




Assu

ANTI-CALENDAR

published by the Arts & Science Students' Union





Sidney Smith Hall, Rm 1068

100 St. George Street

Tel: 416-978-4903

Fax: 416-971-2161

On the web:

www.assu.ca

E-mail us:

students.assu@utoronto.ca



EDITOR'S NOTES:

Thank you to the all instructors who participated in the summer evaluation process and to all the students who took the time to fill out the forms. The Departments also play a big role in the course evaluation process and so, I would like to take this time to thank them as well. Finally, I would like to thank the Dean's Office for their continued support of ASSU projects.

To my co-worker Ranjini (Rini) Ghosh, thank you for ALL the work you did with these evaluations, from all the summarizing, to all the typing. This ANTI-CALENDAR could not be produced without your efforts!

I would also like to thank Binish Ahmed and the ASSU Executives who helped out with the summaries - your work is greatly appreciated.

Jane Seto Paul

Executive 2010-11

Gavin Nowlan - President

Katharine Ball

Jeska Eedens

Grant Gonzales

Diana Markova

Onaizah Onaizah

Klaudia Pawlak

Staff

Jane Seto Paul - Executive Assistant

Rini Ghosh - Administrative Officer

Yoko Minoda - Administrative Assistant

Evaluations from F, S and Y Summer 2010 courses were used to produce this Summer *ANTI-CALENDAR*. To use these evaluations effectively, please read the following **METHODOLOGY**:

All numbers represent the percentages (%) of respondents.

Enr: Actual number of students enrolled at the time of the survey.

Resp: Number of students who responded to the survey.

Retake: Considering your experience with this course, and disregarding your need for it to meet program or degree requirements, would you still have taken this course?

For the Instructor(s):

1=extremely poor 2=very poor 3=poor 4=adequate 5=good 6=very good 7=outstanding

PRESENTATION: Presents the material in an organized, well-planned manner.

EXPLAINS: Explains concepts clearly and with appropriate use of examples.

COMMUNICATION: Communicates enthusiasm and interest in the course material.

TEACHING: All things considered, performs effectively as a university teacher.

For the Course:

1=very low 2=low 3=below average 4=average 5=above average 6=high 7=very high

WORKLOAD: Compared to other courses at the same level, the workload is...

DIFFICULTY: Compared to other courses at the same level, the level of difficulty of the material is...

LEARNING EXPERIENCE: The value of the overall learning experience is...



THIS PUBLICATION WAS PRODUCED BY UNION LABOUR
CANADIAN UNION OF PUBLIC EMPLOYEES (CUPE)
LOCAL 1281

ABORIGINAL STUDIES

ABS 201Y1Y Introduction to Aboriginal Studies

Instructor(s): E. Neegan

Enr: 38	Resp: 32			Retake: 93%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	0	6	21	31	37	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	3	12	37	46	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	9	9	81	6.7
Teaching	0	0	3	3	0	31	62	6.5
Workload	0	0	3	70	19	6	0	4.3
Difficulty	3	0	9	67	16	3	0	4.0
Learning Exp	0	0	0	13	17	24	44	6.0

Many students stated that Neegan was a great instructor, who came across as passionate, enthusiastic, helpful and knowledgeable. They enjoyed the course and found it to be a valuable learning experience.

ACTUARIAL SCIENCE & STATISTICS

ACT 230H1F Mathematics of Finance for Non-Actuaries

Instructor(s): K. Sharp

Enr: 40	Resp:24			Retake: 91%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	0	33	45	16	5.7
Explains	0	0	4	8	25	37	25	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	25	29	45	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	25	29	45	6.2
Workload	0	8	8	70	8	0	4	4.0
Difficulty	0	8	8	45	25	8	4	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	30	26	39	4	5.2

Sharp was described by students as a knowledgeable instructor who made “dry” material fairly interesting. He used “real-world” examples in class to aid understanding.

ACT 240H1F Mathematics of Investment & Credit

Instructor(s): K. Sharp

Enr: 56	Resp: 28			Retake: 71%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	57	17	21	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	11	40	33	14	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	10	10	28	50	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	25	50	25	6.0
Workload	7	0	3	28	39	17	3	4.6
Difficulty	0	7	0	28	39	14	10	4.9
Learning Exp	0	0	4	30	34	17	13	5.0

Students found the course and the instructor helpful. Sharp was generally well-liked by students.

2 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

ACT 245H1S Financial Principles of Actuarial Science I

Instructor(s): K. Sharp

Enr: 37	Resp: 25			Retake: 63%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	24	48	20	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	8	24	44	24	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	24	44	32	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	4	16	56	24	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	40	36	16	8	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	28	44	20	8	5.1
Learning Exp	0	0	4	33	29	29	4	5.0

A few students said Sharp was a great instructor.

ACT 247H1S Introductory Life Contingencies

Instructor(s): K. Sharp

Enr: 51	Resp: 33			Retake: 55%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	9	43	25	18	5.5
Explains	0	0	3	12	39	33	12	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	48	33	18	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	6	31	46	15	5.7
Workload	0	0	0	25	34	25	15	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	3	18	28	34	15	5.4
Learning Exp	0	3	0	35	28	28	3	4.9

STA 220H1F The Practice of Statistics I

Instructor(s): A. Vukov

Enr: 158	Resp: 61			Retake: 47%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	1	0	8	6	32	31	19	5.4
Explains	0	1	6	18	22	24	26	5.4
Communicates	3	0	3	11	32	29	19	5.4
Teaching	1	0	1	10	26	33	26	5.7
Workload	1	1	6	49	21	8	11	4.6
Difficulty	1	1	9	50	18	14	3	4.4
Learning Exp	1	1	3	45	27	17	14	4.5

While students found the course useful and the instructor helpful, a few students seemed to have some problems with the general organization of the course (lack of online lecture slides, tests. etc)

STA 221H1S The Practice of Statistics II

Instructor(s): A. Sabeti

Enr: 43	Resp: 18			Retake: 20%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	38	22	16	22	5.2
Explains	0	0	16	27	16	22	16	4.9
Communicates	5	5	11	27	16	27	5	4.5
Teaching	0	0	11	38	16	22	11	4.8
Workload	6	0	0	37	31	18	6	4.7

Difficulty	5	0	0	41	17	23	11	4.8
Learning Exp	0	7	14	57	21	0	0	3.9

Students did not feel enthusiasm or passion for the course material and said that the difficulty of the tests was not reflected in lectures.

STA 250H1F Statistical Concepts

Instructor(s): A. Vukov

Enr: 17	Resp: 12			Retake: 50%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	41	25	33	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	45	27	27	5.8
Communicates	0	0	8	8	16	41	25	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	33	25	41	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	36	36	18	9	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	41	25	16	16	5.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	44	44	0	11	4.8

The students generally seemed to have enjoyed the course material and Vukov's teaching.

STA 257H1F Probability and Statistics

Instructor(s): P. McDunnough

Enr: 83	Resp: 29			Retake: 42%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	17	21	25	21	10	4.8
Explains	0	3	17	25	14	25	14	4.8
Communicates	0	3	10	17	39	17	21	5.2
Teaching	0	3	3	18	33	22	18	5.2
Workload	0	3	3	32	25	25	10	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	29	29	22	18	5.3
Learning Exp	0	4	4	33	33	20	4	4.8

While students felt that McDunnough was a knowledgeable instructor, they also said that the course could have been better organized.

STA 261H1S Probability and Statistics II

Instructor(s): Y. Cao

Enr: 63	Resp: 40			Retake: 44%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	5	7	7	20	25	15	17	4.7
Explains	7	2	15	22	17	22	12	4.6
Communicates	2	5	5	10	32	25	20	5.2
Teaching	5	2	7	15	27	27	15	5.0
Workload	0	0	2	55	12	25	5	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	37	25	27	10	5.1
Learning Exp	0	11	17	23	20	26	0	4.3

Students seemed generally unhappy with the course. They felt that Cao was unprepared and did not explain to her students her expectations of them. Students found the tests and assignments difficult.

4 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

STA 304H1F Surveys, Sampling and Observational Data

Instructor(s): D. Banjevic

Enr: 68	Resp: 34			Retake: 78%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	0	2	20	26	20	26	5.4
Explains	2	0	0	5	47	14	29	5.6
Communicates	0	2	2	20	26	29	17	5.3
Teaching	2	0	0	17	26	23	29	5.5
Workload	0	0	5	79	8	2	2	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	5	58	29	2	2	4.4
Learning Exp	3	0	7	48	29	0	11	4.4

Overall, students liked having Banjevic as a lecturer. He was clear and gave detail oriented lectures.

STA 457H1S Time Series Analysis

Instructor(s): J. Lin

Enr: 79	Resp: 43			Retake: 66%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	19	38	33	9	5.3
Explains	2	0	6	25	32	23	9	4.9
Communicates	0	0	0	16	27	27	27	5.7
Teaching	0	2	2	12	36	24	21	5.4
Workload	4	0	4	72	9	9	0	4.1
Difficulty	2	0	0	50	23	21	2	4.7
Learning Exp	0	0	0	31	24	31	13	5.3

The lecturer seemed to be generally well-liked by students. He was reasonable and friendly.

ANATOMY

ANA 300Y1Y Human Anatomy and Histology

Instructor(s): B. Ballyk

Enr: 98	Resp: 67			Retake: 80%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	1	6	21	71	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	4	18	77	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	1	6	13	78	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	4	4	23	67	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	8	26	34	29	5.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	12	42	27	18	5.5
Learning Exp	0	0	0	7	23	38	30	5.9

Ballyk was described by students as an enthusiastic, knowledgeable and approachable instructor. He made the material interesting.

Students enjoyed the coursework and the topics covered in class although some commented that the workload was a little heavy.



ANTHROPOLOGY

ANT 100Y1Y Introduction to Anthropology

Instructor(s): S. Lehman

Enr: 78	Resp: 42			Retake: 69%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	17	35	42	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	4	11	45	38	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	2	7	21	69	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	9	14	31	43	6.1
Workload	0	2	7	43	33	7	5	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	12	60	20	7	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	3	34	34	21	6	4.9

Students said that Lehman delivered the course material in an enthusiastic and exciting manner. He was informative and helpful. Students found the material interesting, although fairly dense and intensive.

ANT 200Y1Y Introduction to Archaeology

Instructor(s): C. Watts

Enr: 45	Resp: 31			Retake: 77%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	16	35	45	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	22	32	45	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	38	48	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	12	48	38	6.3
Workload	0	3	6	74	9	6	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	3	6	77	6	6	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	4	40	28	12	16	5.0

Students seemed to have enjoyed having Watts as a lecturer. He was approachable and friendly. Some students said that sometimes lectures could feel rushed and felt that putting lecture slides online would help.

ANT 204H1F Anthropology of the Contemporary World

Instructor(s): L. Jermyn

Enr: 73	Resp: 50			Retake: 91%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	0	18	32	48	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	10	36	54	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	2	10	28	60	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	4	8	38	50	6.3
Workload	0	0	4	65	22	8	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	2	4	68	20	2	2	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	2	11	15	33	37	5.9

Students appreciated that the course content was relevant to everyday life, which made classes interesting. Jermyn was described as a passionate instructor who lectured with enthusiasm and made her students think critically about everyday issues.

6 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

ANT 253H1F Language & Society

Instructor(s): M. Danesi

	Resp: 92			Retake: 90%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	1	0	1	6	21	29	39	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	3	13	26	57	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	1	4	15	79	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	1	6	19	72	6.6
Workload	12	6	17	54	3	4	1	3.5
Difficulty	5	8	15	58	4	5	1	3.7
Learning Exp	0	0	0	23	22	19	34	5.6

Students found the course material interesting. Danesi was described by students as an easy-going instructor who clearly cared about his students. Students found his enthusiasm infectious.

ANT 311Y1S Archaeological Fieldwork

Instructor(s): S. Stewart

	Resp: 18			Retake: 100%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	26	26	33	13	5.3
Explains	0	0	0	20	33	26	20	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	26	66	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	13	53	33	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	52	11	23	11	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	11	35	41	11	0	4.5
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	20	20	60	6.4

Students felt that the learning experience was good. They said that the fieldwork was enjoyable and helpful. The value of the material presented in class was said to have been very high.

ANT 350H1F Anthropology of Work

Instructor(s): L. Jermyn

	Resp: 45			Retake: 85%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	2	4	15	47	29	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	11	13	37	37	6.0
Communicates	2	0	0	4	11	38	43	6.1
Teaching	0	0	2	6	15	35	40	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	22	34	31	11	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	26	38	30	4	5.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	25	34	21	18	5.3

Students found Jermyn to be knowledgeable and enthusiastic about the course material. However, some students said she could be a harsh marker at times. They course material was interesting and well-organized.

ANT 373H1S Anthropology of Education

Instructor(s): L. Jermyn

	Resp: 27			Retake: 74%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	7	26	23	42	6.0

Explains	0	0	0	7	19	23	50	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	3	18	18	59	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	3	7	34	53	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	19	42	19	19	5.4
Difficulty	0	3	0	29	29	25	11	5.1
Learning Exp	5	0	0	15	15	25	40	5.7

Students enjoyed the course and the instructor, although, some felt that the course material could be a bit heavy.

Jermyn was well-liked by students who said she was knowledgeable and well-organized. She presented the material well and made her expectation clear. Students felt comfortable in class and enjoyed participating.

ARCHITECTURE

ARC 231H1F Architecture and Technology

Instructor(s): A. Bobbette

Enr: 76

Resp: 46

Retake: 87%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	15	54	25	6.0
Explains	0	0	2	8	8	46	33	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	2	17	24	55	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	2	13	42	42	6.2
Workload	0	0	9	51	30	9	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	2	28	40	26	2	5.0
Learning Exp	0	0	2	0	16	32	48	6.2

Students found the course to be interesting , eye-opening and useful. The instructor facilitated good discussions in class and was enthusiastic.

ART

FAH 216H1F Later Medieval Art and Architecture

Instructor(s): J. Wollesen

Enr: 41

Resp: 29

Retake: 74%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	31	41	17	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	13	20	34	31	5.8
Communicates	0	3	0	3	17	34	41	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	10	21	35	32	5.9
Workload	0	0	7	75	17	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	3	81	11	3	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	43	37	12	6	4.8

Many students described Wollesen as a great instructor. He was noted for his enthusiasm and was called knowledgeable, engaging and an effective communicator who made the lectures interesting and enjoyable. However, a few students complained about the content and format of the mid-term test and deemed it unfair.

FAH 246H1F The Rise and Fall of the Modernist Empire c.1900 to the Present

Instructor(s): A. Mikulinsky

Enr: 47

Resp: 39

Retake: 92%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	2	10	61	23	6.0

8 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

Explains	0	0	0	2	15	48	33	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	32	63	6.6
Teaching	0	0	2	2	15	30	48	6.2
Workload	2	0	5	84	7	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	2	0	13	81	2	0	0	3.8
Learning Exp	0	0	0	20	37	12	29	5.5

Many students praised the instructor as a great teacher, who was approachable, enthusiastic, knowledgeable and helpful. Students found the course material interesting and the lectures valuable.

FAH 262H1F Art and Visual Experience in Modern and Contemporary East Asia

Instructor(s): J. Poborsa

Enr: 56	Resp: 28			Retake: 84%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	0	21	14	50	10	5.4
Explains	0	3	3	3	33	44	11	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	7	3	46	42	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	10	28	42	17	5.7
Workload	0	0	0	37	44	18	0	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	28	50	21	0	4.9
Learning Exp	4	4	0	33	25	16	16	4.9

Some students indicated that they found this course valuable and the lectures interesting. Many students complained that the instructor rushed at the end and spoke too fast during lectures. Overall, Poborsa was praised as an enthusiastic and good instructor.

FAH 272H1S Modern Architecture from 1750 to the Present

Instructor(s): T. Senkevitch

Enr: 74	Resp: 42			Retake: 58%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	17	25	32	15	5	4.5
Explains	0	0	5	27	32	25	10	5.1
Communicates	0	0	2	2	23	23	48	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	20	27	35	17	5.5
Workload	0	2	5	55	26	7	2	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	64	25	10	0	4.5
Learning Exp	0	0	0	22	40	22	14	5.3

While some students said Senkevitch was knowledgeable, nice and enthusiastic, a few mentioned that there could have been a bit more organization.

FAH 330H1S German Art and Architecture in the Age of Durer

Instructor(s): G. Lamsechi

Enr: 36	Resp: 28			Retake: 58%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	11	3	46	23	15	5.3
Explains	0	0	3	14	22	44	14	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	11	22	29	37	5.9
Teaching	0	0	3	7	23	34	30	5.8
Workload	0	3	7	57	26	3	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	3	7	66	18	3	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	31	22	36	9	5.2

Lamsechi was deemed a very personable and great instructor who was always available to help students.

VIS 201H1F Painting: Methods and Materials

Instructor(s): P. Smith

Enr: 25	Resp: 20			Retake: 100%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	21	42	26	5	5.1
Explains	0	0	0	35	30	25	10	5.1
Communicates	0	0	0	25	10	45	20	5.6
Teaching	0	0	10	10	35	30	15	5.3
Workload	0	0	0	42	36	21	0	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	5	63	26	5	0	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	7	30	23	23	15	5.1

Students generally liked the instructor and enjoyed the course.

VIS 320H1S Critical Curatorial Lab

Instructor(s): S. Kerr

Enr: 16	Resp: 13			Retake: 91%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	25	50	16	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	8	33	33	25	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	25	41	33	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	33	50	16	5.8
Workload	0	0	15	76	7	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	15	84	0	0	0	3.8
Learning Exp	0	0	0	14	14	57	14	5.7

ASTRONOMY

AST 201H1F Stars and Galaxies

Instructor(s): S-W. Lee

Enr: 250	Resp: 143			Retake: 80%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	29	46	19	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	6	34	41	17	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	4	28	36	27	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	4	26	51	16	5.8
Workload	2	3	16	61	9	4	0	3.9
Difficulty	2	2	14	63	12	2	2	4.0
Learning Exp	1	2	3	33	24	21	12	4.9

Many students found the course enjoyable and praised the instructor as an effective communicator who presented lecture material in an organized and clear way. Some students further described her as enthusiastic and knowledgeable. A number of students indicated that the course was extremely fast-paced and there were an extensive number of evaluations in a 6 week period. Additionally, quite a few students complained that the tutorials were unhelpful and the T.A. generally came across as unprepared. Some students indicated that they would have liked to see the correct answers be made available after receiving back their weekly quizzes.

10 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

AST 210H1F Great Moments in Astronomy

Instructor(s): I. Shelton

Enr: 58

Resp: 30

Retake: 75%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	0	6	16	23	33	16	5.2
Explains	0	0	3	13	33	40	10	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	10	10	50	30	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	13	23	43	20	5.7
Workload	0	0	16	53	20	10	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	6	20	26	26	20	0	4.3
Learning Exp	0	3	7	35	17	28	7	4.8

While students found Shelton to be knowledgeable, they said that they would have appreciated it if he would put the lecture slides online.

CELL & SYSTEMS BIOLOGY

BIO 240H1F Molecular Biology

Instructor(s): D. Dansereau

Enr: 148

Resp: 123

Retake: 61%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	23	41	28	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	5	17	36	40	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	7	8	35	48	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	8	12	43	35	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	45	30	15	7	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	39	42	14	4	4.8
Learning Exp	0	1	0	34	34	23	7	5.0

Dansereau was described by students as an instructor who explained concepts clearly, and used examples well in class. He was organized and a generally effective lecturer.

BIO 241H1S Cell and Developmental Biology

Instructor(s): D. Dansereau

Enr: 121

Resp: 107

Retake: 34%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	7	31	34	24	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	9	31	36	22	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	16	18	37	27	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	10	27	39	25	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	40	39	16	3	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	41	31	22	3	4.9
Learning Exp	2	1	2	48	20	17	7	4.6

Students had some complaints about the course: the material was not interesting. Some students complained about lab organization and structure.

However, students seemed to like Dansereau. They said he was approachable and fun. He explained concepts clearly and was an effective instructor overall.

BIO 270H1F Animal Physiology I

Instructor(s): C. Youngson

Enr: 120

Resp: 68

Retake: 81%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	19	38	38	6.1
Explains	0	0	1	8	16	42	30	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	1	17	39	41	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	1	11	44	42	6.3
Workload	0	1	10	71	14	0	1	4.1
Difficulty	0	1	13	73	8	1	1	4.0
Learning Exp	0	0	5	40	31	11	11	4.8

Students found the lecturer knowledgeable and said that she explained concepts well in class. She was approachable and friendly. However a few students said that sometimes she spoke too fast, which made it hard to keep up in lectures.

BIO 271H1S Animal Physiology II

Instructor(s): J. Forder

Enr: 105

Resp: 57

Retake: 70%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	7	26	35	28	3	4.9
Explains	0	0	8	17	38	22	12	5.1
Communicates	0	0	5	14	28	26	25	5.5
Teaching	1	0	5	17	42	26	7	5.1
Workload	0	1	18	69	10	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	3	16	65	12	1	0	3.9
Learning Exp	0	0	4	53	20	17	4	4.6

While some students felt Forder was knowledgeable and explained concepts well, others felt that she sometimes read off slides too much and lacked clarity.

CSB 328H1F Developmental Physiology

Instructor(s): D. Dansereau

Enr: 37

Resp: 26

Retake: 69%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	7	19	38	30	5.8
Explains	0	0	3	0	19	50	26	6.0
Communicates	0	0	3	0	15	42	38	6.1
Teaching	3	0	0	7	11	42	34	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	23	57	15	3	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	26	38	30	3	5.1
Learning Exp	0	5	0	21	31	42	0	5.1

Dansereau as a clear and concise lecturer who presented the course material in a manner which made it easy to understand.



12 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

CSB 332H1S Neurobiology of the Synapse

Instructor(s): J. Forder

	Resp: 77			Retake: 71%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	1	9	22	25	26	15	5.1
Explains	0	5	3	14	27	35	14	5.3
Communicates	0	0	1	11	18	35	33	5.9
Teaching	0	2	6	11	23	36	19	5.4
Workload	0	6	14	64	12	2	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	6	14	65	9	4	0	3.9
Learning Exp	1	3	12	38	26	10	7	4.4

Students felt Forder was knowledgeable in the course material. She took the time to answer questions in class. Students appreciated the quizzes and said they forced them to study and helped them prepare for the tests and exam.

CSB 474H1F Methods in Genomics and Proteomics

Instructor(s): P. Wang

	Resp: 13			Retake: 80%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	23	38	38	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	30	46	23	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	23	46	30	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	15	53	30	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	0	30	30	40	6.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	20	30	30	20	5.5
Learning Exp	0	0	0	9	0	36	54	6.4

CHEMISTRY

CHM 138H1F Introductory Organic Chemistry I

Instructor(s): K. Quinlan; M. Winnick

Enr: 255	Resp: 79			Retake: 49%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
<u>Quinlan:</u>								
Presents	0	0	0	12	15	44	27	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	9	20	28	41	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	7	22	38	31	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	6	15	40	37	6.1
<u>Winnick:</u>								
Presents	0	0	1	9	18	35	36	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	7	11	36	43	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	3	9	27	59	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	5	10	32	51	6.3
<u>Course:</u>								
Workload	0	0	0	26	33	26	13	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	34	38	18	9	5.0
Learning Exp	0	1	0	34	29	22	11	5.1

Students generally praised the instructors as approachable, enthusiastic and helpful. They further commented that the course was well organized and administered.

Winnick was frequently commended for his clear and thorough explanations during lectures.

CHM 139H1S Chemistry: Physical Principles

Instructor(s): K. Quinlan

Enr: 235	Resp: 83			Retake: 32%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	12	37	41	6.1
Explains	0	0	1	11	9	43	34	6.0
Communicates	0	0	1	6	8	37	44	6.2
Teaching	1	0	1	8	6	35	47	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	23	24	29	22	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	1	21	25	34	16	5.4
Learning Exp	4	2	4	38	25	16	7	4.6

Students said Quinlan was an approachable and friendly instructor who explained key concepts well. Many students said that they felt Quinlan genuinely wanted them to do well. She was well-organized. Many students said they were glad that they took this course with her during the summer as opposed to the regular school year.

However, students found the course material hard, dull and dry.

CHM 220H1F Physical Chemistry for Life Sciences

Instructor(s): T. Mirkovic

Enr: 105	Resp: 59			Retake: 15%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	5	1	6	8	25	32	20	5.3
Explains	5	3	5	22	32	16	15	4.8
Communicates	6	3	6	20	23	22	16	4.8
Teaching	5	3	5	15	22	25	22	5.1
Workload	0	0	0	32	22	32	12	5.2
Difficulty	1	0	0	15	30	30	22	5.5
Learning Exp	4	0	11	35	28	11	7	4.5

A number of students praised the instructor, and indicated that she was a great teacher. However many had overall complaints about the course. Some students indicated that the course material was rushed and not enough time was given to understand the material thoroughly. A few complained about the lack of tutorials and there was a recommendation to put problem solutions on Blackboard to better use limited time during class. A number of students found the course material extremely difficult. Finally, there was a recommendation to provide students with more time during tests to respond to questions.

CLASSICS**CLA 230H1F Introduction to Greek History**

Instructor(s): M. Campbell

Enr: 64	Resp: 37			Retake: 70%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	16	32	32	16	5.4
Explains	0	0	5	8	40	24	21	5.5
Communicates	2	0	0	5	27	45	18	5.7
Teaching	0	0	5	11	33	33	16	5.4
Workload	0	2	8	44	16	22	5	4.6
Difficulty	0	2	2	85	27	2	5	4.4
Learning Exp	0	3	3	35	28	28	0	4.8

Some students found the course material interesting and indicated that the instructor was successful at making the course an enriching experience. However, quite a few students noted that the required reading load was too heavy. They

14 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

indicated a need for feedback on evaluated work, and commented that the instructor often spoke too fast.

CLA 233H1S Introduction to Roman Society and Culture

Instructor(s): T. Perry

	Resp: 43			Retake: 90%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	2	2	16	48	30	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	4	11	58	25	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	13	27	44	13	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	4	11	58	25	6.0
Workload	0	4	2	69	18	4	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	13	67	18	0	0	4.0
Learning Exp	0	0	0	30	24	36	9	5.2

Students thought that Perry was well-organized, a good presenter and very knowledgeable. Some students thought that there should have been more interaction between the instructor and students. Others also thought that his paper slides should have been on PowerPoint and uploaded on Blackboard.

CLA 305H1S Theories of Myth

Instructor(s): J. Westerhold

	Resp: 25			Retake: 78%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	4	9	33	42	9	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	14	28	33	23	5.7
Communicates	0	0	4	0	19	23	52	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	4	9	61	23	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	52	28	14	4	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	57	23	14	4	4.7
Learning Exp	0	0	0	30	15	38	15	5.4

Students found Westerhold to be a friendly and approachable instructor. She was knowledgeable and helpful to her students.

CLA 363H1F The Rise and Fall of Athens

Instructor(s): D. Sells

	Resp: 24			Retake: 95%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	4	12	33	41	8	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	4	12	58	25	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	4	12	45	37	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	4	8	58	29	6.1
Workload	0	0	16	70	4	4	4	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	8	83	0	4	4	4.1
Learning Exp	5	0	0	35	20	40	0	4.8

Sells was an approachable and fair instructor who was organized. His lectures were humorous and presented with enthusiasm.

GRK 100Y1Y/ GRK 102H1S Introductory Ancient Greek/ Introductory Ancient Greek: Continuation

Instructor(s): L. Sawchuk

Enr: 12

Resp: 9

Retake: 100%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	11	11	55	22	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	11	0	44	44	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	11	11	55	22	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	55	33	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	12	25	37	25	5.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	25	37	25	12	5.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	28	57	14	5.9

Students appreciated Sawchuk's breadth of knowledge in the subject matter. He was available to students for questions and his additional notes were very helpful to students.

COMPUTER SCIENCE**CSC 108H1Y Introduction to Computer Programming**

Instructor(s): Y. Freund

Enr: 71

Resp: 34

Retake: 67%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	9	25	34	31	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	6	31	37	25	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	9	12	38	38	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	3	15	56	25	6.0
Workload	0	3	6	21	37	18	12	5.0
Difficulty	0	3	6	40	15	25	9	4.8
Learning Exp	0	0	3	11	38	38	7	5.3

Students enjoyed Freund's enthusiasm and found him friendly and approachable.

CSC 165H1F Mathematical Expression and Reasoning for Computer Science

Instructor(s): B. Zimny

Enr: 46

Resp: 31

Retake: 66%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	16	35	45	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	3	22	22	51	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	29	58	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	12	32	54	6.4
Workload	0	0	10	56	16	13	3	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	6	58	19	9	6	4.5
Learning Exp	0	0	0	26	26	23	23	5.4

Zimny was described by students as an enthusiastic lecturer who made the classes fun. He was helpful and approachable.



16 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

CSC 209H1Y Soft Tools and Systems Programming

Instructor(s): N. Lockwood

Enr: 33	Resp: 22			Retake: 65%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	14	19	42	23	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	23	19	33	23	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	4	18	27	50	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	9	22	45	22	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	22	45	27	4	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	22	40	27	9	5.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	18	50	25	6	5.2

Students found that Lockwood was helpful and approachable, Students said he performed well as an instructor.

CSC 373H1Y Algorithm Design & Analysis

Instructor(s): S. Chaplick

Enr: 44	Resp: 21			Retake: 36%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	14	14	23	14	28	4	4.4
Explains	4	9	19	28	19	19	0	4.0
Communicates	4	9	9	19	17	38	4	4.6
Teaching	0	9	19	19	28	23	0	4.4
Workload	0	0	0	42	28	9	19	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	14	28	19	38	5.8
Learning Exp	0	4	19	23	28	23	0	4.5

Chaplick was described by students as approachable and understanding. Students felt that the material could have been better presented and lectures better organized.

EAST ASIAN

EAS 100Y1Y Modern Chinese I for Students with Prior Background

Instructor(s): X. Xu; Y. Li

Enr: 19	Resp: 12			Retake: 80%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
<u>Xu:</u>								
Presents	0	9	9	0	54	18	9	4.9
Explains	0	0	18	0	18	36	27	5.5
Communicates	0	9	9	0	9	36	36	5.6
Teaching	0	0	9	18	18	29	29	5.5
<u>Li:</u>								
Presents	0	0	0	9	45	36	9	5.5
Explains	0	0	9	9	27	36	18	5.5
Communicates	0	9	9	9	18	36	18	5.2
Teaching	9	0	9	9	18	27	27	5.2
<u>Course</u>								
Workload	0	0	8	0	41	33	16	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	33	33	16	16	5.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	20	30	30	20	5.5

EAS 102Y1Y Introduction to East Asian Civilizations

Instructor(s): J. Stowe

Enr: 78

Resp: 55

Retake: 56%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	7	1	5	21	34	14	14	4.8
Explains	5	0	5	20	22	33	12	5.1
Communicates	1	0	7	7	16	41	25	5.6
Teaching	3	0	9	5	32	27	21	5.3
Workload	1	1	7	53	21	5	7	4.4
Difficulty	1	1	9	48	23	9	5	4.4
Learning Exp	4	4	8	45	17	13	6	4.3

EAS 110Y1Y Modern Standard Korean I

Instructor(s): H.Y. Im

Enr: 51

Resp: 43

Retake: 92%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	9	43	41	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	2	9	47	40	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	4	2	45	47	6.4
Teaching	0	0	2	0	4	37	55	6.4
Workload	0	4	13	37	25	13	4	4.4
Difficulty	0	2	13	39	25	13	4	4.5
Learning Exp	0	2	0	23	18	23	31	5.6

Im was described by students as a very nice instructor who was approachable and friendly. She made herself available for consultation and explained concepts clearly.

EAS 120Y1Y Modern Standard Japanese I

Instructor(s): M. Kondo

Enr: 45

Resp: 39

Retake: 94%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	15	35	48	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	17	335	46	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	17	71	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	15	28	56	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	17	15	48	17	5.7
Difficulty	0	0	2	41	30	10	15	4.9
Learning Exp	0	0	0	15	23	23	18	5.8

Students seemed to have generally enjoyed the course and the instructor.

EAS 217Y1F Major Aspects of Contemporary Korea

Instructor(s): Y-S. Yoo

Enr: 58

Resp: 44

Retake: 79%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	0	2	15	34	25	20	5.4
Explains	2	2	0	15	38	22	18	5.3
Communicates	2	0	0	11	32	27	25	5.6
Teaching	0	4	4	9	28	33	19	5.4
Workload	0	4	4	54	18	13	4	4.5

18 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

Difficulty	4	2	4	52	20	9	6	4.4
Learning Exp	3	0	6	24	36	15	15	5.0

Many students commented that the instructor was good, the course material was interesting and that they enjoyed the course. However, these students frequently complained about the tests as being unfair. They indicated that the question format was an ineffective method of evaluating students' knowledge and instead recommended that the instructor include essay style questions in the future.

EAS 245H1S Pre-Modern Japanese History

Instructor(s): C. Griffiths

Enr: 39	Resp: 31			Retake: 82%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	29	16	41	12	5.4
Explains	0	3	3	9	29	35	19	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	25	41	32	6.1
Teaching	0	0	3	3	32	35	25	5.8
Workload	6	0	31	51	6	3	0	3.6
Difficulty	6	0	16	67	9	0	0	3.7
Learning Exp	0	0	0	25	33	33	8	5.2

Generally students enjoyed the course material and the instructor's teaching style.

EAS 334Y1Y The Chinese Novel

Instructor(s): G. Pan

Enr: 39	Resp: 25			Retake: 83%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	25	20	37	16	5.5
Explains	0	0	4	12	37	33	12	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	8	25	37	29	5.9
Teaching	0	0	4	16	29	29	20	5.5
Workload	0	0	0	70	12	8	8	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	8	66	12	8	4	4.3
Learning Exp	5	0	0	40	35	15	5	4.7

EAS 340Y1F The Chinese: Society & Culture

Instructor(s): V. Falkenheim

Enr: 150	Resp: 44			Retake: 83%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	30	23	26	16	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	21	33	26	19	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	11	23	30	33	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	14	21	42	21	5.7
Workload	0	0	4	43	26	14	9	4.8
Difficulty	0	2	7	56	21	9	2	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	0	19	38	22	19	5.4

Falkenheim was praised for his enthusiasm and ability to make the course enjoyable and interesting. However, students complained that the required reading load was high. Additionally students complained that the weight (%) of the tests, especially the first one being 40% of the total grade should have been distributed differently.

ECOLOGY & EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY

BIO 150Y1Y Organisms in Their Environment

Instructor(s): L. Duquette

	Resp: 70			Retake: 80%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	11	18	50	20	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	7	22	37	32	6.0
Communicates	0	0	1	5	17	34	41	6.1
Teaching	0	1	0	4	25	37	31	5.9
Workload	1	0	8	55	26	4	4	4.3
Difficulty	1	0	2	54	29	5	5	4.5
Learning Exp	0	1	3	23	32	25	13	5.2

Duquette was appreciated by students as a knowledgeable instructor who made the course material interesting. She was enthusiastic about the course material and organized.

EEB 405H1S Experimental Ecology and Evolution in Southern Ontario

Instructor(s): I. Stehlik

	Resp: 12			Retake: 100%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	25	58	16	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	25	33	41	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	25	66	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	16	25	58	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	50	20	30	0	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	60	20	20	0	4.6
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	10	10	80	6.7

Students thought Stehlik was "the best". They really appreciated the hands-on learning experience.

EEB 409H1F Field Ornithology

Instructor(s): A. Mills

	Resp: 18			Retake: 100%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	11	27	61	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	44	55	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	5	0	0	94	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	11	83	6.8
Workload	0	17	11	52	17	0	0	3.7
Difficulty	0	11	5	77	0	5	0	3.8
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8

Students found Mills' knowledge of birds unmatched. They said his enthusiasm for the subject matter was infectious. The course was well-structured and organized. Students really enjoyed the field trip and found that the external researchers were friendly and welcoming.



ECONOMICS**ECO 100Y1Y Introduction to Economics**

Instructor(s): M. Hare

Enr: 135

Resp: 29

Retake: 68%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	3	21	39	21	10	5.0
Explains	0	3	3	35	39	7	10	4.8
Communicates	0	3	3	32	35	17	7	4.8
Teaching	0	0	3	17	39	28	10	5.2
Workload	3	0	7	71	14	3	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	3	3	57	25	7	3	4.4
Learning Exp	5	0	0	68	15	5	5	4.3

Hare was appreciated by students as a knowledgeable instructor. However students said the tests were not effective tools of testing their understanding of the material.

Instructor(s): G. Indart

Enr: 125

Resp: 50

Retake: 69%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	16	4	35	43	6.1
Explains	0	0	4	18	16	38	22	5.6
Communicates	0	0	8	14	26	30	20	5.4
Teaching	0	0	2	8	22	32	34	5.9
Workload	0	0	4	55	20	12	8	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	4	44	28	12	10	4.8
Learning Exp	0	0	4	24	34	29	7	5.1

Indart was an enthusiastic lecturer who explained concepts well. He was friendly, and helpful. Some students felt that the workload was high and the material was difficult.

Instructor(s): G. Indart

Enr: 174

Resp: 55

Retake: 75%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	9	20	27	38	5.8
Explains	0	3	9	7	29	34	16	5.3
Communicates	1	7	1	5	12	34	36	5.7
Teaching	0	1	5	9	11	37	35	5.8
Workload	1	0	14	43	21	16	1	4.4
Difficulty	1	0	5	45	23	14	9	4.7
Learning Exp	0	2	6	22	31	25	12	5.1

Indart was accessible, approachable and friendly. He explained concepts well and students said they found his slides clear, organized and helpful. Some students said they would have appreciated some more time for term tests.

ECO 105Y1Y Principles of Economics for Non-Specialists

Instructor(s): K. Furlong

Enr: 82

Resp: 45

Retake: 74%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	4	9	11	27	27	18	5.2

Explains	0	2	4	9	25	30	27	5.6
Communicates	0	0	2	9	18	20	48	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	16	14	30	38	5.9
Workload	4	2	11	61	16	2	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	9	9	47	19	14	0	4.2
Learning Exp	2	2	5	35	27	16	10	4.7

Students felt that they learnt a lot from the course and thought Furlong was a good instructor. He was knowledgeable and explained concepts well. He used real life examples in class. Some students felt that they needed prior knowledge to understand the material covered in class.

ECO 200Y1Y Microeconomic Theory

Instructor(s): W. Wolfson

Enr: 69	Resp: 54			Retake: 72%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	1	3	3	32	26	32	5.7
Explains	0	1	1	9	18	35	33	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	1	14	37	46	6.3
Teaching	0	0	1	0	22	26	49	6.2
Workload	3	1	7	35	29	18	3	4.6
Difficulty	0	1	7	24	40	20	5	4.9
Learning Exp	0	0	4	20	29	27	18	5.3

While students seemed to feel that the course material was difficult and the tests hard, they enjoyed having Wolfson as an instructor. Wolfson was described as motivating and enthusiastic. Students said that he was a clear and interesting lecturer.

ECO 202Y1Y Macroeconomic Theory and Policy

Instructor(s): M. Anjomshoa

Enr: 31	Resp: 19			Retake: 82%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	15	36	42	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	5	26	31	36	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	5	21	26	47	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	21	42	36	6.2
Workload	0	0	15	57	5	15	5	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	10	47	31	5	5	4.5
Learning Exp	0	0	0	37	31	18	12	5.1

Students said the course was interesting and the material was explained well by Anjomshoa.

Instructor(s): M. Anjomshoa

Enr: 12	Resp: 7			Retake: 50%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	42	57	6.6
Explains	0	0	14	0	0	42	42	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	14	14	14	57	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	14	0	42	42	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	28	57	14	0	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	14	28	57	0	5.4
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	60	40	0	5.4

22 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

ECO 204Y1Y Microeconomic Theory and Applications (for Commerce)

Instructor(s): S. Hussain

Enr: 42	Resp: 28			Retake: 91%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	11	37	51	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	3	22	74	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	35	60	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	25	75	6.8
Workload	0	0	0	11	19	38	30	5.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	11	30	42	15	5.6
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	0	36	63	6.6

Hussain was described by students as a “great” lecturer who explained concepts really well.

ECO 206Y1Y Microeconomic Theory

Instructor(s): I. Dan

Enr: 65	Resp: 35			Retake: 75%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	11	17	20	14	29	5.1
Explains	0	8	11	14	20	22	22	5.1
Communicates	0	5	11	17	17	26	20	5.1
Teaching	0	0	8	17	17	20	35	5.6
Workload	0	2	8	52	8	17	8	4.6
Difficulty	0	6	12	33	21	15	12	4.6
Learning Exp	0	8	4	20	32	20	16	5.0

Students felt Dan was knowledgeable but she could have explained the concepts more clearly in class.

ECO 208Y1Y Macroeconomic Theory

Instructor(s): B. Doda

Enr: 33	Resp: 21			Retake: 85%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	5	10	40	40	6.1
Explains	0	0	4	14	14	38	28	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	4	9	28	57	6.4
Teaching	0	0	4	0	9	47	38	6.1
Workload	0	9	9	47	23	4	4	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	4	47	23	14	9	4.8
Learning Exp	0	0	0	20	40	33	6	5.3

Doda was described by students as a knowledgeable instructor who taught the material well. He was available to students for consultation and was approachable.

ECO 209Y1Y Macroeconomic Theory and Policy (for Commerce)

Instructor(s): G. Indart

Enr: 41	Resp: 23			Retake: 52%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	0	0	13	39	17	26	5.4
Explains	0	0	8	23	23	21	17	5.1
Communicates	0	0	0	18	40	27	13	5.4

Teaching	0	0	0	18	36	27	18	5.5
Workload	0	0	4	50	40	4	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	40	13	4	4.8
Learning Exp	6	0	6	46	33	6	0	4.2

While students found Indart to be a good instructor overall, a few students seemed to have had issues with the format of tests and the way they were marked.

ECO 227Y1Y Quantitative Methods in Economics

Instructor(s): V. Yu

Enr: 9	Resp: 9			Retake: 100%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	11	88	6.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	33	66	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	22	77	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	33	66	6.7
Workload	0	0	0	55	33	11	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	22	44	11	22	5.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	12	25	37	25	5.8

ECO 302H1Y Comparative Economic Institutions in History

Instructor(s): A. Rotstein

Enr: 12	Resp: 11			Retake: 80%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	9	9	18	63	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	18	81	6.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	9	27	63	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	18	81	6.8
Workload	0	0	10	70	20	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	90	0	10	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	25	37	37	6.1

Students found the value of the learning experience high. Rotstein was said to have been an enthusiastic and knowledgeable lecturer.

ECO 310Y1Y Industrial Organization and Public Policy

Instructor(s): D. Dimitropoulos

Enr: 17	Resp: 17			Retake: 73%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	0	5	35	17	35	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	11	47	17	23	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	11	23	35	29	5.8
Teaching	0	0	5	5	35	29	23	5.6
Workload	0	0	5	82	11	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	5	58	23	11	0	4.4
Learning Exp	8	0	16	25	25	16	8	4.4

24 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

ECO 321Y1Y Canadian Economic History since 1500

Instructor(s): A. Rotstein

Enr: 45	Resp: 41			Retake: 86%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	2	12	26	24	31	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	9	14	24	51	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	7	12	26	53	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	7	9	39	43	6.2
Workload	0	0	7	58	24	7	2	4.4
Difficulty	0	2	5	70	15	5	2	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	23	26	19	30	5.6

Students found the course material interesting and valuable. Rotstein was appreciated by students as knowledgeable. They said he explained concepts clearly.

ECO 324Y1Y Economic Development

Instructor(s): M. Hare

Enr: 34	Resp: 15			Retake: 90%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	0	33	40	20	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	6	20	26	46	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	26	33	40	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	7	28	35	28	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	28	28	28	14	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	28	42	28	0	5.0
Learning Exp	0	0	10	0	50	30	10	5.3

Students generally seemed to have enjoyed the course and liked having Hare as their instructor.

ECO 364H1F International Trade Theory

Instructor(s): F. Casas

Enr: 90	Resp: 46			Retake: 78%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	10	15	19	32	19	5.3
Explains	2	2	6	10	26	30	21	5.3
Communicates	0	4	2	17	21	43	10	5.3
Teaching	0	2	4	8	21	45	17	5.6
Workload	0	2	18	62	13	2	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	18	65	9	6	0	4.0
Learning Exp	0	0	3	37	33	18	7	4.9

While students seemed generally happy with the instructor's teaching, a few students seemed to dislike the evaluation methods for the course.

Instructor(s): F. Casas

Enr: 90	Resp: 44			Retake: 80%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	22	25	31	18	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	14	34	41	12	5.5
Communicates	0	0	4	20	25	38	11	5.3

Teaching	0	0	2	11	18	36	27	5.8
Workload	0	2	9	65	11	11	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	2	6	65	13	11	0	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	3	48	27	13	6	4.7

ECO 365H1S International Monetary Economics

Instructor(s): G. Gagnon

Enr: 54	Resp: 40			Retake: 74%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	12	32	15	17	17	4.8
Explains	0	0	10	22	32	17	17	5.1
Communicates	0	5	7	20	17	22	27	5.3
Teaching	0	0	7	22	25	17	27	5.3
Workload	0	2	5	68	13	7	2	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	5	52	23	15	2	4.6
Learning Exp	0	4	16	36	24	12	8	4.5

Instructor(s): G. Gagnon

Enr: 16	Resp: 11			Retake: 62%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	18	27	36	18	0	4.5
Explains	0	0	0	27	45	27	0	5.0
Communicates	0	0	0	9	63	27	0	5.2
Teaching	0	0	0	20	60	10	10	5.1
Workload	0	9	9	54	9	18	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	63	27	9	0	4.5
Learning Exp	0	0	12	50	12	25	0	4.5

ECO 381H1S Managerial Economics II: Personnel Economics

Instructor(s): J. Kantarevic

Enr: 46	Resp: 20			Retake: 70%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	10	35	10	40	5.7
Explains	0	5	5	15	30	15	30	5.3
Communicates	0	0	5	20	20	25	30	5.3
Teaching	0	0	5	10	35	20	30	5.6
Workload	0	5	0	50	35	5	5	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	5	40	40	10	5	4.7
Learning Exp	0	0	0	26	40	26	6	5.1

Students seemed to have generally enjoyed the instructor and the course material.

ECO 429Y1Y History of Economic Thought

Instructor(s): K. Furlong

Enr: 20	Resp: 15			Retake: 90%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	35	21	35	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	14	28	21	35	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	7	21	28	42	6.1

26 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

Teaching	0	0	0	14	0	42	42	6.1
Workload	0	7	0	38	30	15	7	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	8	58	8	25	0	4.5
Learning Exp	0	0	0	36	9	18	36	5.5

Students enjoyed the course material and found it interesting. They felt that the presentations helped them learn and understand the material. Furlong was knowledgeable and students felt that he explained the material well explained key concepts clearly.

ENGLISH

ENG 100H1F Effective Writing

Instructor(s): P. Loosemore

Enr: 28	Resp: 19			Retake: 77%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	10	42	47	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	10	0	52	36	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	5	10	26	57	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	47	52	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	47	15	15	21	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	5	52	21	15	5	4.6
Learning Exp	0	0	0	5	47	23	23	5.6

Students found Loosemore to be an enthusiastic and encouraging instructor. He was approachable and very helpful to his students.

ENG 100H1S Effective Writing

Instructor(s): A. McGlynn

Enr: 36	Resp: 26			Retake: 87%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	11	7	50	30	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	3	7	46	42	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	38	57	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	3	46	50	6.5
Workload	3	7	7	61	7	11	0	4.0
Difficulty	3	3	26	61	0	3	0	3.6
Learning Exp	0	0	0	18	27	27	27	5.6

Students enjoyed McGlynn's teaching style, her enthusiasm and friendliness. She was approachable and available to students for consultation. Students found the value of the course to be high and found the material covered in class helpful.

ENG 100H1Y Effective Writing

Instructor(s): J. Canter

Enr: 20	Resp: 9			Retake: 100%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	25	75	6.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	12	12	75	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	12	87	6.9
Workload	0	0	0	37	12	25	25	5.4

Difficulty	0	0	0	25	25	25	25	5.5
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5

ENG 210Y1Y The Novel

Instructor(s): M. Boughn

Enr: 50

Resp: 32

Retake: 82 %

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	3	16	19	41	16	5.4
Explains	0	0	3	13	20	36	26	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	29	58	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	6	22	22	48	6.1
Workload	0	3	6	66	13	6	3	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	9	70	16	3	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	4	0	8	28	36	24	5.6

Boughn was described by students as knowledgeable and insightful. Students enjoyed this course and found it interesting.

ENG 213H1S The Short Story

Instructor(s): A. Lesk

Enr: 91

Resp: 60

Retake: 78%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	10	17	36	32	5.8
Explains	0	0	1	5	15	40	36	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	3	10	32	53	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	5	15	44	34	6.1
Workload	0	1	5	80	5	3	3	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	9	72	12	0	5	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	13	43	15	27	5.6

Students enjoyed this course and the material covered in class. Students enjoyed having Lesk as an instructor. He was enthusiastic, knowledgeable and approachable. Lesk was also organized.

ENG 214H1F The Short-Story Collection

Instructor(s): D. Flynn

Enr: 100

Resp: 62

Retake: 88%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	24	25	45	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	11	14	33	40	6.0
Communicates	0	0	1	8	6	30	53	6.3
Teaching	0	0	1	3	9	37	48	6.3
Workload	0	1	8	53	24	8	4	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	59	30	8	1	4.5
Learning Exp	0	0	3	16	24	30	24	5.5

Students seemed to have enjoyed this class overall. Flynn was enthusiastic and passionate about the subject material. Students found the course interesting and informative.

28 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

ENG 235H1S The Graphic Novel

Instructor(s): A. Lesk

Enr: 115

Resp: 79

Retake: 61%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	10	32	36	15	5.5
Explains	0	0	1	15	32	33	16	5.5
Communicates	0	0	1	1	26	39	31	6.0
Teaching	0	1	2	10	34	26	24	5.6
Workload	1	1	10	78	2	3	1	4.0
Difficulty	1	1	11	68	14	1	1	4.0
Learning Exp	0	0	7	40	23	16	12	4.9

Students found Lesk engaging and knowledgeable. While some students said they enjoyed the high level of discussion in class. Others said they would have liked less discussion and more lecturing. Students found the course material interesting.

ENG 237H1F Science Fiction

Instructor(s): M. Johnstone

Enr: 94

Resp: 42

Retake: 66%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	0	29	51	14	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	5	27	40	27	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	31	56	6.4
Teaching	0	0	4	4	12	48	29	5.9
Workload	0	0	5	75	10	7	2	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	2	77	12	7	0	4.2
Learning Exp	3	0	6	29	12	32	16	5.1

Students said Johnstone was engaging and enthusiastic, and knowledgeable in the subject matter. He communicated well with students. Some students enjoyed the discussions in class while a few others said they could go on for too long.

ENG 280H1F Critical Approaches to Literature

Instructor(s): P. Downes

Enr: 53

Resp: 37

Retake: 67%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	5	17	47	26	5.9
Explains	0	0	5	0	17	32	44	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	5	17	35	41	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	5	8	41	44	6.2
Workload	0	0	8	64	17	0	8	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	32	38	11	17	5.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	23	20	26	30	5.6

Students found this course engaging and interesting. Downes was knowledgeable and a great lecturer. However some students felt that his expectation was that course work be completed at a much higher level which seemed to have led to students finding the course fairly difficult.

ENG 324Y1Y Fiction, 1832 - 1900

Instructor(s): G. Fenwick

Enr: 26

Resp: 16

Retake: 53%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	6	0	13	26	46	6	5.3
Explains	6	0	0	6	40	40	6	5.2
Communicates	0	6	0	0	13	40	40	6.0
Teaching	6	0	0	13	26	26	26	5.4
Workload	0	0	0	14	35	14	35	5.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	64	0	21	14	4.9
Learning Exp	10	0	0	20	50	0	20	4.8

ENG 329H1S Contemporary British Fiction

Instructor(s): G. Fenwick

Enr: 43

Resp: 34

Retake: 72%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	12	16	45	25	5.8
Explains	0	0	12	9	21	33	24	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	9	6	29	54	6.3
Teaching	0	0	3	18	15	30	33	5.7
Workload	0	3	3	41	29	16	6	4.7
Difficulty	6	3	6	29	45	3	6	4.4
Learning Exp	4	4	4	20	12	33	20	5.2

While some students thought Fenwick was a good and engaging instructor, others felt that the analysis of the books was lacking depth.

ENG 364Y1Y Twentieth-Century American Literature

Instructor(s): S. Rayter

Enr: 52

Resp: 33

Retake: 90%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	0	0	0	15	45	36	6.1
Explains	3	0	0	0	9	60	27	6.0
Communicates	3	0	0	0	12	39	45	6.2
Teaching	3	0	0	0	12	42	42	6.2
Workload	3	0	12	75	9	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	3	87	9	0	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	19	30	34	15	5.5

Rayter was described as a great instructor who presented interesting and insightful lectures. Most students enjoyed their experience with this course.

ENG 383H1F Critical Methods

Instructor(s): G. Henderson

Enr: 46

Resp: 25

Retake: 69%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	32	44	24	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	24	40	36	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	48	40	6.3

30 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

Teaching	0	0	0	0	8	44	48	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	80	8	4	8	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	48	36	8	8	4.8
Learning Exp	0	0	0	22	27	33	16	5.4

Henderson was credited with making a potentially difficult and boring course more understandable and interesting.

ENG 458H1F Advanced Studies Seminar: Literature since the 18th Century: George Owen

Instructor(s): G. Fenwick

Enr: 17	Resp: 16			Retake: 92%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	12	50	37	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	6	12	43	37	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	34	56	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	6	6	31	56	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	40	26	33	0	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	7	42	35	14	0	4.6
Learning Exp	0	0	0	10	40	0	50	5.9

Fenwick was well-liked by her students for her humour and enthusiasm in class. She was approachable and encouraging toward her students.

FORESTRY

FOR 200H1S Conservation of Canada's Forests

Instructor(s): P. Cooper

Enr: 53	Resp: 30			Retake: 85%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	16	40	33	10	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	10	40	33	16	5.6
Communicates	0	3	0	16	33	23	23	5.4
Teaching	0	0	0	10	24	48	17	5.7
Workload	0	3	6	33	26	10	20	4.9
Difficulty	0	3	3	50	30	13	0	4.5
Learning Exp	0	0	4	33	41	20	0	4.8

Overall, students thought Cooper was a good lecturer. There were a few comments that the tests were difficult and the workload a little heavy. Students enjoyed the field trip and presentations.

FRENCH

FRE 240H1F Introduction to Literary Analysis

Instructor(s): C. Denoyelle

Enr: 46	Resp: 31			Retake: 62%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	6	6	16	45	22	5.6
Explains	0	0	9	3	35	32	19	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	16	25	32	25	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	12	25	45	16	5.6
Workload	0	0	3	60	20	10	6	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	3	43	33	6	13	4.8

Learning Exp	0	4	4	43	30	13	4	4.6
--------------	---	---	---	----	----	----	---	-----

Students commented that the instructor was supportive, helpful, organized and generally good. However, overall, the students had a significant number of complaints regarding the course. Many students indicated that the course work was extremely challenging, demanding and fast paced and that they did not feel prepared for the evaluations. A few students stated that the work load and level of difficulty would have been more appropriate for a full credit course.

FSL 221Y1Y French Language II

Instructor(s): S. Bello

Enr: 47	Resp: 44			Retake: 92%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	20	50	27	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	15	15	47	20	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	4	20	36	38	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	2	15	52	29	6.1
Workload	0	0	2	35	26	28	7	5.0
Difficulty	0	2	4	50	21	21	0	4.5
Learning Exp	0	0	0	28	21	39	10	5.3

Students overall enjoyed the class. Explanations were clear and concise. The PowerPoint presentation was very useful, though it would have been helpful to post them on BlackBoard.

Students felt that the instructor lectured at a fast paced and would have like more time to copy notes from the slides.

GEOGRAPHY

GGR 100H1S Introduction to Physical Geography

Instructor(s): K. Stewart

Enr: 108	Resp: 43			Retake: 52%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	21	23	38	14	5.4
Explains	0	0	4	17	24	34	19	5.5
Communicates	0	0	4	16	28	28	21	5.5
Teaching	0	2	6	16	25	37	11	5.2
Workload	0	0	2	60	11	16	9	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	2	62	6	16	11	4.7
Learning Exp	3	0	7	46	28	7	7	4.5

There were comments that the labs were challenging and the assignments a bit difficult for an introductory course.

Stewart was clear and organized with her lecture presentation and was a nice instructor overall.

GGR 124H1S Urbanization, Contemporary Cities and Urban Life

Instructor(s): D. Dupuy

Enr: 120	Resp: 66			Retake: 78%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	0	18	51	26	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	1	15	40	42	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	1	10	43	44	6.3
Teaching	0	1	0	3	20	45	29	6.0
Workload	1	1	16	61	12	6	0	4.0
Difficulty	1	3	16	72	4	1	0	3.8
Learning Exp	0	0	2	31	20	28	17	5.3

32 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

Students found the course material interesting and engaging. Dupuy was described by students as a well-versed instructor who was knowledgeable about the subject material. Students seemed to have some concerns regarding assignments and evaluation methods.

GGR 249H1S Contemporary Latin America

Instructor(s): T. Lee

Enr: 56	Resp: 27			Retake: 70%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	3	0	14	44	29	7	5.2
Explains	3	0	7	11	51	11	14	5.0
Communicates	3	0	7	22	22	33	11	5.0
Teaching	3	0	7	22	22	33	11	5.0
Workload	0	3	14	74	7	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	7	14	66	7	3	0	3.9
Learning Exp	4	0	19	28	14	23	9	4.6

Students felt that more enthusiasm while presenting the lectures would have been helpful.

GGR 252H1F Marketing Geography

Instructor(s): J. Leydon

Enr: 269	Resp: 91			Retake: 81%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	2	9	17	44	27	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	6	16	44	32	6.0
Communicates	0	0	2	7	12	46	30	6.0
Teaching	0	0	2	11	14	38	33	5.9
Workload	2	0	14	63	10	9	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	1	8	76	6	5	1	4.1
Learning Exp	1	0	5	29	23	30	9	5.0

Students felt the course was interesting. Lectures were enjoyable, and students appreciated the instructor's humour.

GGR 272H1F Geographic Information and Mapping I

Instructor(s): D. Boyes

Enr: 59	Resp: 43			Retake: 87%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	2	4	16	27	48	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	2	13	46	37	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	2	4	11	81	6.7
Teaching	0	0	2	0	16	34	46	6.3
Workload	0	0	9	42	38	9	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	4	4	52	33	2	2	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	22	34	28	14	5.3

The instructor was very effective at explaining concepts clearly, with helpful examples. The lecture skills were appreciated. The textbook was expensive and sometimes did not connect well with lectures.



GEOLOGY**GLG 110H1F Introductory Geography**

Instructor(s): L. Tutty; B. Azar

Enr: 154

Resp: 69

Retake: 62%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	------

Tutty:

Presents	0	0	1	7	35	38	16	5.6
Explains	0	0	5	10	20	49	13	5.5
Communicates	0	1	7	10	26	38	14	5.4
Teaching	0	0	0	17	14	50	16	5.7

Azar:

Presents	0	0	2	16	31	34	14	5.4
Explains	0	0	4	17	25	38	13	5.4
Communicates	0	1	5	11	28	35	16	5.4
Teaching	0	0	1	17	25	38	16	5.5

Course:

Workload	0	2	10	56	20	4	4	4.3
Difficulty	0	5	16	52	17	5	1	4.1
Learning Exp	0	3	7	37	20	18	12	4.8

Both instructors were organized, approachable and helpful. Students appreciated the ability to ask the instructor's questions on BlackBoard. Lecture slides were readily available. Students also appreciated how quickly tests were marked.

GLG 205H1F Confronting Global Change

Instructor(s): L. Tutty

Enr: 104

Resp: 59

Retake: 76%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	------

Presents	0	0	0	5	20	42	32	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	5	25	45	23	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	1	18	40	38	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	3	13	53	29	6.1
Workload	0	1	3	74	8	10	1	4.3
Difficulty	0	3	11	66	16	1	0	4.0
Learning Exp	0	0	2	31	42	17	6	5.0

The instructor was knowledgeable, well-organized and enthusiastic. Student questions were promptly answered. The workload was fairly heavy.

GLG 340H1F Field Course I: White Fish Falls Manitoulin Island

Instructor(s): S Cruden; U. Wortmann

Enr: n/a

Resp: 24

Retake: 82%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	------

Cruden:

Presents	0	0	4	13	34	21	26	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	27	27	45	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	8	25	33	33	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	4	16	45	33	6.1

Wortmann:

Presents	0	0	4	13	30	30	21	5.5
----------	---	---	---	----	----	----	----	-----

34 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

Explains	0	0	0	0	40	31	27	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	50	41	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	16	41	41	6.2

Course:

Workload	0	0	4	25	16	37	16	5.4
Difficulty	0	0	4	37	45	8	4	4.7
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	9	19	71	6.6

Overall this field course was a valuable experience for students. Instructors were both knowledgeable, helpful and friendly. Students said they learnt a lot from this course.

One major complaint from students was the conditions of the cabins. They cabins had no hot water, and no proper heat. Students thought it was completely unfair to be paying so much money for cabins with such poor conditions.

GERMAN

GER 100Y1Y Introduction to German I

Instructor(s): S. Gargova

Enr: 23	Resp: 19			Retake: 93%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	36	63	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	47	47	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	36	52	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	36	63	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	42	26	31	0	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	10	36	21	31	0	4.7
Learning Exp	0	0	0	17	0	58	23	5.9

Students absolutely loved Gargova! She was praised for being a very effective, enthusiastic and engaging instructor. She was always available to help students, even outside office hours. Students also said Gargova was well-organized, friendly and explained concepts very clearly. Students appreciated how encouraging she was.

Overall, a "phenomenal" instructor who always made sure her students understood the material.

HISTORY

HIS 109Y1Y The Development of European Civilization, 1350-1945

Instructor(s): A. Cantor

Enr: 63	Resp: 28			Retake: 70%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	7	3	3	30	38	15	5.3
Explains	0	0	3	11	14	37	33	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	7	3	48	40	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	7	25	22	44	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	46	28	17	7	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	44	29	18	7	4.9
Learning Exp	0	0	0	27	22	40	9	5.3

Students found the course material interesting and informative. Cantor was described by students as knowledgeable and engaging. Some students felt that the lectures could have been more organized.

HIS 242Y1Y Europe in the 20th Century

Instructor(s): V. Dimitriadis

Enr: 59

Resp: 35

Retake: 93%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	5	41	50	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	35	58	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	9	18	72	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	8	28	62	6.5
Workload	0	3	3	69	21	3	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	8	73	17	0	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	25	19	25	29	5.9

Dimitriadis was lauded by his students for his high energy and enthusiasm. He was knowledgeable and approachable.

HIS 263Y1Y Introduction to Canadian History

Instructor(s): D. Mckim

Enr: 75

Resp: 50

Retake: 80%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	12	34	54	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	2	4	38	56	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	4	22	74	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	2	4	44	50	6.4
Workload	0	4	10	60	12	8	4	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	8	67	10	10	4	4.3
Learning Exp	0	2	0	39	23	23	10	5.0

McKim was described by students as a knowledgeable and enthusiastic instructor who delivered clear lectures. He was friendly and approachable.

HIS 304H1F Topics in Middle East History

Instructor(s): J. Hanssen

Enr: 44

Resp: 23

Retake: 88%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	13	22	31	22	9	4.9
Explains	0	0	4	13	43	30	8	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	8	13	52	26	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	21	17	30	30	5.7
Workload	0	4	0	60	26	8	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	4	56	30	8	0	4.4
Learning Exp	0	7	0	23	23	23	23	5.2

The instructor could have been more organized. But, overall, students found the course interesting.

HIS 316H1S History of Advertising

Instructor(s): P. Rutherford

Enr: 64

Resp: 45

Retake: 92%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	0	2	9	46	39	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	4	7	42	45	6.3

36 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

Communicates	0	0	0	0	4	27	67	6.6
Teaching	0	0	2	0	6	46	44	6.3
Workload	0	2	7	71	11	4	2	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	11	66	16	2	2	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	2	11	28	34	22	5.6

Students enjoyed the course material and found it informative and interesting. Rutherford was an engaging and enthusiastic instructor who used different teaching materials/methods in class to help his students learn. He was passionate and knowledgeable.

HIS 343Y1Y History of Modern Espionage

Instructor(s): G. Hamm

Enr: 106	Resp: 40			Retake: 82%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	10	27	35	24	5.7
Explains	0	0	2	7	15	47	26	5.9
Communicates	0	2	0	2	13	48	32	6.0
Teaching	0	0	5	8	16	47	22	5.7
Workload	0	0	2	52	36	5	2	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	5	57	23	10	2	4.5
Learning Exp	3	0	0	17	34	20	24	5.4

Hamm was thought to have been an overall good instructor. He was knowledgeable in the subject matter and was available to students for extra help. He was organized and approachable.

While students found the course material interesting, a few felt that the expectations of assignments were unclear and the reading load was a bit on the heavy side.

HIS 358H1F Political Culture in Early Modern Europe

Instructor(s): J. Mori

Enr: 37	Resp: 15			Retake: 78%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	0	33	33	26	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	13	20	53	13	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	40	33	26	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	40	33	26	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	46	40	6	6	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	80	6	13	0	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	33	41	25	0	4.9

The instructor was knowledgeable and overall, students liked the course. Students preferred if the due dates for the two assignments were further apart.

HIS 372H1F The Olympics

Instructor(s): B. Kidd

Enr: 19	Resp: 15			Retake: 85%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	33	50	16	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	23	46	30	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	23	69	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	15	38	46	6.3
Workload	0	0	7	85	7	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	7	0	85	7	0	0	3.9

Learning Exp	0	0	0	18	9	36	36	5.9
--------------	---	---	---	----	---	----	----	-----

Students found this to be an excellent course and were happy with the instructor.

HIS 378H1F America in the 1960s

Instructor(s): B. Beaton

Enr: 39	Resp: 23			Retake: 95%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	8	13	69	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	8	8	13	99	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	4	13	4	78	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	4	4	17	73	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	40	45	9	4	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	26	47	17	8	5.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	5	25	25	45	6.1

Beaton was very passionate about the material and gave students constructive feedback on their assignments. An overall interesting course.

HIS 385H1S The History of Hong Kong

Instructor(s): C. Lim

Enr: 106	Resp: 65			Retake: 73%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	20	32	40	6.0
Explains	0	0	3	14	14	37	31	5.8
Communicates	0	0	1	15	12	40	30	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	7	21	35	34	6.0
Workload	0	0	6	68	12	7	4	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	6	69	11	9	3	4.3
Learning Exp	0	1	1	24	26	22	22	5.3

Students found Lim to be an engaging, enthusiastic and humorous instructor. Students liked the slides but suggested that they be posted online before class. A few students seemed unhappy with the evaluation of the assignments.

HISTORY & PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

HPS 210H1F Scientific Revolutions I

Instructor(s): J. Christopoulos

Enr: 129	Resp: 73			Retake: 69%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	15	43	32	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	4	16	36	41	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	15	47	36	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	2	16	45	34	6.1
Workload	0	0	2	53	33	2	7	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	5	70	19	1	2	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	3	36	25	19	15	5.1

Students really enjoyed the lectures, and felt that the instructor presented the material well. He was fair and understanding.

38 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

HPS 211H1S Scientific Revolutions II

Instructor(s): V. Hamilton

	Resp: 41			Retake: 67%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	7	13	42	36	6.1
Explains	0	0	2	7	18	42	28	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	5	18	44	31	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	5	15	42	36	6.1
Workload	0	0	10	67	13	2	5	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	26	47	13	5	7	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	7	32	21	21	17	5.1

Overall, students thought Hamilton was an effective and great instructor. Her lectures were organized and informative; and her expectations clear. Some students also enjoyed the course readings and thought they were interesting.

INNIS COLLEGE

INI 103H1S Writing Essays

Instructor(s): B. Watson

	Resp: 31			Retake: 80%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	3	13	50	33	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	3	29	35	32	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	6	16	41	35	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	3	19	32	45	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	68	17	10	3	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	58	27	6	6	4.6
Learning Exp	0	0	0	21	13	30	34	6.8

Watson was generally well-liked by students as an effective instructor. Students found this course helpful in improving their essay writing skills.

INI 115Y1Y Introduction to Film Study

Instructor(s): C. Babey

	Resp: 11			Retake: 70%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	18	18	18	36	9	5.0
Explains	0	0	0	30	20	30	20	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	9	45	27	18	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	18	18	54	9	5.5
Workload	0	0	0	54	27	0	18	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	27	54	0	18	5.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	33	22	33	11	5.2

Students found Babey helpful and available for consultation. Some students found parts of the course material uninteresting, and time consuming.



INI 226H1F Horror Film

Instructor(s): K. Banning

Enr: 71

Resp: 48

Retake: 90%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	8	37	31	20	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	18	33	22	25	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	10	20	39	29	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	8	27	35	29	5.9
Workload	0	0	2	61	19	12	4	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	6	51	23	10	2	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	5	25	20	37	12	5.3

Overall, students enjoyed the course and found the subject to be interesting. The instructor was enthusiastic but sometimes a bit disorganized. Students found the multiple choice quizzes to be too difficult.

ITALIAN**ITA 312H1F Mediaeval Italian Literature in Translation: Petrarch and Boccaccio**

Instructor(s): R. Capozzi

Enr: 25

Resp: 19

Retake: 100%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	5	21	36	31	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	21	31	47	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	10	78	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	10	0	31	57	6.4
Workload	0	0	10	52	36	0	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	10	57	31	0	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	27	45	27	6.0

Students were happy with the instructor, finding him to be enthusiastic and an effective teacher. The class was enjoyable.

JOINT COURSES**JGI 346H1F The Urban Planning Process**

Instructor(s): V. Matthews

Enr: 77

Resp: 45

Retake: 80%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	6	34	40	15	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	6	42	31	20	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	6	37	40	15	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	8	33	40	17	5.7
Workload	0	0	0	73	23	2	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	88	11	0	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	30	42	18	9	5.1

The instructor was very organized. The course material was presented clearly with good detail. Some students felt that the material was a bit condensed.

40 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

JHE 353H1F History of Evolutionary Biology

Instructor(s): M. Vicedo Castello

Enr: 34	Resp: 21			Retake: 84%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	28	38	14	19	5.2
Explains	0	0	4	9	38	28	19	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	9	14	38	38	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	9	19	42	28	5.9
Workload	0	10	10	65	15	0	0	3.8
Difficulty	0	10	31	42	15	0	0	3.6
Learning Exp	0	0	6	37	31	12	12	4.9

The instructor was organized and presented material enthusiastically. Overall, an enjoyable course.

JLP 374H1S Psychology of Language

Instructor(s): V. Dronjic

Enr: 49	Resp: 36			Retake: 38%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	3	3	6	12	45	24	6	4.9
Explains	2	0	0	17	29	35	14	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	2	34	28	34	5.9
Teaching	0	2	0	11	36	27	22	5.5
Workload	0	0	2	60	25	8	2	4.5
Difficulty	2	0	2	37	42	8	5	4.7
Learning Exp	0	3	3	51	31	10	0	4.4

Dronjic was described by students as knowledgeable and an effective lecturer. Students felt that having both psych and linguistic backgrounds would be helpful in order to do well in this course.

LINGUISTICS

LIN 100Y1Y Introduction to General Linguistics

Instructor(s): U. Savchenko

Enr: 54	Resp: 25			Retake: 70%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	8	12	8	32	12	12	16	4.3
Explains	8	16	8	37	12	8	8	3.9
Communicates	4	8	8	44	24	0	12	4.2
Teaching	12	4	28	16	28	4	8	3.9
Workload	0	0	0	68	20	8	4	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	68	24	0	8	4.5
Learning Exp	4	9	19	38	23	0	4	3.9

While students felt that the material was interesting they did not find the lectures engaging. Savchenko's lecture slides were informative but students said she relied on these too heavily leading to dry lectures.



LIN 203H1F English Words

Instructor(s): M. Irimia

Enr: 148

Resp: 18

Retake: 62%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	11	16	38	27	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	11	22	33	33	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	16	33	33	16	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	16	33	27	22	5.6
Workload	0	0	11	66	16	5	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	6	6	62	12	12	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	13	46	20	13	6	4.5

Some students described the instructor as helpful and organized. They found the learning experience valuable. A number of students had complaints about the evaluation methods. It was noted that the course was demanding and fast paced.

LIN 322H1S Phonological Theory

Instructor(s): C. Narayan

Enr: 19

Resp: 14

Retake: 85%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	7	21	21	21	28	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	7	42	21	28	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	21	21	14	42	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	7	42	14	35	5.8
Workload	0	0	21	35	35	7	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	7	14	42	14	21	0	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	36	18	18	27	5.4

Students seemed to have generally enjoyed having Narayan as the instructor.

MATHEMATICS**MAT 133Y1Y Calculus and Linear Algebra for Commerce**

Instructor(s): P. Kergin

Enr: 43

Resp: 14

Retake: 41%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	7	0	0	14	35	21	14	4.9
Explains	7	0	7	14	35	21	7	4.7
Communicates	0	7	14	14	35	21	7	4.7
Teaching	7	0	0	21	21	42	7	5.1
Workload	0	7	0	53	23	15	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	69	15	15	0	4.5
Learning Exp	16	0	16	50	16	0	0	3.5

Instructor(s): J. Tate

Enr: 33

Resp: 17

Retake: 53%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	5	29	64	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	41	52	6.5

42 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

Communicates	0	0	5	5	23	29	35	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	23	70	6.6
Workload	0	0	5	47	35	0	11	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	41	29	17	11	5.0
Learning Exp	0	0	0	46	30	15	7	4.8

MAT 135Y1Y Calculus I

Instructor(s): C. Anghel

Enr: 87

Resp: 58

Retake: 56%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	1	12	36	48	6.3
Explains	0	1	3	0	19	31	43	6.1
Communicates	0	0	1	1	12	29	55	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	5	17	31	45	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	31	31	22	15	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	1	32	29	22	13	5.1
Learning Exp	2	2	2	34	10	28	19	5.1

Many students noted what a great and effective teacher Anghel was. She was further described as approachable, enthusiastic, helpful, organized and an effective communicator. Students felt that this was a valuable learning experience. A few students remarked that the course work was demanding and the test questions hard.

Instructor(s): S. Sankaran

Enr: 71

Resp: 43

Retake: 33%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	12	9	14	19	24	14	4	4.0
Explains	12	14	17	17	14	14	9	3.9
Communicates	0	4	7	17	31	24	14	5.1
Teaching	10	2	12	25	20	20	12	4.4
Workload	0	0	0	41	23	18	16	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	33	21	26	19	5.3
Learning Exp	2	8	11	44	17	11	2	4.1

While students felt that Sankaran was knowledgeable, many said that the lectures lacked organization and were hard to follow.

MAT 137Y1Y Calculus!

Instructor(s): M. Ramirez

Enr: 98

Resp: 24

Retake: 77%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	13	17	4	29	17	8	0	3.6
Explains	8	0	21	39	21	8	0	3.9
Communicates	13	0	13	17	17	30	8	4.5
Teaching	13	8	4	39	17	17	0	3.9
Workload	0	0	5	35	30	15	15	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	5	15	50	10	20	5.2
Learning Exp	0	0	11	33	33	16	5	4.7

Students thought the course could use more organization and found the workload was a bit heavy.

MAT 224H1Y Linear Algebra II

Instructor(s): A. Tikuisis

Enr: 64

Resp: 29

Retake: 51%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	24	51	13	10	5.1
Explains	0	0	13	41	24	17	3	4.6
Communicates	0	0	13	27	37	13	6	4.7
Teaching	0	3	0	20	44	17	13	5.1
Workload	0	0	3	39	32	10	14	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	31	31	20	17	5.2
Learning Exp	4	0	0	43	39	8	4	4.6

While students found Tikuisis knowledgeable, a few said that the presentation of the material could be made more engaging and interesting. A few students said the textbook should be changed.

MAT 235Y1Y Calculus II

Instructor(s): M. Mazin

Enr: 43

Resp: 24

Retake: 60%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	29	41	16	8	5.0
Explains	0	0	4	16	45	25	8	5.2
Communicates	0	0	4	12	58	16	8	5.1
Teaching	0	0	4	12	50	20	12	5.2
Workload	4	4	4	54	20	12	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	4	8	50	25	12	0	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	38	22	27	11	5.1

A few students suggested the instructor should provide more examples with thorough explanations so they could be better prepared for test questions.

Instructor(s): L. Leung

Enr: 38

Resp: 17

Retake: 56%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	11	29	35	17	5.5
Explains	0	0	5	11	29	23	29	5.6
Communicates	0	0	5	5	23	35	29	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	11	35	23	29	5.7
Workload	0	0	0	23	35	35	5	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	11	35	41	11	5.5
Learning Exp	0	0	15	38	23	23	0	4.5

MAT 237Y1Y Multivariable Calculus

Instructor(s): J. Watts

Enr: 95

Resp: 42

Retake: 55%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	2	33	30	28	5.8
Explains	0	0	4	11	23	30	28	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	19	21	21	38	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	4	26	28	40	6.0
Workload	2	2	7	26	19	26	14	5.0

44 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

Difficulty	4	0	2	12	26	31	21	5.4
Learning Exp	5	0	5	36	16	19	16	4.8

Students felt that Watts performed well as a math instructor and was approachable and friendly. Students did not like the textbook for this course.

MAT 244H1Y Introduction to Ordinary Differential Equations

Instructor(s): T. Tzaneteas

Enr: 65	Resp: 29			Retake: 92%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	14	39	46	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	18	37	44	6.3
Communicates	0	0	3	0	25	37	33	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	3	14	39	42	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	71	21	7	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	10	57	17	14	0	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	0	33	16	25	25	5.4

The instructor was described by students as a good lecturer who was fair and approachable. Students enjoyed this course.

MAT 301H1Y Groups and Symmetries

Instructor(s): J. Yang

Enr: 43	Resp: 27			Retake: 50%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	12	4	36	28	12	4	4.2
Explains	8	8	4	44	20	12	4	4.1
Communicates	4	8	4	36	28	12	8	4.4
Teaching	4	8	4	40	32	8	4	4.3
Workload	0	0	16	62	12	4	4	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	12	48	36	4	0	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	63	26	5	5	4.5

Students were generally dissatisfied with the lecturer and the organization of the course material.

MAT 334H1Y Complex Variables

Instructor(s): F. Vera Pacheco

Enr: 44	Resp: 26			Retake: 58%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	15	30	30	15	7	4.7
Explains	0	0	0	26	42	19	11	5.2
Communicates	0	0	7	15	15	50	11	5.4
Teaching	0	0	3	19	53	11	11	5.1
Workload	0	7	11	76	0	3	0	3.8
Difficulty	0	7	11	50	26	3	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	11	55	16	16	0	4.4

Students had some concerns with regards to term tests and the time allotted to writing them.

NEW COLLEGE**NEW 232Y1Y Buddhist Psychology: Theories & Applications**

Instructor(s): T. Toneatto

Enr: 29	Resp: 21			Retake: 100%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	28	28	42	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	14	23	61	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	20	75	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	14	9	76	6.6
Workload	0	0	10	55	25	5	5	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	5	70	25	0	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	6	6	6	81	6.6

Students enjoyed having Toneatto as their instructor. He was approachable and was very easy-going. Students appreciated his enthusiasm and knowledge about the subject. Students enjoyed the learning experience.

NEW 240Y1Y Introduction to Equity Studies

Instructor(s): S. Doyle-Wood

Enr: 32	Resp: 19			Retake: 88%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	15	26	47	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	5	15	21	57	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	15	78	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	5	0	21	73	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	57	21	15	5	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	61	11	22	5	4.7
Learning Exp	0	0	6	6	13	20	53	6.1

Students really appreciated the course and the material that was taught in class. Doyle-Wood was well-liked by students as an instructor who was passionate about the subject material. A few students said he was inspiring as an instructor.

NEW 250Y1Y Africa in the 21st Century: Challenges and Opportunities

Instructor(s): T. Tieku

Enr: 30	Resp: 19			Retake: 81%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	53	13	33	0	4.8
Explains	0	0	0	18	25	31	25	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	6	6	40	46	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	18	6	37	37	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	52	23	23	0	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	58	29	11	0	4.5
Learning Exp	0	0	0	18	18	18	45	5.9

While students thoroughly enjoyed the lecture material, some said the course could be better organized. Tieku was knowledgeable and an effective lecturer. Some students felt the assignments could have been better structured.

46 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

NEW 329H1F Special Topics in Caribbean Studies: Caribbean Diasporas, Historical & Comparative Perspectives

Instructor(s): R. Victoriano-Martinez

Enr: 14	Resp: 8			Retake: 100%			Mean	
	1	2	3	4	5	6		7
Presents	0	0	0	12	12	50	25	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	12	25	25	37	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	25	37	37	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	25	50	25	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	42	42	14	0	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	12	75	12	0	0	4.0
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	14	28	57	6.4

Some students commented that the course was interesting and the instructor was great. They further noted that the readings were valuable.

NEAR & MIDDLE EASTERN CIVILIZATIONS

NMC 278H1F Introduction to the Modern World

Instructor(s): J. Hanssen

Enr: 63	Resp: 46			Retake: 80%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	8	28	40	15	6	4.8
Explains	0	0	9	27	34	25	4	4.9
Communicates	0	0	4	15	33	22	24	5.5
Teaching	0	0	4	23	23	39	8	5.2
Workload	2	0	4	63	18	6	4	4.3
Difficulty	2	2	2	65	22	2	2	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	3	32	19	32	12	5.2

Students generally enjoyed the course and commented that it was interesting. A number of students had complaints regarding what they perceived as unstructured lectures. There were concerns about the instructor making students stay past the originally scheduled time for the course in the time-table.

NMC 286H1S The Qu'ran: Reading and Transformation

Instructor(s): S. Ally

Enr: 46	Resp: 15			Retake: 100%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	6	6	13	20	46	6	5.1
Explains	0	6	0	13	0	53	26	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	7	14	50	28	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	26	6	33	33	5.7
Workload	0	0	6	66	20	6	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	6	40	33	20	0	4.7
Learning Exp	0	12	0	12	12	37	25	5.4

While Ally was appreciated by students as a good instructor, there were some concerns expressed by students about inadequate lecture time and the distribution of marks.



NML 110Y1Y Introductory Standard Arabic

Instructor(s): S. Kudsieh

	Resp: 14			Retake: 92%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	21	28	50	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	21	21	57	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	14	21	64	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	14	28	57	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	14	0	14	71	6.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	14	7	28	50	6.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	8	8	33	50	6.2

Kudsieh was an effective instructor.

Instructor(s): A-K. Ali

	Resp: 11			Retake: 54%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	9	27	36	27	5.8
Explains	0	9	0	0	18	27	45	5.9
Communicates	0	0	9	0	9	54	27	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	9	18	36	36	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	9	9	9	72	6.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	9	18	18	54	6.2
Learning Exp	10	0	0	20	20	10	40	5.3

Ali was appreciated by students as enthusiastic and knowledgeable. Students also said he explained concepts clearly. However, almost all the students who commented said that the workload was extremely high for a summer course.

NUTRITIONAL SCIENCES**NFS 284H1F Basic Human Nutrition**

Instructor(s): D. Gurfinkel

	Resp: 64			Retake: 86%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	4	28	36	30	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	4	38	31	25	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	3	26	46	23	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	3	28	40	28	5.9
Workload	0	1	4	48	32	10	1	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	3	68	19	6	3	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	1	25	37	25	9	5.1

Students said they found the course well organized and interesting. While some students found the tutorials to be helpful, others found them to be a waste of time.

Gurfinkel was knowledgeable, well-liked by students and was said to have been helpful.



PEACE & CONFLICT STUDIES**PCS 361H1F Special Topics in Peace and Conflict Studies**

Instructor(s): J-Y. Haine

Enr: 24	Resp: 18			Retake: 100%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	41	35	23	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	5	16	27	50	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	22	38	38	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	5	5	77	11	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	27	27	44	0	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	16	55	22	5	5.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	8	75	16	6.1

While some students commented that the course requirements could have been made clearer, students found the course material interesting.

Haine was a knowledgeable instructor, who was well-versed in the subject material. He delivered engaging lectures.

PHILOSOPHY**PHL 243H1S Philosophy of Human Sexuality**

Instructor(s): C. O'Madagain

Enr: 55	Resp: 23			Retake: 95%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	22	31	45	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	4	17	34	43	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	13	21	65	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	4	8	34	52	6.3
Workload	0	0	4	69	17	8	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	9	81	4	4	0	4.0
Learning Exp	0	0	0	10	36	15	36	5.8

O'Madagain was enthusiastic, knowledgeable and a clear lecturer, He was approachable and helpful.

PHL 275H1F Introduction to Ethics

Instructor(s): D. Waterfall

Enr: 82	Resp: 43			Retake: 58%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	11	14	38	23	11	5.1
Explains	0	0	7	23	28	26	14	5.2
Communicates	0	0	0	11	21	40	26	5.8
Teaching	0	0	2	2	30	47	16	5.7
Workload	0	2	0	61	26	7	2	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	7	29	26	34	2	5.0
Learning Exp	0	2	0	28	37	14	17	5.1

Students said Waterfall was generally a good lecturer. However, students said it was hard to follow the heavy material without having lecture slides posted online. Students said they would appreciate some notes posted online, making it easier to pay attention in class.

PHL 281H1F Bioethics

Instructor(s): G. A. Sinha

Enr: 70

Resp: 42

Retake: 87%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	9	23	35	30	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	7	23	38	30	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	4	30	38	26	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	4	21	42	30	6.0
Workload	0	0	4	70	14	9	0	4.3
Difficulty	2	0	4	60	21	9	0	4.3
Learning Exp	0	2	2	20	22	37	14	5.3

Students found Sinha an approachable and interesting lecturer who presented material in a manner that was easy to understand. The course was interesting and dealt with current topics.

PHL 382H1S Ethics: Death and Dying

Instructor(s): E. Shapir

Enr: 42

Resp: 16

Retake: 69%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	13	13	33	26	13	5.1
Explains	0	0	13	26	20	26	13	5.0
Communicates	0	0	0	33	33	13	20	5.2
Teaching	0	0	13	26	20	26	13	5.0
Workload	0	0	0	73	26	0	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	33	53	13	0	4.8
Learning Exp	0	0	33	44	11	11	0	4.0

While students seemed happy with the course material, there were some who mentioned several ways to improve on the organizational structure of the course.

PHL 388H1F Literature and Philosophy

Instructor(s): J. Polley


Enr: 55

Resp: 36

Retake: 85%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	0	8	16	38	33	5.9
Explains	2	0	0	2	22	50	22	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	13	30	55	6.4
Teaching	0	0	2	0	16	44	36	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	54	28	14	2	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	48	31	20	0	4.7
Learning Exp	0	0	0	16	16	40	28	5.8

Polley was described by her students as an enthusiastic and knowledgeable instructor who was well-versed in the subject matter. The course was interesting and students appreciated the class discussions.



PHYSICS**PHY 131H1F Introduction to Physics I**

Instructor(s): J. Harlow

Enr: 264	Resp: 186			Retake: 35%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	1	2	3	17	31	25	17	5.2
Explains	1	1	4	15	26	29	22	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	5	13	28	50	6.2
Teaching	0	0	3	11	24	32	28	5.7
Workload	0	0	1	31	29	20	16	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	27	29	32	13	5.3
Learning Exp	1	2	5	38	24	17	8	4.7

Some students noted Harlow was a great instructor and further described him as enthusiastic. A few noted that he taught the course well. A number of students complained that the course was rushed, and that there was not enough challenging examples reviewed in class to prepare students for tests. They further complained that they did not get enough time to practice problems.

PHY 132H1S Introduction to Physics II

Instructor(s): E. Honig

Enr: 100	Resp: 90			Retake: 32%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	15	15	25	25	10	4	4.0
Explains	4	3	6	28	32	16	7	4.6
Communicates	1	2	2	13	23	30	27	5.6
Teaching	1	4	11	28	25	20	8	4.7
Workload	1	0	1	32	31	23	11	5.1
Difficulty	1	1	1	15	30	27	23	5.5
Learning Exp	5	4	12	45	22	8	2	4.1

Many students said it would be very helpful if the overhead slides were posted online on BlackBoard before classes. It was difficult to learn and copy overheads in class and it was difficult to read the screen. Also, many students said they found the tests unfairly difficult.

PHYSIOLOGY**PSL 302Y1Y Human Physiology**

Instructor(s): S. Heximer

Enr: 291	Resp: 50			Retake: 45%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	0	10	30	34	16	6	4.7
Explains	0	2	4	32	30	24	6	4.9
Communicates	0	0	4	21	46	21	6	5.0
Teaching	0	0	2	31	39	18	8	5.0
Workload	0	0	2	25	43	9	20	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	13	55	13	16	5.3
Learning Exp	6	0	6	28	31	21	6	4.7

Students thought that Heximer was a good instructor but a bit disorganized at times.

Instructor(s): C. Perumalla

Enr: 322	Resp: 52			Retake: 41%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	0	8	39	29	20	5.6
Explains	0	0	6	6	26	34	26	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	6	27	39	27	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	12	36	36	14	5.5
Workload	0	0	0	21	31	21	24	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	14	39	19	26	5.6
Learning Exp	0	0	6	33	30	27	3	4.9

Instructor(s): M. French

Enr: 322	Resp: 42			Retake: 52%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	16	57	21	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	2	19	52	26	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	2	11	52	33	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	4	24	56	14	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	26	29	36	7	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	21	39	31	7	5.3
Learning Exp	0	0	11	45	20	20	2	4.6

POLITICAL SCIENCE

POL 200Y1Y Political Theory: Visions of the Just/Good Society

Instructor(s): R. Balot

Enr: 93	Resp: 35			Retake: 60%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	25	31	40	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	2	20	51	25	6.0
Communicates	0	0	3	3	9	33	51	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	2	8	37	51	6.4
Workload	0	0	5	58	14	20	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	31	25	2	4.9
Learning Exp	0	0	0	29	18	29	22	5.4

Students found Balot to be very knowledgeable and generally enthusiastic about the subject matter. He presented the material clearly and effectively. However, some students found the readings heavy and others suggested that lecture slides be posted online.

POL 201Y1Y Politics of Development: Issues and Controversies

Instructor(s): A. Gnamo

Enr: 46	Resp: 27			Retake: 96%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	29	29	14	22	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	7	37	33	22	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	29	25	44	6.1
Teaching	0	0	3	3	22	37	33	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	55	22	22	0	4.7

52 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

Difficulty	0	0	3	51	37	3	3	4.5
Learning Exp	0	0	0	5	25	45	25	5.9

Students enjoyed having Gnamo as their instructor and said that he was very knowledgeable about the course material. He was helpful and approachable.

POL 203Y1Y U.S. Government and Politics

Instructor(s): R. Levine

Enr: 44	Resp: 32			Retake: 92%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	6	34	53	6.3
Explains	0	0	3	3	6	40	46	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	31	68	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	3	3	28	65	6.6
Workload	0	3	6	50	25	12	3	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	3	71	21	0	3	4.3
Learning Exp	0	3	3	19	34	19	19	5.2

Students felt Levine was a very enthusiastic instructor who was knowledgeable and approachable. He explained concepts clearly and cared about his students.

POL 208Y1Y Introduction to International Relations

Instructor(s): T. Tiekou

Enr: 88	Resp: 33			Retake: 75%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	18	37	21	15	5.2
Explains	3	0	9	18	27	27	15	5.1
Communicates	3	0	0	1	15	27	39	5.8
Teaching	3	3	3	15	6	43	25	5.5
Workload	0	0	6	78	15	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	6	70	16	3	3	4.3
Learning Exp	3	0	7	11	33	29	14	5.2

Some students enjoyed the course and thought the instructor was great, while others indicated they thought the course could be better organized and expectations for assignments could have been made clearer.

POL 214Y1Y Canadian Government and Politics

Instructor(s): D. Pond

Enr: 61	Resp: 34			Retake: 74%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	11	32	32	17	5.4
Explains	0	0	6	6	15	30	42	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	2	11	32	52	6.4
Teaching	0	0	5	2	11	47	32	6.0
Workload	0	0	3	72	21	3	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	71	21	3	3	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	3	23	30	34	7	5.2

A number of students commented that Pond was enthusiastic and engaging. He was further said to have made the course enjoyable. However, several students complained about the organization of lecture time and presentation of course topics, which they thought was hampered due to in-class debates dominating class time.

POL 242Y1Y Introduction to Research Methods

Instructor(s): R. Levine

Enr: 32

Resp: 30

Retake: 50%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	10	30	33	23	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	3	30	40	26	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	31	65	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	3	16	40	40	6.2
Workload	0	3	3	43	23	16	10	4.8
Difficulty	0	3	6	40	13	20	16	4.9
Learning Exp	0	0	9	31	13	22	22	5.2

A few students commented that Levine was a great teacher, who was effective at teaching and made the course a valuable learning experience. Several students complained that this course should not be mandatory for political science students.

POL 300H1S Topics in Comparative Politics: Climate Change Policy and Politics

Instructor(s): E. Lachapelle

Enr: 47

Resp: 30

Retake: 57%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	7	10	53	17	7	5.0
Explains	3	0	3	19	38	26	7	5.0
Communicates	0	0	0	3	25	53	17	5.9
Teaching	0	0	3	13	41	31	10	5.3
Workload	0	0	0	60	10	21	7	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	7	48	25	14	3	4.6
Learning Exp	0	0	20	58	8	12	0	4.1

Some students thought Lachapelle was a good instructor who brought enthusiasm to the lectures. The course was useful, however several students noted that the reading load was excessive.

POL 326Y1Y United States Foreign Policy

Instructor(s): A. Jurgensen

Enr: 62

Resp: 44

Retake: 75%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	11	29	40	15	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	6	18	51	23	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	4	20	36	38	6.1
Teaching	0	0	2	9	19	40	28	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	59	29	9	2	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	4	59	29	6	0	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	6	24	33	27	9	5.1

Few students commented indicating that Jurgensen was an enthusiastic, engaging and knowledgeable instructor.

POL 380H1F Topics in International Politics: Insurgency/Counterinsurgency

Instructor(s): R. Sanders

Enr: 50

Resp: 31

Retake: 96%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	12	38	45	6.3

54 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

Explains	0	0	0	0	12	41	45	6.3
Communicates	0	0	3	3	6	35	51	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	19	25	54	6.4
Workload	0	0	9	32	45	9	3	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	6	48	41	3	0	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	0	11	25	33	29	5.8

Students said Sanders was very knowledgeable and know the material well. She explained concepts clearly. The course was said to have been interesting, and covered many topics. Some students said that sometimes classes could seem rushed because of all the information that needed to be covered.

POL 417Y1Y The Third World in International Politics

Instructor(s): A. Gnamo

Enr: 22	Resp: 11			Retake: 100%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	18	54	27	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	20	40	40	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	9	36	54	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	9	27	63	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	20	30	20	30	5.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	20	30	20	30	5.6
Learning Exp	0	0	0	14	14	28	42	6.0

Students thought the instructor did a good job.

POL 431Y1F Politics and Society in Contemporary China

Instructor(s): V. Falkenheim

Enr: 25	Resp: 18			Retake: 94%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	17	35	47	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	5	5	38	50	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	33	66	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	17	82	6.8
Workload	0	0	0	62	12	12	12	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	66	22	0	11	4.6
Learning Exp	0	0	0	20	6	33	40	5.9

Many students remarked that this was an interesting, insightful, and extremely valuable learning experience. They praised the instructor as enthusiastic, engaging and a great teacher.

POL 438H1F Topics in Comparative Politics I: Other Worlds, Other Globalization

Instructor(s): J. Sen

Enr: 23	Resp: 15			Retake: 75%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	14	14	7	28	28	7	4.6
Explains	0	0	6	13	6	53	20	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	7	0	28	34	6.5
Teaching	0	6	6	0	46	20	20	5.3
Workload	0	0	0	0	20	26	53	6.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	26	40	20	13	5.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	11	22	66	6.6

A number of students remarked that the course was enjoyable and the instructor great. Students complained that the course was not effectively organized and that they found the information in the course outline confusing.

PSYCHOLOGY

PSY 100H1S Introductory Psychology

Instructor(s): K. Willoughby

Enr: 197

Resp: 114

Retake: 88%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	16	41	33	6.0
Explains	1	0	0	5	19	40	31	5.9
Communicates	1	0	0	9	21	31	34	5.8
Teaching	1	0	0	6	17	39	33	5.9
Workload	1	6	9	58	14	6	2	4.1
Difficulty	0	7	19	49	18	1	1	3.9
Learning Exp	1	0	1	24	33	17	22	5.3

Students thought that the instructor was good and the course worthwhile.

PSY 201H1F Statistics I

Instructor(s): D. Kadis

Enr: 161

Resp: 50

Retake: 50%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	20	40	30	5.9
Explains	2	0	4	2	28	24	38	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	4	18	34	42	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	2	14	38	44	6.3
Workload	0	2	12	63	16	2	4	4.2
Difficulty	2	2	12	46	26	6	4	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	28	28	28	15	5.3

A few students commented that the instructor was great and communicated effectively. A number of students noted that this was a valuable course. However some students complained that the tutorial sessions were confusing and unhelpful.

PSY 202H1S Statistics II

Instructor(s): G. Rowe

Enr: 78

Resp: 49

Retake: 35%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	6	4	12	22	26	10	18	4.6
Explains	2	8	12	20	26	16	14	4.7
Communicates	0	0	2	14	26	30	26	5.7
Teaching	4	2	2	24	20	28	18	5.1
Workload	2	0	4	48	29	10	4	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	8	38	38	8	6	4.7
Learning Exp	5	2	5	39	15	21	10	4.6

A few students indicated that Rowe was a good instructor. He was further described as friendly and helpful.

However, many more students noted that this course could have been much better organized in several aspects. For example, students expressed that they lacked clear and comprehensive instructions to complete assignments using the designated software. They further indicated that the lectures could have been better prepared.

56 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

PSY 210H1F Introduction to Development

Instructor(s): J. Vervaeke

Enr: 60

Resp: 37

Retake: 94%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	37	32	27	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	13	37	48	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	29	37	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	10	48	40	6.3
Workload	0	0	11	58	27	2	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	54	37	8	0	4.5
Learning Exp	0	0	3	9	25	35	25	5.7

Some students noted that Vervaeke was an effective lecturer who made the course material interesting. These students enjoyed the class and described Vervaeke as a great instructor. A few also noted that the instructor was knowledgeable, enthusiastic and a successful presenter. A number of students indicated that they would have liked lecture outline or slides to help them better grasp the material covered in lectures.

PSY 230H1S Personality and Its Transformations

Instructor(s): M. Djikic

Enr: 91

Resp: 51

Retake: 89%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	19	49	25	5.9
Explains	0	0	1	1	23	39	33	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	1	9	45	43	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	1	11	49	37	6.2
Workload	0	2	6	56	32	2	2	4.3
Difficulty	0	2	14	56	20	6	2	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	2	12	26	31	26	5.7

A number of students commented that Djikic was a great instructor who was enthusiastic, inspiring, engaging, and created a valuable learning experience.

PSY 240H1F Introduction to Abnormal Psychology

Instructor(s): N. Rector

Enr: 121

Resp: 55

Retake: 83%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	18	16	44	18	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	11	24	40	24	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	5	29	29	35	5.9
Teaching	0	0	3	9	24	40	22	5.7
Workload	0	0	0	47	29	16	7	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	3	61	29	5	0	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	7	21	23	33	14	5.3

A number of students indicated that Rector was a great instructor who they described as knowledgeable and enthusiastic. Students found the course content valuable and interesting. There was a recommendation that the instructor provide PowerPoint Slides instead of an overhead and use Portal to post them. Many students had a range of complaints about the evaluation format in this course. While some thought the test expectations were unclear, others were concerned about unfair test questions.

PSY 260H1F Learning and Plasticity

Instructor(s): J. Vervaeke

Enr: 36

Resp: 25

Retake: 86%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	20	36	36	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	8	33	58	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	12	80	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	4	40	56	6.5
Workload	0	4	12	48	28	8	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	4	0	25	58	8	4	4.8
Learning Exp	0	0	0	5	44	16	33	5.8

Students generally described Vervaeke as an effective, inspiring and enthusiastic instructor. A number of students indicated that the course was interesting and enjoyable.

PSY 270H1S Introduction to Cognitive Psychology

Instructor(s): C. Burton

Enr: 101

Resp: 67

Retake: 69%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	10	30	34	22	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	9	34	34	21	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	4	28	34	31	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	7	34	36	21	5.7
Workload	0	0	1	42	40	12	3	4.7
Difficulty	0	1	3	52	24	16	1	4.6
Learning Exp	0	1	3	32	37	15	9	4.9

A few students commented that the instructor was very good and that he communicated effectively. However there was also mention that students' lab reports were returned extremely late, causing students to miss the usefulness of feedback from earlier reports. The workload in the course was deemed high.

PSY 290H1S Physiological Psychology

Instructor(s): A. Diaconescu

Enr: 65

Resp: 29

Retake: 62%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	10	10	17	41	20	5.5
Explains	0	3	6	20	17	41	10	5.2
Communicates	0	0	10	10	17	37	24	5.6
Teaching	0	0	13	3	27	27	27	5.5
Workload	0	0	7	39	35	17	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	31	58	6	3	4.8
Learning Exp	0	0	7	18	44	14	14	5.1

A few students commented that the instructor could have explained concepts more thoroughly at times.

PSY 311H1F Social Development

Instructor(s): A. Lahat

Enr: 37

Resp: 24

Retake: 81%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	12	41	25	20	5.5

58 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

Explains	0	0	0	8	50	16	25	5.6
Communicates	0	0	4	20	41	20	12	5.2
Teaching	0	0	0	12	41	33	12	5.5
Workload	0	0	0	73	17	8	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	4	78	17	0	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	11	44	33	5	5	4.5

Overall, students made several positive remarks about the course and the instructor. A few indicated that they would have liked the instructor to show more enthusiasm and integrate readings better with the lecture material.

PSY 320H1F Social Psychology: Attitudes

Instructor(s): W. Huggon

Enr: 51	Resp: 37			Retake: 89%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	8	41	47	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	5	2	35	56	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	5	5	16	72	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	13	32	54	6.4
Workload	0	0	8	67	18	5	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	10	81	5	2	0	4.0
Learning Exp	0	0	6	16	23	30	23	5.5

Many students remarked that Huggon was a great instructor, who was noted to be enthusiastic and helpful. These students further commented that this was an enjoyable and valuable course.

PSY 321H1S Cross-Cultural Psychology

Instructor(s): N. Rule

Enr: 46	Resp: 39			Retake: 97%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	10	52	36	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	15	41	43	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	28	63	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	7	38	53	6.5
Workload	0	0	5	42	28	10	13	4.8
Difficulty	0	5	7	71	5	2	7	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	3	18	46	31	6.1

Some students praised Rule as a great instructor, who was further described as enthusiastic, knowledgeable, approachable, engaging and helpful. They noted that the course was enjoyable and useful.

PSY 322H1F Intergroup Relations

Instructor(s): J. Remedios

Enr: 36	Resp: 26			Retake: 100%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	11	34	50	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	3	7	42	46	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	3	7	30	57	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	46	42	6.3
Workload	0	0	11	50	23	15	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	7	7	61	11	11	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	14	28	38	19	5.6

There were a lot of general positive comments. Some students described Remedios as a great instructor. A few indicated that she was an articulate communicator, who came across as helpful and encouraged students to raise in-class questions.

PSY 323H1S Sex Roles and Behaviour

Instructor(s): A. Guindon

	Resp: 24			Retake: 70%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	8	0	4	12	41	25	8	4.9
Explains	8	4	16	8	29	20	12	4.6
Communicates	12	4	25	12	20	8	16	4.2
Teaching	8	4	12	12	29	25	8	4.6
Workload	4	8	20	58	8	0	0	3.6
Difficulty	4	8	33	45	8	0	0	3.5
Learning Exp	9	0	4	38	23	14	9	4.5

A number of students indicated that they enjoyed this course overall, particularly the discussion component and in class videos. A few thought this course could have been better organized. They were concerned that the instructor did not always prepare well for lectures.

PSY 328H1F Psychology and the Law

Instructor(s): W. Huggon

	Resp: 46			Retake: 90%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	2	21	34	41	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	2	17	32	47	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	2	6	26	65	6.5
Teaching	0	0	2	2	6	41	47	6.3
Workload	0	0	0	71	20	4	4	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	4	73	11	6	4	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	3	15	27	24	30	5.6

Students generally had a lot of positive feedback about the instructor. Huggon was described as a great instructor who was friendly, enthusiastic, organized and helpful. His presentation of the course material was noted to be interesting and the course was a valuable learning experience. A number of students indicated that the instructor could improve for the future by providing additional examples and/or provide in-depth analysis. There were a number of concerns regarding the evaluations in this course; one consistent concern was the need for greater feedback/comments on evaluated tests or assignments.

PSY 329H1F Social Psychology Laboratory

Instructor(s): P. Lockwood

	Resp: 12			Retake: 91%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	25	33	41	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	8	41	50	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	41	50	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	8	33	58	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	50	33	16	0	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	16	66	16	0	0	4.0
Learning Exp	0	0	0	11	22	44	22	5.8

Most students thought this was a great course and made a range of positive comments about the learning experience.

60 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

PSY 333H1S Health Psychology

Instructor(s): N. Simic

Enr: 57

Resp: 27

Retake: 68%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	3	14	22	40	14	5.4
Explains	0	3	3	18	25	22	25	5.4
Communicates	0	3	0	18	29	22	25	5.4
Teaching	0	0	4	20	28	40	8	5.3
Workload	0	0	7	59	18	11	3	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	11	55	22	11	0	4.3
Learning Exp	4	0	14	33	4	38	4	4.7

Several students indicated that they did not like the instructor relying so significantly on the text book for test material and lectures. Further they thought more current research should have been incorporated into the course material.

PSY 341H1S Psychopathologies of Childhood

Instructor(s): H. Morgan

Enr: 51

Resp: 35

Retake: 85%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	11	20	20	31	17	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	17	20	26	35	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	5	28	28	37	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	15	24	48	12	5.6
Workload	0	0	8	41	35	14	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	5	57	28	8	0	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	0	20	30	36	13	5.4

A number of students felt that Morgan was a good instructor. They thought the course was enjoyable and the learning experience was valuable. A few students thought the midterm was unfair. There was mention that the instructor should have provided lecture slides on BlackBoard to facilitate concentration on material during lectures.

PSY 397H1F Biological Rhythms

Instructor(s): M. Ralph

Enr: 70

Resp: 28

Retake: 38%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	11	44	14	14	11	4.6
Explains	0	0	7	22	44	7	18	5.1
Communicates	3	3	10	14	28	25	14	4.9
Teaching	3	3	7	14	39	10	21	5.0
Workload	0	10	14	42	25	3	3	4.1
Difficulty	0	3	7	25	37	18	7	4.8
Learning Exp	4	9	13	36	13	13	9	4.2

Although, Ralph was clearly knowledgeable and kind, some students felt that he was disorganized. His lecture slides could have contained more relevant explanations to help with learning.



PSY 424H1S Social Psychology of Interpersonal Relationships

Instructor(s): S. Walker

Enr: 46

Resp: 27

Retake: 92%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	0	0	7	25	37	25	5.7
Explains	3	0	0	11	14	48	22	5.7
Communicates	3	0	0	11	7	48	29	5.8
Teaching	3	0	0	11	18	51	14	5.6
Workload	0	0	20	64	8	8	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	28	60	8	4	0	3.9
Learning Exp	0	0	3	11	38	26	19	5.5

Students had several good things to say about the instructor, including that the instructor presented concepts effectively during lectures. However, a few students were unhappy that lectures sometimes went over time and the slides were not posted well in advance.

PSY 425H1F Self-Consciousness

Instructor(s): S. Walker

Enr: 23

Resp: 15

Retake: 86%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	13	46	33	6.1
Explains	0	0	6	0	26	33	33	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	46	53	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	46	33	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	60	33	6	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	6	60	26	6	0	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	22	55	11	11	5.1

Most students had positive remarks and said that Walker was an effective instructor.

PSY 430H1F Personality Seminar

Instructor(s): M. Djikic

Enr: 7

Resp: 6

Retake: 100%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	16	50	33	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	16	83	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	16	83	6.8
Workload	0	0	0	33	50	16	0	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	50	0	0	4.5
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	25	0	75	6.5

Some students commented and agreed that Djikic was a great instructor.

PSY 494H1S Physiology and Psychology of Emotion

Instructor(s): N. Simic

Enr: 23

Resp: 18

Retake: 77%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	0	22	38	33	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	11	11	50	27	5.9

62 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

Communicates	0	0	11	22	0	33	33	5.6
Teaching	0	0	5	5	22	38	27	5.8
Workload	0	0	16	66	5	11	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	16	55	22	5	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	7	7	38	15	23	7	4.6

Several students indicated that the instructor was great overall, and the course was well put together.

RELIGION

RLG 100/280Y1Y World Religions/World Religions: A Comparative Study

Instructor(s): A. Maintenay

Enr: 92	Resp: 54		Retake: 95%					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	1	15	42	40	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	13	39	47	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	18	77	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	1	7	28	62	6.5
Workload	0	1	5	71	13	5	1	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	3	83	9	1	1	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	18	18	26	36	5.8

Some students indicated that Maintenay was a great instructor, who was enthusiastic, humourous, communicated course material effectively, and made the overall learning experience valuable.

RLG 204Y1Y The Islamic Religious Tradition

Instructor(s): E. Szanto

Enr: 20	Resp: 14		Retake: 100%					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	28	28	35	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	7	14	35	42	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	14	78	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	42	57	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	57	7	35	0	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	35	42	21	0	4.9
Learning Exp	0	0	0	7	23	30	38	6.0

A number of students indicated that the instructor was great. Students particularly praised her enthusiastic attitude.

RLG 228H1F Religious Ethics: The Environment

Instructor(s): M. Anderson

Enr: 35	Resp: 27		Retake: 95%					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	0	14	33	48	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	3	3	37	55	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	7	92	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	18	81	6.8
Workload	3	7	0	59	18	7	3	4.2
Difficulty	7	3	7	70	3	7	0	3.8
Learning Exp	0	0	0	0	21	21	57	6.4

Anderson's enthusiasm and humour was appreciated by his students. He made the learning experience comfortable

and fun. Students found the material interesting and informative. The readings were heavy but students felt that they were important.

RLG 315H1S Rites of Passage

Instructor(s): B. Stephenson

Enr: 43	Resp: 27			Retake: 86%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	3	0	11	22	33	29	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	14	22	33	29	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	3	14	29	51	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	25	29	44	6.2
Workload	0	0	3	70	18	7	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	3	14	55	25	0	0	4.0
Learning Exp	0	0	14	19	23	19	23	5.2

A few students noted that Stephenson was a great instructor who provided an engaging and valuable learning experience.

RLG 317H1S Religious Violence and Nonviolence

Instructor(s): S. KleeB

Enr: 45	Resp: 25			Retake: 94%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	4	20	45	29	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	4	25	45	25	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	4	0	37	58	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	8	58	33	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	47	33	9	9	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	5	80	5	10	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	11	11	41	35	6.0

Quite a number of students noted that KleeB was a wonderful instructor who was enthusiastic and arranged for a great learning experience.

ROTMAN COMMERCE

RSM 230H1F Financial Markets

Instructor(s): V. Tarantino

Enr: 60	Resp: 45			Retake: 80%			Mean	
	1	2	3	4	5	6		7
Presents	0	2	6	24	28	24	16	5.1
Explains	2	2	6	22	24	28	13	5.0
Communicates	2	2	11	22	25	20	15	4.9
Teaching	0	2	6	11	35	33	11	5.2
Workload	2	9	4	58	11	11	2	4.1
Difficulty	4	2	13	48	16	11	2	4.1
Learning Exp	3	0	6	44	24	20	0	4.5

64 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR**RSM 321H1Y Advanced Financial Accounting Topics**

Instructor(s): J. McDonald

Enr: 48

Resp: 33

Retake: 24%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	9	16	32	19	12	6	4.2
Explains	3	9	15	24	27	15	6	4.3
Communicates	3	3	6	39	21	18	9	4.6
Teaching	3	0	9	21	30	27	9	4.9
Workload	3	0	0	15	30	33	18	5.4
Difficulty	3	0	0	12	24	33	27	5.6
Learning Exp	4	4	8	43	17	13	8	4.4

RSM 330H1S Investments

Instructor(s): M. Stapleton

Enr: 31

Resp: 22

Retake: 85%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	22	9	50	13	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	9	22	54	13	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	9	13	45	31	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	9	14	42	33	6.0
Workload	0	0	4	45	40	9	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	4	4	42	28	9	9	4.6
Learning Exp	0	0	0	62	12	25	0	4.6

RSM 332H1F Capital Market Theory

Instructor(s): W. Huggins

Enr: 54

Resp: 50

Retake: 76%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	16	38	38	6.1
Explains	4	0	4	0	22	28	40	5.9
Communicates	2	0	2	2	14	32	48	6.1
Teaching	2	0	0	6	14	38	42	6.1
Workload	0	0	8	47	28	10	4	4.5
Difficulty	0	2	0	31	29	25	10	5.1
Learning Exp	2	0	0	31	31	22	11	5.0

Students seemed to have enjoyed the course and the instructor. Some students felt that the course could at times, move too fast.

Instructor(s): W. Huggins

Enr: 55

Resp: 45

Retake: 80%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	9	36	50	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	2	16	25	55	6.3
Communicates	0	0	2	0	9	25	62	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	2	6	34	55	6.4
Workload	0	2	2	35	19	26	14	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	23	13	44	18	5.6
Learning Exp	0	0	0	27	12	24	36	5.7

Instructor(s): W. Huggins

Enr: 55	Resp: 33			Retake: 78%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	21	27	45	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	12	18	27	42	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	6	12	36	45	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	6	15	33	45	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	48	33	15	3	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	18	42	27	12	5.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	21	21	39	17	5.5

Students generally seemed to have enjoyed the course and having Huggins as their Instructor.

RSM 333H1S Introduction to Corporate Finance

Instructor(s): W. Huggins

Enr: 41	Resp: 35			Retake: 69%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	2	34	57	6.4
Explains	0	0	2	0	8	28	60	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	5	5	17	71	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	2	5	31	60	6.5
Workload	0	0	2	31	31	25	8	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	17	28	31	22	5.6
Learning Exp	0	0	3	14	25	29	25	5.6

A few students commented that Huggins was a great instructor.

Instructor(s): W. Huggins

Enr: 49	Resp: 37			Retake: 70%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	13	27	56	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	2	5	29	62	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	2	18	13	64	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	5	13	27	54	6.3
Workload	0	0	2	27	36	22	11	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	16	25	30	27	5.7
Learning Exp	0	0	0	18	22	45	13	5.5

A few students noted that Huggins was a great instructor and an effective teacher.

Instructor(s): W. Huggins

Enr: 39	Resp: 31			Retake: 53%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	23	40	30	5.9
Explains	0	0	3	10	16	33	36	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	10	13	43	33	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	6	13	53	26	6.0
Workload	0	0	3	38	35	12	9	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	3	19	16	41	19	5.5
Learning Exp	0	0	7	38	30	23	0	4.7

A few students said that overall, the instructor was good. There was mention that he spoke too fast at times.

ST. MICHAEL'S COLLEGE

SMC 219Y1Y Mass Media in Culture and Society

Instructor(s): T. Gibson

Enr: 42	Resp: 26			Retake: 76%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	12	4	16	33	25	8	4.8
Explains	3	0	3	26	26	23	15	5.0
Communicates	0	0	0	4	8	44	44	6.3
Teaching	0	0	4	8	16	36	36	5.9
Workload	0	0	4	44	24	12	16	4.9
Difficulty	4	0	0	56	28	8	4	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	10	10	36	36	5	5.2

Several students indicated that this was a valuable learning experience as the instructor was an effective teacher who was helpful and ready to provide useful feedback. However, a number of students also indicated that the course could have been better organized and the instructions for assignments could have been clearer. There was a mention that the workload was extensive for a summer course.

SOCIOLOGY

SOC 101Y1Y Introduction to Sociology

Instructor(s): L. Tepperman

Enr: 179	Resp: 96			Retake: 72%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	17	27	32	16	5.4
Explains	0	0	4	10	29	36	18	5.6
Communicates	0	0	1	8	17	38	35	6.0
Teaching	0	0	3	5	22	43	25	5.8
Workload	2	3	10	53	21	4	5	4.2
Difficulty	0	2	13	51	23	7	2	4.3
Learning Exp	1	0	2	38	30	11	16	4.9

A few students noted that the instructor was great. A number of them agreed that the course was enjoyable and the material was interesting. Some students indicated that they believed the tests were unfair due to various reasons. They noted that the lecture material was not very relevant as compared to textbook content in preparing for evaluations. There was frequent mention by a few students that better reading material could have been assigned, and the variance in the amount of reading material could have been assigned and that the variance in the amount of reading material week to week made this course challenging. There was a request to upload lecture slides BlackBoard, so students could concentrate fully on listening to the lectures.

SOC 200H1F Logic of Social Inquiry

Instructor(s): R. Cote

Enr: 84	Resp: 40			Retake: 47%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	7	22	20	37	12	5.2
Explains	0	0	7	22	15	40	15	5.3
Communicates	0	2	10	27	17	32	10	5.0
Teaching	0	2	0	20	22	45	10	5.4

Workload	0	2	2	56	30	5	2	4.4
Difficulty	0	2	15	56	17	7	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	10	41	31	13	3	4.6

Students seemed to generally enjoy having Cote as their instructor, They felt that she delivered her lectures well and explained ideas clearly. However it seemed that a few students felt that the assignments were difficult and expectations could have been clearer.

SOC 202H1S Quantitative Analysis in Social Science Research

Instructor(s): R. Sharma

Enr: 78	Resp: 39			Retake: 53%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	10	29	32	24	0	4.6
Explains	2	2	10	42	23	18	0	4.4
Communicates	0	5	10	28	44	10	0	4.4
Teaching	0	0	13	23	36	18	7	4.8
Workload	2	2	5	60	26	2	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	5	2	54	13	18	5	4.5
Learning Exp	3	3	16	40	20	13	3	4.2

A few students noted that the instructor was willing to answer questions and provide help. Some students indicated that they had trouble following the instructor's lectures. They noted that examples and concepts could have been communicated/presented more clearly and thoroughly. A few students indicated that they would have liked more feedback on the weekly assignments submitted in tutorials.

SOC 203Y1Y History of Social theory

Instructor(s): M. Patterson

Enr: 73	Resp: 46			Retake: 41%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	8	22	24	40	5.9
Explains	0	0	2	6	26	24	40	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	6	11	42	40	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	13	17	37	31	5.9
Workload	0	0	4	73	8	13	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	35	20	4	4.9
Learning Exp	0	0	3	45	30	9	12	4.8

A number of students indicated that Patterson was a great instructor, who was extremely enthusiastic, engaging, and effective at communicating concepts. Additionally, students noted that he made lectures interesting. His PowerPoint slides were praised by a few students, however some students indicated that they should have been always posted in advance of lectures for use during lectures.

SOC 204Y1Y Qualitative Analysis in Social Science Research

Instructor(s): J. Nowak

Enr: 42	Resp: 19			Retake: 47%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	15	21	47	10	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	22	22	38	16	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	5	15	36	42	6.2
Teaching	5	0	0	0	44	33	16	5.4
Workload	0	0	0	70	17	11	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	5	64	23	5	0	4.3

68 SUMMER ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

Learning Exp	0	0	0	41	25	33	0	4.9
--------------	---	---	---	----	----	----	---	-----

SOC 207Y1Y Sociology of Work & Occupations

Instructor(s): P. Glavin

Enr: 33

Resp: 16

Retake: 86%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	31	12	50	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	37	18	43	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	6	31	25	37	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	25	31	43	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	75	18	6	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	75	18	6	0	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	33	25	33	8	5.2

SOC 214Y1Y Sociology of Families

Instructor(s): W. Xing

Enr: 52

Resp: 28

Retake: 73%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	10	7	42	25	10	5.1
Explains	0	3	17	17	35	21	3	4.6
Communicates	0	0	0	32	39	28	0	5.0
Teaching	0	7	3	14	39	28	7	5.0
Workload	0	0	3	60	17	14	3	4.5
Difficulty	0	3	0	89	3	3	0	4.0
Learning Exp	9	0	9	23	28	28	0	4.5

SOC 263H1S The Quality of Social Life

Instructor(s): L. Ho

Enr: 48

Resp: 27

Retake: 50%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	7	0	0	3	29	40	18	5.4
Explains	7	0	0	3	26	53	7	5.3
Communicates	7	0	3	14	24	37	11	5.1
Teaching	7	0	0	15	26	50	0	5.0
Workload	0	0	3	42	30	15	7	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	14	51	25	3	3	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	60	25	15	0	4.6

A number of students commented that the assignment expectations changed too frequently, creating confusion and lack of clear understanding of what was expected. There was concern that the essay assignment assumed too much methodological background knowledge, making it difficult for students to complete the assignments successfully.

SOC 309Y1Y HIV and AIDS: Social Policies and Programs

Instructor(s): R. Travers

Enr: 109

Resp: 45

Retake: 95%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	16	46	34	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	2	13	37	46	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	4	41	53	6.5

Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	40	47	6.4
Workload	0	0	9	74	6	6	2	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	4	75	11	6	2	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	0	10	18	32	37	6.0

Some students indicated that the instructor was great, as he arranged for interesting guest lecturers, and engaging course material. Students were unhappy that the instructor was absent during many of the guest lectures. A number of students agreed that the method of evaluation could be improved, as unrelated questions were on the test. Overall, students felt this was a valuable learning experience.

SOC 363H1F Sociology of Mental Health and Mental Disorders

Instructor(s): S. Montazer

Enr: 110	Resp: 70			Retake: 59%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	1	0	8	17	27	28	15	5.2
Explains	1	4	12	18	25	28	8	4.8
Communicates	0	0	2	27	22	25	21	5.4
Teaching	0	4	8	17	28	30	10	5.0
Workload	0	1	14	68	12	0	2	4.0
Difficulty	0	2	12	67	14	0	2	4.0
Learning Exp	1	3	12	46	24	7	3	4.2

While students found Montazer to be enthusiastic and knowledgeable about the course material some found her to be fast paced. The course material was interesting. However the methods of evaluation were not popular with the students.

SOC 365Y1F Gender Relations

Instructor(s): A. Green

Enr: 93	Resp: 53			Retake: 87%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	7	40	48	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	1	7	30	59	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	1	5	25	67	6.6
Teaching	0	0	2	2	10	28	58	6.4
Workload	0	1	5	65	21	3	1	4.2
Difficulty	0	2	4	70	22	2	0	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	8	13	36	41	6.1

Green was praised by students as an effective instructor who was enthusiastic and passionate about teaching.

SOC 367H1S Race, Class, and Gender

Instructor(s): T. Frederick

Enr: 94	Resp: 44			Retake: 65%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	18	36	31	6	5.1
Explains	0	2	2	20	31	34	9	5.2
Communicates	0	2	2	13	27	34	20	5.5
Teaching	0	0	2	11	34	40	11	5.5
Workload	0	2	4	59	22	11	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	2	2	61	25	6	2	4.4
Learning Exp	3	0	6	34	37	6	12	4.7

A few students indicated that the course could be better organized and presented in a more engaging manner.

SPANISH & PORTUGUESE

SPA 100Y1Y Spanish for Beginners

Instructor(s): Y. Rafat

Enr: 35	Resp: 25			Retake: 95%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	4	16	32	48	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	4	8	28	60	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	4	96	7.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	4	8	88	6.8
Workload	0	0	12	75	4	8	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	4	8	64	16	4	4	4.2
Learning Exp	0	0	0	4	17	43	34	6.1

Students felt that the instructor was extremely friendly and helpful. They learned Spanish language and culture in a fun and energetic atmosphere.

Instructor(s): M. Ramirez

Enr: 62	Resp: 38			Retake: 94%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	2	2	0	15	34	44	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	5	2	52	39	6.3
Communicates	2	0	0	2	10	31	52	6.2
Teaching	0	0	2	5	5	44	42	6.2
Workload	2	0	15	50	21	10	0	4.2
Difficulty	2	0	10	57	26	2	0	4.1
Learning Exp	0	0	3	17	31	24	24	5.5

Students mostly said Ramirez was very enthusiastic and successfully inspired class participation. He made his expectations clear and classes exciting. It was suggested that students would benefit from use of the board rather than just verbal explanations, especially with grammatical details.

Instructor(s): T. Battersby

Enr: 56	Resp: 35			Retake: 87%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	2	0	2	17	37	40	6.1
Explains	0	0	2	11	25	25	34	5.8
Communicates	0	2	0	14	34	28	20	5.5
Teaching	0	0	2	8	20	42	25	5.8
Workload	3	3	6	50	21	9	6	4.4
Difficulty	2	2	17	38	23	8	5	4.3
Learning Exp	0	0	3	22	25	33	14	5.3

A number of student indicated that the instructor was extremely organized, and an effective teacher.



SPA 220Y1Y Intermediate Spanish

Instructor(s): N. Mazzaro

Enr: 24

Resp: 20

Retake: 94%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	25	25	40	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	30	40	30	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	15	65	6.4
Teaching	0	0	5	0	10	35	50	6.2
Workload	0	0	25	60	10	5	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	70	20	10	0	4.4
Learning Exp	0	0	6	6	43	31	12	5.4

Students seemed to have had a pleasant experience in class. They found Mazzaro to be an effective language instructor. She was humourous, pleasant and approachable.

Instructor(s): N. Gonzalez

Enr: 24

Resp: 21

Retake: 88%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	10	30	10	25	20	5.0
Explains	0	0	25	15	25	15	20	4.9
Communicates	0	0	5	10	15	35	35	5.8
Teaching	0	0	5	15	15	40	25	5.7
Workload	0	0	26	47	10	10	5	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	9	42	23	19	4	4.7
Learning Exp	0	5	0	31	15	31	15	5.2

Several students indicated that Gonzalez was an enthusiastic instructor, who communicated and taught effectively. While some students appreciated that he took up homework in class regularly, others found this to be an ineffective use of time. A number of students noted that they would have liked to see more structured lesson plans.

SPA 258H1F Introduction to Hispanic Literary Studies

Instructor(s): N. Rodriguez

Enr: 21

Resp: 16

Retake: 81%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	6	62	25	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	31	25	43	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	18	37	43	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	6	50	43	6.4
Workload	0	0	6	53	26	0	13	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	31	12	6	4.8
Learning Exp	0	0	0	23	15	38	23	5.6

Students generally liked the course.



TRINITY COLLEGE**TRN 305Y1Y Basic Principles of Law**

Instructor(s): E. Fruchtmann

Enr: 28	Resp: 19			Retake: 94%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	5	31	31	26	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	11	16	27	44	6.1
Communicates	0	0	5	0	5	36	52	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	5	33	33	27	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	36	42	15	5	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	31	42	15	10	5.1
Learning Exp	0	0	0	5	35	41	17	5.7

A number of students indicated that Fruchtmann was a great instructor, who lead this course enthusiastically. There was mention that this course covered dense amount of content during the summer period, and the workload in completing assignments was demanding.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE**UNI 255H1F History and Perspectives in Sexual Diversity**

Instructor(s): S. Rayter

Enr: 69	Resp: 52			Retake: 95%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	1	23	40	32	6.0
Explains	0	0	1	1	9	50	36	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	9	28	61	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	15	38	46	6.3
Workload	0	1	7	64	15	9	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	4	54	22	18	2	4.6
Learning Exp	0	0	0	9	41	27	20	5.6

Students made many positive comments about the course and the instructor. Students described the instructor as an effective teacher, who was enthusiastic and knowledgeable. They found Rayter incredibly effective at communicating concepts clearly, and presenting course material in an interesting manner. Overall, students found this course valuable.

WOODSWORTH COLLEGE**WDW 260H1S Organizational Behaviour**

Instructor(s): E. Mock

Enr: 44	Resp: 37			Retake: 74%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	0	2	22	25	36	11	5.2
Explains	2	2	0	8	24	54	8	5.4
Communicates	0	0	2	2	24	29	40	6.0
Teaching	2	0	8	5	21	48	13	5.4
Workload	0	5	21	59	10	0	2	3.9
Difficulty	0	8	24	59	8	0	0	3.7
Learning Exp	7	3	0	14	51	11	11	4.8

A few students indicated that the discussions could have been more valuable, with a more enriching level of analy-

sis. There was mention that expectations for evaluations were not communicated in a timely manner.

WDW 394H1F Topics in Criminology: Managing Dissent

Instructor(s): D. Demos

	Resp: 29			Retake: 75%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	3	3	3	25	32	25	7	4.8
Explains	0	0	3	6	34	37	17	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	3	13	48	34	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	13	31	34	20	5.6
Workload	0	0	7	50	21	21	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	6	51	24	13	3	4.6
Learning Exp	0	0	8	32	32	20	8	4.9

Most students felt that the instructor was good. They found her enthusiastic and her lectures were engaging and knowledgeable. Some students felt that she could have been a little more organized and posted notes on blackboard. They also felt that discussion of readings during class could have enhanced their learning experience. Overall students enjoyed the course and the instructor.

WOMEN & GENDER STUDIES

WGS 271Y1Y Gender, Race and Class in Contemporary Popular Culture

Instructor(s): N. Kouri-Towe

	Resp: 19			Retake: 100%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	5	10	47	36	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	10	21	42	26	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	5	5	36	52	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	21	36	42	6.2
Workload	0	0	31	68	0	0	0	3.7
Difficulty	0	0	15	78	5	0	0	3.9
Learning Exp	0	0	14	7	14	35	28	5.6

Some students praised the instructor. They described her an enthusiastic and knowledgeable. Overall, these students noted that the instructor was great and the course was highly valuable.

WGS 367H1S The Politics of Gender and Health

Instructor(s): P. Durish

	Resp: 21			Retake: 84%				Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	4	19	42	33	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	4	19	38	38	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	4	14	33	47	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	9	38	52	6.4
Workload	0	0	4	47	33	9	4	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	52	38	4	4	4.6
Learning Exp	0	0	0	8	16	41	33	6.0

Many students had positive remarks about the instructor. These students noted that she was helpful, approachable and a great instructor overall. A number of students indicated this was a valuable learning experience.



Association of Part-time Undergraduate Students Advocating for part-time student issues for over 40 years



VISIT THE APUS PROGRAMMING OFFICE:
100 Devonshire Place-Just behind Woodsworth College
(1 block east of St. George Street, south of Bloor St. W)

PART-TIME STUDENTS:

We want to hear from you about the part-time student experience. For example, was your professor accommodating to you as a mature students and/or as a parent? What are your best and worst experiences? Drop us a line at info.apus@utoronto.ca

BECOME A CLASS REPRESENTATIVE AND GET INVOLVED IN YOUR STUDENT UNION!



PART-TIME STUDENT MOBILIZATION

The University plans to build the Centre for High Performance Sport which will likely displace APUS from its programming office and will cost students additional fees.

As of Jan. 2011 UofT made APUS one offer for space – a **FORMER FUNERAL HOME** on the edge of campus. APUS refuses the insulting proposal and continues to call for space permanence or suitable alternative space.

APUS needs your support to call for:

1. Space permanence in our current space at the Margaret Fletcher building or suitable alternative space in accordance with our space needs assessments
2. Refusal of moving into a former funeral home so the University can build the Centre for High Performance Sport for which students will be asked to pay additional fees

**Please support APUS and SIGN our
petition at:**

www.petitiononline.com/apuspace/petition.html

APUS STUDENT SERVICES OFFICE

100 St. George Street, Room 1089
Hours: 10:00-7:00 Mon-Thurs; 10:00-5:00 Friday

- Photocopying (10 cents) and past exams
- Bursaries & Scholarships
- Annual Health and Dental coverage
- Free local fax and computer
- Discounted TTC passes \$107 and \$99 for students

**www.apus.utoronto.ca
416 978-3993**

What is ASSU?

ASSU is your academic student union. We are dedicated to improve, and to provide services for the improvement of, the education and academic life of undergraduates in the Faculty of Arts & Science. We are the official representation of over 26,000 undergraduates on the St. George Campus and we are comprised of over 50 Course Unions in various departments and programmes, each with elected student members.

What is a Course Union?

A Course Union is the official representative of students in a department and/or programme. Course Union members sit on various departmental committees, hold various academic and social activities, and handle the student evaluations of courses.

What does ASSU do?

- Handles individual student academic grievances and concerns
- Deals with faculty and university educational issues
- Carries copies term tests
- Has 2 photocopiers (10 cent copies)
- Provides an inexpensive fax service
- Sells cold pop (50 cents) - noname brand
- Answers all student inquiries
- Works with other university groups to further the student cause
- Rents out lockers in Sidney Smith Hall
- Provides 4 bursaries: the *Carl G. Amrhein Bursary*; the *ASSU-Dean Faculty of Arts & Science Bursary*; the *ASSU Second Year Bursary* and the *Jane Seto Third Year Bursary*
- Awards the annual *William R. Gardner Student Leadership Award* for involvement in extra-curricular activities
- Awards the annual *ASSU Campus Leadership Award* for involvement in extra-curricular activities
- Awards the annual *ASSU First Year Campus Involvement Award* for involvement in extra-curricular activities
- Awards the annual *Urmila (Uma) Sarkar Award* for outstanding service to students
- Awards the annual *Sanjeev Dewett Award* to the most active Course Union of the year
- Awards the annual *Ranjini (Rini) Ghosh Award* for Excellence in Teaching
- Awards the annual *Terry Buckland Award* for Diversity and Equity in Education
- Runs a free high school tutoring program, *Project: Universal Minds*

What is the ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR?

The *Anti-Calendar* is an annual collection of student evaluations of over 1,700 courses and instructors in our Faculty.



SIDNEY SMITH HALL, ROOM 1068
416.978.4903
students.assu@utoronto.ca
www.assu.ca

STOP FLAT FEES

What are Flat Fees?

Flat fees charge students for 5 credits, regardless of the number of courses we are actually taking. They are an unregulated tuition fee increase.

I am already paying flat fees, why should I care?

You are paying for more than you get! Why pay more for less? This is the chance to stop the rapid increase of student fees. If we address the real problem of government underfunding, we could reverse flat fees for all students.

What's next?

The University of Toronto Administration wants to permanently charge students the flat fee for taking as little as 3.0 credits – that's a 66% increase in fees!

This spring, we will call on the governing bodies at the University of Toronto to reverse the decision to implement flat fees.

For more information,
visit www.stopflatfees.ca

SIGN THE PETITION
ATTEND COALITION MEETINGS
(MONDAYS 4-6PM, SIDNEY SMITH LOBBY)
CONTACT YOUR REPRESENTATIVES