

Introduction

ASSU would like to thank the Rotman Commerce Student's Association for their work in getting these evaluations packaged, delivered and summarized. The RCSA hosts various events throughout the year geared towards Commerce students - you can check them out at http://www.rotmancommerce.utoronto.ca/rcsa.htm

Editor

MGT 201H1S Introduction to Financial Accounting

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 91		Re	esp: 59	Retake:	80%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	0	1	8	20	47	18	5.6
Explains	3	0	0	15	16	37	27	5.6
Communicates	3	1	0	10	15	22	47	5.9
Teaching	3	0	0	5	20	42	28	5.8
Workload	9	16	16	41	10	3	1	3.5
Difficulty	0	18	20	41	14	3	1	3.7
Learn Exp	0	0	12	34	29	17	6	4.7

While some students thought Zuliani was a very good instructor who was understanding and enthusiastic, a few mentioned she was sometimes late for class.

RSM 220H1S Financial Accounting

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

Enr: 56		Re	sp: 1	1		Reta	ake: 50%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	36	45	18	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	36	27	36	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	18	27	45	9	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	9	36	45	9	5.5
Workload	0	0	0	60	40	0	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	30	20	0	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	50	0	16	5.0

Students felt Bertrand was a good instructor who was enthusiastic and presented the material well.

RSM 221H1F Intermediate Financial Accounting I

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

Enr: 54	Resp: 22						Reta	ke: 44%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	9	18	36	36	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	4	13	54	27	6.0
Communicates	0	0	4	13	36	22	22	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	9	27	27	36	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	28	4	38	28	5.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	28	14	42	14	5.4
Learn Exp	7	0	7	28	21	28	7	4.7

Bertrand was described as nice, helpful and approachable. The course load was a little excessive for a half course. Students felt that more exam level practice questions should have been assigned.

RSM 221H1S Intermediate Financial Accounting I

Instructor(s): R. Bertrand

Enr: 51		Re	esp: 2	7		Reta	ake: 37%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	7	18	44	25	5.8
Explains	0	0	3	7	22	37	29	5.8
Communicates	0	3	3	18	14	33	25	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	7	29	33	29	5.9
Workload	0	0	2	25	17	29	25	5.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	11	33	25	29	5.7
Learn Exp	0	0	18	18	25	31	6	4.9

Instructor(s): R. Bertrand

Enr: 53		Re	esp: 36	3		Reta	ke: 53%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	8	28	37	22	5.7
Explains	0	0	5	5	22	28	37	5.9
Communicates	0	0	8	17	28	20	25	5.4
Teaching	0	0	2	5	34	31	25	5.7
Workload	0	0	0	14	48	17	20	5.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	11	37	31	20	5.6
Learn Exp	0	0	13	26	34	8	17	4.9

Bertrand was a very effective instructor. He used examples during lectures to clarify difficult concepts which students found extremely helpful. He was very polite and patient in answering questions. However, students felt that expectations on IAPs needed to be clarified. Also, students would like more informative tutorials.

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

Enr: 53		Re	sp: 33	3		48 18 5. 51 24 5. 27 12 5. 42 24 5. 31 12 5. 27 24 5.		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	27	48	18	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	6	18	51	24	5.9
Communicates	0	0	6	15	39	27	12	5.2
Teaching	0	0	0	3	30	42	24	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	15	40	31	12	5.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	18	30	27	24	5.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	40	45	10	5	4.8

Students said Bertrand was a "good" lecturer, who explained the materials well. Some students seemed to feel that the course had some difficult.

RSM 222H1F Management Accounting I

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 49	Resp: 36						Reta	ke: 53%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	17	17	22	40	2	4.9
Explains	0	2	8	16	36	27	8	5.0
Communicates	2	0	5	5	20	54	11	5.5
Teaching	2	0	2	5	32	41	14	5.5
Workload	2	0	8	41	22	19	5	4.6
Difficulty	0	5	2	51	25	11	2	4.4
Learn Exp	8	0	0	43	26	21	0	4.4

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 54		Re	esp: 4	7		Reta	ke: 79%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	6	26	26	23	15	5.1
Explains	0	2	4	23	36	17	15	5.1
Communicates	0	0	4	26	32	26	10	5.1
Teaching	0	0	0	21	45	19	13	5.2
Workload	0	0	11	64	15	8	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	2	15	60	15	6	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	47	35	14	2	4.7

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 53	Resp: 52						Retal	ke: 73%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	1	3	521	38	19	9	4.9	
Explains	0	0	3	19	40	23	13	5.2
Communicates	0	0	5	13	34	32	13	5.3
Teaching	0	0	5	5	32	42	13	5.5
Workload	0	0	4	48	34	8	4	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	8	56	34	2	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	2	33	46	10	7	4.9

Zuliani was generally approachable and a good instructor. However, some felt she rushed through her slides too quickly.

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 54		Re	esp: 4	1		7 Mean 5 5.1 7 5.1 7 5.1 7 5.1 7 5.1		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	2	20	37	32	5	5.1
Explains	0	2	2	25	35	27	7	5.1
Communicates	0	0	10	18	31	31	7	5.1
Teaching	0	0	5	17	45	25	7	5.1
Workload	0	2	0	48	38	7	2	4.6
Difficulty	0	2	2	64	17	12	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	2	2	41	35	14	2	4.6

Zuliani was generally very approachable, easy to talk to, and also very helpful.

Students felt that they needed more guidance during group projects/ homework/ case analysis: instructions were ambiguous. They also felt that the exams/midterms did not correspond with the class materials.

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 50		Re	esp: 39	9		Reta	ke: 72%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	0	5	17	35	20	17	5.2
Explains	0	7	7	7	30	28	17	5.2
Communicates	2	2	7	12	20	28	25	5.3
Teaching	2	2	2	17	17	38	17	5.3
Workload	0	0	5	61	25	5	2	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	5	58	20	12	2	4.5
Learn Exp	3	3	12	30	33	12	6	4.5

Zuliani was enthusiastic and offered many examples in class. She conveyed concepts really well by giving a summary before each class and gave helpful problem sets that students felt were good for preparing for tests.

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 54		Re	sp: 13	3		Retal	ke: 92%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	7	0	23	61	7	5.6
Explains	0	0	7	7	23	53	7	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	30	7	46	15	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	7	23	69	0	5.6
Workload	0	0	0	84	7	7	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	7	76	7	7	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	30	50	20	0	4.9

Students felt Zuliani did an excellent job of teaching the course materials, but was sometimes unclear when answering supplementary questions. She could be slow to respond to emails. The test questions were sometimes confusing or misleading.

RSM 222H1S Management Accounting I

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

Enr: 53		Re	sp: 22	2		0 18 5.6 0 18 5.7 3 23 5.5		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	18	22	40	18	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	13	18	50	18	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	28	14	33	23	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	9	27	50	13	5.7
Workload	0	0	4	59	36	0	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	4	4	54	36	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	50	20	20	10	4.9

Bertrand was said to have been a nice and helpful instructor.

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

Enr: 49		Re	sp: 2	7			Reta	ke: 60%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	7	14	51	22	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	7	18	40	33	6.0
Communicates	0	0	7	18	3	37	33	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	7	11	33	48	6.2
Workload	0	0	3	40	37	11	7	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	3	50	37	7	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	47	36	5	10	4.8

Students felt that Bertrand was an effective instructor who explained concepts with effective use of examples.

However they felt that the material was unimportant as well as unrelated to their career. Furthermore the project was felt to be too complicated and unrelated.

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

Enr: 50	Resp: 20						Reta	ke: 58%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	15	30	45	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	5	25	30	40	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	10	25	25	40	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	5	20	35	40	6.1
Workload	0	0	5	50	25	0	20	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	5	60	25	0	10	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	6	33	40	0	20	4.9

Great instructor very approachable and helpful. However students complained that the group project was unnecessary and didn't reflect the true understanding of the course.

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

Enr: 32		Re	sp: 1	1		Reta	ıke: 90%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	9	45	45	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	60	40	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	10	10	50	30	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	10	60	30	6.2
Workload	0	0	9	54	18	18	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	80	20	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	14	0	28	0	42	14	5.0

Bertrand was a great instructor who was attentive to students' questions and needs.

RSM 225H1F Legal Environment of Business I

Instructor(s): D. Shear

` '								
Enr: 50		Re	sp: 31		Retake: 86%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	6	54	35	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	3	45	51	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	48	48	6.5

204 ROTMAN COMMERCE

Teaching	0	0	3	0	9	51	35	6.2
Workload	0	3	10	53	26	6	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	3	3	44	24	24	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	16	32	36	16	5.5

Students felt that Shear was a great lecturer and looked forward to more law courses in the future.

Instructor(s): J. Rickert

Enr: 50		Re	sp: 29	9		Reta	ake: 80%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	7	57	26	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	7	7	34	50	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	3	14	37	44	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	3	11	46	38	6.2
Workload	0	0	7	62	18	7	3	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	68	12	12	8	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	4	28	52	14	5.8

Rickert made all the topics covered more interesting, and related the knowledge and understanding of law to the business environment. He did a great job in delivering course material and answering questions effectively.

Instructor(s): H. Laurence

Enr: 46		Re	esp: 3	5		Reta	ake: 75%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	5	50	38	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	2	0	37	60	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	35	61	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	41	52	6.5
Workload	0	0	11	71	8	8	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	2	11	48	20	14	2	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	16	20	41	20	5.7

Laurence was described as a very engaging and enthusiastic instructor. He communicated the course material with the use of interesting examples.

Students though this was one of the most interesting courses they have taken at the university. The test and exam require a lot of writing.

RSM 225H1S Legal Environment of Business I

Instructor(s): H. Laurence

Enr: 50	Resp: 31						Reta	ke: 89%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	16	26	50	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	3	12	22	61	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	19	74	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	12	32	54	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	48	40	13	3	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	3	26	50	13	6	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	37	29	18	5.5

Students enjoyed Laurence's wit, humour, and the enthusiasm with which he taught this class.

Instructor(s): D. Shear

Enr: 52		Re	sp: 2	7	Retake: 86%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	11	25	62	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	25	74	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	22	77	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	33	66	6.7
Workload	0	0	0	61	23	15	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	3	57	19	19	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	7	23	38	30	5.9

Most students found Shear interesting and organized. Some even

described him as "perfect".

There were some concerns with the harshness of grading and overall difficulty of the course.

Instructor(s): J. Rickert

Enr: 48		Re	esp: 19	9		Reta	ake: 75%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	10	52	36	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	47	47	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	47	42	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	47	47	6.4
Workload	0	10	5	47	26	5	5	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	10	36	26	21	5	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	17	29	29	23	5.6

Instructor(s): H. Laurence

Enr: 43		Re	sp: 2	5		Reta	ake: 90%	
	11	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	17	30	52	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	4	24	72	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	20	70	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	4	33	62	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	48	44	4	4	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	45	29	20	4	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	16	38	33	5.9

Laurence was lauded as a great lecturer who gave good examples. He communicated a lot of enthusiasm.

RSM 230H1F Financial Markets

Instructor(s): L. Booth

Enr: 51		Re	sp: 34	4			Retak	etake: 80%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	3	12	18	33	33	5.8	
Explains	0	0	6	3	15	51	24	5.8	
Communicates	0	0	0	6	15	42	36	6.1	
Teaching	0	0	0	3	18	54	24	6.0	
Workload	0	3	3	48	33	12	0	4.5	
Difficulty	0	0	0	27	45	30	0	5.0	
Learn Exp	0	4	4	36	13	31	9	4.9	

The instructor was very informative and enthusiastic. Students commented that having more quizzes would have been useful.

Instructor(s): L. Booth

Enr: 55		Re	sp: 13	3			Retake: 76%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	7	30	61	6.5	
Explains	0	0	0	0	7	38	53	6.5	
Communicates	0	0	0	7	7	38	46	6.2	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	38	61	6.6	
Workload	0	0	7	15	38	7	30	5.4	
Difficulty	0	7	7	0	30	15	38	5.5	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	27	27	45	6.2	

There were a few comments about the usefulness of the textbook.

Instructor(s): L. Booth

	Resp: 44					Retake: 71%		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
0	0	4	9	32	27	25	5.6	
0	0	4	4	30	30	28	5.7	
0	0	0	9	21	23	45	6.0	
0	0	0	2	26	45	26	6.0	
0	0	4	53	25	11	4	4.6	
0	0	9	30	23	27	9	5.0	
0	0	3	35	14	17	28	5.3	
	0 0 0 0	1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 2 3 0 0 4 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 9	1 2 3 4 0 0 4 9 0 0 4 4 0 0 0 9 0 0 0 2 0 0 4 53 0 0 9 30	1 2 3 4 5 0 0 4 9 32 0 0 4 4 30 0 0 0 9 21 0 0 0 2 26 0 0 4 53 25 0 0 9 30 23	1 2 3 4 5 6 0 0 4 9 32 27 0 0 4 4 30 30 0 0 0 9 21 23 0 0 0 2 26 45 0 0 4 53 25 11 0 0 9 30 23 27	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 0 0 4 9 32 27 25 0 0 4 4 30 30 28 0 0 0 9 21 23 45 0 0 0 2 26 45 26 0 0 4 53 25 11 4 0 0 9 30 23 27 9	

Instructor(s): M. Stapleton

Enr: 56		R	esp: 3	33		Retake: 82%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	3	6	9	24	36	21	5.5	
Explains	03	0	12	9	21	24	30	5.4	
Communicates	0	0	3	9	21	30	36	5.9	
Teaching	0	0	6	12	21	33	27	5.6	
Workload	0	0	15	45	15	18	6	4.5	
Difficulty	0	0	3	33	36	12	15	5.0	
Learn Exp	0	3	0	14	40	22	18	5.3	

The instructor was helpful and made the material clear and enjoyable. She was very enthusiastic and organized.

More examples could be used to enhance the learning experience. Required readings were not representative of the difficulty of the test.

Instructor(s): W. Huggins

Enr: 51		Re	esp: 2	1			Reta	Retake: 88%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	4	23	38	33	6.0	
Explains	0	0	0	0	20	25	55	6.3	
Communicates	0	0	0	4	19	14	61	6.3	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	23	33	42	6.2	
Workload	0	0	15	60	15	5	5	4.2	
Difficulty	0	0	5	65	10	10	10	4.6	
Learn Exp	0	0	7	7	7	46	30	5.8	

RSM 230H1S Financial Markets

Instructor(s): W. Huggins

Enr: 52		Re	sp: 27	7	Retake: 85%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	22	29	44	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	3	18	25	51	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	33	59	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	3	11	40	44	6.3
Workload	0	0	7	51	29	7	3	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	7	40	37	11	3	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	20	40	6	5.2

Huggins was a very good instructor who provided a lot of practical examples. He puts a lot of effort into preparing for lectures as was seen by his very clear explanations. However students thought he could have spoken a little slower. A very enjoyable study experience overall.

Instructor(s): M. Stapleton

Enr: 54	Resp: 29					Retake: 92%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	3	10	13	41	31	5.9	
Explains	0	0	0	3	31	34	31	5.9	
Communicates	0	0	0	6	13	34	44	6.2	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	3	58	37	6.3	
Workload	0	0	6	79	13	0	0	4.1	
Difficulty	0	0	6	55	24	10	3	4.5	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	24	40	24	12	5.2	

Students liked Stapleton and felt that she was well-versed and presented material in an engaging manner. The course was interesting and was a good introductory course on the markets.

Instructor(s): M. Stapleton

Enr: 54		Re	sp: 29	9			Retake: 95%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	3	27	41	27	5.9		
Explains	0	0	0	13	24	41	20	5.7		
Communicates	0	0	0	3	10	34	51	6.3		
Teaching	0	0	0	3	13	44	37	6.2		
Workload	3	0	0	55	31	10	0	4.4		

Difficulty	0	0	0	48	37	13	0	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	34	34	21	8	5

Stapleton was an "excellent" instructor who was caring and well-prepared.

Instructor(s): M. Stapleton

Enr: 55	Resp: 31						Retake: 74%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	3	25	38	32	6.0		
Explains	0	0	0	6	22	35	35	6.0		
Communicates	0	0	0	3	16	36	43	6.2		
Teaching	0	0	0	3	22	25	48	6.2		
Workload	0	0	6	35	38	12	6	4.8		
Difficulty	0	3	3	30	33	13	16	5.0		
Learn Exp	0	0	9	14	38	28	9	5.1		

Stapleton was described by students as enthusiastic and fun. She was clearly knowledgeable.

Instructor(s): M. Stapleton

Enr: 53		Re	esp: 10)	Retake: 80%			
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	20	20	50	10	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	30	10	40	20	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	10	10	10	70	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	10	20	30	40	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	70	20	10	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	10	40	20	20	10	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	30	20	30	20	5.4

Students found Stapleton enthusiastic and informative.

RSM 260H1F Organizational Behaviour

Instructor(s): K. DeCelles

Enr: 50	Resp: 43 Retak						ake: 86%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	4	19	76	6.7
Explains	2	0	0	2	7	14	73	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	11	85	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	4	16	78	6.7
Workload	2	2	26	50	4	11	2	4.0
Difficulty	4	4	16	52	11	7	2	3.9
Learn Exp	3	0	0	16	6	30	43	5.9
Communicates Teaching Workload Difficulty	0 0 2 4	0 0 2 4	0 0 26 16	0 0 50 52	2 4 4 11	11 16 11 7	85 78 2 2	6.8 6.7 4.0 3.9

Students felt that DeCelles was an awesome, passionate and knowledgeable instructor. She was very helpful and always responded to students' questions properly.

Instructor(s): K. DeCelles

Enr: 49		Re	esp: 4	7		Reta	ake: 90%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	8	19	70	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	2	14	82	6.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	6	91	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	4	12	82	6.8
Workload	2	8	8	73	6	0	0	3.7
Difficulty	2	6	13	71	6	0	0	3.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	8	13	27	50	6.2

DeCelles was praised by her students for being "amazing", enthusiastic, approachable and supportive. She was very effective in her lecturing and students felt that they learned a lot. "One of the Best" at U of T.

206 ROTMAN COMMERCE

Instructor(s): K. DeCelles

Enr: 51		Re	esp: 43	3		Reta	ake: 92%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	0	2	9	23	62	6.4
Explains	0	2	0	0	6	18	72	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	2	0	11	86	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	4	19	76	6.7
Workload	4	7	21	39	17	7	2	3.9
Difficulty	4	12	19	39	19	2	2	3.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	6	25	35	32	5.9

The instructor was very effective and seemed to genuinely care about the learning experience of students. They variety of activities and experiments in lectures were interesting and helpful.

Overall, students enjoyed the course.

RSM 320H1F Intermediate Financial Accounting II

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

Enr: 51		Re	sp: 24	4		Retake: 80%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	12	37	37	12	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	13	17	52	17	5.7
Communicates	4	0	4	20	29	33	8	5.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	37	50	12	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	16	45	33	4	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	12	50	33	4	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	36	36	21	5	4.9

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

Enr: 18		Re	sp: 18	3	Retake: 70%			
-	1_	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	5	22	44	22	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	5	11	44	38	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	11	27	38	22	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	52	35	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	27	44	22	5	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	27	22	44	5	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	25	50	25	0	5.0

RSM 320H1S Intermediate Financial Accounting II

Instructor(s): J. Amernic

Enr: 54		Re	sp: 4	5		Reta	ke: 40%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	15	20	26	37	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	24	20	24	31	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	2	11	20	66	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	4	24	33	37	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	26	33	22	17	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	11	33	40	15	5.6
Learn Exp	0	0	3	33	26	20	16	5.1

Students felt that Amernic was enthusiastic, however he could have given more examples to help complete assignments.

Amernic's lectures and the drop-in centre were helpful.

Instructor(s): J. Amernic

Enr: 52		Re	sp: 32	2		Reta	ake: 48%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	12	6	37	40	6.0
Explains	0	0	3	9	25	28	34	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	3	6	21	68	6.6
Teaching	0	0	3	3	15	28	50	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	28	28	31	12	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	12	25	43	18	5.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	25	50	12	12	5.1

Students felt that Amernic was nice and very enthusiastic. He had an

interesting sense of humour which made the subject matter interesting. Students felt that there were too many readings. More practice questions relevant to the tests were needed.

RSM 321H1F Advanced Financial Accounting Topics

Instructor(s): J. Myers

Enr: 51		Re	sp: 42	2		Reta	ke: 50%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	14	26	34	24	5.7
Explains	0	0	2	9	30	28	28	5.7
Communicates	0	0	7	19	21	30	21	5.4
Teaching	0	0	2	7	30	42	16	5.6
Workload	0	0	0	9	30	38	21	5.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	4	19	47	28	6.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	21	42	21	5.7

Many considered the course material to be tough and the core analysis challenging.

RSM 321H1S Advanced Financial Accounting Topics

Instructor(s): J. Myers

Enr: 46		Re	esp: 2	1	Retake: 52%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	28	33	14	19	5.1
Explains	0	0	4	9	23	42	19	5.6
Communicates	4	0	9	23	33	19	9	4.8
Teaching	0	0	0	19	42	28	9	5.3
Workload	0	0	0	19	33	9	38	5.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	9	9	33	47	5.6
Learn Exp	0	0	15	26	31	21	5	4.7

RSM 324H1F Canadian Income Taxation I

Instructor(s): J. Kitunen

Enr: 48		Re	sp: 38	3		Reta	ıke: 75%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	13	36	42	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	10	47	42	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	34	63	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	2	5	47	44	6.3
Workload	0	0	0	8	43	35	13	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	27	30	33	8	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	3	26	46	23	5.9

Kitunen was very nice and enthusiastic. She explained the material very well and had good examples. Overall, students felt that it was a useful course.

Instructor(s): J. Kitunen

Enr: 47		Re	sp: 28	3			32 46 6.2 21 57 6.4 21 67 6.1 35 57 6.3 35 14 5.3 35 7 5.2	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	17	32	46	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	21	21	57	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	21	67	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	7	35	57	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	14	35	35	14	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	28	28	35	7	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	9	28	28	33	5.9

Students loved Kitunen's enthusiasm and thought she was an excellent instructor.

RSM 327H1F Business Information Systems

Instructor(s): N. Hope

Enr: 44		Resp: 32						ake: 53%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	15	34	28	15	5.3
Explains	0	0	3	6	28	34	28	5.8

207

Communicates	0	0	0	3	18	46	31	6.1
Teaching	0	3	0	9	28	28	31	5.7
Workload	0	0	6	30	30	23	10	5.0
Difficulty	0	3	13	30	26	13	13	4.7
Learn Exp	4	0	13	26	30	8	17	4.7

Hope communicated effectively and in a friendly manner. She was helpful to students and answered questions to explain the course materials.

Instructor(s):	N. Hope
----------------	---------

Enr: 33	Resp: 29						Reta	ake: 52%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	7	3	25	29	18	14	4.9
Explains	0	3	3	14	25	29	22	5.4
Communicates	0	0	7	3	14	51	22	5.8
Teaching	0	3	7	11	22	37	18	5.4
Workload	0	0	0	42	19	23	15	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	7	65	11	0	15	4.5
Learn Exp	4	0	4	33	23	23	9	4.8

Students thought Hope was very passionate and nice. However, they felt that there should have been more feedback on assignments.

Students felt that the textbook did not help and it was hard to find other courses to confirm what they learned in class.

RSM 330H1F Investments

Instructor(s): M. Stapleton

Enr: 50		Resp: 29					Retake: 75%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	10	14	46	28	5.9		
Explains	0	0	0	3	32	42	21	5.8		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	44	48	6.4		
Teaching	0	0	0	7	7	59	25	6.0		
Workload	0	0	3	31	44	20	0	4.8		
Difficulty	0	0	0	42	50	7	0	4.6		
Learn Exp	5	0	0	20	25	40	10	5.2		

Stapleton was an excellent instructor. She was very organized and easy to approach. Also, she made concepts easier to understand and related them to the course as well as the business environment.

Instructor(s): M. Stapleton

Enr: 30		Resp: 13					Reta	ke: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	9	27	27	36	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	16	16	41	25	5.8
Communicates	0	0	9	0	27	9	54	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	18	36	45	6.3
Workload	0	0	8	66	16	8	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	8	50	33	8	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	27	36	27	9	5.2

Students described the instructor as helpful, patient and very engaging. Students thought that the midterms and exams should be reviewed in class.

Instructor(s): M. Stapleton

Enr: 48	·	Re	esp: 3	3	Retake: 72%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	21	21	28	25	5.5
Explains	0	0	3	21	30	24	21	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	21	15	15	46	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	18	28	31	21	5.6
Workload	0	0	9	48	24	9	9	4.6
Difficulty	0	3	12	33	33	6	12	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	4	26	30	26	13	5.2

Stapleton was a very friendly and interesting instructor. Students felt

engaged and benefitted from the lectures. Some exams were highly repetitive in terms of past test questions.

RSM 330H1S Investments

Instructor(s): K. Wang

Enr: 54		Resp: 17					Reta	ıke: 88%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	19	27	48	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	2	23	34	40	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	2	14	25	57	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	14	31	53	6.4
Workload	0	4	6	54	21	10	2	4.3
Difficulty	0	4	8	42	35	4	4	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	18	35	27	18	5.5

An excellent instructor who provided good lecture materials and clearly defined expectations.

A great course in terms of the learning experience. However some students wanted more defined readings to supplement the course materials.

Instructor(s): K. Wang

Enr: 52	Resp: 22						ke: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	4	45	45	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	9	22	59	9	5.7
Communicates	0	0	9	0	9	36	45	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	9	59	31	6.2
Workload	0	0	4	47	47	0	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	66	28	4	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	21	52	26	0	5.1

Students found Wang to be a very organized and knowledgeable instructor. They found the course to have prepared them well for investing.

Instructor(s): K. Wang

Enr: 54		Re	esp: 30)	Retake: 92%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	13	43	36	6.1
Explains	0	0	3	6	16	36	36	6.0
Communicates	0	3	0	3	20	33	40	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	6	6	56	30	6.1
Workload	0	3	0	56	33	3	3	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	10	40	33	16	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	4	23	14	42	14	5.4

Students were very pleased with the level of teaching, highlighting his enthusiasm and professionalism.

Some students complained about the midterm structure being too focussed on multiple choice, but felt that the project had an effective application of the course concepts.

RSM 332H1F Capital Market Theory

Instructor(s): R. Kan

Enr: 53	Resp: 36						Retake: 68%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	2	5	17	41	32	5.9	
Explains	0	0	0	14	31	37	17	5.6	
Communicates	0	0	0	5	20	34	40	6.1	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	54	25	6.1	
Workload	0	0	2	57	20	14	5	4.6	
Difficulty	0	0	0	35	35	20	8	5.0	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	28	32	39	0	5.1	

Kan performed very effectively as a lecturer, used time wisely and illustrated concepts with good clarity.

Instructor(s): R. Kan

Enr: 54		Re	sp: 4	1	Retake: 65%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	15	20	37	22	5.6
Explains	0	2	5	15	20	35	22	5.5
Communicates	0	0	2	10	25	35	27	5.8
Teaching	0	0	2	10	20	46	20	5.7
Workload	0	2	0	46	17	20	12	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	20	33	25	20	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	3	42	39	10	3	4.7

Instructor(s): R. Kan

Enr: 53		Re	sp: 30	3	Retake: 60%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	45	29	19	5.6
Explains	0	0	3	12	46	25	12	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	6	28	43	21	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	12	39	24	24	5.6
Workload	0	0	3	43	21	28	3	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	19	12	38	29	5.8
Learn Exp	3	3	7	25	33	18	7	4.7

Students described the instructor as effective and organized. He was quick to respond to email questions. Some students found him difficult to understand.

The course was difficult and the textbook was not necessary. Tutorials were very helpful.

Instructor(s): L. Pomorski

Enr: 55	Resp: 35						Retake: 81%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	2	11	31	54	6.4	
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	40	54	6.5	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	17	82	6.8	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	45	54	6.5	
Workload	0	2	2	40	28	17	8	4.8	
Difficulty	0	5	5	14	31	25	17	5.2	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	12	19	51	16	5.7	

The instructor was very enthusiastic and students highly enjoyed the course. Students commented that the tutorials were useful.

Instructor(s): L. Pomorski

Enr: 53		Re	sp: 47	7		Reta	ke: 82%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	11	31	53	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	15	34	50	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	13	22	64	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	8	40	51	6.4
Workload	0	2	6	20	40	15	13	5.0
Difficulty	0	2	0	22	31	17	26	5.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	25	37	28	5.8

Pomorski was said to be a very caring and nice instructor who was always available to help. Students thought he was very knowledgeable and a very good teacher.

RSM 332H1S Capital Markets Theory

Instructor(s): W. Huggins

Enr: 53		Re	sp: 29	9			Reta	ke: 80%
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	7	10	39	39	6.0
Explains	0	3	0	11	11	37	37	5.9
Communicates	0	0	3	0	24	24	37	6.0
Teaching	0	0	3	0	27	41	27	5.9
Workload	0	0	6	55	27	6	3	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	44	31	20	3	4.8

Learn Exp 0 0 0 10 36 31 21 5.6

RSM 333H1F Introduction to Corporate Finance

Instructor(s): W. Huggins

Enr: 59		Re	sp: 4	1		Reta	ike: 80%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	17	52	30	6.1
Explains	0	0	2	0	4	51	41	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	41	51	6.4
Teaching	0	0	2	0	10	45	42	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	35	30	30	5	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	2	25	32	30	10	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	16	40	23	20	5.5

Students felt the instructor was very enthusiastic and clear on course requirements and very helpful in explaining course material.

RSM 370H1S Operations Management

Instructor(s): M. Hu

Enr: 51		Re	esp: 2	1		Retake: 80%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	14	14	28	38	5.8
Explains	0	4	4	23	9	28	28	5.4
Communicates	0	0	9	9	28	19	33	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	14	14	19	52	6.1
Workload	0	0	4	52	28	14	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	14	52	33	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	10	10	42	36	6.1

Instructor(s): M. Hu

Enr: 53		Re	sp: 17	7		Reta	ake: 75%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	23	41	35	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	41	35	23	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	17	41	41	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	29	64	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	23	47	29	0	5.1
Difficulty	0	5	5	35	23	11	17	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	5	23	17	52	6.2

Students said Hu was an enthusiastic and helpful instructor.

RSM 415H1F Special Topics in Management: Sales and Channel Distribution Strategy

Instructor(s): M. Shi

Enr: 14		R	esp: 9)	Retake: 66%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	11	0	33	0	22	33	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	44	0	11	44	5.6
Communicates	0	0	11	22	11	11	44	5.6
Teaching	0	0	12	0	25	12	50	5.9
Workload	11	0	0	44	33	11	0	4.2
Difficulty	11	0	0	55	22	11	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	12	37	0	25	25	5.1

Shi was very helpful and ensured everyone's comments and concerns were adequately addressed.

RSM 419H1S Special Topics in Management: Cooperative Strategy

Instructor(s): M. Lederman

Enr: 28		Re	sp: 2	1			Reta	ıke: 94%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	9	42	47	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	9	19	71	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	9	14	76	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	4	9	14	71	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	36	21	21	21	5.3

209

Students found the learning experience positive and practical. Lederman was well-liked by students.

RSM 422H1F Management Control

Instructor(s): J. Amernic

Enr: 44		Re	sp: 30	0		Reta	ıke: 71%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	26	20	50	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	3	20	30	46	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	20	70	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5
Workload	0	3	3	44	17	24	6	4.8
Difficulty	0	3	3	51	20	13	6	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	4	12	28	36	20	5.6

Students thought that Amernic was a great instructor who was very knowledgeable and approachable. They said he was caring and appreciated his high level of enthusiasm.

RSM 422H1S Management Control

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 16		Re	sp: 12	2		Retake: 88%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	9	9	9	54	18	5.6	
Explains	0	8	0	0	0	50	41	6.1	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	16	33	50	6.3	
Workload	0	8	8	66	8	0	8	4.1	
Difficulty	0	8	8	58	16	0	8	4.2	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	25	50	25	0	5.0	

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 36		Re	esp: 18	3	Retake: 81%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	11	16	27	44	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	11	5	33	50	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	10	0	38	44	6.1
Teaching	0	0	5	11	5	38	38	5.9
Workload	0	0	29	58	11	0	0	3.8
Difficulty	0	0	5	52	41	0	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	7	0	23	23	15	30	5.3

Many students thought she was a great instructor who was friendly and helpful.

RSM 423H1F Auditing II

Instructor(s): W. Smieliauskas

metration(e). The entire desired								
Enr: 44	Resp: 20						Retal	ke: 38%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	30	5	20	30	10	4.7
Explains	0	0	10	15	30	35	10	5.2
Communicates	0	0	5	0	30	25	40	5.9
Teaching	0	0	15	10	20	40	15	5.3
Workload	0	0	0	35	15	35	15	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	15	35	10	5.2
Learn Exp	8	8	16	16	16	33	0	4.2

Students thought the instructor was very enthusiastic but his lectures were unorganized and confusing. Students also felt that the method of evaluation was unclear.

Instructor(s): W. Smieliauskas

Enr: 47		Re	esp: 3	5	Retake: 48%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	6	9	24	27	27	6	4.8
Explains	0	2	0	26	47	17	5	4.9
Communicates	0	0	0	17	23	29	29	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	17	44	29	8	5.3
Workload	0	0	6	57	12	18	6	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	33	27	18	21	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	10	53	25	10	0	4.4

Students wished Smieliauskas provided more guidance on the assignments and exams, and did not assume that all students knew everything before he talked about it. He could have used his slides more in presentations. The content of the course was very abstract and hard to apply to real life.

RSM 423H1S Auditing II

Instructor(s): W. Smieliauskas

Enr: 44		Re	esp: 28	3		Retake: 50%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	15	11	26	23	19	5.1
Explains	0	0	3	15	30	30	19	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	3	15	38	42	6.2
Teaching	0	0	3	3	30	38	23	5.7
Workload	0	4	4	48	16	16	12	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	3	42	34	7	11	4.8
Learn Exp	6	6	0	20	46	20	0	4.5

Smieliauskas was nice and a good instructor. He approached the material with enthusiasm and integrated new concepts to the course. Lectures could have been a bit more organized and the material could have been more practical.

Instructor(s): W. Smieliauskas

Enr: 48		Re	sp: 36	3		2 25 13 4 0 22 17 5				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	2	2	5	27	22	25	13	4.9		
Explains	0	0	0	20	40	22	17	5.4		
Communicates	0	0	0	13	25	19	41	5.9		
Teaching	0	0	0	8	27	41	22	5.8		
Workload	0	2	2	41	32	17	2	4.7		
Difficulty	0	5	2	40	22	25	2	4.7		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	43	21	17	17	5.1		

Students found Smieliauskas very enthusiastic and knowledgeable about course material. However, students would have preferred more organized class notes and legible writing.

RSM 424H1S Canadian Income Taxation II

Instructor(s): D. Lee

Enr: 40		Re	sp: 24	4		Retake: 70%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	12	4	33	50	6.2	
Explains	0	0	0	16	4	29	50	6.1	
Communicates	0	0	0	4	4	29	62	6.5	
Teaching	0	0	4	0	12	37	45	6.2	
Workload	0	0	0	37	12	33	16	5.3	
Difficulty	0	0	0	20	41	20	16	5.3	
Learn Exp	0	0	5	5	36	31	21	5.6	

Lee was very friendly, and approachable. He used a lot of examples and answered questions at all times.

210 ROTMAN COMMERCE

Instructor(s): B. Rockx

Enr: 49		Re	sp: 40)	Retake: 66%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	25	35	40	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	5	12	35	47	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	45	35	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	12	52	35	40	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	12	53	30	2	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	18	44	31	5	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	24	32	32	12	5.3

Rockx was said to have used various examples in class to help her students. Her lectures were organized and she presented the material with enthusiasm.

Instructor(s): C. Orzech

Enr: 49	Resp: 28						Retake: 69%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	7	35	21	35	5.9		
Explains	0	0	0	14	25	32	28	5.8		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	28	39	32	6.0		
Teaching	0	0	0	3	28	42	25	5.9		
Workload	0	0	0	14	32	39	14	5.5		
Difficulty	0	0	3	21	21	42	10	5.4		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	46	20	13	5.3		

Instructor(s): C. Orzech

Enr: 45		Re	sp: 28	3			Retake: 7			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	10	10	39	39	6.1		
Explains	0	0	0	7	17	35	39	6.1		
Communicates	0	0	0	7	14	35	42	6.1		
Teaching	0	0	0	7	3	57	32	6.1		
Workload	0	0	0	25	40	14	18	5.3		
Difficulty	0	0	0	25	25	28	21	5.5		
Learn Exp	0	5	0	22	16	33	22	5.4		

Orzech was well liked by her students as an enthusiastic and well-versed lecturer who made good use of examples to teach her students. A few students said the workload was high and suggested that the pace of the course be made a little slower.

RSM 426H1S Critical Thinking, Analysis, and Decision Making

Instructor(s): G. Richardson

Enr: 44		Re	sp: 26	6		Reta	ke: 81%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	8	8	12	20	28	24	5.2
Explains	0	8	8	4	16	33	29	5.5
Communicates	0	0	4	0	8	32	56	6.4
Teaching	0	0	8	4	24	36	28	5.7
Workload	0	4	0	24	24	28	20	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	4	24	48	24	5.9
Learn Exp	0	0	5	11	0	58	23	5.8

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 48		Re	sp: 34	4		Reta	ke: 65%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	32	17	41	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	5	32	26	35	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	2	23	32	41	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	6	214	24	45	6.1
Workload	0	0	9	24	21	30	15	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	3	9	34	53	6.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	12	12	31	43	6.1

Students said Zuliani was very good and it was nice having her as a university instructor.

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 28		Re	sp: 19	9		Retake: 100%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	15	52	26	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	10	47	31	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	42	47	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	15	47	36	6.2
Workload	0	0	5	22	22	33	16	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	6	6	43	43	6.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	13	20	53	13	5.7

Zuliani was an approachable, enthusiastic and friendly lecturer.

RSM 432H1S Risk Management for Financial Managers

Instructor(s): A. White

Enr: 41		Re	esp: 1	1	Retake: 81%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	9	36	36	18	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	18	18	27	36	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	9	27	18	45	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	9	27	18	45	6.0
Workload	0	0	10	40	30	10	10	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	18	36	18	27	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	37	37	12	12	5.0

Instructor(s): A. White

Enr: 45		Re	esp: 29	9	Retake: 64%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	6	31	37	20	5.7
Explains	0	3	0	6	37	20	31	5.7
Communicates	0	0	3	10	27	34	24	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	6	41	24	27	5.7
Workload	7	3	7	32	21	25	3	4.5
Difficulty	7	0	3	10	28	25	25	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	10	30	25	20	15	5.0

RSM 452H1F Marketing Research

Instructor(s): M. Shi

Enr: 15		Re	esp: 1	1		Reta	ake: 60%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	27	54	18	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	9	18	45	27	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	9	54	36	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	27	54	18	5.9
Workload	0	9	9	36	18	18	9	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	10	40	30	10	10	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	60	20	0	20	4.8

Shi was a very nice and understanding instructor who was always willing to help. But students felt like he should have used more real life examples and explained in more detail.

RSM 458H1S Branding Strategy

Instructor(s): S. Hawkins

Enr: 36	Resp: 25					Retake: 90%			
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	16	36	48	6.3	
Explains	0	0	0	0	12	40	48	6.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	44	44	6.3	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	4	50	45	6.4	
Workload	0	0	0	53	28	12	4	4.6	
Difficulty	0	0	4	52	36	4	4	4.5	
Learn Exp	0	0	4	22	18	31	22	5.5	

Hawkins made the class interesting with the use of case studies. Students liked his enthusiasm and knowledge in lectures.

RSM 470H1F Management Science

Instructor(s): O. Berman

` '										
Enr: 37	Resp: 23						Retake: 95%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	9	18	31	40	6.0		
Explains	0	0	0	9	13	27	50	6.2		
Communicates	0	0	0	9	4	36	50	6.3		
Teaching	0	0	0	0	13	36	50	6.4		
Workload	0	0	17	52	8	21	0	4.3		
Difficulty	0	0	30	34	21	13	0	4.2		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	18	37	25	18	5.4		

The instructor was enthusiastic and knowledgeable and available for help. Students felt that the course was interesting but the material was a bit confusing.

RSM 480H1F Business in a Global Economy

Instructor(s): D. Brean

Enr: 27	Resp: 12					Retake: 62%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	9	0	9	45	36	0	5.0
Explains	0	8	0	8	25	58	0	5.2
Communicates	0	8	0	8	33	25	25	5.4
Teaching	0	8	0	8	33	25	25	5.4
Workload	0	9	9	36	27	18	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	8	8	33	16	25	8	4.7
Learn Exp	0	14	0	28	57	0	0	4.3

Students thought Brean was nice, knowledgeable and a good instructor.

RSM 499Y1Y Integrated Management Challenge

Instructor(s): H. Honickman

Enr: 51	Resp: 48					Retake: 97%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	2	0	0	0	14	25	58	6.3	
Explains	0	2	0	0	12	27	57	6.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	16	72	6.6	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	8	20	70	6.6	
Workload	0	0	0	4	4	46	44	6.3	
Difficulty	0	2	0	16	27	33	20	5.5	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	2	18	78	6.8	

Students were extremely pleased with the learning experience they received with almost all describing it as the best course of their undergraduate experience.

Many described it as having a very large workload, but most felt that it added to the experience. Many students described it as the most practical course they had taken.

