. Overall a great experience.

# ENG 458H1S Advanced Studies Seminar: Literature Since the 18th Century (Joyce's Ulysses)

Instructor(s): H. Auster

Enr: 19	Resp: 10 Retake: 20%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	30	40	20	10	0	4.1
Explains	0	10	0	40	30	10	10	4.6
Communicates	10	0	20	50	10	10	0	3.8
Teaching	10	0	20	50	10	10	0	3.8
Workload	0	0	11	77	11	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	11	33	44	11	5.6
Learning Exp	12	0	25	50	0	0	12	3.8

# FORESTRY

## FOR 200H1S Conservation of Canada's Forests

Instructor(s): M. Nejad: A. Martin

Enr: 42	Resp: 22 Retake: 69%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
<u>Nejad:</u>								
Presents	0	0	4	14	19	52	9	5.5
Explains	0	0	4	9	47	28	9	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	22	22	31	22	5.5
Teaching	0	0	4	14	14	52	14	5.6
Martin:								
Presents	0	0	0	0	18	50	31	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	27	45	27	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	9	54	36	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	14	52	33	6.2
Course:								
Workload	4	0	13	68	9	4	0	3.9
Difficulty	4	4	9	68	9	4	0	3.9
Learning Exp	0	0	5	16	27	44	5	5.3

Students found Nejad difficult to understand at times. Martin was a very approachable and helpful instructor. Students enjoyed the field trip very much.

# FRENCH

#### FRE 240H1F Introduction to Literary Analysis

Instructor(s): S. Sacre

Enr: 39	Resp: 29 Retake: 478%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	13	44	31	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	10	27	34	27	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	27	27	44	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	7	10	46	35	6.1
Workload	0	0	7	25	42	17	7	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	7	25	28	17	21	5.2
Learning Exp	0	0	7	46	15	30	0	4.7

Sacre was an enthusiastic and patient instructor who was understanding. However, this course was too advanced for a course that only had a prerequisite of FSL 221. Students could not keep up with the course. More feedback on the

assignment would have been appreciated.

#### FSL 221Y1Y French Language II

Instructor(a): M. Dillet

<u>/lean</u>
6.5
6.3
6.5
6.5
4.2
4.4
5.2
<u>/</u>

Pillet was a fantastic instructor who was enthusiastic, knowledgeable, and helpful. She made this course very enjoyable. She also graded very quickly.

Instructor(s): C. Denoyelle

Enr: 45	Resp	: 31		F	Retake: 82	.%		<u>Mean</u> 5.4 5.5				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean				
Presents	0	3	0	10	36	36	13	5.4				
Explains	0	0	3	6	43	33	13	5.5				
Communicates	0	3	6	23	26	23	16	5.1				
Teaching	0	0	0	3	23	63	10	5.8				
Workload	0	0	6	72	13	3	3	4.2				
Difficulty	0	0	6	65	20	3	3	4.3				
Learning Exp	0	4	4	30	43	13	4	4.7				

Denoyelle was a great instructor who cared about each student and was very helpful.

# GEOGRAPHY

# GGR 100H1F Introduction to Physical Geography

Instructor(s): J. Weaver

Enr: 89	Resp	57		R	etake: 64	%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	5	38	34	15	5.5
Explains	0	0	4	12	28	44	12	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	4	22	48	26	6.0
Teaching	0	1	1	11	23	33	27	5.7
Workload	0	0	0	48	18	22	11	5.0
Difficulty	0	1	5	30	28	30	3	4.9
Learning Exp	0	2	4	40	21	23	7	4.8

According to students, Weaver spoke very fast and also rushed through the course material. There was a lot to cover in a short period of time. Some students also suggested that there should have been more detailed explanations about the labs. On the other hand, some students enjoyed the course and assignments.

#### GGR 107H1S Environment, Food, and People

Instructor(s): C. Levkoe								
Enr: 138	Resp	: 58		R	etake: 72			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	10	28	32	26	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	14	25	35	25	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	7	14	33	44	6.1
Teaching	0	0	1	5	18	45	29	5.9
Workload	0	3	9	63	16	5	1	4.2
Difficulty	0	3	12	67	12	1	1	4.0
Learning Exp	0	0	6	32	26	19	15	5.0

Students commented that Levkoe was a knowledgeable and enthusiastic instructor, an effective lecturer, and attentive to his students' needs. Students found the course to be interesting and informative but some students expressed concern that the textbook overlapped with the lectures, but was less interesting.

## GGR 222H1F Interdisciplinary Perspectives on the Environment

Instructor(s): T. Young

Enr: 50	Resp:	34		R	etake: 82	.%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	11	5	47	35	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	8	14	35	41	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	3	12	37	46	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	2	17	23	55	6.3
Workload	0	0	6	51	24	15	3	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	6	50	24	9	0	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	0	21	25	35	17	5.5

Young was praised for being a "great" instructor who was understanding, friendly, well-spoken and helpful.

#### GGR 240H1F Historical Geography of North America

Instructor(s): M. Farish								
Enr: 35	Resp: 22			R	etake: 85			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	4	9	31	50	6.2
Explains	0	0	4	0	9	36	50	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	13	40	45	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	9	9	45	36	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	68	22	9	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	81	18	0	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	5	21	21	31	21	5.4

Overall, students enjoyed this 3-week intensive course. Farish was a very good instructor who was organized, informative and enthusiastic.

#### GGR 254H1F Geography USA

Instructor(s): D. Roberts

Enr: 54	Resp	22		Retake: 70%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	19	38	28	9	5.2
Explains	0	0	4	4	19	47	23	5.8

Communicates	0	0	9	9	38	28	14	5.3
Teaching	0	0	4	0	33	47	14	5.7
Workload	0	0	4	71	14	9	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	4	57	23	9	4	4.5
Learning Exp	0	5	0	33	38	16	5	4.8

#### GGR 327H1F Geography and Gender

#### Instructor(s): J.P. Catungal

Enr: 36	Resp:	26		R	Retake: 95	5%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	11	46	42	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	7	42	50	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	3	3	42	50	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	7	42	50	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	57	23	15	3	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	3	51	19	19	3	4.7
Learning Exp	0	0	0	13	30	17	39	5.8

Catungal was an amazing instructor who was enthusiastic, engaging and knowledgeable. He made the course material very interesting using good readings and videos. He was also very approachable and always available for extra help outside the classroom. He explained course concepts clearly. Overall, it was a great learning experience and students learned a lot.

# GGR 334H1F Water Resource Management

Instructor(s): R. Verma

Enr: 80	Resp:	48		Retake: 93%					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	2	12	29	56	6.4	
Explains	0	0	0	2	12	27	57	6.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	14	72	6.6	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	12	25	62	6.5	
Workload	0	0	6	72	12	8	0	4.2	
Difficulty	0	0	4	80	12	0	2	4.1	
Learning Exp	0	0	0	15	27	33	24	5.7	

Verma was a great instructor who was very enthusiastic and passionate about the course. Her lectures were informative and students enjoyed her class. A very good learning experience overall.

#### GGR 339H1F Urban Geography, Planning and Political Processes

Instructor(s): A. Chum

Enr: 18	Resp:	15		R	Retake: 10			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	21	42	28	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	20	33	46	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	33	60	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	6	46	46	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	42	35	21	0	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	42	28	28	0	4.9
Learning Exp	0	0	0	11	11	33	44	6.1

Students thought the course was informative and a great experience. Chum was said to be engaging, helpful and caring.

#### GGR 356H1F Recreation and Tourism

Instructor(s): L. Sportza								
Enr: 78	Resp	36		R				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	8	33	41	11	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	5	40	40	14	5.6
Communicates	0	2	8	8	40	37	2	5.1
Teaching	0	0	0	5	35	50	8	5.6
Workload	0	0	5	88	5	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	5	13	77	2	0	0	3.8
Learning Exp	0	0	3	50	21	15	9	4.8

This was a good course overall, Sportza was a knowledgeable instructor. However, some students found Sportza lacked enthusiasm when teaching the course. Also, the use of slides would have been more helpful and easier than the overhead.

# GGR 360H1F Culture, History, and Landscape

Instructor(s): V. Mathews

Enr: 32	Resp	25		R	etake: 72			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	12	16	48	24	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	4	32	36	28	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	36	52	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	4	24	28	44	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	80	16	4	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	88	4	8	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	32	22	22	16	5.2

Students enjoyed the class discussions and thought lectures were engaging and easy to follow. They appreciated Mathews' passions and enthusiasm,

# GEOLOGY

# GLG 110H1F Introductory Geology

Instructor(s): C. Bank

Enr: 28	Resp	: 13		Retake: 69%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	47	23	53	15	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	15	23	7	53	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	15	23	61	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	7	15	15	61	6.3
Workload	0	7	7	46	7	7	23	4.7
Difficulty	0	7	7	69	0	0	15	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	20	30	50	0	5.3

Bank was a good instructor who used great experiments and demonstrations. However, the workload for this 3-week intensive course was very high.

#### GLG 110H1S Introductory Geology

Instructor(s): C. Bank									
Enr: 122	Resp: 54			R	etake: 55				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	11	11	22	33	20	5.4	
Explains	0	1	7	11	24	35	20	5.4	
Communicates	0	3	1	3	31	31	27	5.7	
Teaching	0	0	1	7	24	38	27	5.8	
Workload	0	7	11	59	14	7	0	4.0	
Difficulty	1	7	9	61	11	7	1	4.0	
Learning Exp	0	2	4	48	27	11	4	4.6	

Students particularly enjoyed the experiments at the beginning of Bank's lectures, and preferred this demonstrative approach to the lecture slides. However, some students felt he spoke too quietly. Most students felt the tutorials were not helpful at all compared to the lectures. They were described as "useless" and "of little value."

# GLG 205H1F Confronting Global Change

Instructor(s): L. Tutty

Enr: 110	Resp:	R	Retake: 65%					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	15	13	38	30	5.8
Explains	0	1	0	9	23	42	23	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	3	21	36	38	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	5	17	40	36	6.1
Workload	0	1	5	69	23	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	1	7	57	23	5	3	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	5	32	32	25	5	4.9

This was a very interesting course with a great enthusiastic instructor. The things that students liked about the course was the co-operative midterm, which was very effective because students got to discuss each question with their peers and gain a higher mark. They also appreciated the lecture notes being available before class, although it would have been better if it was powerpoint form and not a PDF file. The field trip had mixed reviews. Some found it fun and useful while others found it unhelpful and unorganized. They suggested providing transportation as well. Students did not like the textbook. The poster project was interesting, but it was expensive and the process was not explained to them clearly.

#### GLG 340H1F Field Course: White Fish Falls Mountain Island

Instructor(s): U. Wortmann

Enr: 7	Resp:	15		R	etake: 66			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	6	13	53	20	5.7
Explains	0	0	6	6	33	40	13	5.5
Communicates	0	0	6	13	6	53	20	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	6	13	60	20	5.9
Workload	0	7	0	23	23	30	15	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	75	16	8	0	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	18	54	27	6.1

# GERMAN

## GER 100Y1Y Introduction to German I

Instructor(s): M. Hepburn

Enr: 22	Resp:	Resp: 18 Retake: 94%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	23	41	35	6.1	
Explains	0	0	0	5	17	47	29	6.0	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	41	52	6.5	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	52	41	6.4	
Workload	0	5	0	64	29	0	0	4.2	
Difficulty	0	5	0	6	23	5	0	4.2	
Learning Exp	0	0	0	18	31	37	12	5.4	

Students described Hepburn as very enthusiastic and particularly appreciated her willingness to help and her availability via email and office hours. Students also commented on her passion for the subject.

#### **GER 200Y1Y** Introduction to German II

Instructor(s): S. Gargova

Enr: 14	Resp	: 12		R	etake: 10			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	16	66	8	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	8	0	58	33	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	75	25	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	8	33	58	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	45	36	18	0	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	45	36	18	0	4.7
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	27	36	36	6.1

Gargova was an excellent instructor who explained concepts clearly.

# HISTORY

#### HIS 103Y1Y Statecraft and Strategy: An Introduction to the History of International Relations

Instructor(s): V. Dimitriadis

Enr: 79	Resp	55		F	Retake: 82%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	5	10	23	60	6.4	
Explains	0	0	0	0	47	29	63	6.6	
Communicates	0	0	0	1	1	16	79	6.7	
Teaching	0	0	0	1	1	25	70	6.7	
Workload	0	0	3	56	18	20	1	4.6	
Difficulty	0	0	7	61	20	7	3	4.4	
Learning Exp	0	2	0	11	18	16	51	6.0	

Without exception, students praised Dimitriadis as an interesting and effective teacher. He was called "awesome and among the best instructors. A few students were critical of the workload, describing it as excessive for a 100-level course, and others questioned the value of the tutorials.

#### HIS 243H1F Early Modern Europe, 1450-1648

Instructor(s): A. Cantor									
Enr: 75	Resp: 42			R	etake: 75				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	17	46	29	7	5.3	
Explains	0	0	0	4	39	36	19	5.7	
Communicates	0	0	0	2	16	57	23	6.0	
Teaching	0	0	0	2	41	41	14	5.7	
Workload	0	0	2	67	27	2	0	4.3	
Difficulty	0	0	2	65	27	5	0	4.3	
Learning Exp	0	0	0	46	42	10	0	4.6	

Cantar was an extremely enthusiastic and knowledgeable instructor. He was very passionate about the course material. Some students found his classes a bit unorganized. Overall, a great course.

#### HIS 263Y1Y Introduction to Canadian History

Instructor(s): D. McKim

Enr: 60	Resp:	Resp: 37 Retake: 96%						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	16	37	45	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	2	10	48	37	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	24	70	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	41	52	6.5
Workload	0	0	11	54	22	8	2	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	69	19	11	0	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	0	10	32	28	28	5.8

McKim's lectures were described as interesting and well organized. Students praised his enthusiasm, and described his ability to engage students with the material.

#### HIS 271Y1Y American History Since 1607

Instructor(s): E. Black

Enr: 58	Resp:	34		R	Retake: 93%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	3	6	27	63	6.5	
Explains	0	0	0	0	12	25	62	6.5	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	21	75	6.7	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	6	21	71	6.7	
Workload	0	3	3	69	12	9	3	4.3	
Difficulty	0	3	6	65	21	0	3	4.2	
Learning Exp	0	0	3	7	23	19	46	6.0	

Black did an amazing job teaching this course. Although the course material was dense and a lot of material was covered, her lectures were very well organized and her classes were easy to follow. She was enthusiastic and engaging, making good use of media in her lectures. Her lectures were extremely detailed. Overall, an excellent course with a knowledgeable instructor.

## HIS 280Y1Y History of China

Instructor(s): S. Relyea								
Enr: 43	Resp	: 23		R				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	13	0	21	21	26	17	0	4.0
Explains	0	13	18	22	22	13	9	4.3
Communicates	0	0	0	21	43	21	13	5.3
Teaching	4	4	13	26	30	21	0	4.4
Workload	0	4	0	30	13	39	13	5.2
Difficulty	0	9	0	36	27	4	22	4.9
Learning Exp	0	5	5	47	35	0	5	4.4

This course had a heavy reading load and students fount it hard to keep up every week. The lectured were also disorganized and hard to follow.

#### HIS 311Y1Y Introduction to Canadian International Relations

Instructor(s): C. Pennington

Enr: 54	Resp:	31		R	etake: 70			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	3	41	54	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	3	0	45	51	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	12	87	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	6	6	41	45	6.3
Workload	0	0	6	74	16	3	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	3	70	25	0	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	4	13	30	34	17	5.5

Pennington was a very enthusiastic instructor who made an otherwise dry course material very interesting and fun. Students enjoyed this course very much. Few students commented that feedback/comments on assignment would have been helpful. Overall, a great course with an excellent instructor.

#### HIS 312H1S Immigration to Canada

Instructor(s): N. Smith

Enr: 47	Resp:							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	8	4	45	37	4	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	12	29	37	20	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	25	25	33	16	5.4
Teaching	0	0	0	8	29	41	20	5.8
Workload	0	0	4	75	16	4	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	4	75	20	0	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	50	27	22	0	4.7

Overall this was a good course.

## HIS 316H1S History of Advertising

Instructor(s): P. Rutherford

Enr: 89	Resp: 56				etake: 93			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	5	21	25	45	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	3	9	31	55	6.4

Communicates	0	0	0	5	7	14	72	6.5	
Teaching	0	0	1	3	10	21	62	6.4	
Workload	0	0	10	69	12	5	1	4.2	
Difficulty	0	0	3	67	23	3	1	4.3	
Learning Exp	2	0	2	11	36	25	22	5.5	

Students used the word 'incredible' to describe Rutherford. Many commented that this was the best class they have taken. He was enthusiastic, engaging, knowledgeable and organized. He made each class interesting. This course was highly recommended by many students.

#### HIS 330H1F Germany from Fredrick the Great to the First World War

Instructor(s): J. Retallack

Enr: 50	Resp:	29		0%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	17	31	51	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	13	31	55	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	31	62	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	3	35	60	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	62	31	3	3	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	75	13	6	3	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	0	20	12	41	25	5.7

Retallack was an outstanding instructor who was extremely enthusiastic. He was very passionate and informative about the course material. He was very approachable and understanding. Overall, a great course with a great instructor.

### HIS 385H1S The History of Hong Kong

Instructor(s): C. Lim

Enr: 93	Resp	67		R	etake: 85	5%		<u>Mean</u> 6.2 6.2					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean					
Presents	0	0	3	3	13	30	50	6.2					
Explains	0	0	1	1	15	43	37	6.2					
Communicates	0	0	1	1	15	48	33	6.1					
Teaching	0	0	1	6	13	36	42	6.1					
Workload	0	1	2	65	20	5	2	4.4					
Difficulty	0	1	8	68	17	1	1	4.1					
Learning Exp	0	0	4	17	32	28	17	5.4					

Lim was a very well organized instructor who was enthusiastic and knowledgeable. He explained course material very clearly, so class was very easy to follow. Some students found the readings too long. Overall, a good instructor.

#### HIS 389H1F Topics in History: Black Masculinities in the Postwar Atlantic

Instructor(s): H. Seife										
Enr: 38	Resp:	24		R	etake: 72					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	4	17	47	13	17	5.2		
Explains	0	0	0	4	34	34	26	5.8		
Communicates	0	0	0	4	4	47	43	6.3		
Teaching	0	0	0	4	17	52	26	6.0		
Workload	0	0	8	56	21	8	4	4.4		
Difficulty	4	0	0	58	25	8	4	4.4		
Learning Exp	0	0	0	26	40	20	13	5.2		

Seife was an excellent instructor who was enthusiastic and very knowledgeable. Her lectures were interesting and had good discussions. One concern was that there was a heavy reading load. Overall, an enjoyable course.

#### HIS 440H1F Maps and History

Instructor(s): J. Retallack

Enr: 12	Resp	: 11		R	etake: 88			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	9	18	18	45	9	5.3
Explains	0	0	9	0	36	18	36	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	36	63	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	9	27	63	6.5
Workload	0	0	9	81	8	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	60	40	0	0	0	3.4
Learning Exp	0	0	12	12	12	37	25	5.5

This class had good discussions. The two text books used in this class were also interesting, but students would have preferred having more readings.

## JHE 355H1S History of Evolutionary Biology II

Instructor(s): E. Louson

Enr: 29	Resp:	23		F	Retake: 95	5%		Mean 6.3 6.3 6.6 6.5 4 3			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean			
Presents	0	0	0	0	18	31	50	6.3			
Explains	0	0	0	4	4	43	47	6.3			
Communicates	0	0	0	0	4	31	63	6.6			
Teaching	0	0	0	0	9	31	59	6.5			
Workload	0	4	9	45	31	9	0	4.3			
Difficulty	0	9	13	59	13	0	4	4.0			
Learning Exp	0	0	0	11	35	23	29	5.7			

This was a great course and Louson was a great, enthusiastic instructor. She explained concepts clearly and her lectures were well organized. Overall, an enjoyable class.

## HUMAN BIOLOGY

#### HMB 265H1F General and Human Genetics

Instructor(s): M. Papaconstantinou

Enr: 154	Resp:	93		R	etake: 69	9%		<u>Mean</u> 5.9 5.9 5.9				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean				
Presents	0	0	1	7	20	45	25	5.9				
Explains	0	0	1	7	19	46	25	5.9				
Communicates	0	0	0	8	16	48	26	5.9				
Teaching	0	0	0	6	18	54	20	5.9				
Workload	0	0	2	63	26	7	0	4.4				
Difficulty	1	1	3	52	27	12	1	4.5				
Learning Exp	0	0	1	40	38	14	5	4.8				

Papaconstantinou was an enthusiastic, nice, and approachable instructor. She explained the course material clearly and effectively, but some students found that sometimes the lectures were rushed. But she really cared about the students. Some students commented that more time should have been given for the midterm.

# HISTORY & PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

## HPS 201H1F Origins of Western Technology

## Instructor(s): A. Gross

Enr: 122	Resp:	61		F	Retake: 61	%		<u>Mean</u> 5.9 6.3 6.1 4.7			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean			
Presents	3	0	1	3	14	44	32	5.9			
Explains	0	3	3	0	18	40	34	5.9			
Communicates	0	1	1	1	8	29	57	6.3			
Teaching	0	1	1	3	11	37	44	6.1			
Workload	1	0	6	46	20	18	6	4.7			
Difficulty	1	0	8	55	22	11	0	4.3			
Learning Exp	2	0	2	19	30	30	14	5.3			

Gross was a well organized instructor who had a great approach to the material. He was very enthusiastic, approachable, and engaging. Some students suggested that this become a full-year course because there was so much material to cover. Some of the concerns they had were the guest lectures they had. There were too many of them which resulted in the lack of consistency in the course. Overall, this was a great learning experience.

## **INNIS COLLEGE**

## INI 161Y1Y Introduction to Film Study

Instructor(s): C. Babey

Enr: 36	Resp	: 17		F	Retake: 50	)%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean					
Presents	0	5	17	29	17	11	17	4.6					
Explains	0	11	0	5	23	47	11	5.3					
Communicates	0	6	0	6	25	25	37	5.8					
Teaching	5	0	0	17	41	17	17	5.1					
Workload	0	0	0	31	31	25	12	5.2					
Difficulty	0	0	5	29	41	0	23	5.1					
Learning Exp	0	0	15	30	23	30	0	4.7					

#### INI 227H1F Science Fiction Film

Instructor(s): B. Testa

Enr: 35	Resp:	16		F	Retake: 10	0%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	18	18	43	12	5.4
Explains	0	0	6	6	12	50	25	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	18	18	62	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	25	50	25	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	56	37	6	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	6	75	18	0	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	6	13	53	26	6.0

The only suggestions students had for this course was to replace the big exam with everyday quizzes, and better time management. Testa was a great instructor who was enthusiastic and knowledgeable. Overall, the course was interesting and informative.

# LINGUISTICS

Instructor(s): C. Narayan

## LIN 100Y1Y Introduction to General Linguistics

Enr: 59	Resp	: 33		R	etake: 86	5%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	15	36	45	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	3	12	42	42	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	33	54	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	3	12	36	48	6.3
Workload	3	3	0	65	21	6	0	4.2
Difficulty	3	0	6	62	21	6	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	12	25	29	33	5.8

Students appreciated Narayan's enthusiasm in lectures. He was noted by many students as knowledgeable and a genuine joy to be taught by. However several students expressed that there should have been more material presented in lecture in preparation for the midterm.

## LIN 203H1F English Words

Instructor(s): M. Irimia

Enr: 130	Resp:	: 39		R				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	2	7	28	33	25	5.6
Explains	0	2	0	20	23	30	23	5.5
Communicates	0	0	2	10	31	31	23	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	10	23	36	28	5.8
Workload	0	0	5	59	24	10	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	2	8	61	19	8	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	9	0	27	36	18	9	4.8

A considerable portion of students expressed their sincere enjoyment of the lectures and course material. However, several students expressed that the course work was a challenge.

## JLP 315H1S Language Acquisition

Instructor(s): V. Dronjic

Enr: 43	Resp:	25		R	Retake: 72	%		<u>Mean</u> 5.9 6.0					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean					
Presents	0	0	0	8	25	37	29	5.9					
Explains	0	0	4	8	16	29	41	6.0					
Communicates	0	0	4	4	8	45	37	6.1					
Teaching	0	0	0	12	20	50	16	5.7					
Workload	0	0	4	80	8	8	0	4.2					
Difficulty	0	0	0	58	37	4	0	4.5					
Learning Exp	0	0	0	31	36	13	18	5.2					

Students considered Dronjic to be a kind, friendly, and approachable instructor. For the most part they praised his efforts to encourage participation, but some felt this led to discussions irrelevant to the lecture topic.

Most students liked the course, but students from a linguistics background found it redundant, and those who had never taken a linguistic course found it difficult.

# MATHEMATICS

## MAT 133Y1Y Calculus and Linear Algebra for Commerce

Instructor(s): P. Kergin									
Enr: 39	Resp: 7 Retake				etake: 16	e: 16%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	66	16	16	5.5	
Explains	0	0	0	14	42	28	14	5.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	57	42	0	0	4.4	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	42	42	16	5.7	
Workload	0	0	0	85	14	0	0	4.1	
Difficulty	0	0	0	83	16	0	0	4.2	
Learning Exp	0	0	0	80	20	0	0	4.2	

Students found Kergin to be a knowledgeable and informative instructor. A few students commented that lectures were sometimes unclear and a bit monotonous.

### MAT 135Y1Y Calculus I

Instructor(s): B. Pigott

Enr: 90	Resp:	44		R	etake: 42	2%		<u>Mean</u> 6.5 6.4				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean				
Presents	0	0	0	2	6	25	65	6.5				
Explains	0	0	0	0	13	30	55	6.4				
Communicates	0	0	2	0	16	44	37	6.1				
Teaching	0	0	0	2	2	44	51	6.4				
Workload	0	0	4	35	39	13	6	4.8				
Difficulty	0	0	6	30	39	16	6	4.9				
Learning Exp	3	0	3	28	43	15	6	4.8				

Pigott was appreciated by students as an attentive instructor who took the time to explain concepts clearly to his students.

Enr: 81	Resp	30		F	Retake: 42	2%		<u>Mean</u> 5.3					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean					
Presents	0	0	36	13	33	36	10	5.3					
Explains	0	0	6	13	26	41	10	5.4					
Communicates	0	0	0	6	30	36	26	5.8					
Teaching	0	0	0	3	30	36	30	5.9					
Workload	0	0	3	41	31	20	3	4.8					
Difficulty	0	0	6	20	34	31	6	5.1					
Learning Exp	3	3	0	53	21	10	7	4.5					

All students described Dansco as very kind and approachable, and "always smiling". The only criticism was that some students would have been preferred her to completely work out example problems rather assigning them as homework.

#### MAT 137Y1Y Calculus

Instructor(s): Z. Dansco

Instructor(s): J. Uren

Enr: 95	Resp	: 40		R	etake: 71			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	12	30	57	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	17	33	48	6.3

Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	7	89	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	37	57	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	30	25	35	10	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	20	35	27	17	5.4
Learning Exp	3	0	0	12	16	41	25	5.7

The overwhelming majority of students considered Uren to be an exemplary instructor. They praised his humour, passion, explanatory lecture style, and approachability. Some students felt less time should have been spent on earlier material because later material "felt rushed."

#### MAT 223H1Y Linear Algebra I

Instructor(s): N. Francetic

Enr: 75	Resp	22		F	Retake: 47	%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	13	36	50	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	27	31	40	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	27	22	50	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	27	40	31	6.0
Workload	0	0	14	42	23	14	4	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	10	45	25	10	10	4.7
Learning Exp	0	0	5	27	27	22	16	5.2

Students described Francetic as an effective instructor, and particularly praised her communication skills and friendly disposition.

## MAT 235Y1Y Calculus II

Instructor(s): E. Kamalinejad; J. Watts

Enr: 34	Resp:	: 19		R	etake: 66	%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
<u>Kamalinejad</u>								
Presents	0	0	5	15	21	36	21	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	10	26	36	26	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	31	36	31	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	10	31	31	26	5.7
Watts								
Present	0	0	0	5	11	61	22	6.0
Explains	0	5	0	5	38	38	11	5.4
Communicates	0	0	5	11	33	33	16	5.4
<u>Course</u>								
Workload	0	5	0	26	31	21	15	5.1
Difficulty	0	5	5	16	38	16	16	5.1
Learning Exp	0	0	12	25	18	31	12	5.1

Students described Kamalinejad as clear and concise, with an easy going nature and unique presentation style. Students commented that quizzes were too east compared to the level of the difficulty of the material.

#### Instructor(s): E. Kamalinejad

Enr: 34	Resp	24		R	etake: 70	1%		Mean				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean				
Presents	0	0	4	12	36	16	33	5.6				
Explains	0	0	0	8	25	33	33	5.9				
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	45	41	6.3				
Teaching	0	0	0	47	16	41	37	6.1				
Workload	0	8	4	29	33	8	16	4.8				

Difficulty	0	4	12	25	37	0	20	4.8
Learning Exp	0	0	0	21	31	31	15	5.4

Kamalinejad was said to be a very good teacher who explained concepts clearly and was nice.

#### MAT 237Y1Y Multivariable Calculus

Instructor(s): D. Homayouni

Enr: 118	Resp	Resp: 67 Retake: 39%									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean			
Presents	5	8	16	26	20	16	6	4.2			
Explains	5	12	10	31	20	12	8	4.2			
Communicates	5	5	5	18	20	28	16	5.0			
Teaching	5	6	5	25	22	27	8	4.7			
Workload	0	3	0	22	31	25	17	5.3			
Difficulty	0	1	1	12	23	31	28	5.7			
Learning Exp	8	6	2	34	23	17	8	4.4			

Homayouni was described as a very enthusiastic instructor. Students enjoyed his relevant stories/anecdotes to help them learn material, but felt he should have made better use of supplementary material, particularly more examples and better organized notes. Most students felt the course was very difficult, particularly the latter half.

## Instructor(s): A. Moradifam

Enr: 143	Resp:	97		F	Retake: 58	%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	1	0	0	7	24	36	30	5.9
Explains	0	1	1	5	19	39	33	6.0
Communicates	0	1	0	6	13	35	43	6.1
Teaching	1	0	1	4	17	38	38	6.0
Workload	0	1	5	34	39	14	6	4.8
Difficulty	0	3	5	26	36	17	10	4.9
Learning Exp	0	3	2	31	35	15	10	4.9

Many students said Moradifam was a very effective teacher who explained concepts very well, and made understanding them easy. A few other students felt he sometimes took too much time overexplaining the simpler concepts.

## MAT 2441Y Introduction to Ordinary Differential Equations

Instructor(s): B. Fontaine

Enr: 81	Resp	61		Retake: 71%					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	1	1	1	15	30	33	15	5.3	
Explains	1	0	5	25	26	26	15	5.2	
Communicates	1	0	3	23	21	30	20	5.3	
Teaching	0	1	3	15	27	30	22	5.5	
Workload	1	1	5	57	25	7	1	4.3	
Difficulty	0	5	8	49	22	7	7	4.4	
Learning Exp	0	3	3	33	39	5	13	4.8	

Students described Fontaine as being very knowledgeable. However, while students found his written examples to be helpful, some found his writing difficult to read. Some students did not like the textbook and would have preferred a different one.

#### MAT 301H1Y Groups and Symmetries

Instructor(s): S. Morgan								
Enr: 47	Resp: 29			R				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	10	17	37	31	5.8
Explains	0	0	10	13	24	31	20	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	17	24	17	41	5.8
Teaching	0	3	6	13	17	31	27	5.5
Workload	0	0	3	44	33	14	3	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	29	25	29	14	5.3
Learning Exp	0	0	9	36	18	31	4	4.9

Students found Morgan to be knowledgeable and enthusiastic, but felt lectures followed the textbook too closely, and would have preferred more examples (particularly examples as difficult as the test questions). Students felt the final exam and midterm were very difficult.

## MAT 334H1Y Complex Variables

Instructor(s): Y. Burda

Enr: 76	Resp:	Resp: 39 Retake: 52%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	2	2	20	23	41	10	5.3		
Explains	0	0	2	17	23	38	17	5.5		
Communicates	0	0	0	5	20	46	28	6.0		
Teaching	0	0	0	7	41	28	23	5.7		
Workload	0	2	0	56	28	7	5	4.5		
Difficulty	0	2	0	41	30	15	10	4.9		
Learning Exp	0	0	2	28	31	31	5	5.1		

Burda was described as being very attentive, students felt it would have been better if he spoke louder. He was described by many students as displaying a real desire to help. Students felt the quizzes were weighed far too heavily. Students also felt part marks should have been obtainable for attempted questions.

# **NEAR & MIDDLE EASTERN CIVILIZATIONS**

#### NMC 278H1S Introduction to the Modern Middle East

Instructor(s): J. Reilly

Enr: 49	Resp: 31 Retake: 84%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	3	3	22	22	48	6.1	
Explains	0	0	0	6	12	16	64	6.4	
Communicates	0	0	6	12	12	25	41	5.8	
Teaching	0	0	0	3	9	38	48	6.3	
Workload	0	0	0	61	25	12	0	4.5	
Difficulty	0	0	0	64	29	6	0	4.4	
Learning Exp	0	0	0	12	16	37	33	5.9	

Reilly was a knowledgeable instructor who made the class enjoyable.

#### NML 110Y1Y Introductory Arabic

Instructor(s): S. Ally											
Enr: 19	Resp	: 11		R	etake: 90						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean			
Presents	0	0	0	9	9	18	63	6.4			
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8			
Communicates	0	0	0	0	9	27	63	6.5			
Teaching	0	0	0	0	9	18	72	6.6			
Workload	0	0	0	10	40	40	10	5.5			
Difficulty	0	0	0	30	50	20	0	4.9			
Learning Exp	0	0	0	9	0	18	72	6.5			

## NMC 370H1S Ancient Israel

Instructor(s): R. Holmstedt

Enr: 22	Resp:	Resp: 14 Retake: 78%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	14	35	14	35	5.7		
Explains	0	0	0	7	21	42	28	5.9		
Communicates	0	0	0	7	7	28	57	6.4		
Teaching	0	0	0	0	14	35	50	6.4		
Workload	0	0	0	38	23	23	15	5.2		
Difficulty	0	0	0	64	21	14	0	4.5		
Learning Exp	0	0	0	30	10	40	20	5.5		

Students learned a lot in this short 3-week intensive course. Although there was a lot of material to cover in a short period of time, the instructor was able to make it an enjoyable course. Holmstedt did an excellent job teaching this course. He was enthusiastic and knowledgeable. Overall, a great course.

## **NEW COLLEGE**

### NEW 232Y1Y Buddhist Psychology: Theories & Applications

Instructor(s): T. Toneatto

Enr: 44	Resp:	Resp: 34 Retake: 96%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	2	17	32	47	6.2	
Explains	0	0	0	0	11	38	50	6.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	32	64	6.6	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	8	38	52	6.4	
Workload	0	6	24	66	3	0	0	3.7	
Difficulty	3	9	16	64	6	0	0	3.6	
Learning Exp	0	0	0	12	24	24	40	5.9	

Toneatto was very effective and explained abstract concepts in a way that even students unfamiliar with the subject matter could understand. Students thoroughly enjoyed the subject matter, describing it as a "worthwhile and enjoyable" experience.

## NEW 240Y1Y Introduction to Equity Studies

Instructor(s): S. Doyle-	Wood							
Enr: 38	Resp:	23		R				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	31	36	27	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	13	40	45	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	17	82	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	4	36	59	6.5
Workload	4	0	0	54	22	18	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	4	9	50	31	4	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	18	18	62	6.4

Doyle-Wood was very enthusiastic about the course material and many students commented he went the "extra mile" to make sure they were getting as much out of the learning experience as possible.

## NEW 329H1F Caribbean Diaspora, Historical and Comparative

Instructor(s): R. Victoriano

Enr: 21	Resp	Resp: 14 Retake: 92%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	0	28	28	42	6.1		
Explains	0	0	0	0	21	35	42	6.2		
Communicates	0	0	0	7	7	28	57	6.4		
Teaching	0	0	0	7	7	35	50	6.3		
Workload	0	0	0	53	38	7	0	4.5		
Difficulty	0	0	7	69	23	0	0	4.2		
Learning Exp	0	0	0	20	20	20	40	5.8		

Overall, a very enjoyable and thought-provoking course.

## NUTRITIONAL SCIENCES

## NFS 284H1F Basic Human Nutrition

Instructor	(s):	D.	Gurfinke	ł
------------	------	----	----------	---

Enr: 163	Resp:	Resp: 76 Retake: 92%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	1	0	2	20	43	31	6.0		
Explains	0	0	1	5	19	38	35	6.0		
Communicates	1	0	1	6	21	30	38	5.9		
Teaching	0	0	0	9	17	36	35	6.0		
Workload	1	2	6	57	23	5	2	4.3		
Difficulty	1	1	20	56	15	2	1	4.0		
Learning Exp	0	1	4	31	22	21	18	5.1		

## PEACE AND CONFLICT STUDIES

### PCS 361H1F Special Topics in Peace and Conflict Studies

Instructor(s): J-Y. Haine

Enr: 13	Resp: 8 Retake: 100%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	12	12	50	25	5.9

Explains	0	0	0	0	12	12	75	6.6	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	25	62	6.5	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5	
Workload	0	0	0	0	50	12	37	5.9	
Difficulty	0	0	0	0	50	50	0	5.5	
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	25	0	75	6.5	

## PHILOSOPHY

## PHL 210Y1Y 17th- and 18th- Century Philosophy

Instructor(s): A. Harmer

Enr: 63	Resp:	26	Retake: 61%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean			
Presents	0	4	0	12	12	66	4	5.5			
Explains	4	0	0	8	37	41	8	5.3			
Communicates	0	4	0	4	25	54	12	5.6			
Teaching	4	0	0	4	25	50	16	5.6			
Workload	0	0	7	53	19	11	7	4.6			
Difficulty	0	0	0	38	23	23	15	5.2			
Learning Exp	5	0	0	20	40	25	10	5.1			

Some students found this an informative course with a good instructor. However, some other students found it that the instructor solely followed the textbook and did not expand.

## PHL 365H1F Political Philosophy

Instructor(s): L.C. Horne

Enr: 43	Resp:	: 12		R	etake: 72	2%		<u>Mean</u> 4.8 5.2 5.8 5.6 5.4 5.2 5.1		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	8	0	41	16	25	8	4.8		
Explains	0	8	0	16	25	33	16	5.2		
Communicates	0	0	0	16	16	33	33	5.8		
Teaching	0	0	8	8	25	33	25	5.6		
Workload	0	0	0	25	33	16	25	5.4		
Difficulty	0	0	0	27	45	9	18	5.2		
Learning Exp	0	0	0	33	33	22	11	5.1		

## PHL 382H1S Ethics: Death and Dying

Instructor(s): A. Bonello

Enr: 47	Resp:	21		F	Retake: 84			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	10	10	25	30	20	5.2
Explains	0	5	10	10	25	30	20	5.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	40	60	6.6
Teaching	0	0	9	9	9	42	28	5.7
Workload	0	0	4	61	19	9	4	4.5
Difficulty	0	4	4	47	33	9	0	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	6	6	20	26	40	5.9

Bonello was an extremely enthusiastic instructor. It was obvious that he was very passionate about the course material. The required readings for the class were also very interesting. Although a lot of material was covered in a short period of time, students enjoyed the overall learning experience.

# PHYSICS

## PHY 131H1F Introduction to Physics I

Instructor(s): J. Harlow

Enr: 247	Resp:	160		Retake: 34%					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	2	20	35	25	14	5.3	
Explains	0	0	6	16	35	25	15	5.2	
Communicates	0	0	0	5	11	25	56	6.3	
Teaching	0	0	0	7	33	31	26	5.7	
Workload	0	0	1	30	23	30	13	5.2	
Difficulty	0	1	1	21	26	33	15	5.3	
Learning Exp	2	2	3	36	29	14	9	4.7	

Overall, Harlow was an enthusiastic and helpful instructor. More examples could have been provided in lectures.

#### PHY 132H1S Introduction to Physics II

Instructor(s): E. Honig

Enr: 184	Resp:	127		R	Retake: 29	9%		<u>Mean</u> 4.6 5.0				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean				
Presents	1	4	11	23	36	14	7	4.6				
Explains	0	4	8	21	26	26	3	5.0				
Communicates	0	0	0	11	16	33	36	5.9				
Teaching	0	0	5	14	30	31	16	5.4				
Workload	0	0	2	32	36	20	8	5.0				
Difficulty	0	0	1	15	38	30	13	5.4				
Learning Exp	1	1	4	42	21	18	7	4.7				

Honig was a great instructor who genuinely cared about students' learning and understanding the course material. He was very enthusiastic and knowledgeable. He conducted many interesting demonstrations that students enjoyed. He also attended to students' questions. Some suggestions that students had were to use Powerpoint for his slides and to speak a little clearer. There was a lot of material covered and students felt rushed at times. Overall, a great course with a caring instructor.

# PHYSIOLOGY

#### PSL 302Y1Y Introduction to Human Physiology

Instructor(s): M. French

Enr: 355	Resp:	160		F	Retake: 51	%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	1	0	2	14	30	35	14	5.3
Explains	1	0	1	12	27	40	14	5.5
Communicates	1	0	3	9	25	37	23	5.6
Teaching	1	0	1	10	23	46	14	5.5
Workload	0	0	0	21	24	32	20	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	16	25	36	20	5.6
Learning Exp	0	0	2	34	33	13	14	5.0

Students enjoyed the course but expressed dissatisfaction with the textbook as they considered the test to be heavily focused on material only found in a "suggested" textbook. Students also doubted the value of the tutorials.

#### PSL 378H1F Field Physiology: Marine Mammal

Instructor(s): C. Wittnich	า							
Enr: 12	Resp: 12			R	etake: 10			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	16	33	50	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	8	16	25	50	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	16	8	75	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	8	25	66	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	58	16	8	16	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	58	16	8	16	4.8
Learning Exp	0	0	0	9	0	9	81	6.6

This course was described by students as "one of the most practical learning experiences" at U of T. Students particularly enjoyed the hands on nature of the course.

## POLITICAL SCIENCE

### POL 208Y1Y Introduction to International Relations

Instructor(s): L. Gilady

Enr: 102	Resp:	62		F	Retake: 91	%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	15	35	49	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	3	8	40	48	6.3
Communicates	0	0	1	6	13	40	38	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	3	13	39	44	6.2
Workload	0	0	1	59	20	16	1	4.6
Difficulty	0	1	3	64	25	5	0	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	14	37	27	20	5.5

Gilady presented lectures with clarity and in an organized fashion. Students appreciated the integration of video clips into lectures. Overall, students enjoyed this course.

## POL 214Y1Y Canadian Government and Politics

Instructor(s): D. Pond

Enr: 61	Resp:	26		R	etake: 70	1%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	12	36	44	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	4	4	44	48	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	4	32	64	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	15	34	50	6.3
Workload	0	0	3	65	23	7	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	15	61	15	7	0	4.2
Learning Exp	5	0	0	5	20	40	30	5.8

Pond was a great instructor who was energetic and enthusiastic. He made classes fun and interesting. He also made good use of the Blackboard. Overall, a very good course.

## POL 301Y1Y Government and Politics in Africa

instructor(s). A. Handley								
Enr: 64	Resp: 26 Retake: 69%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	8	12	25	33	20	5.5
Explains	0	0	8	4	16	40	32	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	16	12	40	32	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	8	12	40	40	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	28	44	24	4	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	42	30	19	7	4.9
Learning Exp	0	0	5	15	52	15	10	5.1

Handley was very knowledgeable and enthusiastic. She presented course material very well. One suggestion students had was to have lecture slides. Overall, a great learning experience.

#### Instructor(s): D. Eyoh

Instructor(s): A Handley

Enr: 70	Resp:	: 39		R	Retake: 51	%		Mean					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean					
Presents	2	0	5	15	33	33	10	5.2					
Explains	2	0	10	20	25	30	10	5.0					
Communicates	2	0	10	18	23	28	15	5.1					
Teaching	2	0	5	23	25	28	15	5.2					
Workload	2	0	0	34	31	23	7	4.9					
Difficulty	2	0	0	35	30	25	5	4.9					
Learning Exp	0	3	0	51	19	16	9	4.7					

## POL 326Y1Y United States Foreign Policy

Instructor(s): A. Jurgensen

Enr: 67	Resp:	40		R	etake: 89	9%		<u>Mean</u> 5.4 6.0				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean				
Presents	2	0	7	7	23	42	15	5.4				
Explains	2	0	0	7	10	42	36	6.0				
Communicates	2	0	0	0	13	31	52	6.3				
Teaching	2	0	0	5	7	42	42	6.1				
Workload	2	0	0	37	30	25	5	4.9				
Difficulty	2	0	0	55	27	12	2	4.5				
Learning Exp	3	0	3	6	10	50	26	5.8				

Jurgensen was an effective instructor. Some students felt lectures could have been a little more organized, e.g. use slides.

## POL 336H1F Ontario Politics

Instructor(s): J. Hjartarson

Enr: 69	Resp	: 45		R	etake: 93	%						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean				
Presents	0	2	0	4	11	35	46	6.2				
Explains	0	2	0	0	6	27	63	6.5				
Communicates	0	0	0	0	4	17	77	6.7				
Teaching	0	0	0	0	15	11	72	6.6				
Workload	0	0	4	71	20	4	0	4.2				
Difficulty	0	0	9	68	15	4	2	4.2				

Learning Exp	0	0	0	5	13	36	44	6.2

An engaging lecturer, Hjartarson drew from a wealth of knowledge and experience. Students felt that guest lecturers were an asset. Students felt that this was a very valuable course that should be offered regularly.

## POL 380H1S Topics in International Politics: The Causes of War and Conditions for Peace

Instructor(s): J. Levin
-------------------------

Enr: 45	Resp: 27 Retake: 87%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	11	26	19	42	5.9	
Explains	0	0	0	12	16	24	48	6.1	
Communicates	0	0	0	4	16	12	68	6.4	
Teaching	0	0	0	4	8	28	60	6.4	
Workload	0	0	4	45	16	25	8	4.9	
Difficulty	0	0	4	48	20	24	4	4.8	
Learning Exp	0	0	0	10	35	10	45	5.9	

Levin was a knowledgeable, helpful, and excellent instructor who made the class very interesting. Students learned a lot from this course.

#### POL 417H1S The Third World in International Politics

Instructor(s): A. Gnamo								
Enr: 23	Resp	: 15		R	etake: 10			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	35	28	28	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	14	42	42	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5
Workload	0	6	0	26	46	20	0	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	6	46	20	26	0	4.7
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	30	20	50	6.2

Gnamo was an excellent instructor. He was enthusiastic, approachable, and very helpful when students needed help.

# **PSYCHOLOGY**

## PSY 100H1S Introductory Psychology

Instructor(s): A. Luby

Enr: 180	Resp: 70 Retake: 89%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	15	20	28	31	5.7
Explains	0	0	2	5	27	35	28	5.8
Communicates	1	0	4	5	18	34	35	5.9
Teaching	0	1	8	5	20	39	24	5.6
Workload	2	2	11	53	23	4	0	4.1
Difficulty	4	2	11	34	13	4	1	4.0
Learning Exp	0	1	5	29	33	21	7	4.9

Luby was described as a friendly and enthusiastic instructor, but many students felt she was not assertive in moving through lectures because she stopped to answer questions. Students claimed a large part (1hr+) of the lecture was used to answer questions and the vast majority of students felt this time could have been better spent. However, students did admire Luby's teaching style except this problem.

## PSY 201H1F Statistics I

Instructor(s): J. Ellis;	D. Vuvan							
Enr: 176	Resp: 7	100	1%					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Ellis:								
Presents	0	0	6	4	24	48	17	5.7
Explains	0	0	6	5	18	52	18	5.7
Communicates	0	0	3	5	18	37	35	6.0
Teaching	0	1	1	9	18	50	20	5.8
Vuvan:								
Presents	0	0	6	8	29	41	15	5.5
Explains	0	2	4	15	36	31	11	5.2
Communicates	0	0	4	3	21	43	27	5.9
Teaching	0	1	3	12	26	44	13	5.5
Course:								
Workload	4	1	9	56	17	8	4	4.2
Difficulty	3	1	8	42	30	10	5	4.5
Learning Exp	2	0	8	46	23	12	7	4.5

Both instructors did a great job teaching this course. They both explained concepts well, clearly, and they were both enthusiastic. They had good examples and students appreciated the discussion board on portal. Students commented that Vuvan talked very fast in class and they found it hard to follow her. They would have liked more practice problems. Overall a very good course.

#### PSY 202H1S Statistics II

Instructor(s): I Vervaeke

Instructor(s): G. Rowe

Enr: 73	Resp:	Resp: 47 Retake: 24%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	6	4	8	27	34	10	8	4.4	
Explains	2	2	12	31	31	10	8	4.6	
Communicates	2	2	4	19	42	19	10	5.0	
Teaching	2	2	6	21	36	19	12	5.0	
Workload	0	0	4	58	21	10	4	4.5	
Difficulty	0	2	6	45	26	13	6	4.6	
Learning Exp	2	2	9	57	11	7	9	4.3	

Rowe was described as a kind and friendly instructor, but students felt the lectures could have been much more organized. Students felt the assignment instructions and grading criteria were not stated explicitly enough. As a result, students felt they were graded fairly by the TA's, given the vagueness of the instructors.

## **PSY 210H1F** Introduction to Development

Enr: 66	Resp: 43				Retake: 85%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	4	11	30	20	32	5.7	
Explains	0	0	0	4	23	35	35	6.0	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	13	32	53	6.4	
Teaching	0	0	2	2	20	32	41	6.1	
Workload	0	0	4	44	41	4	4	4.6	
Difficulty	0	2	2	42	45	2	4	4.6	
Learning Exp	0	0	3	19	22	29	25	5.5	

Vervaeke was an outstanding instructor who was enthusiastic, organized and engaging. He made the course mate-

rial very interesting, and students enjoyed this course very much. Some students commented that feedback would have been helpful. Some would have appreciated if he spoke slower.

## PSY 220H1S Introduction to Social Psychology

Instructor(s): W. Huggor	า							
Enr: 133	Resp:	66		R				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	4	22	43	27	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	1	16	46	34	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	1	10	42	45	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	3	15	46	34	6.1
Workload	0	0	1	51	37	6	3	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	15	62	12	6	3	4.2
Learning Exp	0	1	1	16	32	30	16	5.4

Huggon was described as a friendly and knowledgeable instructor. Students enjoyed lectures, but felt the evaluation was unfair, and felt a lot of material was not covered in lectures that was on the test. They also felt test questions were worded ambiguously.

#### **PSY 230H1S** Personality and Its Transformations

Instructor(s): M. Djikic

Enr: 122	Resp: 59 Retake: 84%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	3	18	39	36	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	6	10	41	41	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	34	56	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	1	12	43	43	6.3
Workload	0	0	12	62	22	1	1	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	8	63	21	5	1	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	2	15	23	32	26	5.7

The most common word students used (by far) to describe Djikic's teaching was "excellent". She was described as enthusiastic, passionate, and helpful to students, answering their questions effectively as possible.

## PSY 260H1F Learning and Plasticity

Instructor(s): D. Palombo

Enr: 69	Resp: 51 Retake: 90%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	22	36	36	6.0
Explains	0	0	2	2	18	42	36	6.1
Communicates	0	0	4	6	14	26	50	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	4	16	36	42	6.2
Workload	0	0	8	77	4	6	4	4.2
Difficulty	0	2	10	61	14	10	2	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	33	26	33	7	5.1

A few students commented that Palombo was an excellent instructor. Not only was she enthusiastic and engaging, but she was also very organized and performed very well as an instructor. She was always willing to help students. Overall, a great and enjoyable experience.

## PSY 270H1F Introduction to Cognitive Psychology

Instructor(s): C. Burton								
Enr: 101	Resp: 66			R	etake: 77			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	18	40	33	6.0
Explains	0	0	1	3	15	40	38	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	13	33	53	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	5	13	36	45	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	55	12	11	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	69	20	10	0	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	3	25	25	37	7	5.5

Burton was an excellent instructor. Her lectures were very well organized and she explained course material clearly using good examples and videos. Being a summer course, the course was a little fast paced. However, Burton was very approachable and any questions students had, she was patient and made sure students understood. She was available for consultation when students needed it. Overall, this was a very interesting course with an enthusiastic instructor.

#### PSY 280H1S Introduction to Perception

Instructor(s): C. Burton

Enr: 72	Resp: 37 Retake: 88%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	2	0	16	47	33	6.1	
Explains	0	0	2	2	10	51	32	6.1	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	18	40	40	6.2	
Teaching	0	0	2	0	16	54	27	6.0	
Workload	0	0	5	62	32	0	0	4.3	
Difficulty	0	0	5	51	32	10	0	4.5	
Learning Exp	0	0	0	28	46	21	3	5.0	

Burton was described as a well organized, supportive, and very enthusiastic instructor. Students enjoyed the assignment but a few expressed concerns that the TA graded their exams unfairly compared to the instructor.

#### PSY 313H1S Psychology of Aging

Instructor(s): R. Biss								
Enr: 27	Resp: 14			R	etake: 10			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	21	57	21	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	28	42	28	6.0
Communicates	0	0	7	7	21	35	28	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	14	7	64	14	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	84	15	0	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	92	7	0	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	25	50	25	0	5.0

#### PSY 320H1F Social Psychology: Attitudes

Instructor(s): W. Huggon

Enr: 49	Resp: 38			R	etake: 94			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	0	13	42	42	6.2

Explains	0	0	0	0	10	39	50	6.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	31	65	6.6	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	44	50	6.4	
Workload	2	0	2	76	10	7	0	4.2	
Difficulty	2	2	10	65	10	7	0	4.0	
Learning Exp	0	0	2	8	32	41	14	5.6	

This course was great and students enjoyed it very much. The best part was the instructor. He was an excellent instructor who was funny, enthusiastic, knowledgeable, and helpful. His classes were very interesting and engaging, with good examples. Students really liked the methods of his teaching. Although being a dense course, the evaluations (tests, assignments) were fair. Overall, a great experience.

#### PSY 322H1F Intergroup Relations

Instructor(s): J. Remedios

Enr: 26	Resp:							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	0	0	5	58	29	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	11	5	58	23	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	5	5	58	29	6.1
Teaching	0	0	5	0	29	41	23	5.8
Workload	0	0	11	58	29	0	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	5	82	11	0	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	7	21	57	14	0	4.8

This was a well organized course. Remedios taught her classes in a clear and concise manner. Overall, a good course with a great instructor.

#### PSY 321H1S Cross-Cultural Psychology

Instructor(s): N. Rule

Enr: 45	Resp:	36		R	etake: 93			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	11	40	48	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	42	51	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	25	71	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	2	40	57	6.5
Workload	0	2	8	60	17	11	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	2	30	58	8	0	0	3.7
Learning Exp	0	0	3	7	29	25	33	5.8

Rule was described by students as a very enthusiastic and engaging lecturer who was both friendly and knowledgeable. Some students felt that there was a great deal of reading.

#### PSY 328H1F Psychology and the Law

Instructor(s): W. Huggon

Enr: 68	Resp:							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	11	40	48	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	7	37	54	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	1	39	58	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	1	46	51	6.5
Workload	0	0	9	69	20	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	3	76	17	1	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	9	23	47	19	5.8

Huggon was a great instructor who was very enthusiastic about the course material. His classes were clear, organized and he made the material interesting. Students enjoyed the use of videos. His humour made the classes very enjoyable. Some students commented that tests could have been returned earlier. Overall, a great course.

#### PSY 329H1F Social Psychology Laboratory

Instructor(s): P. Lockwood

Enr: 11	Res	o: 9		R	etake: 66			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	22	44	22	11	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	11	33	44	11	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	55	33	11	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	44	44	11	5.7
Workload	0	0	0	77	11	0	11	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	22	66	0	11	0	4.0
Learning Exp	0	0	0	33	66	0	0	4.7

Overall, a good learning experience.

## PSY 331H1S Social Psychology of Emotion

Instructor(s): A. Luby

Enr: 55	Resp: 25 Retake: 80%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	8	8	20	44	20	5.6	
Explains	0	0	4	12	20	44	20	5.6	
Communicates	0	0	4	0	16	36	44	6.2	
Teaching	0	0	0	4	33	41	20	5.8	
Workload	0	0	4	80	12	4	0	4.2	
Difficulty	0	0	12	64	16	8	0	4.2	
Learning Exp	0	0	0	55	30	5	10	4.7	

The instructor was described as being very kind, caring and enthusiastic about her students and the course material.

#### PSY 333H1S Health Psychology

Instructor(s): N. Simic

Enr: 50	Resp	32	%					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	31	34	28	6	5.1
Explains	0	0	12	15	34	34	3	5.0
Communicates	0	3	9	9	37	34	6	5.1
Teaching	0	0	6	16	41	25	9	5.2
Workload	0	0	12	65	18	3	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	12	68	15	3	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	8	34	17	30	8	5.0

Students thought the instructor was friendly, spoke very clearly and was well organized, but most students felt the instructor's lectures did not deviate from the textbook whatsoever and as such felt they did not get much our of the lectures.

#### PSY 341H1S Psychopathologies of Childhood

Instructor(s): H. Morgar	า							
Enr: 79	Resp: 55			R	etake: 95			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	15	20	37	24	5.7
Explains	1	0	0	3	20	40	33	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	7	15	41	35	6.1
Teaching	0	0	1	3	27	48	18	5.8
Workload	0	0	7	62	20	9	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	3	74	18	3	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	21	28	30	19	5.5

Morgan was described as a very enthusiastic and entertaining lecturer by most students. Students enjoyed in-class videos and felt the lectures were well organized.

#### PSY 372H1S Human Memory

Instructor(s): M. Barense

Enr: 58	Resp: 34 Retake: 70%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	2	17	44	32	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	2	23	32	41	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	35	44	6.2
Teaching	0	0	2	2	17	44	32	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	47	23	26	2	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	23	23	2	4.8
Learning Exp	0	0	0	20	27	48	3	5.3

Students praised Barense's lectures and especially appreciated the incorporation of extra information about new research in the field. Students felt the course workload was too high, and many student felt that more time was necessary for the test.

#### PSY 397H1F Biological Rhythms

Instructor(s): M. Ralph

Enr: 70	Resp	: 35		R	etake: 48	%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	0	12	25	34	18	6	4.7
Explains	3	0	3	21	30	36	6	5.1
Communicates	0	2	8	23	20	32	11	5.1
Teaching	0	3.	0	25	46	18	6	5.0
Workload	0	3	9	56	21	9	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	28	25	6	5.0
Learning Exp	0	12	4	48	20	12	4	4.3

Ralph was a very nice and knowledgeable instructor. However, some students found the course at times disorganized. They also thought the classes were monotone as well.

#### PSY 420H1F Social Psychology Seminar

Instructor(s): P. Lockwood	b							
Enr: 17	Resp:	15		R	Retake: 92	2%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	26	26	40	6.0

Explains	0	0	6	0	26	26	40	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	6	6	40	46	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	6	13	46	33	6.1
Workload	0	0	23	46	15	15	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	15	53	23	7	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	25	33	16	25	5.4

## PSY 493H1S Cognitive Neuroscience

Instructor(s): K. Campbell

Enr: 22	Resp:	15		R				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	13	46	40	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	33	33	33	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	26	53	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	33	46	6.3
Workload	0	0	6	40	46	6	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	6	20	53	20	0	4.9
Learning Exp	0	0	0	9	27	36	27	5.8

Campbell was an excellent instructor who was knowledgeable. Her lectures were effective, interesting, and funny. Overall, a valuable learning experience.

## RELIGION

## RLG 100Y1Y World Religion

Instructor(s): A. Maintenay

Enr: 63	Resp:	22		R	etake: 95	%		<u>Mean</u> 6.5 6.4 6.8				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean				
Presents	0	0	0	0	5	42	52	6.5				
Explains	0	0	0	4	4	36	54	6.4				
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	15	85	6.8				
Teaching	0	0	0	4	4	19	71	6.6				
Workload	0	0	15	52	10	15	5	4.4				
Difficulty	0	0	14	61	14	9	0	4.2				
Learning Exp	7	0	6	14	28	14	35	5.4				

Students praised Maintenay's humour and enthusiasm for the material. They found the course interesting.

## RLG 212H1F Introduction to the Anthropology of Religion

Instructor(s): M. King

Enr: 32	Resp:	17		R				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	5	5	17	41	23	5.5
Explains	0	0	5	11	41	23	17	5.4
Communicates	0	0	5	17	23	17	35	5.6
Teaching	0	0	5	5	41	11	35	5.6
Workload	0	0	0	76	17	0	5	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	5	52	35	0	5	4.5
Learning Exp	0	0	9	36	36	18	0	4.6

King was an approachable and helpful instructor.

#### RLG 228H1F Religious Ethics: The Environment

Instructor(s): S. Appolloni								
Enr: 34	Resp	: 21		R	etake: 90	%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	5	35	55	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	5	5	35	55	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	10	85	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	25	70	6.7
Workload	0	0	10	60	15	5	10	4.4
Difficulty	0	5	0	78	10	5	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	5	33	22	38	5.9

Appolloni was a great instructor who was enthusiastic and knowledgeable. Although the workload was a bit heavy for some students, the value of the course material was very high. Overall, an excellent learning experience.

## RLG 232H1S Religion and Film

Instructor(s): S.A. Hussain

Enr: 49	Resp	: 34		F	Retake: 90	)%		<u>Mean</u> 5.8					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean					
Presents	0	0	2	5	29	35	26	5.8					
Explains	0	0	0	8	20	41	29	5.9					
Communicates	0	0	0	0	14	26	58	6.4					
Teaching	0	0	0	8	23	47	20	5.8					
Workload	0	0	5	55	23	5	8	4.6					
Difficulty	0	5	2	61	23	5	0	4.2					
Learning Exp	0	0	0	12	29	37	20	5.7					

Students enjoyed the films shown in class and thought Hussain was an effective, informative, and interesting instructor. Students felt the evaluation methods were very fair.

#### RLG 280Y1Y World Religions: A Comparative Study

Instructor(s): A. Maintenay										
Enr: 25	Res	p: 7		R	etake: 85	5%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	14	0	14	28	42	5.9		
Explains	0	0	0	0	2	39	57	6.4		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	14	85	6.9		
Teaching	0	0	0	0	16	0	83	6.7		
Workload	0	0	14	71	0	0	14	4.3		
Difficulty	0	14	0	71	14	0	0	3.9		
Learning Exp	0	0	20	0	40	20	20	5.2		

Students described Maintenay as very knowledgeable and enthusiastic.

## RLG 314H1F Religion, Gender, and Sexuality

Instructor(s): B. De Brujin

Enr: 53	Resp	40		R	etake: 50	1%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean					
Presents	2	2	5	20	25	28	15	5.1					
Explains	2	0	15	17	20	35	7	4.9					
Communicates	2	2	5	15	33	25	15	5.1					

Teaching	2	2	10	12	33	23	15	5.0
Workload	0	0	0	64	18	13	2	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	5	52	31	10	0	4.5
Learning Exp	3	3	3	69	3	7	7	4.2

This course had mixed reviews. Half the students that commented found this course disappointing. They found the reading load high and not interesting. The lectures were disorganized and essay topics hard to understand. The other half that commented found Brujin a great, enthusiastic and helpful instructor. They found the course material interesting.

#### RLG 317H1S Religious Violence and Nonviolence

Instructor(s): L. Zwissler

Enr: 37	Resp:	22		F				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	18	40	36	6.1
Explains	0	0	4	0	9	50	36	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	14	23	61	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	4	9	45	40	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	77	18	4	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	4	77	18	0	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	27	16	33	22	5.5

Zwissler was praised as passionate, enthusiastic, and knowledgeable. She was also described as very helpful and engaging, and also very respectful and welcoming.

#### **RLG 333H1F** Christianity and Conflict

Instructor(s): P. Nahme

Enr: 44	Resp:							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	0	19	14	61	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	4	19	19	57	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	9	90	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	4	4	23	66	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	65	20	10	5	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	60	25	10	5	4.6
Learning Exp	0	0	0	7	14	50	28	6.0

Nahme was an extremely enthusiastic and engaging instructor. Students found him to be an outstanding instructor. His energy made the class interesting and enjoyable.

## RLG 355H1F Anthropology of Islam

Instructor(s): E. Szanto

Enr: 30	Resp: 24 Retake: 85%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	4	0	16	29	33	16	5.4	
Explains	0	4	4	4	17	52	17	5.6	
Communicates	0	0	0	4	8	47	39	6.2	
Teaching	0	0	0	9	9	50	31	6.0	
Workload	0	0	4	43	21	30	0	4.8	
Difficulty	0	0	0	52	26	21	0	4.7	
Learning Exp	0	6	0	31	25	18	18	5.1	

Szanto was a very enthusiastic and knowledgeable instructor. She was approachable and helpful. Overall, this was a very interesting course.

# **ROTMAN COMMERCE**

## **RSM 100Y1Y Introduction to Management**

Instructor(s):	S. KI	akurka
----------------	-------	--------

Enr: 90	Resp:							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	19	23	33	19	5.4
Explains	0	4	4	14	52	9	14	5.0
Communicates	0	4	4	23	19	23	23	5.2
Teaching	0	0	10	15	30	20	25	5.3
Workload	0	5	10	36	31	15	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	10	45	30	10	5	4.6
Learning Exp	6	6	6	31	18	31	6	4.8

Students felt the instructor was effective. Students found he moved through the material quickly but was for the most part a good instructor.

## **RSM 230H1F Financial Markets**

Instructor(s): F. Tolias

Enr: 55	Resp: 40 Retake: 76%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	0	0	5	12	37	42	6.1
Explains	0	2	0	5	17	35	38	6.0
Communicates	0	0	2	5	15	37	40	6.1
Teaching	2	0	0	2	10	42	42	6.2
Workload	0	2	0	60	25	7	5	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	2	62	17	12	5	4.6
Learning Exp	0	0	0	23	20	32	23	5.6

#### RSM 320H1S Intermediate Financial Accounting II

Instructor(s): L. Harvey

Enr: 42	Resp: 22 Retake: 40%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	9	4	22	22	40	5.8
Explains	0	0	4	9	31	31	22	5.6
Communicates	0	0	9	4	22	31	51	5.7
Teaching	0	0	4	4	23	28	38	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	23	9	47	19	5.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	28	19	38	16	5.4
Learning Exp	0	6	6	26	26	26	6	4.8

Students praised Harvey as an enthusiastic lecturer that they learned a lot from, but students found the course work-load very high.

## **RSM 321H1Y Advanced Financial Accounting Topics**

Instructor(s): J. McDonald

Enr: 19	Resp: 13			R	Retake: 46			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	23	30	23	15	7	4.5
Explains	0	0	7	46	15	15	15	4.8
Communicates	0	0	7	38	23	15	15	4.9

Teaching	0	0	7	30	30	15	15	5.0
Workload	0	0	0	15	23	46	15	5.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	7	38	23	30	5.8
Learning Exp	0	0	25	37	25	12	0	4.2

## RSM 332H1F Capital Market Theory

## Instructor(s): S.K. Choy

Enr: 58	Resp: 18 Retake: 71%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	16	33	27	22	0	4.6
Explains	0	0	23	23	35	11	5	4.5
Communicates	0	0	16	44	33	5	0	4.7
Teaching	0	0	11	33	33	22	0	4.7
Workload	0	0	5	31	11	16	5	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	5	55	11	22	5	4.7
Learning Exp	0	0	0	40	40	20	0	4.8

## Instructor(s): W. Huggins

Enr: 58	Resp:	50	9%					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	12	39	61	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	2	10	41	45	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	2	10	29	57	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	2	10	36	52	6.4
Workload	0	0	4	46	31	14	2	4.6
Difficulty	0	2	0	34	34	27	2	4.9
Learning Exp	0	0	0	19	19	41	19	5.6

The students who commented found Huggins an outstanding and enthusiastic instructor. He was very clear and easy to understand. However, he talked very fast

## Instructor(s): F. Tolias

Enr: 58	Resp:							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	10	39	15	28	5.5
Explains	0	0	7	10	30	20	30	5.6
Communicates	0	0	2	7	28	25	35	5.8
Teaching	0	2	0	2	26	28	39	6.0
Workload	0	0	2	61	20	10	5	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	44	28	18	7	4.9
Learning Exp	0	3	3	23	30	30	10	5.1

## RSM 333H1S Introduction to Corporate Finance

## Instructor(s): W. Huggins

Enr: 47	Resp:							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	4	14	38	40	6.1
Explains	0	0	4	2	18	23	51	6.1
Communicates	0	0	2	2	9	16	69	6.5
Teaching	2	0	2	2	13	34	44	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	35	21	35	7	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	6	41	32	18	5.6

Learning Exp	0	0	0	7	34	30	26	5.8

Students thought Huggins was an engaging lecture with great communication skills, and praised his ability to thoroughly explain concepts, by email and otherwise. Some students questioned the value of the tutorials and felt they got very little our of them compared to the lectures.

Instructor(	(s):	W.	Huggins
-------------	------	----	---------

Enr: 57	Resp: 39 Retake: 83%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	7	43	46	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	2	5	41	51	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	34	57	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	13	27	59	6.5
Workload	0	0	2	17	58	12	7	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	7	30	43	17	5.7
Learning Exp	0	0	7	11	46	19	15	5.2

Students found Huggins to be "an excellent lecturer and presenter" but some students found the course to be somewhat difficult.

## ST. MICHAEL'S COLLEGE

### SMC 219Y1Y Mass Media in Culture and Society

Instructor(s): T. Gibson

Enr: 40	Resp:	31		F	Retake: 55%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	12	41	16	22	6	4.7	
Explains	0	0	12	35	12	29	9	4.9	
Communicates	0	0	3	12	19	29	35	5.8	
Teaching	0	0	9	22	25	19	22	5.2	
Workload	0	3	6	51	16	16	6	4.5	
Difficulty Learning Exp	0	0	98	58	19	9	3	4.4	

Students found Gibson to be an engaging instructor, and students for the most part, felt they learned a great deal about how to write a research paper. A few students felt the instructor could have been a bit more organized.

## SOCIOLOGY

#### SOC 102H1F Introduction to Sociology Social Inequalities

Instructor(s): L. Tepperman

Enr: 192	Resp:	128		R	Retake: 76	etake: 76%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	5	17	34	29	11	5.2	
Explains	1	0	2	7	28	35	24	5.7	
Communicates	0	0	0	6	17	34	41	6.1	
Teaching	0	0	2	10	22	43	19	5.6	
Workload	0	2	10	64	11	9	1	4.2	
Difficulty	0	3	12	66	9	7	0	4.1	
Learning Exp	1	2	1	35	26	22	13	5.0	

Students had a couple of concerns about this course. They did not like that tests were all multiple choice. Also there were a lot of questions. Some students commented that some of the questions required pure memorization. His lectures were interesting and Tepperman was a good lecturer, however, there were too many slides and that resulted in

going through the slides too quickly.

Instructor(s): L. Tepperman

#### SOC 103H1S Introductory to Social Institutions and Processes

Enr: 192	Resp:	75		F	etake: 69			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	16	19	36	21	5.5
Explains	0	0	4	11	22	37	25	5.7
Communicates	0	0	2	6	11	33	45	6.1
Teaching	0	0	2	9	16	14	29	5.8
Workload	2	0	13	60	8	9	5	4.2
Difficulty	2	1	5	58	19	5	6	4.3
Learning Exp	0	7	0	39	13	29	9	4.9

Students praised Tepperman as a very interesting lecturer, but they also did not feel the lectures were of much value because the instructor wrote the textbook and often "nearly quoted" it. However students found Tepperman to be very friendly and enthusiastic. Most students disliked the multiple choice test, as they felt they were tested on note memorization and "random facts".

#### SOC 200H1F Logic of Social Inquiry

Instructor(s): L. Ho

Enr: 92	Resp	: 33		F	Retake: 37			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	9	21	45	21	5.7
Explains	0	0	3	6	21	39	30	5.9
Communicates	0	3	6	27	30	12	21	5.1
Teaching	0	0	6	9	21	27	36	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	33	42	18	6	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	6	54	24	12	3	4.5
Learning Exp	0	0	4	62	16	12	4	4.5

Ho was a great instructor. Her classes were well planned, and she was very helpful.

## SOC 202H1S Quantitative Analysis in Social Science Research

Instructor(s): J. Shen

Enr: 56	Resp	: 31		F	Retake: 34%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	3	20	26	40	10	5.3	
Explains	0	3	13	30	13	30	10	4.8	
Communicates	0	0	0	31	44	13	10	5.0	
Teaching	0	0	10	20	34	24	10	5.0	
Workload	0	0	3	54	29	6	6	4.6	
Difficulty	0	0	6	38	38	6	9	4.7	
Learning Exp	0	4	4	65	13	8	4	4.3	

Students praised Shen's desire to help her students, taking their questions into consideration and grading their ability to apply their knowledge. However, some students found her a bit difficult to understand and would have appreciated the use of examples that were not from the textbook. Students found the course material very boring, difficult and "dry", but felt that Shen's teaching helped them understand it anyway.

#### SOC 205H1S Urban Sociology

Instructor(s): L. Kenny								
Enr: 70	Resp	40		R	etake: 83			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	0	12	46	38	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	2	12	35	50	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	35	61	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	2	7	43	46	6.3
Workload	0	0	2	51	35	10	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	5	7	57	21	7	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	2	5	29	38	23	5.7

Kenny's lectures were described as very enjoyable due to her humour, and pleasant disposition. Students appreciated her encouragement to take control of their own learning rather than being "spoon fed". They thought she explained concepts very well. A few students thought the readings/lectures were somewhat hard to keep up with.

## SOC 207H1F Sociology of Work & Occupations

Instructor(s): P. Galvin

Enr: 45	Resp: 21 Retake: 87%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	30	40	20	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	15	25	40	20	5.7
Communicates	0	0	5	0	35	45	15	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	50	30	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	50	44	5	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	11	44	38	5	0	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	0	23	38	15	23	5.4

## SOC 212H1F Sociology of Crime & Deviance

Enr: 103	Resp:	50		l	Retake: 95%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	12	39	47	6.4	
Explains	0	0	0	0	10	36	53	6.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	24	69	6.6	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	10	28	61	6.5	
Workload	0	2	14	69	10	4	0	4.0	
Difficulty	0	6	12	67	12	2	0	3.9	
Learning Exp	0	0	0	17	20	28	34	5.8	

This was a great course with an excellent and enthusiastic instructor. Innocente was a knowledgeable, engaging and funny instructor who made the classes very interesting and enjoyable.

## SOC 214H1F Family Patterns

Instructor(s): S. Knudson

Enr: 63	Resp	35		R	etake: 90	1%		<u>Mean</u> 5.8 5.8		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	11	20	41	26	5.8		
Explains	0	0	2	8	22	34	31	5.8		
Communicates	0	0	0	8	31	40	20	5.7		

Teaching	0	0	2	5	25	42	22	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	82	8	5	2	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	8	79	2	5	2	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	7	33	37	18	3	4.8

This was a good summer course with an enthusiastic instructor. Knudson was a good lecturer with interesting material and good examples. The only concern was the marking of tests. Students found it confusing.

#### SOC 265H1F Gender and Society

Instructor(s): A. Green

Enr: 63	Resp:	45		Retake: 89%					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	2	0	6	6	20	42	22	5.6	
Explains	2	0	2	8	15	40	31	5.8	
Communicates	2	0	0	11	13	28	44	6.0	
Teaching	2	0	0	9	20	40	27	5.8	
Workload	4	2	15	56	11	2	6	4.0	
Difficulty	4	2	9	65	14	0	2	3.9	
Learning Exp	0	0	0	34	26	23	15	5.2	

Green was a great instructor who was very enthusiastic. His classes were very engaging and interesting. He was good at explaining concepts and made this summer course enjoyable.

#### SOC 302H1S Qualitative Analysis in Social Science Research

Instructor(s): J. Beglaubter

Enr: 7	Res	p: 5						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	60	40	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	60	40	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	40	60	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	40	60	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	80	0	20	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	80	20	0	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	50	50	0	5.5

Beglaubter was described as an excellent instructor who was praised as knowledgeable, engaging, enthusiastic, and also as having a "wonderful personality". She was also described as very well organized.

#### SOC 309Y1Y HIV and AIDs: Social Policies and Programs

Instructor(s): R. Travers

Enr: 104	Resp:	30		R				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	25	32	39	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	31	39	31	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	13	34	51	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	3	10	62	24	6.1
Workload	0	3	20	58	16	3	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	3	17	65	10	3	0	3.9
Learning Exp	0	0	0	13	34	30	21	5.6

Students thought Travers' lectures were extremely enjoyable and found him to be very insightful. Students felt that while the guest lecturers were enjoyable, they would have preferred to hear more from Travers himself. Students thought the course content was worthwhile and valuable.

## SOC 313H1S Social Control

Instructor(s): T. Frederick								
Enr: 74	Resp	: 33		R				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	9	30	33	27	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	12	25	34	28	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	3	18	46	31	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	9	30	36	24	5.8
Workload	0	6	3	81	6	3	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	3	9	72	9	6	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	39	21	21	17	5.2

Frederick was described as a caring and approachable instructor. He answered questions thoroughly and clearly, and was also friendly and interesting. Some students considered the textbook a "waste of time" compared to the lectures, as the lectures were more enjoyable and accounted for most of the test content.

## SOC 363H1F Sociology of Mental Health and Mental Disorders

Instructor(s): S. Schieman

Enr: 102	Resp:	66		R	etake: 87	%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	7	50	38	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	4	7	41	46	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	33	60	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	6	3	50	40	6.2
Workload	0	0	6	68	18	3	3	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	6	64	20	7	1	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	21	35	28	14	5.4

Schieman was an excellent instructor who was enthusiastic and very engaging. This was a great course overall. The students highly agreed and supported the suggestion of having a smaller follow-up course.

### SOC 367H1S Races, Class, and Gender

Instructor(s): J. Nikolaou

Enr: 101	Resp	: 34		R				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	5	2	14	20	32	20	5.2
Explains	2	0	5	23	20	20	26	5.3
Communicates	0	0	2	14	14	23	44	5.9
Teaching	2	2	0	11	29	26	26	5.5
Workload	0	0	0	34	25	21	18	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	18	37	28	15	5.4
Learning Exp	4	4	0	16	25	16	33	5.4

Students thought Nikolaou was very knowledgeable and explained the subject matter well. Some students found she used too many quotes from the textbook in her lectures. Students found the workload heavy, with too many and lengthy readings and too much material covered in class. Students also felt the marking was difficult.

# **SPANISH & PORTUGUESE**

## PRT 217H1F Language Practice

## Instructor(s): J. P. Ferreira

Enr: 8	Res	o: 8		R				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	25	50	25	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	12	50	37	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	62	25	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	75	25	6.2
Workload	0	0	25	62	12	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	4.0
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	16	66	16	6.0

## SPA 100Y1Y Spanish for Beginners

## Instructor(s): T. Battersby

Enr: 54	Resp	: 34		R				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	23	52	14	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	17	23	41	17	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	14	32	29	23	5.6
Teaching	0	0	2	14	26	35	20	5.6
Workload	2	0	0	50	17	17	11	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	2	41	20	23	11	5.0
Learning Exp	0	0	0	32	21	32	14	5.3

Students thought Battersby was enthusiastic in teaching the subject matter. Some students found the course difficult and felt lectures progressed too quickly.

#### Instructor(s): M. Ramirez

Enr: 59	Resp:	41		R	etake: 82	.%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	7	32	30	25	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	12	21	31	34	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	7	19	34	39	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	12	17	34	36	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	46	29	19	4	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	43	34	14	7	4.9
Learning Exp	0	3	0	18	18	43	15	5.5

Ramirez was an excellent instructor who explained concepts well. Students enjoyed learning Spanish.

## SPA 220Y1Y Intermediate Spanish

Instructor(s): N. Gonzalez

Enr: 28	Resp:	23		R	etake: 89	1%		<u>Mean</u> 5.7 5.7 6.2 6.2			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean			
Presents	0	4	8	0	26	26	34	5.7			
Explains	0	4	0	13	18	31	31	5.7			
Communicates	0	0	0	4	9	45	40	6.2			
Teaching	0	0	4	4	8	34	47	6.2			
Workload	0	4	9	28	47	9	0	4.5			

Difficulty	0	0	4	50	22	18	4	4.7
Learning Exp	0	0	0	35	7	21	35	5.6

## SPA 258H1F Introduction to Hispanic Literary Studies

Instructor(s): S. Munjic

Enr: 16	Resp	: 15		F	Retake: 64	%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	13	13	53	20	5.8
Explains	0	6	6	6	20	40	20	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	6	6	40	46	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	26	46	26	6.0
Workload	0	0	6	60	26	6	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	13	66	13	6	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	7	0	23	15	38	15	5.2

Munjic was a great instructor. Even though the class was demanding, students learned a lot.

# **TRINITY COLLEGE**

## TRN 305Y1Y Basic Principles of Law

Instructor(s): E. Fruchtman

Enr: 24	Resp: 15 Retake: 85%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	13	20	26	33	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	6	33	60	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	6	0	46	46	6.3
Workload	0	0	0	60	33	0	6	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	6	40	40	6	6	4.7
Learning Exp	0	9	0	18	9	9	54	5.7

Fruchtman was described as a passionate and enthusiastic lecturer. Students felt the knowledge gained was valuable and practical.

# UNIVERSITY COLLEGE

## UNI 255H1F History and Perspectives in Sexual Diversity

Instructor(s): S. Rayter

Enr: 44	Resp:	27		R	etake: 10	0%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	11	37	25	22	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	7	18	51	22	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	37	59	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	48	40	6.3
Workload	0	0	19	65	11	3	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	3	69	15	7	3	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	0	10	26	26	36	5.9

Students found the course material interesting and said Rayter was a good instructor.

# **WOMEN & GENDER STUDIES**

Instructor(s): M. Rivers-Moore

## WGS 374H1S Feminist Studies in Sexuality

Enr: 39	Resp: 19 Retake: 73%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	5	5	36	36	15	5.5	
Explains	0	0	0	16	22	38	22	5.7	
Communicates	0	0	0	5	27	33	33	5.9	
Teaching	0	0	0	11	17	47	23	5.8	
Workload	0	0	10	73	10	5	0	4.1	
Difficulty	0	5	15	57	10	10	0	4.1	
Learning Exp	0	0	7	21	50	21	0	4.9	

Students thought Rivers-Moore was an extremely friendly and approachable instructor. However, students felt the course was too introductory for a 300-level course and not in-depth enough.

# WOODSWORTH COLLEGE

## WDW 244H1F

Instructor(s): R. Gomez

Enr: 28	Resp:	25		F	Retake: 91	%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean					
Presents	0	0	0	12	32	24	32	5.8					
Explains	0	4	0	0	8	36	52	6.3					
Communicates	0	0	4	0	4	12	80	6.6					
Teaching	0	0	4	0	8	20	68	6.5					
Workload	0	4	8	52	36	0	0	4.2					
Difficulty	0	0	12	52	36	0	0	4.2					
Learning Exp	5	0	0	5	10	36	42	5.9					

Students enjoyed having Gomez as their instructor and said he was kind and approachable. Students found the course material heavy though.

## WDW 260H1F Organizational Behaviour

Instructor(s): L. Riznek

Enr: 37	Resp:	31		R	etake: 96	6%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	3	50	46	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	3	3	36	56	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	16	80	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	3	30	66	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	51	38	6	3	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	83	10	3	3	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	4	17	43	34	6.1

Students really enjoyed their experience with Riznek and the class. Riznek was great at keeping the class interesting with activities and appropriate examples. Students said she was "outstanding" and appreciated her passion for the material.

## WDW 394H1F Topics in Criminology (Managing Dissent)

Instructor(s):	D. Demos
----------------	----------

Enr: 34	Resp	27		R	etake: 73	3%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	0	3	25	25	25	14	5.1
Explains	0	0	0	18	29	29	22	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	11	25	29	33	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	18	18	25	39	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	51	29	11	7	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	3	51	18	14	11	4.8
Learning Exp	0	0	0	33	38	14	14	5.1

For a summer course, there was a lot of material to be covered, however, it was all interesting.