ROTMAN COMMERCE STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION



Introduction

ASSU would like to thank the Rotman Commerce Student's Association for their work in getting these evaluations packaged, delivered and summarized. The RCSA hosts various events throughout the year geared towards Commerce students - you can check them out at http://www.rotmancommerce.utoronto.ca/rcsa.htm

Editor

MGT 201H1S Introduction to Financial Accounting

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 27	Resp: 18						Retake: 80%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	5	41	41	11	5.6		
Explains	0	0	0	11	27	38	22	5.7		
Communicates	0	0	0	5	22	50	22	5.9		
Teaching	0	0	0	5	22	50	22	5.9		
Workload	0	0	16	77	5	0	0	3.9		
Difficulty	0	0	16	50	27	5	0	4.2		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	57	21	14	7	4.7		

Zuliani was a very good lecturer and she made the lectures interesting by using many real life examples.

RSM 221H1S Intermediate Financial Accounting I

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

Enr: 48	Resp: 17						Retake: 68%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	58	27	11	5.5	
Explains	0	0	0	0	17	64	17	6.0	
Communicates	0	0	5	11	47	23	11	5.2	
Teaching	0	0	0	5	23	58	11	5.8	
Workload	0	0	0	29	52	11	5	4.9	
Difficulty	0	0	0	17	29	35	17	5.5	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	36	63	0	0	4.6	

Bertrand was very approachable and helpful. He incorporated real life experiences and had good explanations.

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

Enr: 51		Re	sp: 37	7	Retake: 64%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	8	20	26	41	5.9
Explains	0	0	2	2	19	33	41	6.1
Communicates	0	2	0	13	22	19	41	5.8
Teaching	0	0	2	8	11	27	50	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	22	22	30	25	5.6
Difficulty	0	0	2	14	20	34	28	5.7
Learn Exp	0	7	3	33	18	11	25	5.0

Bertrand ws knowledgeable and well-organized. Students commented that there was too much material covered in such a short amount of time and that the grading was too harsh for the midterm.

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

Enr: 54		R	esp: 2	24		Retake: 63%			
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	8	33	16	41	5.9	
Explains	0	0	0	12	20	20	45	6.0	

Communicates	0	0	0	16	29	25	29	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	12	25	16	45	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	17	39	26	17	5.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	13	17	47	21	5.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	47	29	5	17	4.9

A good lecturer and he was well-prepared with his lectures. Bertrand provided good examples for concepts. Students found the midterm to be very hard.

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

Enr: 54		Re	esp: 27	7	Retake: 48%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	23	23	26	23	5.4
Explains	0	0	7	14	29	18	29	5.5
Communicates	0	11	3	18	40	14	11	4.8
Teaching	0	3	7	14	18	33	22	5.4
Workload	0	0	3	33	25	33	3	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	15	38	26	19	5.5
Learn Exp	0	5	15	35	25	15	5	4.4

The instructor was very nice with well-prepared lectures. The midterm was too difficult and did not reflect the level of material in the textbooks or notes. TAs were not knowledgeable or helpful.

RSM 222H1F Management Accounting I

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 53		Re	sp: 26	3	Retake: 65%			
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	0	11	34	34	15	5.4
Explains	0	0	3	7	23	42	23	5.7
Communicates	0	3	0	7	19	34	34	5.8
Teaching	0	0	7	0	19	42	30	5.9
Workload	0	0	7	50	19	23	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	3	42	30	19	3	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	6	25	37	25	6	5.0

Zuliani was considered a good lecturer. Students would have liked more midterm and quiz examples to practice.

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 54	Resp: 38						Retake: 63%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	8	21	35	27	8	5.1		
Explains	0	2	5	26	34	23	7	4.9		
Communicates	0	0	2	21	42	26	7	5.2		
Teaching	0	2	5	26	18	36	10	5.1		
Workload	0	0	2	63	18	10	5	4.5		
Difficulty	0	2	2	52	26	15	0	4.5		
Learn Exp	0	3	7	51	18	11	7	4.5		

The course was difficult but the instructor had clear lectures and examples.

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 51		Re	sp: 24	4	Retake: 57%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	8	0	4	12	20	29	29	5.5
Explains	8	0	0	12	12	29	37	5.6
Communicates	4	0	0	4	16	41	33	5.9
Teaching	8	0	0	4	8	45	33	5.8
Workload	4	0	0	62	20	8	4	4.4
Difficulty	4	0	4	56	26	8	0	4.3
Learn Exp	5	5	5	25	30	20	10	4.7

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 56		Re	sp: 28	3	Retake: 75%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	3	3	7	35	25	21	5.3
Explains	0	3	3	7	35	28	21	5.5
Communicates	0	3	7	14	17	28	28	5.5
Teaching	3	0	0	14	17	32	32	5.7
Workload	0	0	3	57	15	19	3	4.6
Difficulty	0	3	3	42	34	15	0	4.5
Learn Exp	5	0	0	35	29	23	5	4.8

Students liked Zuliani's enthusiasm and ability to explain concepts clearly.

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

	Re	sp: 16	ô .	Retake: 78%			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
0	0	0	6	25	31	37	6.0
0	0	0	6	50	37	6	5.4
0	0	0	0	31	56	12	5.8
0	0	0	0	31	31	37	6.1
0	0	0	33	46	6	13	5.0
0	0	0	46	40	6	6	4.7
0	0	0	30	46	23	0	4.9
	0 0 0 0 0	1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 2 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 6 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 33 0 0 0 46	1 2 3 4 5 0 0 0 6 25 0 0 0 6 50 0 0 0 0 31 0 0 0 0 31 0 0 0 33 46 0 0 0 46 40	1 2 3 4 5 6 0 0 0 6 25 31 0 0 0 6 50 37 0 0 0 0 31 56 0 0 0 0 31 31 0 0 0 33 46 6 0 0 0 46 40 6	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 0 0 0 6 25 31 37 0 0 0 6 50 37 6 0 0 0 31 56 12 0 0 0 31 31 37 0 0 0 33 46 6 13 0 0 0 46 40 6 6

Zuliani was very enthusiastic but the course work was difficult.

RSM 222H1S Management Accounting I

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

Enr: 54		Re	esp: 1	9	Retake: 68%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	31	15	31	15	5.2
Explains	0	0	5	5	31	26	31	5.7
Communicates	0	0	15	10	31	26	15	5.2
Teaching	0	0	5	15	15	42	21	5.6
Workload	0	0	10	63	21	0	5	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	10	57	26	0	5	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	8	33	50	8	0	4.6

The instructor was enthusiastic and well-organized. Assignments were extremely difficult and the TA was not always available for help.

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

Enr: 53		Re	sp: 2	5		Reta	ke: 66%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	12	36	24	24	5.5
Explains	0	0	4	8	28	40	0	5.6
Communicates	4	4	4	21	21	26	17	5.0
Teaching	0	0	4	12	20	56	8	5.5
Workload	0	0	8	43	26	17	4	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	8	54	20	16	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	5	0	52	23	17	0	4.5

Bertrand used many real life examples which were helpful, however, students felt that his pace was too fast.

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

Enr: 55		Re	sp: 12	2			33 16 5 41 33 6 33 8 5 33 16 5 8 0 4 0 0 4	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	16	33	33	16	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	25	41	33	6.1
Communicates	0	0	8	16	33	33	8	5.2
Teaching	0	0	0	8	41	33	16	5.6
Workload	0	0	0	58	33	8	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	8	50	41	0	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	25	50	0	25	5.2

Bertrand explained the material well and presented it in a clear manner.

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

Enr: 53		Re	sp: 43	3	Retake: 68%			
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	14	21	35	23	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	9	21	40	28	5.9
Communicates	0	2	0	21	21	23	30	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	9	23	42	23	5.8
Workload	0	2	2	23	45	11	14	5.0
Difficulty	2	0	2	35	38	14	7	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	28	48	20	4	5.0

The instructor applied concepts to real life examples and was a good lecturer. Students found the TA sessions not very useful and that the project was very ambiguous.

RSM 225H1F Legal Environment of Business I

Instructor(s): H. Laurence

Enr: 55		Re	esp: 3	8		Reta	ake: 93%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	11	30	38	16	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	24	70	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	27	64	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	10	40	48	6.4
Workload	0	2	2	51	37	2	2	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	13	43	32	5	5	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	24	24	34	17	5.4

Laurence made the course interesting with his enthusiasm. Students would have appreciated regular office hours to discuss problems.

Instructor(s): D. Shear

Enr: 52		Re	sp: 47	7		Reta	ıke: 90%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	12	38	44	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	2	8	23	65	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	30	63	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	17	34	47	6.3
Workload	0	0	6	61	25	4	2	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	6	65	19	6	2	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	26	29	29	5.7

Shear was very enthusiastic and gave great examples to help students understand the material. The course was very interesting and enjoyable, however, the grading tended to be tough.

Instructor(s): D. Shear

Enr: 55		Re	sp: 2	5		Reta	ake: 90%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	32	28	40	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	16	28	56	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	24	32	44	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	4	33	41	20	5.8
Workload	0	4	4	58	25	8	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	4	4	33	37	20	0	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	6	25	56	12	5.8

The instructor was very enthusiastic and communicated the concepts effectively.

RSM 225H1S Legal Environment of Business I

Instructor(s): D. Shear

Enr: 48		Re	sp: 44		Retake: 82%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	0	9	15	34	38	6.0

Explains	0	0	0	2	13	27	56	6.4
Communicates	2	0	2	9	11	25	50	6.0
Teaching	2	2	4	15	20	34	20	5.3
Workload	0	0	9	58	27	2	2	4.3
Difficulty	0	2	2	54	28	11	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	37	10	34	17	5.3

Shear was very enthusiastic about the course material and was very interesting to listen to. The only concern was that assignments took a long time to be graded and handed back.

Instructor(s): D. Shear

Enr: 52		Re	sp: 1	5	Retake: 83%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	21	50	28	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	6	46	46	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	33	60	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	6	26	53	13	5.7
Workload	0	0	6	46	40	6	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	6	0	46	26	20	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	9	9	63	18	0	4.9

Shear was very interesting and clearly explained the course concepts and material in an enthusiastic manner. Shear was a little unorganized when it came to adminstrative tasks and took too long to return assignments and tests.

Instructor(s): J. Rickert

Enr: 45		Re	sp: 27	7		Reta	ıke: 83%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	37	33	25	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	7	29	40	22	5.8
Communicates	0	0	3	7	18	37	33	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	3	25	48	22	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	59	14	11	14	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	59	14	18	7	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	6	18	25	31	18	5.4

Rickert ws very good at communicating to students through emaill and his lectures were well-received by the class. Students highly enjoyed his class and found his stories to be very interesting.

Instructor(s): H. Laurence

Enr: 47		Re	sp: 2	1		Reta	ake: 70%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	0	14	28	52	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	4	4	14	76	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	9	14	76	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	4	9	23	61	6.4
Workload	0	0	9	52	23	4	9	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	52	19	23	4	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	13	53	20	13	5.3

Laurence was enthusiastic and funny. He knew the material well and presented the concepts clearly. Students commented that the assignment was very confusing and that the grading was too harsh.

Instructor(s): H. Laurence

Enr: 36		Re	sp: 2	1		Retake: 100% 6 7 Mean 42 47 6.4 9 90 6.9			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	9	42	47	6.4	
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	9	90	6.9	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	14	85	6.9	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	23	76	6.8	
Workload	4	4	4	47	23	14	0	4.2	
Difficulty	0	0	9	42	38	4	4	4.5	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	18	18	37	25	5.7	

Laurence was knowledgeable about the material and explained concepts clearly in class with detailed examples. Students found the course to be very interesting.

RSM 230H1F Financial Markets

Instructor(s): L .Booth

Enr: 51		Re	esp: 20)		5 25 5.6 1 26 5.5		
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	15	35	25	25	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	21	31	21	26	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	10	40	20	30	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	15	30	30	25	5.7
Workload	0	0	5	30	40	15	10	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	10	25	10	15	40	5.5
Learn Exp	0	13	0	20	40	13	13	4.8

Booth made the course interesting. The course material was too difficult for some second year students. The textbook was not useful.

Instructor(s): M. Stapleton

Enr: 56		Re	sp: 17	7		Retake: 85%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	5	5	17	23	41	5	5.1	
Explains	0	5	5	17	23	35	11	5.1	
Communicates	0	5	5	5	29	23	29	5.5	
Teaching	0	11	0	5	35	35	11	5.2	
Workload	0	0	0	23	47	17	11	5.2	
Difficulty	0	0	0	23	47	29	0	5.1	
Learn Exp	7	0	7	15	23	23	23	5.1	

Some students stated that the assignments took too long to complete.

Instructor(s): L. Booth

Enr: 50		Re	sp: 22	2		Reta	ake: 77%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	36	22	40	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	27	22	50	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	4	22	27	45	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	13	36	50	6.4
Workload	0	0	9	63	18	4	4	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	9	54	22	9	4	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	31	18	37	12	5.3

Booth was a very knowledgeable and engaging instructor, however, students commented that the marking for the midterm and assignments was too severe.

Instructor(s): M. Stapleton

Enr: 51	•	Re	sp: 28	3		Reta	ıke: 76%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	7	3	21	28	39	5.9
Explains	0	0	3	14	14	32	35	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	7	14	28	20	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	11	11	40	37	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	53	17	17	10	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	53	14	21	10	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	9	14	23	19	33	5.5

Stapleton was very enthusiastic about the course material. However, the assignments did not weigh enough, based on the time required to complete them. The midterm was difficult and too many topics were covered. The textbook was not used efficiently.

Instructor(s): L. Booth

Enr: 54		Re	sp: 2	8			Reta	ake: 77%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	14	28	46	6.1

209

Instructor(s): M. Stapleton

Enr: 57		Re	esp: 4	1		Retake: 82%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	5	21	21	36	15	5.4	
Explains	0	0	2	13	21	36	26	5.7	
Communicates	0	0	0	8	19	36	36	6.0	
Teaching	0	0	2	15	31	23	26	5.6	
Workload	0	0	2	67	22	7	0	4.3	
Difficulty	0	0	0	62	27	10	0	4.5	
Learn Exp	0	0	10	42	21	21	3	4.6	

Some students felt rushed on the lab work and would have liked to have had more time. A few students were confused as to how assignments were graded. Stapleton was a good instructor.

RSM 230H1S Financial Markets

Instructor(s): M. Stapleton

Enr: 51		Re	esp: 20)		Reta	ke: 76%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	10	15	50	20	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	10	25	40	25	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	5	15	35	45	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	35	45	6.2
Workload	0	0	5	60	30	5	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	5	45	40	10	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	18	27	0	45	9	5.0

Students found the course to be useful as an introduction to finance. Stapleton was a very good instructor but some felt that the lectures ran too long at times.

Instructor(s): M. Stapleton

Enr: 51		Re	sp: 30)	Retake: 75%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	3	20	26	23	23	5.3
Explains	0	3	3	10	24	27	31	5.6
Communicates	0	0	3	6	13	44	31	5.9
Teaching	0	0	6	13	13	36	30	5.7
Workload	0	0	3	53	33	10	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	43	43	10	3	4.7
Learn Exp	0	4	8	37	16	25	8	4.8

Stapleton was knowledgeable and helpful but students found her pace a bit slow. The material was interesting and enjoyable. Given that it was the first year the course was offered, students felt that there could be future benefits from TA sessions, and allocating marks towards assignments rather than just bulking it on the midterm.

Instructor(s): M. Stapleton

Enr: 54		Re	sp: 4	5		Reta	ike: 76%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	9	13	31	34	11	5.2
Explains	0	0	9	18	18	43	11	5.3
Communicates	0	2	0	6	20	40	29	5.9
Teaching	0	0	4	11	25	40	18	5.6
Workload	0	0	7	52	23	14	2	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	2	34	44	16	2	4.8
Learn Exp	0	5	2	36	30	22	2	4.7

Students felt the course was a good introduction to finance but the assignments and midterm were confusing.

RSM 260H1F Organizational Behaviour

Instructor(s): S. Cote

Enr: 50		Re	esp: 4	4		Reta	ake: 82%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	7	9	42	38	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	4	9	36	48	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	2	4	40	52	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	7	52	40	6.3
Workload	0	0	17	53	24	4	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	19	54	23	2	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	22	32	25	19	5.4

Cote was well-liked and made lectures enjoyable.

RSM 260H1S Organizational Behaviour

Instructor(s): G. Leonardelli

Enr: 53		Re	esp: 3	1	Retake: 77%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	30	30	40	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	20	36	43	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	13	24	62	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	30	50	6.3
Workload	10	3	6	62	6	6	3	3.9
Difficulty	6	3	16	53	6	6	6	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	3	30	30	15	19	5.2

The instructor was very enthusiastic about the material and students found the class to be interesting.

RSM 320H1F Intermediate Financial Accounting II

Instructor(s): J. Amernic

Enr: 54		Re	esp: 52	2		Retake: 42%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	1	3	17	25	17	15	19	4.8
Explains	0	7	11	33	15	23	7	4.6
Communicates	0	0	5	5	7	30	50	6.1
Teaching	0	3	7	25	23	27	11	5.0
Workload	0	0	0	18	46	22	14	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	6	36	34	22	5.7
Learn Exp	2	8	10	27	32	13	5	4.4

The course did not cover enough technical examples although they made up the bulk of the assessement. There were many non-technical supplementary materials provided that were interesting, but not always useful.

Instructor(s): J. Amernic

Enr: 52		Re	esp: 30	0		Reta	ake: 40%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	0	10	10	23	30	23	5.3
Explains	0	6	3	13	23	30	23	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	3	10	16	70	6.5
Teaching	0	3	0	13	20	30	33	5.7
Workload	0	0	0	41	17	27	13	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	10	27	37	24	5.8
Learn Exp	4	4	4	27	13	22	22	5.0

Amernic was a good speaker and effective at communicating concepts. The overall course expectations were not clear.

RSM 320H1S Intermediate Financial Accounting II

Instructor(s): B. Bertrand

Enr: 21	Resp: 7						Retal	ke: 60%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	14	14	42	28	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	14	0	57	28	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	28	28	42	0	5.1
Teaching	0	0	0	16	16	66	0	5.5

Workload	0	0	0	28	42	14	14	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	28	14	42	14	5.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	33	66	0	5.7

Students found the assignments to be very difficult and that there was too much reading involved.

Instructor(s): J. Amernic

Enr: 25		Re	sp: 19	9		Reta	ke: 64%	
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	5	0	0	16	27	27	22	5.3
Explains	0	0	5	10	36	36	10	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	15	36	47	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	16	33	33	16	5.5
Workload	0	0	0	10	47	36	5	5.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	26	31	42	0	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	26	26	40	6	5.3

Students enjoyed the instructor' teaching style and enthusiasm.

Instructor(s): J. Amernic

Enr: 13		Re	esp: 8				Retake: 75%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	12	87	6.9		
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	12	87	6.9		
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	37	62	6.6		
Workload	0	0	0	25	25	37	12	5.4		
Difficulty	0	0	0	12	12	62	12	5.8		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	20	60	0	5.4		

Students really enjoyed Amernic's enthusiasm for the course.

RSM 321H1F Advanced Financial Accounting Topics

Instructor(s): J. Myers

Enr: 55		Re	esp: 2	7		Reta	ake: 39%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	3	37	33	18	3	4.7
Explains	0	3	11	37	29	11	7	4.6
Communicates	7	3	18	37	14	14	3	4.1
Teaching	0	3	11	29	33	18	3	4.6
Workload	0	0	0	7	34	26	30	5.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	0	11	34	53	6.4
Learn Exp	6	6	6	43	31	0	6	4.1

The course was very technical and fast paced, with not enough supplementary material and practice problems.

Instructor(s): J. Myers

Enr: 33		Re	sp: 22	2		Reta	ike: 38%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	0	0	18	36	27	13	5.2
Explains	0	4	4	9	50	27	4	5.0
Communicates	9	0	9	18	31	18	13	4.7
Teaching	0	5	5	5	40	30	15	5.3
Workload	0	0	0	9	27	18	45	6.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	13	9	22	54	6.2
Learn Exp	6	0	6	13	60	13	0	4.6

FSM 321H1S Advanced Financial Accounting Topics

Instructor(s): J. Myers

Enr: 34		Re	sp: 23	3		Reta	ke: 39%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	13	26	30	26	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	13	21	47	17	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	43	30	17	8	4.9
Teaching	0	0	4	4	30	52	8	5.6

Workload	0	0	0	8	39	30	21	5.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	0	22	31	45	6.2
Learn Exp	0	0	5	36	36	15	5	4.8

Students found Myers to be a little intimidating but he was organized and easy to understand. Students found the course difficult.

RSM 324H1F Canadian Income Taxation I

Instructor(s): J. Kitunen

Enr: 54		Re	sp: 31			Reta	ke: 61%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	0	3	9	16	32	35	5.7
Explains	3	0	0	9	16	41	29	5.8
Communicates	3	0	0	6	6	32	51	6.2
Teaching	3	0	0	6	16	45	29	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	3	33	36	26	5.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	6	41	32	19	5.6
Learn Exp	0	0	8	4	25	37	25	5.7

Kitunen was a good lecturer and well-organized.

Instructor(s): J. Kitunen

Enr: 53		Re	sp: 49	9		Reta	ke: 69%	
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	12	23	36	25	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	12	14	38	34	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	8	15	36	39	6.1
Teaching	0	0	2	10	21	29	36	5.9
Workload	0	0	2	8	25	36	27	5.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	14	36	38	10	5.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	14	48	22	5.8

Kitunen was very knowledgeable and seemed to genuinely care about teaching students.

The course was fast paced and tutorials were too big. Solutions could have been posted online.

Instructor(s): C. Orzech

Enr: 56		Re	sp: 34	4		Reta	ıke: 73%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	21	39	33	6.0
Explains	0	0	3	6	21	42	27	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	9	15	45	30	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	12	15	54	18	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	12	39	30	18	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	21	43	21	12	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	10	31	36	21	5.7

Orzech was well-liked, approachable and effective. The course was fast paced and sometimes rushed.

RSM 324H1S Canadian Income Taxation I

Instructor(s): D. Smith

Enr: 50		Re	sp: 30	3		Reta	ıke: 51%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	3	9	33	24	15	12	4.7
Explains	0	3	9	27	24	21	15	5.0
Communicates	0	6	3	21	27	27	15	5.1
Teaching	6	12	3	27	27	12	12	4.4
Workload	0	0	0	20	53	10	16	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	13	40	30	16	5.5
Learn Exp	5	5	0	42	15	26	5	4.6

Students found both the instructor and TA to be unorganized. Smith failed to reply to emails regarding course material and assignments were not handed back within a reasonable time. Students complained that not enough time was spent on problems and examples, and they generally found the tutorials to be very inefficient and slow paced.

RSM 327H1F Business Infromation Systems

Instructor(s): N. Hope

Enr: 12	Resp: 6						Reta	ke: 40%
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	16	33	33	16	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	33	50	16	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	33	50	16	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	50	33	16	5.7
Workload	0	0	40	0	60	0	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	20	80	0	0	0	3.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	75	25	0	0	4.2

Instructor(s): N. Hope

Enr: 18		Re	sp: 16	6	Retake: 69%			
	11	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	13	66	6	6	4.9
Explains	0	0	0	25	25	25	25	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	18	25	37	18	5.6
Teaching	0	6	0	18	37	25	12	5.1
Workload	0	0	0	42	21	21	14	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	46	26	26	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	58	25	8	8	4.7

Hope was a good lecturer although her grading was a bit harsh.

RSM 327H1S Business Information Systems

Instructor(s): N. Hope

Enr: 18		Re	sp: 16	6		Reta	ke: 42%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	6	6	0	20	26	33	6	4.8
Explains	6	6	0	6	40	33	6	4.9
Communicates	0	0	0	6	40	33	20	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	21	35	35	7	5.3
Workload	0	6	0	46	33	0	13	4.6
Difficulty	0	6	0	60	6	13	13	4.6
Learn Exp	0	18	9	45	9	9	9	4.1

Students found the course to be informative and the instructor enthusiastic. Students felt that the participation grading was unfair because there was only one lecture time available.

RSM 330H1S Investments

Instructor(s): K. Wang

Enr: 51		Re	sp: 3	5		Reta	ake: 90%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	14	25	60	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	20	25	54	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	28	62	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	28	60	6.5
Workload	0	0	2	51	34	8	2	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	5	48	22	20	2	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	25	28	21	25	5.5

Students found the instructor to be well-organized and effectively addressed students' questions. Material was presented clearly and students highly enjoyed his class.

Instructor(s): M. Stapleton

Enr: 26		Re	sp: 1	1			Reta	ke: 77%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	18	9	72	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	9	18	72	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	18	81	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	9	9	81	6.7
Workload	0	0	0	45	54	0	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	36	54	9	0	4.7
Learn Éxp	0	0	0	16	16	16	50	6.0

Students praised the instructor for her enthusiasm and felt that she did a great job in communicating the course material.

Instructor(s): K. Wang

Enr: 51		Re	sp: 2	7		Reta	ıke: 84%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	18	44	37	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	7	7	55	29	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	3	7	59	29	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	7	59	33	6.3
Workload	0	3	3	48	29	14	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	3	3	42	30	19	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	4	0	9	45	31	9	5.3

Students found the course to be useful and interesting. The instructor was very knowledgeable and friendly.

Instructor(s): K. Wang

Enr: 49		Re	sp: 20	3		Reta	ake: 95%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	23	30	42	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	7	30	30	30	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	7	30	26	34	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	28	36	36	6.1
Workload	0	0	7	38	30	19	3	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	8	32	36	20	4	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	43	37	6	12	4.9

Students highly enjoyed Wang's classes and felt that he was very wellorganized, knowledgeable and approachable.

Instructor(s): M. Stapleton

Enr: 35		Re	esp: 2	1		Reta	ke: 80%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	10	15	20	35	20	5.4
Explains	0	0	9	9	28	38	14	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	20	20	25	35	5.8
Teaching	0	0	4	4	38	28	23	5.6
Workload	0	0	4	66	19	9	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	9	57	23	9	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	5	38	22	27	5	4.9

Stapleton was very enthusiastic, however, many students found the material overlapped heavily with RSM 230 and that not a lot of new information was taught.

RSM 332H1F Capital Market Theory

Instructor(s): L. Pomorski

Enr: 54	Resp: 51						Reta	ake: 82%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	12	39	41	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	6	16	39	37	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	4	4	34	57	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	2	12	42	42	6.3
Workload	0	0	4	28	24	38	4	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	2	12	34	38	12	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	15	36	21	27	5.6

Pomorski was described as an amazing and enthusiastic instructor. Pomorski attracted many students from other lectures. He used good examples; however, he sometimes spoke too quickly.

Instructor(s): L. Pomorski

Enr: 51		Resp: 41						take: 54%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	4	26	26	39	6.0
Explains	0	0	2	9	21	29	36	5.9

Communicates	0	0	0	2	12	29	56	6.4
Teaching	0	0	2	4	7	43	41	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	24	34	26	14	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	7	29	26	36	5.9
Learn Exp	0	0	4	32	20	24	20	5.2

Pomorski was described as a very good instructor who had a strong understanding of the content. However, sometimes he spoke too fast.

A few students thought that the course load was was too much and more lectures were needed to cover the material. Students found that the textbook was not useful for what was on the exams.

Instructor(s): R. Kan

Enr: 55		Re	sp: 42	2	Retake: 47%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	5	2	0	10	25	35	32	5.4
Explains	2	5	2	15	40	25	10	5.0
Communicates	2	2	0	7	38	30	17	5.4
Teaching	2	0	7	5	27	42	15	5.4
Workload	0	0	0	34	39	17	9	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	2	12	12	39	34	5.9
Learn Exp	3	6	6	33	16	30	3	4.6

Kan was very enthusiastic and likeable. Lectures were often complicated and the course material was very technical and difficult.

Instructor(s): L. Florence

Enr: 52		Re	sp: 33	3	Retake: 67%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	12	21	31	34	5.9
Explains	0	3	3	18	28	21	25	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	3	15	28	53	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	6	28	40	25	5.8
Workload	0	3	3	37	18	28	9	4.9
Difficulty	0	3	0	20	20	33	23	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	35	30	25	10	5.1

The instructor was well-liked and helpful.

Instructor(s): L. Florence

Enr: 54		Re	sp: 23	3	Retake: 85%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	21	52	21	5.9
Explains	0	0	8	4	17	39	30	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	8	8	34	47	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	13	8	43	34	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	60	13	17	8	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	30	34	21	13	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	5	25	20	20	30	5.4

Florence was described as a good lecturer and enthusiastic about the material. Students enjoyed the current events analysis and thought the material was very interesting, although they found it overwhelming at times. Students felt that the textbook was not very useful.

Instructor(s): L. Pomorski

Enr: 53		Re	sp: 4	1	Retake: 85%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	12	36	51	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	12	34	53	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	9	90	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	2	34	63	6.6
Workload	0	2	0	31	21	29	14	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	25	22	37	15	5.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	11	55	18	5.8

Many students found the material difficult and would have preferred to have had a stronger base before they got to the depth of the material.

Pomorski was described as enthusiastic, supportive and helpful. Overall, everyone seemed to enjoy his class and said he was an amazing instructor.

Instructor(s): R. Kan

	Re	esp: 1	2		Reta	ıke: 83%	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
0	0	0	8	41	25	25	5.7
0	0	8	16	33	16	25	5.3
0	0	0	8	41	33	16	5.6
0	0	0	0	27	45	27	6.0
0	8	8	41	25	16	0	4.3
0	0	16	0	33	41	8	5.2
0	0	18	18	27	18	18	5.0
	0 0 0 0	1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 0 0	1 2 3 0 0 0 0 0 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 8 0 0 16	0 0 0 8 0 0 8 16 0 0 0 8 0 0 0 0 0 8 8 41 0 0 16 0	1 2 3 4 5 0 0 0 8 41 0 0 8 16 33 0 0 0 8 41 0 0 0 0 27 0 8 8 41 25 0 0 16 0 33	1 2 3 4 5 6 0 0 0 8 41 25 0 0 8 16 33 16 0 0 0 8 41 33 0 0 0 0 27 45 0 8 8 41 25 16 0 0 16 0 33 41	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 0 0 0 8 41 25 25 0 0 8 16 33 16 25 0 0 0 8 41 33 16 0 0 0 0 27 45 27 0 8 8 41 25 16 0 0 0 16 0 33 41 8

Overall, a good instructor.

Instructor(s): R. Kan

Enr: 53		Re	sp: 26	3	Retake: 64%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	30	34	30	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	19	46	15	19	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	3	42	26	26	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	3	30	34	30	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	30	23	30	15	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	3	3	7	57	26	6.0
Learn Exp	5	0	0	29	35	17	11	4.9

Kan was very knowledgeable and made lectures enjoyable. The course was very fast paced.

RSM 333H1S Introduction to Corporate Finance

Instructor(s): L. Florence

Enr: 55		Re	esp: 3	2		Retake: 50%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	3	6	28	28	34	5.8	
Explains	0	0	3	9	18	31	37	5.9	
Communicates	0	0	0	6	28	31	34	5.9	
Teaching	0	0	0	6	18	46	28	6.0	
Workload	0	0	6	53	28	9	3	4.5	
Difficulty	0	0	0	21	40	25	12	5.3	
Learn Exp	0	5	10	42	26	10	5	4.4	

Florence was enthusiatic and approachable but students felt that the slides provided could have been better organized. Tests and assignments were very hard.

Instructor(s): L. Florence

Enr: 54	Resp: 26						Retake: 68%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	3	11	34	19	30	5.6		
Explains	0	0	0	23	30	26	19	5.4		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	23	38	38	6.2		
Teaching	0	0	0	3	30	42	23	5.8		
Workload	0	3	3	15	15	46	15	5.4		
Difficulty	0	3	0	7	23	34	30	5.8		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	30	15	35	20	5.4		

Students found the instructor to be enthusiastic and interesting but found the tests and assignments to be very hard and did not fairly reflect the level of material taught in class.

RSM 370H1S Opernations Management

Instructor(s): M. Hu

Enr: 30		R	Resp: 10				Ref	Retake: 88% 7	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	10	10	40	40	6.1	
Explains	0	0	0	10	30	10	50	6.0	

213

Hu was a very good instructor and explained the material and concepts clearly.

Instructor(s): M. Hu

Enr: 40		Re	esp: 29	9	Retake: 74%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	0	10	6	34	34	10	5.1
Explains	3	0	10	17	24	31	13	5.1
Communicates	0	6	10	13	10	34	24	5.3
Teaching	0	3	6	20	17	27	24	5.3
Workload	0	3	0	48	31	10	6	4.7
Difficulty	0	3	3	58	27	3	3	4.3
Learn Exp	0	4	4	27	27	18	18	5.0

Hu was good and very enthusiastic. However, students felt that he sometimes had difficult expressing and explaining concepts clearly.

RSM 410H1F Special Topics in Management: Managing Human Resources in the Context of Globalization

Instructor(s): A. Verma

Enr: 12		Re	esp: 1	1		Reta	ake: 88%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	20	50	20	10	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	60	20	20	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	10	30	50	10	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	60	40	0	5.4
Workload	0	0	30	70	0	0	0	3.7
Difficulty	0	0	22	77	0	0	0	3.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	33	33	0	5.0

Verma was described as a good and enthusiastic lecturer. Students enjoyed the cases and the guest speakers. However, they would have liked a bigger class to get better discussions flowing.

RSM 413H1F Special Topics in Management: Accessive Opportunities in the Global Economy

Instructor(s): J. De Wilde

Enr: 22		Re	esp: 20	0	Retake: 94%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	0	10	15	31	36	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	10	20	40	30	5.9
Communicates	0	5	0	0	0	45	50	6.3
Teaching	0	0	5	15	10	35	35	5.8
Workload	0	0	15	50	20	15	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	5	35	35	15	10	4.9
Learn Exp	6	0	0	6	6	26	53	6.0

RSM 422H1F Management Control

Instructor(s): J. Amernic

Enr: 47		Re	sp: 32	2			Reta	ke: 83%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	0	6	6	15	21	46	5.8
Explains	0	0	3	3	9	35	48	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	6	90	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	3	41	54	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	38	25	16	19	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	43	31	15	9	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	29	25	33	5.8

Amernic's course was very thorough and relative to everyday life. He was a very genuine and enthusiastic instructor who was always willing to help. He encouraged a lot of grour work and assigned a lot of readings.

RSM 422H1S Management Control

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 55		Resp: 31					35 9 5 38 9 5 35 22 5 35 22 5			Retake: 68%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean				
Presents	0	0	3	25	25	35	9	5.2				
Explains	0	0	6	12	32	38	9	5.3				
Communicates	0	0	0	9	32	35	22	5.7				
Teaching	0	0	0	12	29	35	22	5.7				
Workload	0	3	9	61	16	6	3	4.2				
Difficulty	0	6	10	50	23	6	3	4.2				
Learn Exp	0	5	0	50	22	5	16	4.7				

Zuliani was a good instructor. Students felt that the course could have been more structured.

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 47		Re	esp: 20	3	30 26 5.7 34 26 5.7 30 38 6.0 38 38 6.0			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	15	26	30	26	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	23	15	34	26	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	7	23	30	38	6.0
Teaching	0	3	0	7	11	38	38	6.0
Workload	3	0	11	65	15	3	0	4.0
Difficulty	3	3	11	57	15	7	0	4.0
Learn Exp	6	0	6	31	31	18	6	4.6

Students liked the instructor and felt that she showed a lot of enthusiasm. The course material however, could have been organized in a more effective manner.

RSM 424H1S Canadian Income Taxation II

Instructor(s): A. Iqbal

Enr: 50		Re	sp: 36	3		Reta	ake: 48%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	34	34	22	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	11	16	47	25	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	8	33	33	25	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	11	16	50	22	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	31	25	31	11	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	22	41	25	11	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	45	18	27	9	5.0

Iqbal was well-spoken and a good lecturer. The tutorials were not helpful and many felt that the material was rushed through. Students felt that it would have been more beneficial to have more exercises done during lectures.

Instructor(s): B. Rockx

Enr: 51		Re	sp: 30	3			Reta	ke: 89%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	6	54	33	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	3	6	48	41	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	3	12	27	57	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	3	9	43	43	6.3
Workload	0	0	0	18	36	27	18	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	21	36	15	27	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	23	42	19	5.7

Rockx was very enthusiastic and students highly enjoyed her class. She presented the material in an interesting manner and was very engaging. Students felt that the tutorials could have been improved and that not enough solutions were posted.

Instructor(s): A. Spinner

Enr: 50		Re	sp: 40)		Retake: 77%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	5	30	38	25	5.8	
Explains	0	0	0	5	28	38	28	5.9	

Communicates	0	0	0	0	25	28	46	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	5	30	35	28	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	36	28	34	0	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	39	26	31	2	5.0
Learn Exp	0	3	0	18	48	18	11	5.1

Spinner was great and very knowledgeable. Students felt that the tutorials were too large and ineffective.

RSM 426H1S Critical Thinking, Analysis and Decision Making

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 45		Re	esp: 32	2		Reta	ake: 81%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	6	32	38	19	5.6
Explains	0	0	3	9	29	38	19	5.6
Communicates	0	0	3	9	32	29	25	5.6
Teaching	0	3	0	9	22	41	22	5.7
Workload	6	6	16	38	16	12	3	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	6	30	26	13	23	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	44	16	27	5.6

Most students thought the instructor was great and personable. The course was well-designed for a CA preparation course and students enjoyed the engaging aspect of it.

Instructor(s): E. Zuliani

Enr: 8		R	esp: 6	i		Reta	ıke: 66%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	16	16	50	16	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	16	16	50	16	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	33	50	16	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	33	16	50	6.2
Workload	0	0	33	50	16	0	0	3.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	16	33	33	16	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	0	33	33	5.7

Students thought that the instructor was very good and that the course was a good preparation course towards the CA designation.

Instructor(s): G. Richardson

Enr: 26		Re	sp: 17	7		Reta	ke: 100%	
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	5	41	52	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	6	37	56	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	11	88	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	18	81	6.8
Workload	6	0	6	56	12	12	6	4.3
Difficulty	6	0	0	18	31	37	6	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	0	42	57	6.6

Students praised the instructor for his enthusiasm and his passionate lecturing. He addressed questions with care and respect regarding the material and was very helpful with career advice.

RSM 430H1S Fixed Income Securities

Instructor(s): A. Mackay

Enr: 49		R	esp: 8	;		Reta	ake: 62%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	25	12	0	50	12	5.1
Explains	12	0	0	37	0	37	12	4.8
Communicates	0	0	0	28	14	42	14	5.4
Teaching	0	0	0	28	14	57	0	5.3
Workload	0	12	0	25	50	0	12	4.6
Difficulty	0	12	0	12	37	25	12	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	14	14	14	57	0	5.1

Students commented that the explanations needed to be a bit more detailed and that the pace was a bit fast.

Instructor(s): A. Mackay

Enr: 48		Re	sp: 5	1			Retake: 80%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	10	31	31	27	5.8	
Explains	0	0	2	12	31	22	31	5.7	
Communicates	0	0	2	8	20	38	30	5.9	
Teaching	0	0	0	10	18	35	35	6.0	
Workload	0	0	0	43	25	22	8	5.0	
Difficulty	0	0	0	14	38	29	17	5.5	
Learn Exp	0	2	0	25	31	31	8	5.1	

Mackay actively engaged the class and was well-organized, but some felt that her pace was a bit fast.

The midterm also did not reflect the level of understanding taught in class and was very ambiguous.

RSM 432H1F Risk Management for Financial Managers

Instructor(s): A. White

Enr: 49		Re	esp: 20	3	Retake: 61%			
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	8	24	20	36	12	5.2
Explains	0	4	12	20	20	32	12	5.0
Communicates	0	3	7	23	30	23	11	5.0
Teaching	0	0	0	32	20	32	16	5.3
Workload	0	8	0	33	33	8	16	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	8	24	40	28	5.9
Learn Exp	15	7	0	0	46	7	23	4.7

The course was good at preparing those students who wanted to get a finance degree. The assignments were very challenging. It would have helped if the answers to the problem sets were posted.\

RSM 437H1F International Finance

Instructor(s): C. Doidge

Enr: 48	Resp: 32					Retake: 95%			
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	25	28	46	6.2	
Explains	0	0	0	3	21	25	50	6.2	
Communicates	0	0	3	0	21	31	43	6.1	
Teaching	0	0	0	3	18	12	65	6.4	
Workload	0	0	0	23	23	33	20	5.5	
Difficulty	0	0	0	20	31	27	20	5.5	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	17	5	52	23	5.8	

Doidge's class was interesting and he taught with passion and enthusiasm. He was very organized and helpful. However, his tests and midterms were difficult and the textbook for the course was not helpful.

Instructor(s): C. Doidge

Enr: 45	Resp: 41						Retake: 94%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	0	15	30	55	6.4		
Explains	0	0	0	0	15	41	43	6.3		
Communicates	0	0	0	2	10	40	47	6.3		
Teaching	0	0	0	0	7	40	52	6.4		
Workload	0	0	0	20	30	37	12	5.4		
Difficulty	0	0	0	20	30	40	10	5.4		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	7	29	29	33	5.9		

Overall, it was an extremely interesting course, however, the tests and exams were very difficult and covered a wide range of material.

Doidge was very knowledgeable and organized. He had helpful slides and taught the material well.

NEW: Tuition payment or fees deferral must be made by Wednesday, August 19th!!

Instructor(s): C. Doidge

Enr: 51		Re	sp: 30	3		Reta	ke: 93%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	27	33	39	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	12	12	25	50	6.1
Communicates	0	0	3	3	12	34	46	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	6	21	27	45	6.1
Workload	0	0	3	24	36	30	6	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	18	33	39	9	5.4
Learn Exp	0	0	4	16	28	40	12	5.4

Doidge was a good lecturer and provided helpful class notes and case studies. The course was challenging but helpful for those aiming to go into finance.

RSM 452H1S Marketing Research

Instructor(s): M. Shi

Enr: 35		Re	esp: 24	4	Retake: 45%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	4	8	47	13	21	4	4.5
Explains	0	4	12	37	29	12	4	4.5
Communicates	8	8	8	30	30	8	4	4.1
Teaching	4	4	12	33	25	16	4	4.4
Workload	0	4	13	56	8	13	4	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	4	62	25	4	4	4.4
Learn Exp	10	15	20	30	20	0	5	3.5

Students felt that Shi could have been clearer with his explanations and needed to be a bit more organized. The class had interesting discussions, but some felt the grading system was a bit unfair.

RSM 458H1S Branding Strategy

Instructor(s): S. Hawkins

Enr: 23	Resp: 21						Retake: 95%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	9	42	47	6.4	
Explains	0	0	0	0	4	33	61	6.6	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	4	23	71	6.7	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	4	38	57	6.5	
Workload	0	5	0	65	25	0	5	4.3	
Difficulty	0	5	10	60	20	0	5	4.2	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	29	47	23	5.9	

Hawkins was very organized and taught with much enthusiasm. Students highly enjoyed the course and found it to be a very valuable experience.

RSM 470H1F Management Science

Instructor(s): O. Berman

Enr: 6	Resp: 5						Retake: 100%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	20	0	80	6.6	
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8	
Workload	0	0	0	80	20	0	0	4.2	
Difficulty	0	0	0	60	40	0	0	4.4	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8	

Berman was very passionate in teaching this course. He provided a lot of examples in his lecture notes and spreadsheets. He provided a very thorough understanding of the material.

Donate your old term tests to the ASSU Test Library!

RSM 480H1F Business in a Global Economy

Instructor(s): D. Brean

Enr: 46	Resp: 31					Retake: 89%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	3	3	6	3	27	51	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	16	36	46	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	16	70	6.6
Teaching	0	3	0	3	16	26	50	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	41	17	31	10	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	26	23	10	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	35	29	23	5.6

Brean was knowledgeable, passionate and skillful. He addressed current issues and enhanced students' analytical skills and pushed their critical thinking. The lecture slides could have been more structured.

RSM 493H1S Entrepreneurship

Instructor(s): T. Simcoe

Enr: 38	Resp: 15						Retake: 69%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	6	6	60	26	6.1		
Explains	0	0	0	6	6	53	33	6.1		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	13	46	40	6.3		
Teaching	0	0	0	6	6	46	40	6.2		
Workload	0	0	7	35	28	21	7	4.9		
Difficulty	0	0	14	50	21	14	0	4.4		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	22	22	33	22	5.6		

Simcoe was very knowledgeable and integrated a lot of real life case examples and critical thinking. Students found it to be an overall great experience.

Instructor(s): T. Simcoe

Enr: 27	Resp: 23						Retake: 90%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	4	30	26	39	6.0		
Explains	0	0	0	4	26	39	30	6.0		
Communicates	0	0	0	4	17	17	60	6.3		
Teaching	0	0	0	4	17	43	34	6.1		
Workload	0	0	0	39	21	39	0	5.0		
Difficulty	4	0	0	34	34	26	0	4.7		
Learn Exp	0	5	0	5	33	27	27	5.6		

Simcoe taught with enthusiasm and was very knowledgeable. The material was effectively presented with real-life case examples and students highly enjoyed the course.

RSM 499Y1Y Integrated Management Course

Instructor(s): H. Honickman

Enr: 53		Re	sp: 40)	Retake: 97%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	12	23	61	6.4
Explains	0	0	2	7	7	20	61	6.3
Communicates	0	0	2	0	5	17	74	6.6
Teaching	0	0	2	0	5	23	69	6.8
Workload	0	5	0	2	20	42	30	5.8
Difficulty	0	2	0	15	28	33	20	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	3	6	20	70	6.6

The instructor was great and students highly enjoyed the course. Despite the heavy course workload, the course was very useful, practical and integrated many aspects of business. Many students felt that it was the best course offered in Rotman Commerce.