# ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR 255

# WOODSWORTH COLLEGE COURSES



#### Introduction

ASSU would like to thank the Staff and Faculty of Woodsworth College for their assistance in providing the following evaluations.

Editor

# WDW 200Y1Y Introduction to Criminology

Instructor(s): R. Levi

Enr: 179		Res	sp: 13	8		Retal	ke: 96%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	21	36	39	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	8	42	49	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	18	78	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	1	36	60	6.6
Workload	0	1	5	64	23	3	1	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	53	32	11	1	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	21	35	29	5.8

The lectures were very interesting and were made more enjoyable with Levi's level of enthusiasm. Students said Levi was an "amazing" lecturer who never let the class get boring.

# Instructor(s): S. Wortley

Enr: 177		Res	sp: 12	0		Reta	ke: 82%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	1	0	7	37	40	12	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	4	33	41	20	5.8
Communicates	0	0	1	1	21	47	27	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	5	25	42	26	5.9
Workload	0	0	5	52	26	13	2	4.6
Difficulty	0	1	1	49	28	15	3	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	28	32	29	9	5.2

Wortley was enthusiastic and always prepared for class. His great sense humour made the class very enjoyable and engaged students. The tests were difficult and were not a fair reflection of knowledge since they were focussed mostly on memorization. The tutorials needed to become more effective and in line with the course material.

#### WDW 220Y1Y Introduction to Criminal Law and Procedure

#### Instructor(s): B. Davies

Enr: 173	Resp: 104						Reta	ke: 85%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	9	34	31	21	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	3	23	43	24	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	7	17	43	30	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	2	17	49	28	6.0
Workload	0	0	1	49	27	16	3	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	30	41	16	9	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	2	13	33	33	16	5.5

Students enjoyed the lectures. Davies was a knowledgeable and good instructor who genuinely cared about the students. Despite lectures being a little unorganized, she always answered students' questions well. She described the concepts clearly and effectively. Some students complained about the TAs, stating that they were not responsive to students' concerns.

#### WDW 244H1S Labour Relations

Instructor(s): F. Reid

Enr: 104		Re	sp: 62	2			Reta	ke: 82%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	19	48	29	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	1	22	50	24	6.0
Communicates	0	1	0	10	35	35	18	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	6	19	47	26	5.9
Workload	0	6	3	77	13	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	1	6	77	13	1	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	23	39	26	10	5.2

Students felt that Reid was a good instructor. Students were concerned that the exam format was difficult to understand.

## WDW 260H1F Organizational Behaviour

Instructor(s): L. Riznek

Enr: 48		Re	sp: 4′	1			Reta	ake: 87%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	9	58	31	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	2	43	53	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	7	90	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	2	26	70	6.7
Workload	0	2	7	21	39	19	9	5.0
Difficulty	2	4	7	48	34	0	2	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	2	2	11	48	34	6.1

Riznek took a lot of time to prepare for her lectures which was clear to the students. She created notebooks for her students to fill in the gaps in the textbook and also made use of 'alternative' methods of teaching, such as blogging. Students found her enthusiasm and excitement regarding the course material infectious.

## WDW 260H1S Organizational Behaviour

Instructor(s): L. Riznek

Enr: 50		Re	sp: 38	8			Retak	e: 97%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	16	35	48	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	18	75	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	16	78	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	37	56	6.5
Workload	0	0	2	47	19	13	16	4.9
Difficulty	2	0	8	70	8	10	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	8	17	40	34	6.0

Most students felt that Riznek was an excellent instructor. Students enjoyed the personal aspect of the course. They also commented that the assignments could have been explained more clearly.

# WDW 300H1F Crime: Theory and Policy

Instructor(s): M. Valverde

Enr: 13		R	esp: 9	)			Retake: 75%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	11	22	33	33	5.9		
Explains	0	0	0	0	22	11	66	6.4		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	22	66	6.6		
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	22	66	6.6		
Workload	0	0	11	33	22	33	0	4.8		
Difficulty	0	0	0	11	33	44	11	5.6		
Learn Exp	0	0	16	16	0	33	33	5.5		

# 256

Students enjoyed this course and said that the assignments were very creative and interesting.

## WDW 320H1F The Prosecution Process

Instructor(s): B. Davies

Enr: 50		Re	sp: 42	2		Reta	ke: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	7	32	60	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	27	67	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	27	70	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	2	2	30	65	6.6
Workload	0	2	0	23	35	23	15	5.2
Difficulty	0	2	0	43	25	20	7	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	3	15	40	40	6.2

Davies was enthusiastic and extremely knowledgeable. Students really enjoyed having her experience as a professional lawyer in the classroom.

## WDW 335H1F Policing

Instructor(s): D. Demos

Enr: 47		Re	esp: 38	3		Reta	ike: 88%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	39	36	18	5.7
Explains	0	0	2	0	35	35	27	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	2	21	57	18	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	2	24	51	21	5.9
Workload	0	0	5	75	11	8	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	11	72	13	2	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	37	18	11	5.1

Demos was an approachable lecturer who took the time to answer questions put forward by her students. She was knowledgeable and was able to perform effectively as a university teacher.

# WDW 340H1F Penology

Instructor(s): W. Watson

Enr: 79		Re	sp: 6′	1		Retake: 69%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	13	22	41	18	5.6
Explains	0	0	4	9	21	34	29	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	10	13	31	45	6.1
Teaching	0	0	1	6	18	27	45	6.1
Workload	0	0	6	68	14	8	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	56	32	10	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	4	38	21	17	19	5.1

Although Watson was a very knowledgeable and informative lecturer, a few students felt the course material and lectures could have been organized better. They also found the material complicated.

#### WDW 346H1F Topics in Employment Relations: HR Planning

Instructor(s): E. Mock

Enr: 45		Re	sp: 36	6		Reta	ke: 60%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	2	2	25	31	25	8	4.9
Explains	2	0	0	11	31	31	0	5.5
Communicates	2	0	0	8	17	31	40	5.9
Teaching	2	0	0	11	30	30	25	5.6
Workload	2	0	2	52	25	11	5	4.5
Difficulty	2	0	2	58	19	13	2	4.4
Learn Exp	3	3	6	32	16	19	19	4.9

Students felt the way groups were organized and the effect it had on marks was generally unfair. However, they appreciated Mock's real world experience in the classroom.

## WDW 347H1F Topics in Employment Relations: Training & Development

Instructor(s): L. Riznek

Enr: 15		Re	sp: 13	3			Reta	ake: 90%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	15	84	6.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	7	92	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	7	92	6.9
Workload	0	0	0	7	23	46	23	5.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	38	30	30	0	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	22	22	55	6.3

The learning experience and the value of what was taught in the class was high. Students enjoyed the course and the instructor.

Most students described Riznek as the "best" lecturer they have had at UofT. She was enthusiastic and an effective teacher

# WDW 347H1S Topics in Employment Relations: Training & Development

Instructor(s): L. Riznek

Enr: 23		Re	sp: 20	C			Reta	ke: 90%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	10	15	75	6.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	5	94	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	10	85	6.8
Workload	0	0	0	25	30	25	20	5.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	65	25	10	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	5	16	44	33	6.1

Students commented that for many of them, this was the best instructor and course at the University. They could not be happier with Riznek and her style of teaching.

Almost all of the students warned that the course load for this class was very high. However, they felt that the corresponding learning experience was also very high.

# WDW 348H1F Topics in Employment Relations: Recruitment & Selection

Instructor(s): E. Keys

Enr: 49		Re	esp: 30	6			Reta	ke: 64%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	0	6	15	36	0	0	5.1
Explains	0	0	2	32	23	32	8	5.1
Communicates	0	2	5	14	35	29	11	5.2
Teaching	8	2	11	26	23	23	2	4.4
Workload	0	0	0	85	14	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	14	79	2	2	0	3.9
Learn Exp	3	11	7	42	19	7	7	4.2

Students did not enjoy the marking scheme and the emphasis on memorization.

#### WDW 350H1S Research Methods in Criminology

Instructor(s): A. Doob

Enr: 41		Re	esp: 24	4			Retak	ke: 54%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	20	20	33	20	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	4	41	25	29	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	4	37	33	25	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	12	20	25	41	6.0
Workload	0	4	12	62	16	0	4	4.1
Difficulty	0	4	9	36	36	13	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	9	31	18	27	13	5.0

Students felt that Doob was a good instructor who communicated his passion and knowledge for the material.

#### WDW 355H1S Data Analysis in Criminology

Instructor(s): D. Demos

Enr: 48		Re	sp: 32	2		Reta	ke: 80%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	9	6	29	45	6	5.2
Explains	0	6	3	3	29	48	9	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	10	20	53	16	5.8
Teaching	0	3	0	16	16	51	12	5.5
Workload	3	3	0	73	13	6	0	4.1
Difficulty	3	3	3	67	19	3	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	8	8	32	20	24	8	4.7

Students thought Demos was a helpful and knowledgeable instructor. Students complained though that some assignments took too long to be returned.

#### WDW 360H1F Law and Psychiatry

Instructor(s): W. Watson

Enr: 73		Re	sp: 33	3		Reta	ıke: 86%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	3	15	18	37	21	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	9	18	40	31	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	3	21	48	27	6.0
Teaching	0	0	3	0	9	57	30	6.1
Workload	0	0	6	78	9	6	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	53	40	3	3	4.6
Learn Exp	0	5	0	30	35	15	15	5.0

Although a few students had some difficult in keeping up with the speed of the course, most seemed to enjoy the class. Many found Watson to be a very good and knowledgeable instructor.

#### WDW 365H1S Crime and Mind

Instructor(s): W. Watson

Enr: 94		Re	sp: 58	В		Reta	ke: 75%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	22	33	33	8	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	10	45	31	12	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	12	33	36	17	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	7	23	44	25	5.9
Workload	0	1	1	66	25	5	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	41	42	14	1	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	2	34	39	17	6	4.9

Many students commented that Watson was one of the most knowledgeable and approachable instructors they have had at UofT. Some students felt though that Watson's lecture style sometimes made it difficult to keep up with complicated material.

#### WDW 367H1S Compensation

Instructor(s): R. Gomez										
Enr: 48		Re	sp: 2	Retake: 60%						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	4	36	24	20	16	5.1		
Explains	0	0	8	8	44	20	20	5.4		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	24	44	32	6.1		
Teaching	0	0	4	8	48	20	20	5.4		
Workload	0	0	0	64	20	16	0	4.5		
Difficulty	0	0	0	60	24	12	4	4.6		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	37	18	25	18	5.2		

Most students felt that Gomez was an enthusiastic instructor who did a good job of making the material understandable. A few students felt that the lectures could have been better organized.

**NEW**: A new limited policy on Late Withdrawal (LWD) is available for students in difficulty. See the Calendar, page 537 for details.

## WDW 370H1F Youth Justice

Instructor(s): R. Barnhorst

( )									
Enr: 54		Re	sp: 30	6			Retake: 84%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	11	47	38	2	5.3	
Explains	0	0	0	11	25	37	25	5.8	
Communicates	0	0	0	5	34	37	22	5.8	
Teaching	0	0	0	20	14	51	14	5.6	
Workload	0	0	5	74	11	8	0	4.2	
Difficulty	0	0	8	77	5	5	2	4.2	
Learn Exp	0	0	3	32	35	25	3	4.9	

Students fund the material interesting. They liked the lecturer and felt that he was experienced and approachable.

# WDW 375H1F Young Offenders

Instructor(s): W. O'Grady

Enr: 54		Re	esp: 3	3		Reta	ke: 83%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	6	18	59	12	5.7
Explains	0	0	3	3	25	50	18	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	9	28	46	15	5.7
Teaching	0	0	3	6	15	59	15	5.8
Workload	0	0	3	74	12	9	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	12	68	15	3	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	7	37	33	18	3	4.7

The course was interesting and informative. Students suggested putting lecture notes online, to help students.

#### WDW 375H1S Young Offenders

Instructor(s): W. O'Grady

Enr: 37		Re	sp: 2	3			Retak	e: 77%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	43	43	4	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	13	47	26	13	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	26	47	17	8	5.1
Teaching	0	0	0	13	43	34	8	5.4
Workload	0	0	4	82	13	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	4	91	4	0	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	5	55	25	10	5	4.6

O'Grady presented the material clearly and in a straight-forward manner. A few students suggested adding another small assignment to split up the mark distribution.

#### WDW 378H1F Employment Health

Instructor(s): B. Leech

Enr: 41		Re	sp: 3	5	Retake: 60%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	5	38	32	20	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	14	23	35	26	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	29	58	6.5
Teaching	0	0	2	2	32	38	23	5.8
Workload	0	0	8	77	11	2	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	2	62	28	5	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	3	3	38	30	11	11	4.8

## WDW 380H1S Crime and Gender

Instructor(s): R. Gartner

Enr: 55	Resp: 37						Reta	ke: 88%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	19	47	33	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	17	45	37	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	5	16	30	47	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	2	14	31	51	6.3
Workload	0	0	0	77	16	5	0	4.3

2	5	O
4	υ	ο

Difficulty	0	0	0	66	33	0	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	17	39	21	21	5.5

Overall, Gartner did a great job with this course. She was organized, and her lectures were very interesting and clearly presented. Gartner was a caring and friendly instructor.

Students enjoyed and appreciated the guest speakers and the videos which enhanced the learning experience. A great course overall!

## WDW 387H1S Legal Regulation of Morality

Instructor(s): M. Valverde

Enr: 96		Re	sp: 57	7	Retake: 71%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	15	31	40	12	5.5
Explains	0	0	1	10	28	38	21	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	1	26	37	33	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	7	21	45	26	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	70	28	1	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	38	43	12	5	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	2	36	36	17	7	4.9

The majority of students felt hat the readings were difficult and theoretical, but valuable nevertheless. Students also felt that the lectures did not correspond to the readings. The expectations for assignments were unclear and the TAs marked hard. Some liked the mixture of presentations - videos, guest speakers, tutorials, etc. Finally, some felt that the course was a bit too sexual-diversity-oriented but enjoyed the classes overall.

## WDW 390H1F Topics in Criminology: Immigration & Crime

Instructor(s): S. Bucerius

Enr: 48	Resp: 39						Retake: 92%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	5	2	20	48	23	5.8	
Explains	0	0	0	7	15	48	28	6.0	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	15	35	48	6.3	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	21	42	36	6.2	
Workload	0	0	2	84	12	0	0	4.1	
Difficulty	0	0	5	89	2	2	0	4.0	
Learn Exp	0	0	4	33	25	29	8	5.0	

Students enjoyed Bucerius as a lecturer. She was compassionate. understanding, approachable and knowledgeable in the subject matter.

#### WDW 391H1S Topics in Criminology: Violence Against Women

Instructor(s): R. Singh

Enr: 24		Re	sp: 2′	1	Retake: 57%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	4	0	0	38	33	23	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	9	38	33	19	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	9	33	57	6.5
Teaching	0	0	4	9	28	38	19	5.6
Workload	0	0	0	57	23	19	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	4	28	47	9	9	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	5	22	22	33	16	5.3

Singh was praised for being very enthusiastic, helpful and knowledgeable of the material.

A few students suggested that the material was too theoretical.

#### WDW 392H1F Topics in Criminology: Hate Crime

Instructor(s): A. Lunny

Enr: 47		Re	sp: 3′	1	Retake: 93%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	12	19	45	22	5.8
Explains	0	0	3	9	9	51	25	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	6	9	35	48	6.3
Teaching	0	0	3	3	19	48	25	5.9

Workload	0	3	0	90	3	3	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	3	3	80	9	3	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	3	11	30	38	15	5.5

Lunny was energetic, knowledgeable, friendly and understanding. Students also enjoyed the presentations in class.

## WDW 393H1F Topics in Criminology: Comparative Criminal Justice

Instructor(s): M. Light

etake: 61%
7 Mean
4 5.0
5.6
7 5.7
5.5
4.9
) 4.8
2 4.6

Students liked Light's enthusiasm, knowledge and humour. Some students seemed to be generally dissatisfied with the marking scheme.

### WDW 393H1S Topics in Criminology: Comparative Criminal Justice

Instructor(s): M. Light

Enr: 22		Re	sp: 17	7		Retake: 70%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	11	41	35	11	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	11	41	41	5	5.4
Communicates	0	0	5	11	23	41	17	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	11	41	29	17	5.5
Workload	0	0	0	35	41	17	5	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	47	41	11	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	6	26	53	13	0	4.7

Some students were not happy with the amount of weekly required readings.

# WDW 394H1S Topics in Criminology: Managing Dissent

Instructor(s): D. Demos

Enr: 27		Re	sp: 24	4	Retake: 95%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	21	43	13	17	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	16	25	37	20	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	8	12	50	29	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	16	16	37	29	5.8
Workload	0	0	8	60	26	4	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	8	78	13	0	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	50	10	25	15	5.1

Demos was praised for being a very "understandable" and good instructor. The course material was deemed "very interesting".

#### WDW 425H1S International Criminal Law

Instructor(s): R. Levi

Enr: 10		Re	esp: 9		Retake: 100%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	11	22	66	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	22	77	3.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Workload	0	0	0	55	33	11	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	44	44	11	0	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	0	12	87	6.9

NEW: Students may now take one credit in their degree as Credit/ NoCredit (CR/NCR). See the Calendar, page 537 for details.

#### WDW 430Y1Y Employment Law

Instructor(s): M. Certosimo

Enr: 30		Re	esp: 20	20 Retal			ke: 64%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	15	40	25	10	10	4.6
Explains	0	0	10	10	25	35	20	5.4
Communicates	0	0	5	5	5	35	50	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	15	20	35	30	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	40	25	25	10	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	35	25	30	10	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	25	16	41	16	5.5

The course material was interesting and informative. Students felt that having the lecture notes online would have been beneficial.

Certosimo was a humorous, enthusiastic and knowledgeable instructor.

#### Instructor(s): M. Fitzgibbon

Enr: 22	Resp: 18					Retake: 100%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	16	38	38	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	27	66	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	27	61	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	38	61	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	44	22	22	11	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	27	11	11	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	7	15	7	69	6.4

Students enjoyed having Fitzgibbon as an instructor. He was very wellversed in the material and gave many insightful, real-life examples. Overall, an enjoyable and great course!

#### Instructor(s): J. Mitchell

Enr: 25	Resp: 18				Retake: 52%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	33	33	33	0	5.0
Explains	0	0	0	16	27	33	22	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	5	38	16	38	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	50	33	16	5.7
Workload	0	0	5	55	11	22	5	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	16	27	5	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	31	25	31	12	5.2

Students enjoyed Mitchell's enthusiasm and found his presentation of material very clear and organized.

#### WDW 480H1S Interpersonal Violence

Instructor(s): R. Gartner

Enr: 10	Resp: 10				Retake: 100%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	30	70	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Workload	0	0	0	60	40	0	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	60	0	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	10	40	50	6.4

Students loved both the course and the instructor. Many said "one of the best university courses I've taken". The course incorporated a lot of discussions and students appreciated the flexible forms of evaluation.

Gartner was an outstanding instructor - approachable, helpful and enthusiastic.

