



**Introduction**

The Economics Students Association (ESA) is a student run union devoted to all economic students at UofT. Its mandate is to service the needs of all students enrolled in economic courses.

If you would like to get involved with ECO, check out their website - <http://economics.sa.utoronto.ca>

Editor

**ECO 100Y1Y Introduction to Economics**

Instructor(s): W. Wolfson

|              | Enr: 347 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Resp: 148 | Retake: 56% |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-----------|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean      |             |
| Presents     | 0        | 2 | 9 | 21 | 24 | 24 | 16 | 5.1       |             |
| Explains     | 1        | 0 | 7 | 22 | 31 | 21 | 14 | 5.0       |             |
| Communicates | 0        | 3 | 2 | 14 | 26 | 32 | 19 | 5.4       |             |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 2 | 16 | 29 | 27 | 22 | 5.5       |             |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 7 | 40 | 23 | 21 | 6  | 4.8       |             |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 2 | 5 | 29 | 30 | 20 | 11 | 4.9       |             |
| Learn Exp    | 3        | 4 | 4 | 31 | 24 | 21 | 10 | 4.8       |             |

Students found the course interesting, the lectures clear, and content of the lectures very useful. The instructor came across as helpful, enthusiastic and knowledgeable. However, students complained that while the tutorials were useful, they could have been organized much more effectively.

Students further indicated that practicing sample questions, using past tests was of high value to prepare for tests. There was discrepancy in the focus of textbook material compared to lecture material, and a few students stated that the textbook was not very helpful in preparing for evaluations. Furthermore, students indicated the need of additional supplementary material to study from. A number of students indicated that they did not feel adequately prepared for the final exam and a focus on preparation for the final exam was missing.

Overall, students found the course challenging but enjoyable.

Instructor(s): J. Pesando

|              | Enr: 353 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Resp: 254 | Retake: 80% |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-----------|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean      |             |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 2  | 10 | 26 | 60 | 6.4       |             |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 2  | 10 | 25 | 60 | 6.4       |             |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 0 | 1  | 13 | 23 | 60 | 6.4       |             |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 3  | 7  | 23 | 65 | 6.5       |             |
| Workload     | 0        | 2 | 6 | 48 | 19 | 16 | 5  | 4.5       |             |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 0 | 20 | 29 | 33 | 15 | 5.4       |             |
| Learn Exp    | 2        | 1 | 2 | 6  | 20 | 34 | 32 | 5.8       |             |

Pesando was described as a great instructor who explained concepts

very clearly. Some students said he was the best first year teacher. His teaching style was enjoyable and his notes were organized.

The course load was acceptable. Although there weren't any assignments, a lot of material was covered. The topics that were covered in class were interesting. However, tests were tough and the marking scheme was strict.

Instructor(s): K. Furlong

|              | Enr: 390 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Resp: 131 | Retake: 66% |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-----------|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean      |             |
| Presents     | 1        | 5 | 4 | 15 | 29 | 25 | 18 | 5.2       |             |
| Explains     | 0        | 3 | 5 | 17 | 19 | 30 | 22 | 5.3       |             |
| Communicates | 1        | 0 | 1 | 9  | 18 | 33 | 33 | 5.8       |             |
| Teaching     | 0        | 4 | 3 | 12 | 18 | 32 | 27 | 5.5       |             |
| Workload     | 2        | 5 | 6 | 46 | 19 | 16 | 3  | 4.4       |             |
| Difficulty   | 1        | 2 | 0 | 30 | 33 | 25 | 4  | 4.9       |             |
| Learn Exp    | 9        | 1 | 9 | 19 | 25 | 22 | 19 | 5.1       |             |

Furlong was described as an enthusiastic and reasonable instructor. He spoke fast but clearly in lectures, and explained course material really well with well-presented diagrams and real-life examples. He was also very helpful in helping students by offering extra office hours.

The course was interesting and enjoyable. However, many students complained the work load was too much and the course should have divided into 2 half-year courses. Some said the course tended to be too quantitative. Tests were challenging, especially the multiple choice questions.

Instructor(s): K. Furlong

|              | Enr: 316 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Resp: 142 | Retake: 79% |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-----------|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean      |             |
| Presents     | 0        | 2 | 5 | 19 | 29 | 25 | 17 | 5.2       |             |
| Explains     | 1        | 0 | 4 | 15 | 26 | 28 | 23 | 5.4       |             |
| Communicates | 0        | 2 | 1 | 10 | 25 | 29 | 30 | 5.7       |             |
| Teaching     | 0        | 2 | 2 | 10 | 28 | 31 | 25 | 5.6       |             |
| Workload     | 0        | 1 | 5 | 55 | 21 | 12 | 4  | 4.5       |             |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 0 | 34 | 32 | 25 | 6  | 5.0       |             |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 1 | 1 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 13 | 5.2       |             |

Furlong was a good, enthusiastic, and a knowledgeable instructor. He explained material with real world examples. He communicated ideas clearly with diagrams. However, the way he explained concepts tended to be too mathematical-based for first-year students.

Although the course was a bit too quantitative, the topics that were covered were interesting and practical. Tutorials were extremely helpful and very effective in preparing students for exams. Tests were difficult, the multiple choice questions were challenging, and the time allotted was too short.

**ECO 105Y1Y Principles of Economics for Non-Specialists**

Instructor(s): M. Hare

|              | Enr: 415 |   |    |    |    |    |    | Resp: 95 | Retake: 63% |
|--------------|----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|----------|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean     |             |
| Presents     | 1        | 4 | 5  | 18 | 28 | 25 | 17 | 5.1      |             |
| Explains     | 0        | 5 | 8  | 20 | 29 | 21 | 15 | 5.0      |             |
| Communicates | 0        | 2 | 0  | 23 | 21 | 29 | 23 | 5.5      |             |
| Teaching     | 0        | 1 | 6  | 6  | 37 | 26 | 22 | 5.5      |             |
| Workload     | 2        | 6 | 10 | 48 | 14 | 6  | 10 | 4.3      |             |
| Difficulty   | 1        | 5 | 6  | 44 | 20 | 12 | 9  | 4.5      |             |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 8  | 36 | 17 | 23 | 13 | 5.0      |             |

The course was interesting but a few students said the workload was high. Hare was liked by students as a fair instructor. Most students said they liked his tests, because he tested on the material learnt; there were no 'tricky' questions. Some students suggested that Hare use powerpoint instead of overhead slides.

## 62 ECONOMICS

### ECO 200Y1Y Microeconomic Theory

Instructor(s): C. Serrano

|              | Resp: 74 |   |    |    |    |    |   | Retake: 65% |
|--------------|----------|---|----|----|----|----|---|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7 | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 2 | 12 | 21 | 29 | 28 | 5 | 4.8         |
| Explains     | 0        | 1 | 16 | 16 | 36 | 25 | 4 | 4.8         |
| Communicates | 0        | 1 | 2  | 19 | 36 | 30 | 9 | 5.2         |
| Teaching     | 0        | 1 | 8  | 15 | 37 | 31 | 5 | 5.1         |
| Workload     | 0        | 1 | 5  | 55 | 30 | 7  | 0 | 4.4         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 1 | 1  | 34 | 42 | 18 | 1 | 4.8         |
| Learn Exp    | 1        | 1 | 8  | 43 | 30 | 15 | 0 | 4.4         |

Instructor(s): A. Siow

|              | Resp: 41 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 64% |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 7 | 39 | 24 | 21 | 7  | 4.8         |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 4 | 34 | 29 | 21 | 9  | 5.0         |
| Communicates | 0        | 2 | 4 | 24 | 26 | 26 | 14 | 5.1         |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 7 | 26 | 31 | 26 | 7  | 5.0         |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 7 | 53 | 34 | 4  | 0  | 4.4         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 4 | 31 | 53 | 9  | 0  | 4.7         |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 6 | 51 | 22 | 16 | 3  | 4.6         |

Instructor(s): W. Wolfson

|              | Resp: 77 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 64% |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 1 | 6 | 13 | 30 | 27 | 21 | 5.4         |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 5 | 15 | 32 | 30 | 15 | 5.4         |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 1 | 5  | 20 | 37 | 36 | 6.0         |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 2 | 10 | 28 | 36 | 22 | 5.6         |
| Workload     | 2        | 6 | 2 | 48 | 27 | 7  | 5  | 4.4         |
| Difficulty   | 3        | 1 | 6 | 27 | 36 | 13 | 10 | 4.7         |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 1 | 5 | 42 | 25 | 17 | 7  | 4.7         |

Wolfson was well-liked by students as an engaging, enthusiastic and well-versed lecturer. He was organized and helpful.

However, some students felt the tests were difficult. Some students expressed dissatisfaction with the tutorials.

### ECO 204Y1Y Microeconomic Theory and Applications (for Commerce)

Instructor(s): A. Hussain

|              | Resp: 76 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 66% |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 1        | 1 | 0 | 2  | 5  | 26 | 61 | 6.4         |
| Explains     | 0        | 1 | 0 | 0  | 10 | 32 | 55 | 6.4         |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 0 | 0  | 8  | 17 | 73 | 6.6         |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 3 | 1  | 6  | 32 | 56 | 6.4         |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 19 | 14 | 27 | 38 | 5.9         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 0 | 4  | 15 | 40 | 39 | 6.2         |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 2 | 2 | 4  | 17 | 31 | 42 | 6.0         |

Hussain was an excellent instructor who taught with so much passion.

Instructor(s): A. Hussain

|              | Resp: 77 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 58% |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 45 | 6.1         |
| Explains     | 1        | 0 | 1 | 6  | 6  | 36 | 48 | 6.2         |
| Communicates | 0        | 1 | 0 | 4  | 8  | 22 | 63 | 6.4         |
| Teaching     | 1        | 0 | 0 | 6  | 9  | 31 | 50 | 6.2         |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 1 | 22 | 32 | 28 | 14 | 5.3         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 0 | 13 | 20 | 28 | 37 | 5.9         |
| Learn Exp    | 1        | 0 | 1 | 16 | 25 | 30 | 23 | 5.5         |

Some students said Hussain was 'the best instructor' they had at U of T. His classes were very informative yet truly fun and enjoyable. Students

particularly enjoyed the interactive part of the lecture.

Instructor(s): A. Hussain

|              | Resp: 61 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 70% |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 3 | 3  | 8  | 29 | 55 | 6.3         |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 5  | 13 | 28 | 52 | 6.3         |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 0 | 1  | 10 | 18 | 68 | 6.6         |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 5  | 6  | 23 | 64 | 6.5         |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 3 | 21 | 28 | 28 | 19 | 5.4         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 0 | 12 | 29 | 22 | 36 | 5.8         |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 0 | 18 | 20 | 28 | 34 | 5.8         |

Hussain was described as an excellent instructor. He taught with passion and humor, students loved him. However, it was a challenging course.

Instructor(s): A. Hussain

|              | Resp: 55 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 62% |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 5  | 16 | 29 | 48 | 6.2         |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 5  | 11 | 29 | 53 | 6.3         |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 0 | 3  | 5  | 20 | 70 | 6.6         |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 1  | 14 | 27 | 55 | 6.4         |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 1 | 20 | 35 | 20 | 22 | 5.4         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 1 | 15 | 20 | 28 | 33 | 5.8         |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 0 | 28 | 21 | 26 | 23 | 5.4         |

Hussain was knowledgeable and approachable. However, students found the material challenging.

Instructor(s): A. Hussain

|              | Resp: 81 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 77% |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 1  | 16 | 21 | 60 | 6.4         |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 2  | 11 | 27 | 58 | 6.4         |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 0 | 0  | 11 | 16 | 71 | 6.6         |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 2  | 10 | 25 | 61 | 6.5         |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 1 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 14 | 5.2         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 0 | 13 | 29 | 25 | 32 | 5.8         |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 0 | 11 | 24 | 30 | 33 | 5.9         |

Hussain was described as a very enthusiastic instructor with a great sense of humor. Students commented on how much he cared for his students. He was available for help and tried answering questions thoroughly and completely.

### ECO 206Y1Y Microeconomic Theory

Instructor(s): L. Brandt

|              | Resp: 59 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 85% |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 5 | 5  | 22 | 25 | 41 | 5.9         |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 1 | 6  | 24 | 25 | 41 | 6.0         |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 0 | 3  | 31 | 24 | 41 | 6.0         |
| Teaching     | 1        | 0 | 1 | 3  | 24 | 24 | 44 | 6.0         |
| Workload     | 0        | 1 | 3 | 50 | 28 | 14 | 1  | 4.5         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 5 | 41 | 31 | 15 | 6  | 4.8         |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 0 | 28 | 50 | 14 | 7  | 5.0         |

Brandt taught the concepts of the material well with well thought out examples. He was knowledgeable about the material and was enthusiastic in class.

Instructor(s): L. Brandt

|          | Resp: 56 |   |   |   |    |    |    | Retake: 80% |
|----------|----------|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------------|
|          | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents | 0        | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 42 | 39 | 6.2         |

|              |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |     |
|--------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|-----|
| Explains     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5  | 14 | 29 | 50 | 6.3 |
| Communicates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3  | 10 | 30 | 55 | 6.4 |
| Teaching     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3  | 14 | 29 | 52 | 6.3 |
| Workload     | 0 | 0 | 9 | 54 | 27 | 7  | 1  | 4.4 |
| Difficulty   | 0 | 1 | 7 | 53 | 25 | 9  | 1  | 4.4 |
| Learn Exp    | 0 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 26 | 23 | 23 | 5.4 |

Brandt was clear, concise and very wise. He showed a lot of enthusiasm in the material and many students enjoyed his sense of humor. However, a few students suggested he should have allowed more time for students to ask questions.

The course material was interesting and consistent with the level of 2<sup>nd</sup> year courses. The course was useful in helping students apply some math concepts to microeconomic theories.

Instructor(s): L. Brandt

Enr: 82                      Resp: 28                      Retake: 70%

|              | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean |
|--------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|------|
| Presents     | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4  | 24 | 32 | 36 | 5.9  |
| Explains     | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7  | 19 | 34 | 34 | 5.9  |
| Communicates | 0 | 4 | 4 | 8  | 20 | 20 | 44 | 5.8  |
| Teaching     | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3  | 15 | 42 | 34 | 6.0  |
| Workload     | 0 | 0 | 3 | 57 | 26 | 7  | 3  | 4.5  |
| Difficulty   | 0 | 0 | 7 | 46 | 30 | 11 | 3  | 4.6  |
| Learn Exp    | 0 | 0 | 5 | 36 | 31 | 26 | 0  | 4.8  |

Instructor(s): F. Yang

Enr: 96                      Resp: 44                      Retake: 76%

|              | 1 | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean |
|--------------|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|------|
| Presents     | 0 | 0 | 4  | 4  | 43 | 29 | 18 | 5.5  |
| Explains     | 0 | 0 | 4  | 9  | 29 | 31 | 25 | 5.6  |
| Communicates | 0 | 0 | 2  | 16 | 20 | 37 | 23 | 5.6  |
| Teaching     | 0 | 0 | 4  | 6  | 32 | 37 | 18 | 5.6  |
| Workload     | 0 | 0 | 7  | 47 | 30 | 15 | 0  | 4.5  |
| Difficulty   | 0 | 0 | 10 | 42 | 35 | 12 | 0  | 4.5  |
| Learn Exp    | 0 | 0 | 10 | 41 | 20 | 24 | 3  | 4.7  |

**ECO 208Y1Y Macroeconomic Theory**

Instructor(s): G. Gagnon

Enr: 84                      Resp: 46                      Retake: 70%

|              | 1 | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean |
|--------------|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|------|
| Presents     | 0 | 6 | 18 | 25 | 29 | 15 | 4  | 4.4  |
| Explains     | 0 | 4 | 2  | 36 | 27 | 22 | 6  | 4.8  |
| Communicates | 0 | 2 | 6  | 35 | 28 | 20 | 6  | 4.8  |
| Teaching     | 0 | 4 | 10 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 13 | 4.9  |
| Workload     | 2 | 6 | 10 | 63 | 6  | 10 | 0  | 4.0  |
| Difficulty   | 0 | 2 | 8  | 60 | 13 | 10 | 4  | 4.3  |
| Learn Exp    | 0 | 8 | 2  | 37 | 34 | 11 | 5  | 4.5  |

Instructor(s): D. Restuccia

Enr: 79                      Resp: 40                      Retake: 86%

|              | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean |
|--------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|------|
| Presents     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5  | 10 | 27 | 57 | 6.4  |
| Explains     | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 32 | 45 | 6.1  |
| Communicates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 17 | 20 | 50 | 6.1  |
| Teaching     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5  | 7  | 32 | 55 | 6.4  |
| Workload     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 18 | 21 | 0  | 4.6  |
| Difficulty   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 27 | 21 | 13 | 5.1  |
| Learn Exp    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 35 | 35 | 10 | 5.4  |

Restuccia was very good at presenting the material clearly and he was well-organized. An amazing instructor overall.

**ECO 209Y1Y Macroeconomic Theory and Policy (for Commerce)**

Instructor(s): G. Georgopoulos

Enr: 68                      Resp: 64                      Retake: 77%

|              | 1 | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean |
|--------------|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|------|
| Presents     | 1 | 0 | 3  | 12 | 28 | 30 | 23 | 5.5  |
| Explains     | 1 | 0 | 1  | 9  | 38 | 28 | 20 | 5.5  |
| Communicates | 1 | 0 | 3  | 22 | 19 | 26 | 26 | 5.5  |
| Teaching     | 1 | 0 | 1  | 11 | 27 | 32 | 25 | 5.6  |
| Workload     | 0 | 4 | 16 | 59 | 14 | 4  | 0  | 4.0  |
| Difficulty   | 0 | 1 | 7  | 65 | 20 | 3  | 1  | 4.2  |
| Learn Exp    | 2 | 0 | 4  | 47 | 22 | 15 | 6  | 4.6  |

Instructor(s): G. Gagnon

Enr: 57                      Resp: 23                      Retake: 36%

|              | 1 | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean |
|--------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|
| Presents     | 8 | 4  | 26 | 34 | 17 | 4  | 4  | 3.8  |
| Explains     | 0 | 13 | 22 | 18 | 13 | 22 | 9  | 4.4  |
| Communicates | 0 | 13 | 21 | 13 | 26 | 13 | 13 | 4.4  |
| Teaching     | 0 | 4  | 18 | 27 | 22 | 22 | 4  | 4.5  |
| Workload     | 0 | 8  | 17 | 69 | 0  | 0  | 4  | 3.8  |
| Difficulty   | 0 | 0  | 8  | 65 | 17 | 4  | 4  | 4.3  |
| Learn Exp    | 5 | 0  | 11 | 72 | 5  | 0  | 5  | 3.9  |

Instructor(s): G. Georgopoulos

Enr: 64                      Resp: 21                      Retake: 89%

|              | 1 | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean |
|--------------|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|------|
| Presents     | 0 | 0 | 4  | 9  | 28 | 23 | 33 | 5.7  |
| Explains     | 0 | 0 | 0  | 4  | 33 | 19 | 42 | 6.0  |
| Communicates | 0 | 0 | 0  | 19 | 23 | 23 | 33 | 5.7  |
| Teaching     | 0 | 0 | 0  | 4  | 23 | 28 | 42 | 6.1  |
| Workload     | 4 | 9 | 9  | 52 | 9  | 9  | 4  | 4.0  |
| Difficulty   | 0 | 4 | 9  | 57 | 19 | 4  | 4  | 4.2  |
| Learn Exp    | 0 | 0 | 13 | 33 | 13 | 26 | 13 | 4.9  |

Instructor(s): R. Ghaeli

Enr: 59                      Resp: 34                      Retake: 82%

|              | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean |
|--------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|------|
| Presents     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8  | 26 | 35 | 29 | 5.9  |
| Explains     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 24 | 33 | 27 | 5.7  |
| Communicates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6  | 25 | 43 | 25 | 5.9  |
| Teaching     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5  | 23 | 35 | 35 | 6.0  |
| Workload     | 0 | 3 | 3 | 56 | 12 | 18 | 6  | 4.6  |
| Difficulty   | 0 | 3 | 3 | 59 | 15 | 12 | 6  | 4.5  |
| Learn Exp    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 25 | 35 | 10 | 5.2  |

A great instructor overall.

**ECO 220Y1Y Quantitative Methods in Economics**

Instructor(s): J. Murdock

Enr: 149                      Resp: 81                      Retake: 50%

|              | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean |
|--------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|------|
| Presents     | 3 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 18 | 29 | 35 | 5.7  |
| Explains     | 3 | 0 | 5 | 7  | 25 | 36 | 22 | 5.5  |
| Communicates | 5 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 17 | 37 | 25 | 5.5  |
| Teaching     | 3 | 0 | 5 | 7  | 18 | 39 | 25 | 5.6  |
| Workload     | 2 | 1 | 5 | 59 | 14 | 12 | 3  | 4.4  |
| Difficulty   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 30 | 23 | 24 | 14 | 5.0  |
| Learn Exp    | 6 | 3 | 1 | 44 | 22 | 19 | 3  | 4.4  |

Murdock was a nice teacher. She explained concepts clearly and used examples extremely well. Many students found her lectures very informative. Many students found her lectures very informative. Some suggested it would be better if email communications were available.

The lecture material was satisfactory to most students and some said it was very helpful. However, many found that the textbook questions were not helpful in preparing for tests and exams. Exams were hard and challenging.

## 64 ECONOMICS

Instructor(s): J. Murdock

|              | Resp: 100 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 42% | Mean |
|--------------|-----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|------|
|              | 1         | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |             |      |
| Presents     | 1         | 0 | 4 | 6  | 23 | 30 | 34 | 5.8         |      |
| Explains     | 1         | 1 | 2 | 14 | 21 | 26 | 32 | 5.7         |      |
| Communicates | 2         | 1 | 2 | 9  | 20 | 27 | 37 | 5.8         |      |
| Teaching     | 1         | 2 | 4 | 13 | 17 | 32 | 29 | 5.6         |      |
| Workload     | 0         | 1 | 7 | 38 | 27 | 16 | 9  | 4.8         |      |
| Difficulty   | 1         | 0 | 2 | 21 | 26 | 30 | 18 | 5.4         |      |
| Learn Exp    | 4         | 1 | 2 | 40 | 23 | 14 | 13 | 4.7         |      |

Students liked having Murdock as an instructor. She was enthusiastic, approachable and answered questions in class. She was organized and students appreciated the use of 'iclicker' in class.

However, students also found the tests were difficult. Many students said the material was hard. Students also said that they did not find the tutorials helpful.

Instructor(s): J. Murdock

|              | Resp: 77 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 44% | Mean |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |             |      |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 1 | 2  | 12 | 35 | 48 | 6.3         |      |
| Explains     | 0        | 1 | 0 | 9  | 18 | 31 | 39 | 6.0         |      |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 2 | 6  | 14 | 32 | 43 | 6.1         |      |
| Teaching     | 0        | 1 | 1 | 8  | 8  | 37 | 43 | 6.1         |      |
| Workload     | 0        | 2 | 5 | 49 | 25 | 10 | 6  | 4.6         |      |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 1 | 2 | 31 | 20 | 24 | 20 | 5.2         |      |
| Learn Exp    | 3        | 3 | 4 | 34 | 19 | 22 | 11 | 4.8         |      |

Students said Murdock was a good teacher who explained concepts fairly well. She was organized and presented the material in a very structured manner.

However students found the tests very hard and tricky, and did not feel that the marks adequately reflected whether or not students had understood the material taught in class.

Instructor(s): J. Murdock

|              | Resp: 78 |   |    |    |    |    |    | Retake: 51% | Mean |
|--------------|----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|-------------|------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |             |      |
| Presents     | 2        | 0 | 0  | 7  | 29 | 26 | 33 | 5.8         |      |
| Explains     | 2        | 0 | 3  | 8  | 21 | 29 | 33 | 5.7         |      |
| Communicates | 2        | 0 | 2  | 10 | 22 | 36 | 25 | 5.6         |      |
| Teaching     | 2        | 1 | 0  | 16 | 19 | 36 | 23 | 5.5         |      |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 10 | 50 | 17 | 18 | 3  | 4.6         |      |
| Difficulty   | 1        | 0 | 4  | 31 | 27 | 24 | 12 | 5.0         |      |
| Learn Exp    | 1        | 3 | 9  | 45 | 24 | 12 | 4  | 4.4         |      |

Students liked the way Murdock expressed her ideas and her enthusiasm to the course. She taught well and organize course material well.

Although exams were challenging, many students found the course interesting and helpful. Many felt that the tests were too long and were not able to finish it. Marking was a bit tough as well.

Instructor(s): V. Yu

|              | Resp: 59 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 67% | Mean |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |             |      |
| Presents     | 0        | 1 | 3 | 9  | 17 | 28 | 38 | 5.8         |      |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 3 | 9  | 13 | 36 | 36 | 5.9         |      |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 3 | 5  | 20 | 24 | 45 | 6.0         |      |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 5 | 7  | 24 | 14 | 48 | 5.9         |      |
| Workload     | 0        | 1 | 7 | 57 | 23 | 5  | 3  | 4.3         |      |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 1 | 3 | 43 | 31 | 13 | 5  | 4.7         |      |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 2 | 31 | 31 | 20 | 14 | 5.1         |      |

Many students thought Yu was a good instructor who was informative.

## ECO 227Y1Y Quantitative Methods in Economics

Instructor(s): J. Suzuki

|              | Resp: 22 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 57% | Mean |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |             |      |
| Presents     | 0        | 4 | 0 | 13 | 31 | 31 | 18 | 5.4         |      |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 4 | 27 | 27 | 22 | 18 | 5.2         |      |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 4 | 36 | 22 | 18 | 18 | 5.1         |      |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0  | 36 | 18 | 5.3         |      |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 65 | 20 | 5  | 10 | 4.6         |      |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 0 | 20 | 30 | 20 | 30 | 5.6         |      |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 7 | 7 | 30 | 38 | 15 | 0  | 4.5         |      |

Suzuki did his best to communicate the material in a clear and organized manner. A few students felt that more examples would have been helpful to prepare for tests.

## ECO 230Y1Y International Economic Institutions and Policy

Instructor(s): G. Duranton

|              | Resp: 64 |   |    |    |    |    |    | Retake: 51% | Mean |
|--------------|----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|-------------|------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |             |      |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 4  | 12 | 28 | 31 | 22 | 5.5         |      |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 7  | 12 | 36 | 23 | 19 | 5.3         |      |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 0  | 14 | 26 | 34 | 25 | 5.7         |      |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 3  | 14 | 26 | 35 | 20 | 5.6         |      |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 0  | 50 | 37 | 12 | 0  | 4.6         |      |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 1  | 26 | 41 | 19 | 11 | 5.1         |      |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 10 | 38 | 30 | 18 | 2  | 4.6         |      |

Duranton was a good and well-organized lecturer. Some students enjoyed his sense of humor. Some it was a bit challenging to understand him without some math background. A few students suggested that he should speak slower and clearer.

Overall, course material was interesting. Exams were fair.

Instructor(s): K. Dasgupta

|              | Resp: 53 |   |    |    |    |    |    | Retake: 39% | Mean |
|--------------|----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|-------------|------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |             |      |
| Presents     | 0        | 3 | 7  | 25 | 27 | 23 | 11 | 4.9         |      |
| Explains     | 0        | 5 | 11 | 28 | 27 | 19 | 9  | 4.7         |      |
| Communicates | 1        | 1 | 15 | 29 | 23 | 19 | 7  | 4.6         |      |
| Teaching     | 0        | 8 | 9  | 21 | 29 | 28 | 7  | 4.8         |      |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 8  | 50 | 29 | 11 | 1  | 4.8         |      |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 2 | 4  | 34 | 32 | 24 | 4  | 4.8         |      |
| Learn Exp    | 2        | 2 | 22 | 33 | 22 | 8  | 8  | 4.3         |      |

Students recognized that this was Dasgupta's first time teaching the course and that he was well-versed in the subject material. However, some students said that he should make an attempt at speaking a bit louder, engaging the class more and show a bit more enthusiasm. Students also said that he was approachable and available for consultation, which helped them. Tutorials were also said to have been unhelpful.

## ECO 301Y1Y European Economic History, 1250-1750

Instructor(s): J. Munro

|              | Resp: 14 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 66% | Mean |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |             |      |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 0  | 7  | 57 | 35 | 6.3         |      |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 7  | 21 | 35 | 35 | 6.0         |      |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 0 | 0  | 7  | 28 | 64 | 6.6         |      |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 7  | 7  | 42 | 42 | 6.2         |      |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 35 | 50 | 14 | 0  | 4.8         |      |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 0 | 71 | 14 | 14 | 0  | 4.4         |      |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 0 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 5.0         |      |

Munro was described as knowledgeable, engaging and well spoken. Many liked his use of powerpoint slides for his lectures. The course material was a little dry.



## 66 ECONOMICS

Instructor(s): K. Furlong

|              | Resp: 26 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 70 % | Mean |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|--------------|------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |              |      |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 8  | 25 | 45 | 20 | 5.8          |      |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 8  | 16 | 60 | 16 | 5.8          |      |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 0 | 4  | 13 | 43 | 39 | 6.2          |      |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 4  | 20 | 48 | 28 | 6.0          |      |
| Workload     | 0        | 4 | 8 | 50 | 29 | 8  | 0  | 4.3          |      |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 4 | 4 | 50 | 33 | 4  | 4  | 4.4          |      |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 0 | 25 | 37 | 37 | 0  | 5.1          |      |

Furlong was described as a knowledgeable and organized instructor. However, his notes/writing was not always clear. Overall, students enjoyed the course.

Instructor(s): K. Furlong

|              | Resp: 21 |    |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 61% | Mean |
|--------------|----------|----|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|------|
|              | 1        | 2  | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |             |      |
| Presents     | 0        | 0  | 0 | 0  | 19 | 47 | 33 | 6.1         |      |
| Explains     | 0        | 0  | 0 | 0  | 28 | 42 | 28 | 6.0         |      |
| Communicates | 0        | 0  | 0 | 0  | 4  | 66 | 28 | 6.2         |      |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0  | 0 | 0  | 14 | 57 | 28 | 6.1         |      |
| Workload     | 0        | 10 | 0 | 40 | 25 | 20 | 5  | 4.6         |      |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0  | 0 | 55 | 35 | 10 | 0  | 4.6         |      |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0  | 0 | 25 | 41 | 25 | 8  | 5.2         |      |

The instructor was described as very enthusiastic and approachable, and was said to have presented the material in a clear, concise manner.

Students enjoyed the presentations and the discussions helped understand the material in more depth. However, a few thought the material was rushed through.

### ECO 324Y1Y Economic Development

Instructor(s): G. Bobonis

|              | Resp: 45 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 69% | Mean |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |             |      |
| Presents     | 2        | 0 | 2 | 25 | 18 | 40 | 11 | 5.2         |      |
| Explains     | 4        | 2 | 6 | 13 | 25 | 32 | 13 | 5.1         |      |
| Communicates | 2        | 0 | 2 | 11 | 11 | 41 | 30 | 5.8         |      |
| Teaching     | 2        | 2 | 4 | 6  | 23 | 34 | 25 | 5.5         |      |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 2 | 30 | 29 | 22 | 9  | 5.0         |      |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 0 | 38 | 22 | 31 | 6  | 5.1         |      |
| Learn Exp    | 2        | 2 | 5 | 21 | 35 | 18 | 13 | 4.9         |      |

Bobonis was described as a positive, enthusiastic, approachable, interesting and very knowledgeable instructor. However, sometimes he spoke a little too fast. The course material was very interesting and applicable to the real world.

### ECO 325H1F Advanced Economic Theory - Macro

Instructor(s): M. Alexopoulos

|              | Resp: 38 |   |    |    |    |    |    | Retake: 68% | Mean |
|--------------|----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|-------------|------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |             |      |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 5  | 10 | 23 | 34 | 26 | 5.7         |      |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 11 | 8  | 30 | 30 | 19 | 5.4         |      |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 5  | 5  | 23 | 31 | 34 | 5.8         |      |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 0  | 10 | 13 | 43 | 32 | 6.0         |      |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 5  | 50 | 31 | 7  | 5  | 4.6         |      |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 0  | 28 | 39 | 21 | 10 | 5.1         |      |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 0  | 26 | 34 | 30 | 8  | 5.2         |      |

Alexopoulos was described as extremely professional and enthusiastic regarding course content. However, she wrote too fast and many students did not have time to copy everything down.

The course material was quite difficult and mathematically heavy.

Instructor(s): M. Alexopoulos

|              | Resp: |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: | Mean |
|--------------|-------|---|---|----|----|----|----|---------|------|
|              | 1     | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |         |      |
| Presents     | 0     | 2 | 2 | 11 | 22 | 34 | 25 | 5.6     |      |
| Explains     | 0     | 0 | 8 | 10 | 29 | 29 | 21 | 5.5     |      |
| Communicates | 0     | 0 | 0 | 16 | 27 | 19 | 36 | 5.8     |      |
| Teaching     | 0     | 0 | 5 | 5  | 27 | 30 | 30 | 5.8     |      |
| Workload     | 0     | 0 | 0 | 35 | 43 | 16 | 5  | 4.9     |      |
| Difficulty   | 0     | 0 | 0 | 24 | 35 | 16 | 24 | 5.4     |      |
| Learn Exp    | 4     | 4 | 4 | 33 | 12 | 29 | 12 | 4.8     |      |

Alexopoulos was described as a very knowledgeable and approachable instructor who taught with enthusiasm. Some students were not satisfied with the way the course was designed since there was no time left for the discussion of the concepts. The course was described as mathematically challenging. Overall, students enjoyed the course and the instructor.

### ECO 326H1F Advanced Economic Theory - Micro

Instructor(s): E. Damiano

|              | Resp: 40 |    |    |    |    |    |    | Retake: 30% | Mean |
|--------------|----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-------------|------|
|              | 1        | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |             |      |
| Presents     | 7        | 15 | 15 | 30 | 15 | 12 | 2  | 3.8         |      |
| Explains     | 5        | 2  | 23 | 23 | 28 | 15 | 2  | 4.2         |      |
| Communicates | 2        | 10 | 5  | 26 | 21 | 26 | 7  | 4.6         |      |
| Teaching     | 5        | 10 | 13 | 28 | 18 | 18 | 5  | 4.2         |      |
| Workload     | 0        | 0  | 0  | 25 | 20 | 35 | 20 | 5.5         |      |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0  | 0  | 17 | 23 | 15 | 43 | 5.8         |      |
| Learn Exp    | 10       | 13 | 10 | 30 | 23 | 13 | 0  | 3.8         |      |

Many students felt that the instructor was disorganized and rushed through the material. Although he was passionate, students felt that he did not explain concepts clearly.

The course material was very difficult and many students felt the material covered in lectures and the problems assigned were not relevant to what was tested.

Instructor(s): E. Damiano

|              | Resp: 24 |   |    |    |    |    |    | Retake: 59% | Mean |
|--------------|----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|-------------|------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |             |      |
| Presents     | 0        | 8 | 12 | 29 | 25 | 4  | 20 | 4.7         |      |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 4  | 37 | 37 | 4  | 16 | 4.9         |      |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 4  | 8  | 16 | 33 | 37 | 5.9         |      |
| Teaching     | 0        | 4 | 0  | 29 | 29 | 20 | 16 | 5.1         |      |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 0  | 8  | 34 | 39 | 17 | 5.7         |      |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 0  | 9  | 22 | 27 | 40 | 6.0         |      |
| Learn Exp    | 15       | 5 | 10 | 26 | 0  | 26 | 15 | 4.3         |      |

Damiano was described as a passionate instructor who at times talked too fast.

The course material was very difficult and some students noted that the textbook and the material taught in lectures were not representative of what they were tested on.

Instructor(s): E. Damiano

|              | Resp: 22 |   |    |    |    |    |    | Retake: 77% | Mean |
|--------------|----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|-------------|------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |             |      |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 4  | 19 | 52 | 19 | 4  | 5.0         |      |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 4  | 4  | 59 | 27 | 4  | 5.2         |      |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 0  | 9  | 50 | 22 | 18 | 5.5         |      |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 4  | 9  | 40 | 31 | 13 | 5.4         |      |
| Workload     | 4        | 4 | 13 | 27 | 18 | 27 | 4  | 4.5         |      |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 4 | 9  | 9  | 22 | 31 | 22 | 5.4         |      |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 5  | 27 | 27 | 33 | 5  | 5.1         |      |

Damiano was described as a knowledgeable instructor with a good sense of humor.

**ECO 332H1S Economics of the Family**

Instructor(s): A. Siow

|              | Resp: 45 |   |    |    |    |    |    | Retake: 45% |
|--------------|----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 2        | 4 | 2  | 40 | 26 | 15 | 8  | 4.7         |
| Explains     | 0        | 9 | 6  | 20 | 36 | 20 | 6  | 4.7         |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 4  | 20 | 40 | 26 | 8  | 5.2         |
| Teaching     | 0        | 4 | 4  | 22 | 36 | 25 | 6  | 4.9         |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 6  | 44 | 22 | 17 | 8  | 4.8         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 2  | 26 | 35 | 24 | 11 | 5.2         |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 5 | 11 | 41 | 23 | 14 | 2  | 4.4         |

Students found the readings to be sometimes too excessive and too long. The tutorials were said to be important and helpful, especially since tutorial material was tested on the exam. Some students noted their disappointment that the tutorial time was not listed on ROSI, so some had conflicts with other courses and therefore could not attend tutorials.

**ECO 336Y1F Public Economics**

Instructor(s): M. Smart

|              | Resp: 27 |   |    |    |    |    |    | Retake: 80% |
|--------------|----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 11 | 25 | 25 | 33 | 3  | 4.9         |
| Explains     | 0        | 3 | 3  | 18 | 18 | 51 | 3  | 5.2         |
| Communicates | 3        | 0 | 0  | 11 | 19 | 57 | 7  | 5.5         |
| Teaching     | 3        | 0 | 0  | 14 | 25 | 40 | 14 | 5.4         |
| Workload     | 0        | 3 | 3  | 30 | 30 | 30 | 0  | 4.8         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 7  | 30 | 26 | 26 | 7  | 5.0         |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 4  | 21 | 26 | 43 | 4  | 5.2         |

Students had mixed responses about the instructor, but most students enjoyed his lectures and enthusiasm.

Most students felt the material was too mathematically intensive and a difficult course in general.

**ECO 339Y1Y Economics of Labour**

Instructor(s): M. Gunderson

|              | Resp: 83 |   |    |    |    |    |    | Retake: 72% |
|--------------|----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 6  | 12 | 27 | 28 | 25 | 5.5         |
| Explains     | 0        | 1 | 0  | 7  | 31 | 33 | 26 | 5.8         |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 1  | 11 | 22 | 30 | 34 | 5.8         |
| Teaching     | 0        | 1 | 0  | 10 | 31 | 35 | 22 | 5.7         |
| Workload     | 1        | 3 | 12 | 58 | 18 | 5  | 0  | 4.1         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 7  | 63 | 21 | 6  | 1  | 4.3         |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 4  | 41 | 25 | 19 | 8  | 4.8         |

Gunderson was a very good instructor who explained concepts clearly and was effective, helpful and funny.

**ECO 342Y1Y Twentieth Century Economic History**

Instructor(s): J. Cohen

|              | Resp: 44 |   |    |    |    |    |    | Retake: 53% |
|--------------|----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 2        | 2 | 11 | 26 | 19 | 21 | 16 | 4.9         |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 0  | 23 | 28 | 30 | 16 | 5.4         |
| Communicates | 2        | 0 | 0  | 16 | 21 | 30 | 28 | 5.6         |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 0  | 21 | 21 | 35 | 21 | 5.6         |
| Workload     | 2        | 2 | 9  | 62 | 16 | 4  | 2  | 4.1         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 2 | 2  | 60 | 23 | 11 | 0  | 4.4         |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 8  | 22 | 25 | 33 | 11 | 5.2         |

Cohen was said to be a capable, knowledgeable and passionate instructor.

**ECO 352H1F Special Topics in Economics: Economics of Entrepreneurship**

Instructor(s): R. Satchu

|              | Resp: 33 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 100% |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|--------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean         |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 0  | 6  | 6  | 87 | 6.8          |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 12 | 87 | 6.9          |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 3  | 96 | 7.0          |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 3  | 96 | 7.0          |
| Workload     | 0        | 3 | 0 | 12 | 6  | 51 | 27 | 5.8          |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 3 | 15 | 21 | 33 | 27 | 5.7          |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 0 | 0  | 3  | 7  | 88 | 6.8          |

Students raved about both the course and Satchu. They said the course was "life changing", "amazing", "impactful" and "inspirational." Many suggested that everyone should take this course.

Satchu was lauded for being an "outstanding" and "influential" instructor. He was very challenging and pushed his students hard, but they all appreciated the value of the learning experience.

Overall, a highly recommended course and instructor which is evident by the 100% retake and 7.0 for overall teaching.

**ECO 352H1F Financial Economics I**

Instructor(s): G. Jump

|              | Resp: 50 |   |    |    |    |    |    | Retake: 77% |
|--------------|----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 8  | 12 | 33 | 31 | 14 | 5.3         |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 10 | 10 | 34 | 32 | 14 | 5.3         |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 0  | 20 | 31 | 29 | 18 | 5.5         |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 0  | 14 | 26 | 36 | 24 | 5.7         |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 4  | 64 | 24 | 8  | 0  | 4.4         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 4  | 42 | 28 | 22 | 4  | 4.8         |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 6  | 32 | 37 | 16 | 6  | 4.8         |

Jump was described as a kind, approachable instructor who was enthusiastic about the course material. However, better structured lecture slides would improve the course.

The course material was quite straight-forward, however math was used excessively.

Overall students enjoyed the instructor and course material.

**ECO 359H1S Financial Economics II: Corporate Finance**

Instructor(s): E. Malinova

|              | Resp: 27 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 84% |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 3 | 7  | 23 | 30 | 34 | 5.8         |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 3 | 0  | 23 | 34 | 38 | 6.0         |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 4 | 4  | 12 | 32 | 48 | 6.2         |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 11 | 14 | 44 | 29 | 5.9         |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 3 | 57 | 23 | 15 | 0  | 4.5         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 3 | 33 | 48 | 14 | 0  | 4.7         |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 4 | 27 | 22 | 36 | 9  | 5.2         |

Instructor(s): E. Malinova

|              | Resp: 40 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 80% |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 2 | 2 | 25 | 40 | 25 | 5  | 5.0         |
| Explains     | 0        | 2 | 5 | 25 | 32 | 25 | 10 | 5.0         |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 5 | 2  | 52 | 30 | 10 | 5.4         |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 2 | 72 | 22 | 2  | 0  | 4.2         |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 2 | 72 | 22 | 2  | 0  | 4.2         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 5 | 57 | 25 | 12 | 0  | 4.4         |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 3 | 48 | 34 | 13 | 0  | 4.6         |

Students enjoyed Malinova's enthusiastic teaching style. A few students however, felt she talked too fast at times.

**ECO 360Y1Y Economic Growth and Technological Change**

Instructor(s): M. Hare

|              | Resp: 38 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 77% |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 2 | 8 | 8  | 18 | 27 | 35 | 5.6         |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 18 | 13 | 36 | 31 | 5.8         |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 0 | 5  | 7  | 44 | 42 | 6.2         |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 2 | 8  | 8  | 35 | 45 | 6.1         |
| Workload     | 0        | 2 | 8 | 37 | 18 | 21 | 10 | 4.8         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 5 | 43 | 24 | 18 | 8  | 4.8         |
| Learn Exp    | 3        | 0 | 0 | 6  | 30 | 30 | 30 | 5.7         |

Hare was described by many as very friendly and knowledgeable and gave lectures in an organized and thorough fashion. However, some complained that he did not offer tutorials or past exams, or use email. Some thought he needed to slow down a bit and emphasize important points.

The course had a large volume of readings, but many thought they were interesting and presented novel approaches to some economic issues of the current century.

**ECO 364H1F International Trade Theory**

Instructor(s): P. Morrow

|              | Resp: |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: |
|--------------|-------|---|---|----|----|----|----|---------|
|              | 1     | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean    |
| Presents     | 0     | 0 | 2 | 6  | 18 | 25 | 47 | 6.1     |
| Explains     | 0     | 0 | 0 | 12 | 20 | 33 | 33 | 5.9     |
| Communicates | 0     | 0 | 0 | 0  | 20 | 33 | 45 | 6.2     |
| Teaching     | 0     | 0 | 0 | 4  | 18 | 32 | 44 | 6.2     |
| Workload     | 0     | 4 | 4 | 71 | 14 | 6  | 0  | 4.1     |
| Difficulty   | 0     | 4 | 4 | 67 | 14 | 10 | 0  | 4.2     |
| Learn Exp    | 0     | 0 | 0 | 26 | 29 | 26 | 17 | 5.3     |

Morrow was enthusiastic, articulate and gave clear examples. Students appreciated his humour and his attentiveness.

A few students suggested that tutorials could have been better used to explain course material rather than go over problem sets.

Instructor(s): P. Morrow

|              | Resp: 82 |   |    |    |    |    |    | Retake: 77% |
|--------------|----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 2  | 6  | 25 | 40 | 25 | 5.8         |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 0  | 8  | 24 | 41 | 25 | 5.8         |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 1  | 6  | 18 | 45 | 28 | 5.9         |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 0  | 6  | 19 | 44 | 29 | 6.0         |
| Workload     | 0        | 3 | 12 | 55 | 22 | 3  | 1  | 4.1         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 11 | 56 | 23 | 7  | 1  | 4.3         |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 3  | 37 | 25 | 22 | 11 | 5.0         |

Morrow was a very enthusiastic instructor. He provided numerous resources to prepare students for exams.

But students thought more "real-life" examples could be more helpful. Also, more methods of evaluation was recommended.

Instructor(s): C. Dippel

|              | Resp: 51 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 63% |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 2 | 6 | 14 | 36 | 26 | 16 | 5.3         |
| Explains     | 0        | 4 | 6 | 14 | 34 | 32 | 10 | 5.1         |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 2 | 12 | 24 | 32 | 30 | 5.8         |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 4 | 18 | 32 | 34 | 12 | 5.3         |
| Workload     | 2        | 2 | 6 | 51 | 20 | 12 | 6  | 4.5         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 2 | 4 | 28 | 34 | 22 | 8  | 5.0         |
| Learn Exp    | 2        | 4 | 2 | 34 | 20 | 25 | 9  | 4.8         |

Dippel explained ideas and concepts very clearly and in an organized manner. However, students felt that 2 tests worth 50% each didn't reflect all the acquired knowledge in the course. Problem sets, as a way of evaluation, was recommended.

**ECO 365H1S International Monetary Economics**

Instructor(s): K. Dasgupta

|              | Resp: 43 |   |    |    |    |    |    | Retake: 77% |
|--------------|----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 2 | 0  | 7  | 46 | 31 | 12 | 5.4         |
| Explains     | 2        | 0 | 7  | 17 | 31 | 26 | 14 | 5.1         |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 9  | 14 | 38 | 30 | 7  | 5.1         |
| Teaching     | 2        | 0 | 4  | 9  | 38 | 38 | 7  | 5.2         |
| Workload     | 0        | 4 | 16 | 48 | 23 | 6  | 0  | 4.1         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 2 | 12 | 48 | 23 | 6  | 0  | 4.1         |
| Learn Exp    | 3        | 0 | 3  | 43 | 21 | 18 | 9  | 4.8         |

Students found Dasgupta to be knowledgeable. They said he explained concepts well, and was helpful and approachable. Students would have appreciated more examples in class.

Instructor(s): J. Mondria

|              | Resp: 78 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 86% |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 6  | 20 | 46 | 26 | 5.9         |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 5  | 14 | 43 | 36 | 6.1         |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 0 | 1  | 6  | 21 | 70 | 6.6         |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 6  | 2  | 50 | 40 | 6.2         |
| Workload     | 0        | 1 | 6 | 64 | 16 | 8  | 2  | 4.3         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 1 | 1 | 54 | 32 | 8  | 2  | 4.5         |
| Learn Exp    | 1        | 0 | 0 | 14 | 28 | 35 | 19 | 5.5         |

Majority of students praised the instructor for incorporating discussions of economic events around the world at the beginning of each class. Students described these discussions as insightful, relevant, interesting and valuable. A significant portion of the students indicated that the instructor was enthusiastic, and an excellent lecturer. They noted that the course was very interesting, however some students requested more problem sets and solutions to practice course material with.

Instructor(s): J. Mondria

|              | Resp: 47 |   |   |    |    |    |    | Retake: 89% |
|--------------|----------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 8  | 20 | 31 | 40 | 6.0         |
| Explains     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 4  | 15 | 32 | 47 | 6.2         |
| Communicates | 0        | 0 | 0 | 2  | 4  | 28 | 65 | 6.6         |
| Teaching     | 0        | 0 | 0 | 4  | 15 | 32 | 47 | 6.2         |
| Workload     | 0        | 0 | 2 | 67 | 19 | 8  | 2  | 4.4         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 0 | 67 | 19 | 10 | 2  | 4.5         |
| Learn Exp    | 0        | 0 | 0 | 10 | 33 | 33 | 23 | 5.7         |

Many students enjoyed the discussions of current events and real world examples used in class.

Mondria was very enthusiastic about the class material and a very good instructor overall.

**ECO 369Y1S Health Economics**

Instructor(s): M. Denny

|              | Resp: 44 |   |    |    |    |    |    | Retake: 56% |
|--------------|----------|---|----|----|----|----|----|-------------|
|              | 1        | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean        |
| Presents     | 0        | 9 | 13 | 27 | 30 | 13 | 4  | 4.4         |
| Explains     | 0        | 4 | 6  | 25 | 38 | 11 | 13 | 4.9         |
| Communicates | 0        | 4 | 9  | 11 | 34 | 20 | 18 | 5.1         |
| Teaching     | 2        | 4 | 6  | 18 | 23 | 34 | 9  | 5.0         |
| Workload     | 2        | 2 | 11 | 61 | 16 | 2  | 2  | 4.0         |
| Difficulty   | 0        | 0 | 2  | 54 | 23 | 11 | 7  | 4.7         |
| Learn Exp    | 6        | 6 | 6  | 33 | 30 | 16 | 0  | 4.2         |

Students were not happy with the marking on term tests, calling it unfair and illogical. Although some said Denny was a good instructor, a few commented that he could have used a little more organization.



**ECO 370Y1Y Economics of Organizations**

Instructor(s): A. Hosios

|              | Enr: 33              |   |   |    |    |    |    | Mean |
|--------------|----------------------|---|---|----|----|----|----|------|
|              | Resp: 23 Retake: 66% |   |   |    |    |    |    |      |
|              | 1                    | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |      |
| Presents     | 5                    | 0 | 5 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 45 | 5.6  |
| Explains     | 5                    | 0 | 0 | 20 | 5  | 45 | 25 | 5.6  |
| Communicates | 5                    | 0 | 0 | 5  | 10 | 25 | 55 | 6.1  |
| Teaching     | 4                    | 0 | 0 | 9  | 0  | 52 | 33 | 5.9  |
| Workload     | 0                    | 0 | 0 | 18 | 40 | 27 | 13 | 5.4  |
| Difficulty   | 0                    | 0 | 0 | 9  | 33 | 33 | 23 | 5.7  |
| Learn Exp    | 6                    | 6 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 26 | 20 | 5.0  |

The instructor was appraised by most students as being knowledgeable and interesting. He offered flexible office hours for extra help which was helpful. Although many enjoyed his humour, some thought his method of teaching the material was difficult to follow at times.

**ECO 374H1F Applied Econometrics (for Commerce)**

Instructor(s): M. Burda

|              | Enr: 47              |   |    |    |    |    |    | Mean |
|--------------|----------------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|------|
|              | Resp: 20 Retake: 62% |   |    |    |    |    |    |      |
|              | 1                    | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |      |
| Presents     | 0                    | 0 | 0  | 15 | 25 | 30 | 30 | 5.8  |
| Explains     | 5                    | 0 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 5.2  |
| Communicates | 5                    | 0 | 0  | 15 | 40 | 20 | 20 | 5.2  |
| Teaching     | 0                    | 5 | 0  | 15 | 20 | 40 | 20 | 5.5  |
| Workload     | 0                    | 0 | 0  | 60 | 30 | 10 | 0  | 4.5  |
| Difficulty   | 0                    | 0 | 5  | 35 | 30 | 25 | 5  | 4.9  |
| Learn Exp    | 0                    | 0 | 0  | 53 | 26 | 13 | 6  | 4.7  |

Students in general had positive feedback about the course. Burda was described as a dedicated instructor who was enthusiastic about the lectures. However, tutorials could have been more effective and useful.

Instructor(s): M. Burda

|              | Enr: 48              |   |    |    |    |    |    | Mean |
|--------------|----------------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|------|
|              | Resp: 22 Retake: 61% |   |    |    |    |    |    |      |
|              | 1                    | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |      |
| Presents     | 0                    | 0 | 0  | 22 | 22 | 27 | 27 | 5.6  |
| Explains     | 0                    | 0 | 22 | 13 | 31 | 18 | 13 | 4.9  |
| Communicates | 0                    | 0 | 4  | 27 | 31 | 13 | 22 | 5.2  |
| Teaching     | 0                    | 0 | 4  | 22 | 40 | 18 | 13 | 5.1  |
| Workload     | 5                    | 0 | 15 | 40 | 25 | 10 | 5  | 4.3  |
| Difficulty   | 0                    | 0 | 10 | 25 | 30 | 25 | 10 | 5.0  |
| Learn Exp    | 0                    | 0 | 6  | 46 | 13 | 20 | 13 | 4.9  |

Students enjoyed Burda's lectures and enthusiasm for the course.

**ECO 375H1F Applied Econometrics I**

Instructor(s): J. Suzuki

|              | Enr: 87              |   |   |    |    |    |    | Mean |
|--------------|----------------------|---|---|----|----|----|----|------|
|              | Resp: 40 Retake: 66% |   |   |    |    |    |    |      |
|              | 1                    | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |      |
| Presents     | 0                    | 0 | 2 | 18 | 29 | 21 | 27 | 5.5  |
| Explains     | 0                    | 0 | 5 | 27 | 32 | 16 | 18 | 5.2  |
| Communicates | 0                    | 0 | 0 | 22 | 33 | 22 | 22 | 5.4  |
| Teaching     | 0                    | 2 | 0 | 16 | 37 | 29 | 13 | 5.3  |
| Workload     | 0                    | 0 | 2 | 42 | 21 | 26 | 7  | 4.9  |
| Difficulty   | 0                    | 0 | 0 | 29 | 16 | 43 | 10 | 5.4  |
| Learn Exp    | 0                    | 3 | 0 | 42 | 17 | 35 | 0  | 4.8  |

Suzuki's teaching style was described as organized and motivating. He was available and was willing to help the students as much as possible. However, students felt that they needed more numerical examples as the course material was too abstract. Overall a good learning experience.

**ECO 376H1S Applied Econometrics II**

Instructor(s): J. Suzuki

|              | Enr: 41              |   |    |    |    |    |    | Mean |
|--------------|----------------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|------|
|              | Resp: 24 Retake: 59% |   |    |    |    |    |    |      |
|              | 1                    | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |      |
| Presents     | 0                    | 0 | 0  | 8  | 30 | 39 | 21 | 5.7  |
| Explains     | 0                    | 0 | 4  | 21 | 26 | 26 | 21 | 5.4  |
| Communicates | 0                    | 0 | 4  | 17 | 26 | 30 | 21 | 5.5  |
| Teaching     | 0                    | 0 | 0  | 17 | 21 | 43 | 17 | 5.6  |
| Workload     | 0                    | 0 | 0  | 16 | 29 | 33 | 20 | 5.6  |
| Difficulty   | 0                    | 0 | 0  | 20 | 29 | 37 | 12 | 5.4  |
| Learn Exp    | 5                    | 5 | 10 | 10 | 31 | 15 | 21 | 4.9  |

Some students said Suzuki was nice and helpful.

**ECO 380H1F Managerial Economics I: Competitive Strategy**

Instructor(s): C. Serrano

|              | Enr: 66              |   |    |    |    |    |    | Mean |
|--------------|----------------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|------|
|              | Resp: 45 Retake: 64% |   |    |    |    |    |    |      |
|              | 1                    | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |      |
| Presents     | 0                    | 4 | 9  | 28 | 33 | 16 | 7  | 4.7  |
| Explains     | 0                    | 0 | 6  | 39 | 25 | 16 | 11 | 4.9  |
| Communicates | 0                    | 0 | 0  | 9  | 25 | 34 | 31 | 5.9  |
| Teaching     | 0                    | 0 | 11 | 15 | 29 | 31 | 11 | 5.2  |
| Workload     | 0                    | 0 | 4  | 43 | 27 | 15 | 9  | 4.8  |
| Difficulty   | 0                    | 0 | 2  | 38 | 27 | 20 | 11 | 5.0  |
| Learn Exp    | 2                    | 2 | 5  | 47 | 17 | 17 | 5  | 4.5  |

Serrano made the course very interesting and engaging for students. Students found problem sets very helpful in understanding the course material. However, the amount of work required for each problem set was too much. Some students thought that tests were difficult.

**ECO 380H1S Managerial Economics I: Competitive Strategy**

Instructor(s): C. Serrano

|              | Enr: 71              |    |    |    |    |    |    | Mean |
|--------------|----------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|
|              | Resp: 24 Retake: 57% |    |    |    |    |    |    |      |
|              | 1                    | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |      |
| Presents     | 0                    | 9  | 9  | 18 | 31 | 22 | 9  | 4.8  |
| Explains     | 4                    | 4  | 0  | 26 | 26 | 26 | 13 | 5.0  |
| Communicates | 0                    | 4  | 0  | 8  | 21 | 34 | 30 | 5.7  |
| Teaching     | 0                    | 4  | 4  | 8  | 21 | 47 | 13 | 5.4  |
| Workload     | 0                    | 0  | 0  | 30 | 43 | 26 | 0  | 5.0  |
| Difficulty   | 0                    | 0  | 0  | 31 | 27 | 22 | 18 | 5.3  |
| Learn Exp    | 0                    | 11 | 11 | 29 | 29 | 11 | 5  | 4.4  |

**ECO 416H1S Macroeconomic Models for Policy Analysis and Forecasting**

Instructor(s): P. Dungan

|              | Enr: 13             |   |    |    |    |    |    | Mean |
|--------------|---------------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|------|
|              | Resp: 7 Retake: 85% |   |    |    |    |    |    |      |
|              | 1                   | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |      |
| Presents     | 0                   | 0 | 0  | 0  | 71 | 28 | 0  | 5.3  |
| Explains     | 0                   | 0 | 0  | 0  | 28 | 57 | 14 | 5.9  |
| Communicates | 0                   | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 28 | 71 | 6.7  |
| Teaching     | 0                   | 0 | 0  | 0  | 14 | 42 | 42 | 6.3  |
| Workload     | 0                   | 0 | 28 | 14 | 28 | 14 | 14 | 4.7  |
| Difficulty   | 0                   | 0 | 14 | 28 | 42 | 0  | 14 | 4.7  |
| Learn Exp    | 0                   | 0 | 0  | 40 | 0  | 20 | 40 | 4.6  |

Dungan was a very good instructor who was enthusiastic and knowledgeable.

**ECO 423H1S Topics in North American Economic History**

Instructor(s): G. Hamilton

|              | Enr: 10            |   |    |    |    |    |    | Mean |
|--------------|--------------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|------|
|              | Resp: 6 Retake: 83 |   |    |    |    |    |    |      |
|              | 1                  | 2 | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |      |
| Presents     | 0                  | 0 | 0  | 33 | 50 | 0  | 16 | 5.0  |
| Explains     | 0                  | 0 | 0  | 33 | 33 | 33 | 0  | 5.0  |
| Communicates | 0                  | 0 | 16 | 16 | 50 | 16 | 0  | 4.7  |
| Teaching     | 0                  | 0 | 0  | 20 | 40 | 20 | 20 | 5.4  |

**70 ECONOMICS**

|            |   |   |   |    |    |    |   |     |
|------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|---|-----|
| Workload   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 4.5 |
| Difficulty | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 60 | 40 | 0 | 5.4 |
| Learn Exp  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0  | 50 | 0 | 5.0 |

**ECO 435H1S The Economics of Modern China**

Instructor(s): L. Brandt

Enr: 9                      Resp: 5                      Retake: 100%

|              | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | Mean |
|--------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|------|
| Presents     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 40 | 60 | 6.6  |
| Explains     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 20 | 40 | 40 | 6.2  |
| Communicates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 60 | 40 | 6.4  |
| Teaching     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 40 | 60 | 6.6  |
| Workload     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 40 | 5.8  |
| Difficulty   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 60 | 0  | 0  | 4.6  |
| Learn Exp    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 20 | 20 | 60 | 6.4  |

*Assu*

- THE ANTI-CALENDAR
- COURSE UNIONS
- ACADEMIC SERVICES
- GRIEVANCES
- AND MORE !

**GET INVOLVED** NOW

