
# ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR 85

# **Department of French**



#### Introduction

ASSU would like to thank the Faculty and Staff of the Department of French for their kind assistance in providing these course evaluations.

#### Editor

# FCS 195H1S French Culture from Napoléon to Astérix

Instructor(s): D. Speirs

Enr: 130	Resp: 78						Retake: 91%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	3	10	36	49	6.3		
Explains	0	0	0	6	14	35	43	6.2		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	36	57	6.5		
Teaching	0	0	0	2	14	35	48	6.3		
Workload	2	11	22	57	3	2	0	3.6		
Difficulty	2	9	27	50	7	2	0	3.6		
Learn Exp	0	3	0	30	26	21	18	5.2		

Lectures were clear and concise. The material was interesting and engaging. Speirs was very knowledgeable and ready to help students. An overall enjoyable learning experience.

# FCS 291H1F Special Topics in French Cultural Studies I: The Art and Culture of the Networked Society

Instructor(s): D.	de Ke	erckho	ve							
Enr: 42	Resp: 39 Retake: 81%									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	5	5	7	30	15	23	12	4.7		
Explains	2	0	5	23	21	15	31	5.3		
Communicates	0	0	0	8	8	22	61	6.4		
Teaching	2	0	10	10	24	24	27	5.4		
Workload	2	8	29	51	0	2	5	3.7		
Difficulty	5	0	30	50	8	2	2	3.8		
Learn Exp	3	3	3	14	28	21	25	5.2		

Students found the instructor to be enthusiastic and knowledgeable. However, expectations were often unclear. Students noted that he was absent from classes often.

### FCS 292H1S Special Topics in French Cultural Studies I: Sex, Love, Desire, etc.

Enr: 59	Resp: 37						Retake: 10		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	2		32	43	6.2	
Explains	0	0	0	0	16	40	43	6.3	

Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	32	64	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	2	48	48	6.5
Workload	0	0	5	59	24	10	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	8	61	16	13	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	29	37	22	5.7

The instructor was enthusiastic about the course material and explained it well. Readings were very useful. Most students felt the course was highly enjoyable.

# FCS 390H1F Special Topics in French Cultural Studies II: Cultural Studies in France: Foucault and After

# Instructor(s): D. de Kerckhove

Enr: 22	Resp: 17					Retake: 68%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	18	31	31	18	0	0	3.5	
Explains	0	5	0	29	41	23	0	4.8	
Communicates	0	0	0	6	12	31	50	6.2	
Teaching	0	0	11	29	29	17	11	4.9	
Workload	0	5	5	76	5	5	0	4.0	
Difficulty	0	0	11	52	17	11	5	4.5	
Learn Exp	0	0	16	41	16	16	8	4.6	

Students described the instructor as fascinating. However, the class was disorganized and test expectations were unclear.

# FCS 395H1S Sensuality and the French

Instructor(s): D. Clandfield

Enr: 74	Resp: 47						Retake: 65%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	2	6	28	42	20	5.7		
Explains	0	0	2	4	34	37	20	5.7		
Communicates	0	0	0	2	17	35	44	6.2		
Teaching	0	0	0	2	24	46	26	6.0		
Workload	2	0	0	52	29	6	9	4.6		
Difficulty	0	0	2	81	13	2	0	4.2		
Learn Exp	0	3	3	32	35	12	12	4.9		

Clandfield was knowledgeable and passionate about the material. The workload was high, particularly the group assignments that students felt were tedious. More guidance regarding essays and tests would be help-ful.

# FCS 210H1S Introduction to Quebec Literature and Culture

Instructor(s): Y. Medvedev

Enr: 56		Re	esp: 10	6	Retake: 53%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	6	6	0	50	31	6	0	4.1
Explains	6	0	6	43	31	6	6	4.4
Communicates	0	0	18	12	31	31	6	4.9
Teaching	0	6	6	31	37	18	0	4.6
Workload	0	0	6	68	25	0	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	6	68	25	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	10	30	50	10	0	0	3.6

The instructor was generally a good lecturer but needed to speak louder and clearer. Further explanations regarding assignments would be helpful.

# FRE 241H1S Introduction to Research and Writing in Literary Studies

Instructor(s): D. Kullmann

Enr: 55	Resp: 27						Reta	ke: 34%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	19	11	15	30	15	3	3	3.4
Explains	7	15	11	38	15	7	3	3.8
Communicates	3	11	26	19	26	7	3	3.9
Teaching	3	3	18	33	33	3	3	4.1

#### FRENCH 86

Workload	0	11	15	35	0	3	3	3.8
Difficulty	0	7	22	55	7	3	3	3.9
Learn Exp	17	8	21	43	4	0	4	3.3

Overall, students felt the course itself was poorly organized, boring, and not very useful.

Kullman's lectures were scattered and unclear. Also, students felt her answers to questions could be ambiguous at times.

#### FRE 272H1F The Structure of Modern French: An Introduction

Instructor(s): J. Steele

Enr: 111		Re	sp: 8′	1	Retake: 60%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	10	40	49	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	13	43	43	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	15	32	52	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	12	32	55	6.4
Workload	0	0	3	56	18	16	3	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	2	31	37	18	10	5.0
Learn Exp	6	0	0	35	22	22	12	4.9

The course material was interesting but too condensed into a short period of time. The instructor was a clear and enthusiastic lecturer. He was readily available to help students. Students felt that tutorials were not valuable as the TA was largely unable to answer questions.

# FRE 274H1S Introduction to the Linguistic Analysis of French

Instructor(s): S. Sonina

Enr: 39	Resp: 25						Retake: 88%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	4	20	40	36	6.1	
Explains	0	0	0	8	24	24	44	6.0	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	32	60	6.5	
Teaching	0	0	0	4	12	44	40	6.2	
Workload	0	0	0	44	52	0	4	4.6	
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	48	8	4	4.8	
Learn Exp	0	0	4	31	36	22	44	4.9	

The instructor explained concepts well and was very helpful. The manual was unclear, and did not have enough examples but the instructor responded well to the concerns regarding the text.

#### FRE 314H1S Quebec and French-Canadian Literature

Instructor(s): Y. Medvedev

Enr: 32		Re	esp: 2	5		Reta	ke: 59%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	0	16	36	32	4	8	4.4
Explains	0	0	8	32	48	4	8	4.7
Communicates	0	0	12	20	36	28	4	4.9
Teaching	0	0	4	25	45	12	12	5.0
Workload	0	0	0	76	16	4	4	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	4	76	12	8	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	17	64	17	0	0	4.0

More explanation regarding the assignments and expectations would have been useful.

#### FRE 318H1F Medieval French Literature

Instructor(s): D. Kullmann

Enr: 13		Re	sp: 10	C		Reta	ıke: 87%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	40	20	20	20	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	40	10	10	40	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	20	0	30	50	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	10	30	10	50	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	60	20	10	10	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	60	40	0	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	0	16	50	5.8

Kullmann was dedicated, knowledgeable and helpful. The class was interesting but sometimes a bit disorganized.

#### FRE 324H1S French Literature in the Time of Revolutions and Industrialization

Instructor(s): A. Glinoer

Enr: 32		Re	sp: 17	7		Reta	ke: 93%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	11	29	58	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	12	25	62	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	5	11	35	47	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	23	64	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	52	23	17	5	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	6	68	12	12	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	23	7	46	23	5.7

Students felt Glinoer was outstanding! He was very knowledgeable and well organized. He marked fairly, with thorough comments.

#### FRE 375Y1Y Comparative Stylistics

Instructor(s): S. Mastromonaco

Enr: 68		Re	sp: 47	7		Reta	ke: 90%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	0	19	43	19	15	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	8	36	31	23	5.7
Communicates	0	0	2	2	14	25	55	6.3
Teaching	0	0	2	4	20	53	20	5.8
Workload	0	4	6	80	6	2	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	4	2	67	26	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	2	7	23	43	17	5	4.8

Mastromonaco was enthusiastic and passionate, but some students felt that too much time was spent taking up homework. There were suggestions that more assignments worth less would be useful, along with tutorials.

# FRE 376H1F French Phonology and Phonetics

Instructor(s): P. Bhatt

Enr: 23		Re	sp: 14	4			Retake: 72%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	7	21	21	50	6.1		
Explains	0	0	0	7	21	28	42	6.1		
Communicates	0	0	0	7	7	35	50	6.3		
Teaching	0	0	0	0	28	50	21	5.9		
Workload	0	0	0	85	14	0	0	4.1		
Difficulty	0	0	0	92	7	0	0	4.1		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	40	20	20	20	5.2		

Bhatt explained concepts clearly, with useful examples. Students felt the essay assignment was worth too much.

# FRE 378H1F French Syntax

Instructor(s): Y. Roberge

Enr: 26		Re	sp: 2	5			Retak	e: 87%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	12	37	50	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	12	37	50	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	4	29	66	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	4	41	54	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	91	8	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	66	25	8	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	5	0	22	27	27	16	5.2

Roberge was very knowledgeable and explained concepts well. He was helpful and eager to answer questions.

#### FRE 386H1S French Semantics

Instructor(s): A-M. Brousseau

Enr: 14		Re	esp: 12	2		Reta	ıke: 91%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	30	38	23	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	7	23	46	23	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	30	38	30	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	15	15	38	30	5.8
Workload	0	0	7	61	30	0	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	38	46	15	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	10	30	40	20	0	4.7

The instructor was enthusiastic. Grading seemed unfair and better feedback would be helpful.

### FRE 410H1F Advanced Topics in Quebec Literature: Storytelling with Words and Images: cinema, photography and paintings

#### Instructor(s): J. LeBlanc

Enr: 9		F	Resp: 8	8		Reta	ke: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	25	0	75	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	25	75	6.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	25	75	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	25	75	6.8
Workload	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	20	20	40	5.8

The instructor was passionate about the course and very organized. She was readily available to consult with students. Overall, it was an outstanding class.

#### FRE 446H1S Advanced Studies in Literary Theory: Sociocriticism and Sociology of Literature

Instructor(s): A. Glinoer

Enr: 8		Re	esp: 8			Reta	ke: 62%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	12	37	50	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	25	25	50	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	25	25	50	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	25	37	37	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	12	62	0	25	5.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	25	25	50	0	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	33	50	16	5.8

The instructor presented material in an organized and clear manner. He was very approachable. However, the reading workload was overwhelming considering their level of complexity.

# FRE 479H1S Sociolinguistics of French

Instructor(s): Y. Roberge

Enr: 35		Re	esp: 28	3		Reta	ike: 78%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	21	35	39	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	3	10	39	46	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	14	32	53	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	10	42	46	6.4
Workload	0	0	28	57	10	3	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	14	67	14	0	3	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	30	30	10	30	5.4

The instructor was organized and very enthusiastic, making for very interesting lectures. Overall, an enjoyable experience.

# FRE 480Y1Y Translation: French to English

Instructor(s): F. Collins

Enr: 28		Re	esp: 1	9		Reta	ıke: 94%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	11	11	22	55	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	15	36	47	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	15	78	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	5	5	23	64	6.5
Workload	0	0	16	66	16	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	5	0	63	31	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	7	23	30	38	6.0

Collins was very knowledgeable and he was also very caring about the students.

# FRE 488H1S Special Topics in Advanced Linguistics I: La transitivité en théorie et en pratique

Instructor(s): Y. Roberge

Enr: 15		R	esp: 9	1		Reta	ke: 77%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	11	44	44	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	66	33	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	44	55	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	33	66	6.7
Workload	0	0	11	66	22	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	66	22	11	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	71	14	0	5.0

Readings were lengthy and confusing but the instructor was effective in providing clear explanations.

# FSL 100H1F French for Beginners

Instructor(s): R. Inch

Enr: 86		Re	sp: 52	2		Reta	ke: 80%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	14	16	28	38	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	6	22	24	48	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	18	71	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	8	10	30	52	6.3
Workload	0	2	0	55	10	22	10	4.8
Difficulty	2	0	6	46	20	14	12	4.7
Learn Exp	0	3	0	37	18	25	15	5.1

Inch was helpful and enthusiastic. However, the vast majority of students did not find online tutorials useful, calling them unorganized and inefficient. Students preferred to have in class tutorials. The course lacked a sufficient oral and listening component.

### FSL 102H1S Introductory French

Instructor(s): R-E. St. Onge

Enr: 59		Re	esp: 20	6			Retake: 73%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	23	19	38	19	5.5	
Explains	0	0	0	24	36	20	20	5.4	
Communicates	0	0	3	19	42	19	15	5.2	
Teaching	0	0	0	16	32	32	20	5.6	
Workload	7	0	7	53	15	7	7	4.2	
Difficulty	3	3	3	53	19	15	0	4.3	
Learn Exp	4	0	12	37	20	16	8	4.5	

#### Instructor(s): A. Viselli

Enr: 51	Resp: 27						Retak	e: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents Explains Communicates Teaching	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	11 11 0 7	50 37 22 25	38 51 77 66	6.3 6.4 6.8 6.6

# 88 FRENCH

Workload	0	3	7	55	22	7	3	4.3
Difficulty	0	3	3	48	33	7	3	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	26	26	34	13	5.3

The instructor was enthusiastic and explained concepts well. Online tutorials were not helpful.

#### FSL 121Y1Y French Language I

Instructor(s): M. Witek

Enr: 38		Re	sp: 26	3		Reta	ke: 79%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	11	23	42	23	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	11	23	50	15	5.7
Communicates	0	0	3	7	23	46	19	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	3	38	34	23	5.8
Workload	0	0	8	52	28	8	4	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	65	11	15	7	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	3	38	34	23	5.8

Classes were informative. The instructor was attentive to students' questions and was helpful.

#### FSL 221Y1Y French Language II

Instructor(s): E. Kalisa Enr: 43 Resp: 33 Retake: 90% 7 Mean 3 5 6 6 5.1 Presents 0 3 9 34 37 9 25 Explains 3 0 9 15 28 18 52 Communicates 0 0 0 3 9 50 37 6.2 Teaching 0 3 6 9 25 46 9 5.3 0 3 9 74 3 0 Workload 9 4.0 Difficulty 0 3 6 75 13 0 0 4.0 Learn Exp 0 7 3 22 40 22 3 48

Students said Kalisa was a pleasant instructor who was approachable and helpful. The course was well organized and students appreciated his knowledge of the French language.

# Instructor(s): H. Koo

Enr: 32		Re	sp: 23	3		Reta	ke: 86%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	30	39	26	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	8	17	30	43	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	4	21	73	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	13	43	43	6.3
Workload	0	4	4	56	17	13	4	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	60	21	13	4	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	18	37	18	25	5.5

While few students felt that the work load was a bit heavy, they also enjoyed having Koo as a language instructor.

Students said Koo took time to explain concepts clearly to students and encouraged them. She was passionate and enthusiastic about teaching her students well.

#### Instructor(s): Y. Medvedev

Enr: 35		Re	esp: 30	C		Reta	ke: 81%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	3	3	13	34	27	13	5.1
Explains	3	3	6	10	20	40	16	5.3
Communicates	0	0	20	13	30	33	3	4.9
Teaching	0	3	3	10	20	40	23	5.6
Workload	3	0	25	57	7	7	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	3	6	63	20	6	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	4	4	47	17	21	4	4.6

#### Instructor(s): S. Bello

Enr: 43		Re	esp: 30	6			Reta	ıke: 82%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	19	47	27	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	5	19	47	27	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	5	22	36	36	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	13	50	36	6.2
Workload	2	5	5	55	20	2	5	4.5
Difficulty	2	0	11	58	11	8	5	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	11	37	22	18	11	4.8

Students felt that having handouts and/or the slides posted on blackboard would have helped students pay more attention to learning the material.

Bello was described by students as an instructor who knew how to teach a language well. She was patient and great at explaining key concepts.

# Instructor(s): N. Lenina

Enr: 30		Re	esp: 20	6			Retak	e: 86%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	7	3	34	26	26	5.6
Explains	0	0	4	8	16	28	44	6.0
Communicates	0	0	4	8	24	40	24	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	11	7	34	46	6.2
Workload	0	3	11	61	15	7	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	11	57	11	11	7	4.3
Learn Exp	0	4	0	19	47	23	4	5.0

#### FSL 277Y1Y French Pronunciation

Instructor(s): S. Mastromonaco

Enr: 95		Re	esp: 69	9		Reta	ke: 66%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	1	1	7	17	24	37	10	5.2
Explains	0	0	11	8	23	37	18	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	4	15	27	52	6.3
Teaching	0	0	5	11	14	42	26	5.7
Workload	0	1	5	71	14	5	1	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	1	59	28	4	5	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	12	35	29	11	11	4.7

Students really appreciated Mastromonaco's enthusiasm but some felt that her lectures could have been a bit more structured.

There was also the request for more language practice.

#### FSL 277Y1Y French Pronunciation

Instructor(s): H. Koo

Enr: 91		Re	esp: 6	1			Reta	ke: 70%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	17	40	38	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	6	18	37	36	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	5	20	37	36	6.1
Teaching	0	0	1	1	17	55	24	6.0
Workload	0	1	11	72	13	0	1	4.0
Difficulty	0	1	13	50	23	8	3	4.3
Learn Exp	2	4	6	35	36	12	6	4.6

Koo explained concepts well with good use of examples. She was organized. However, the delivery of material was often fast paced.

### FSL 331Y1Y Practical French I

Instructor(s): C. Micu

Enr: 46		Re	sp: 12	2		Reta	ke: 91%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	33	41	25	0	4.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	66	33	0	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	16	25	58	6.4

Teaching	0	0	0	8	16	50	25	5.9
Workload	0	0	8	83	0	8	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	16	58	0	25	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	22	44	11	22	5.3

The instructor was very enthusiastic with a good sense of humor. Students enjoyed class discussions.

Instructor(s): C. Micu

Enr: 44	Resp: 20					Retake: 94%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	5	25	20	25	25	5.4	
Explains	0	0	0	10	15	55	20	5.8	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	25	55	6.3	
Teaching	0	0	0	5	25	45	25	5.9	
Workload	0	5	25	55	15	0	0	3.8	
Difficulty	0	5	20	55	20	0	0	3.9	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	0	26	13	5.3	

The instructor explained concepts well and attended to students' questions effectively. Overall, an enjoyable class.

#### Instructor(s): N. Lenina

Enr: 36	Resp: 27						Retake: 57%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	3	7	11	23	15	23	15	4.7	
Explains	11	3	11	23	19	15	15	4.4	
Communicates	7	0	7	15	26	26	15	5.0	
Teaching	0	3	11	15	26	26	15	5.1	
Workload	0	7	15	65	3	0	7	4.0	
Difficulty	0	4	8	36	40	4	8	4.6	
Learn Exp	9	4	23	23	19	4	14	4.1	

Some students felt the course seemed unstructured.

# FSL 431Y1Y Practical French II

Instructor(s): N. Daou

Enr: 46	Resp: 41					Retake: 72%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	2	4	34	39	19	5.7	
Explains	2	2	2	14	36	36	4	5.1	
Communicates	0	4	2	9	36	34	12	5.3	
Teaching	0	0	7	7	29	46	9	5.4	
Workload	2	7	24	58	7	0	0	3.6	
Difficulty	2	4	12	65	14	0	0	3.9	
Learn Exp	0	7	7	42	28	10	3	4.4	

# Instructor(s): S. Sacre

Enr: 46	Resp: 28						Retake: 84%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	3	14	59	22	6.0		
Explains	0	0	0	7	11	62	18	5.9		
Communicates	0	0	0	3	11	51	33	6.1		
Teaching	0	0	0	3	7	59	29	6.1		
Workload	0	3	18	70	7	0	0	3.8		
Difficulty	0	0	7	66	22	3	0	4.2		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	30	25	35	10	5.2		

The instructor was helpful, organized and enthusiastic.

# FSL 461Y1Y Practical French III

Instructor(s): M-A. Visoi

Enr: 41		Re	sp: 34	1	Retake: 94%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	11	20	58	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	5	14	17	61	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	5	2	20	70	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	6	3	18	72	6.6
Workload	0	0	5	29	20	32	11	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	5	44	17	17	14	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	3	20	10	36	30	5.7

The material was challenging but interesting. Concepts were presented effectively. The instructor was readily available for individual consultation.



