


DEPARTMENT OF FRENCH

Introduction

ASSU would like to thank the Faculty and Staff of the Department of French for their kind assistance in providing these course evaluations. If you are interested in resurrecting the French Course Union - please come in and talk the ASSU Staff.

Editor

FCS 195H1S French Culture from Napoleon to Asterix

Instructor(s): H. Koo

Enr: 87	Resp: 67							Retake: 80%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	4	16	43	31	6.0
Explains	0	0	1	4	12	40	41	6.2
Communicates	0	0	1	1	7	45	43	6.3
Teaching	0	0	3	6	28	35	26	5.8
Workload	3	4	21	59	4	6	1	3.8
Difficulty	1	3	12	60	14	4	3	4.1
Learn Exp	0	3	3	28	21	36	5	5.0

Students thoroughly enjoyed the course material and the lecturer's enthusiasm and knowledge base. She was able to present lectures in a very interesting and enjoyable manner.

However, students felt that it was hard for them to figure out what was important for tests and exam, since there was no reader and the lectures had a lot of information.

FCS 290H1S Special Topics in French Cultural Studies I: Pleasures of Versailles: Music in the Grand Century of France

Instructor(s): K. Komisaruk

Enr: 56	Resp: 35							Retake: 80%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	9	12	33	24	21	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	17	25	37	20	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	9	12	33	45	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	11	17	44	26	5.9
Workload	0	9	21	60	6	0	3	3.8
Difficulty	3	0	15	69	6	3	3	4.0
Learn Exp	0	3	6	37	20	20	10	4.8

Students said that while the course was interesting, if lecture notes were posted online, the learning experience would have improved.

Komisaruk was well liked by students for his humour and enthusiasm. He was very knowledgeable and presented students with a wide array of guest lecturers and performers.

FCS 291H1F Special Topic in French Cultural Studies I: The Art and Culture of the Networked Society

Instructor(s): D. de Kerckhove

Enr: 28	Resp: 22							Retake: 80%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	9	13	22	27	22	0	4	3.6
Explains	4	0	18	22	9	27	18	4.9
Communicates	0	0	0	18	0	18	63	6.3
Teaching	4	4	9	22	27	13	18	4.8
Workload	0	31	40	18	4	4	0	3.1
Difficulty	0	27	36	27	4	4	0	3.2
Learn Exp	6	6	12	31	12	31	0	4.3

The students found the material interesting but unsatisfied with the method of evaluation. As well, a few students felt that the instructor was a little disorganized; but overall, it was a good learning experience.

FCS 292H1S Special Topics in Cultural Studies I: Sex, Love, Desire etc

Instructor(s): M-A. Visoi

Enr: 55	Resp: 47							Retake: 95%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	12	38	48	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	10	31	57	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	27	63	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	4	36	59	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	45	21	26	6	4.9
Difficulty	0	2	2	50	19	21	4	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	16	9	45	28	5.9

The course was very interesting and informative, although the workload was heavy. The readings were interesting and had a wide variety.

Visoi was an enthusiastic and involved lecturer who took an active interest in her students. She was approachable and always available for extra help.

FCS 298H1F French Culture and Asia

Instructor(s): R-E. St. Onge

Enr: 35	Resp: 20							Retake: 78%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	25	25	35	15	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	26	31	36	5	5.2
Communicates	0	0	5	21	31	36	5	5.2
Teaching	0	0	0	25	20	40	15	5.4
Workload	0	0	10	78	10	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	5	0	73	21	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	6	0	0	18	50	18	6	4.9

Students thought the material was interesting and that St. Onge was an enthusiastic lecturer. The tests were challenging but fair. Many students strongly recommended the course.

FCS 390H1F Special Topics in French Cultural Studies II: Cultural Studies in France: Foucault and After

Instructor(s): A. Motsch

Enr: 16	Resp: 13							Retake: 76%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	15	30	30	23	0	4.6
Explains	0	7	15	7	23	38	7	4.9
Communicates	0	0	0	7	15	30	46	6.2
Teaching	0	7	0	7	38	30	15	5.2
Workload	0	0	0	50	33	16	0	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	7	38	46	7	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	9	9	18	54	9	5.5

Students thought Motsch was a good, kind, and approachable instructor, but that his lectures lacked focus. Additionally, some complained that the material was a bit hard to understand because they were all philosophically based, though interesting and insightful.

FCS 395H1S Sensuality and the French

Instructor(s): D. Clandfield

Enr: 53	Resp: 40							Retake: 41%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	15	15	34	21	7	4.7
Explains	0	0	2	25	28	25	17	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	5	17	35	42	6.2
Teaching	0	7	5	10	27	17	32	5.4
Workload	2	5	20	52	12	5	2	3.9
Difficulty	2	5	12	60	10	7	2	4.0
Learn Exp	0	5	27	25	11	13	16	4.5

While students felt that the lectures could run too long (~3 hours) and the course was a little disorganized, they found the course interesting.

Clandfield was an interesting, enthusiastic and knowledgeable instructor.

FRE 240Y1Y Introduction to Literary Analysis

Instructor(s): A. Glinoyer

Enr: 32	Resp: 24							Retake: 39%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	12	33	20	33	5.8
Explains	0	0	8	8	37	20	25	5.5
Communicates	4	0	0	4	29	37	25	5.7
Teaching	0	0	8	4	16	41	29	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	29	20	33	16	5.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	20	41	12	25	5.4
Learn Exp	0	0	5	40	30	15	10	4.8

Instructor(s): A. Motsch

Enr: 29	Resp: 23							Retake: 59%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	4	0	56	13	21	4	4.6
Explains	0	0	0	21	30	26	21	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	26	30	43	6.2
Teaching	0	0	4	9	36	27	22	5.5
Workload	0	0	4	81	9	4	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	65	30	4	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	6	0	33	13	33	13	5.1

Students seemed to generally like the lecturer's enthusiasm and knowledge of the material. However, they also said that the course was not organized and the goals were not always clear.

FRE 272H1F The Structure of Modern French: An Introduction

Instructor(s): N. Guilliot

Enr: 99	Resp: 60							Retake: 55%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	10	28	40	16	5.6
Explains	0	0	3	20	27	35	13	5.4
Communicates	0	1	6	6	22	42	20	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	10	23	49	16	5.7
Workload	3	1	11	54	18	5	5	4.2
Difficulty	1	1	6	40	25	18	5	4.6
Learn Exp	2	0	20	47	16	8	4	4.2

Students found the instructor an effective and enthusiastic lecturer. He answered questions thoroughly. The slides were helpful, but students would have preferred that they be posted prior to lecture. Students found the material dry, and too much for a half credit. Tutorials were not useful.

FRE 274H1S Introduction to the Literary Analysis of French

Instructor(s): A-M. Brousseau

Enr: 29	Resp: 21							Retake: 50%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	10	20	25	20	25	5.3

Explains	9	0	9	0	28	28	23	5.2
Communicates	0	0	0	19	14	42	23	5.7
Teaching	4	0	4	9	19	28	33	5.6
Workload	0	0	9	71	19	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	57	28	14	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	14	50	7	28	0	4.5

Students liked the instructor and felt that she was humorous and able to convey enthusiasm for the material.

However, the course was poorly organized, there were no slides and/or lecture notes posted online which made it difficult to follow the material.

FRE 304H1S Women and Literature I: Women Writers

Instructor(s): B. Havercroft

Enr: 14	Resp: 9							Retake: 88%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	55	44	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	77	22	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	55	44	6.4
Teaching	0	11	0	0	0	44	44	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	66	22	11	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	66	33	0	0	4.3
Learn Exp	12	0	0	12	37	12	25	5.0

Havercroft was clearly passionate about the material, and was able to explain the concepts clearly to her students.

FRE 322H1S The 18th Century: The Age of Enlightenment

Instructor(s): A. Motsch

Enr: 28	Resp: 16							Retake: 68%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	6	0	12	37	25	12	6	4.4
Explains	0	0	0	25	25	31	18	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	25	37	37	6.1
Teaching	0	0	12	12	31	31	12	5.2
Workload	0	0	12	37	31	12	6	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	6	56	37	0	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	7	7	28	14	28	14	4.9

Students said Motsch clearly presented the material in class and was enthusiastic. However, students were not clear about the format of tests, and there was too much information tested in too little time.

FRE 324H1F The 19th Century: 19th Century France

Instructor(s): A. Glinoyer

Enr: 17	Resp: 15							Retake: 80%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	60	40	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	26	53	20	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	7	7	35	50	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	26	40	33	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	53	26	6	13	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	66	20	13	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	8	50	16	25	5.6

Students described the instructor as clear, enthusiastic, and engaging. His lectures were well-organized and he paused often during lectures to answer questions. Students noted the material as overwhelming for a half-credit course.

FRE 326H1S The 20th Century: From Surrealism to Post-Modernism and Beyond

Instructor(s): D. de Kerckhove

Enr: 17	Resp: 17							Retake: 46%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	29	11	23	29	5	0	0	2.7
Explains	5	0	23	35	17	17	0	4.1
Communicates	5	0	0	17	11	47	17	5.4

88 FRENCH

Teaching	5	11	29	17	23	5	5	3.8
Workload	5	17	23	41	0	5	5	3.5
Difficulty	0	5	11	64	11	5	0	4.0
Learn Exp	10	10	10	30	20	20	0	4.0

The course was disorganized. The instructor was not in the country for a large part of the course and tried to organize the lectures over Skype. This did not work well.

FRE 375Y1Y Comparative Stylistics

Instructor(s): S. Mastromonaco

Enr: 38		Resp: 34						Retake: 75%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	9	15	30	21	24	5.4	
Explains	0	0	0	12	24	36	27	5.8	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	15	24	60	6.5	
Teaching	0	0	3	12	15	45	24	5.8	
Workload	0	3	6	72	12	6	0	4.1	
Difficulty	0	0	12	60	21	6	0	4.2	
Learn Exp	0	3	0	14	44	22	14	5.3	

Students agreed that the instructor was very enthusiastic and dedicated to the course. Students complained that term work was not handed back in a reasonable time.

Instructor(s): S. Mastromonaco

Enr: 34		Resp: 26						Retake: 62%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	3	11	3	19	42	19	5.4	
Explains	0	0	0	19	3	34	42	6.0	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	19	15	65	6.5	
Teaching	0	3	3	7	7	50	26	5.8	
Workload	0	3	23	61	7	3	0	3.8	
Difficulty	0	0	12	64	20	4	0	4.2	
Learn Exp	0	5	22	16	38	5	11	4.5	

Students generally seemed to like Mastromonaco. She was enthusiastic and knowledgeable. However, some students did not like the homework based teaching and would have liked formal lecture based teaching instead.

FRE 376H1F French Phonology and Phonetics

Instructor(s): P. Bhatt

Enr: 25		Resp: 21						Retake: 85%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	23	76	6.8	
Explains	0	0	0	0	9	26	66	6.6	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	19	80	6.8	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	38	61	6.6	
Workload	0	0	0	76	19	4	0	4.3	
Difficulty	0	0	4	47	33	14	0	4.6	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	5	35	35	23	5.8	

Students were unanimous in their praise for Bhatt. They found him enthusiastic and knowledgeable. Many students felt that too much of the final mark was based on one assignment.

FRE 378H1F French Syntax

Instructor(s): N. Guilliot

Enr: 20		Resp: 17						Retake: 62%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	5	5	23	17	29	17	5.1	
Explains	0	0	5	35	11	29	17	5.2	
Communicates	0	0	0	23	23	17	35	5.6	
Teaching	0	0	0	17	17	35	29	5.8	
Workload	0	0	0	68	31	0	0	4.3	
Difficulty	0	0	0	11	52	29	5	5.3	
Learn Exp	0	0	15	15	53	15	0	4.7	

Students felt that the instructor was very enthusiastic, but that the lectures needed to be more organized. Students felt that too much material from the readings, which was not taken up in class, was required for the exams.

FRE 386H1S French Semantics

Instructor(s): A-M. Brousseau

Enr: 19		Resp: 15						Retake: 76%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	6	13	13	66	6.4	
Explains	0	0	0	6	6	26	60	6.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	6	0	26	66	6.5	
Teaching	0	0	0	6	0	20	73	6.6	
Workload	0	0	0	42	42	14	0	4.7	
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	28	21	0	4.7	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	9	18	45	27	5.9	

Brousseau made challenging material interesting and understandable. She was enthusiastic and passionate in the classroom. She was also available and accessible to students outside of class time.

FRE 387H1S French Morphology

Instructor(s): N. Guilliot

Enr: 18		Resp: 14						Retake: 42%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	7	14	28	42	7	5.3	
Explains	0	7	0	21	35	35	0	4.9	
Communicates	0	0	7	0	35	21	35	5.8	
Teaching	0	0	0	14	21	50	14	5.6	
Workload	0	0	7	42	42	0	7	4.6	
Difficulty	0	0	0	28	42	21	7	5.1	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	60	20	20	0	4.6	

Although the material was difficult, the instructor presented them in a well-organized manner. Some students felt that the instruction for the assignments was not clear and precise.

FRE 412H1F Francophone Cinema: French Cinema and Nation

Instructor(s): D. de Kerckhove

Enr: 21		Resp: 20						Retake: 57%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	5	5	47	10	26	5	0	3.6	
Explains	5	0	10	42	15	26	0	4.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	5	10	47	36	6.2	
Teaching	0	0	10	21	42	21	5	4.9	
Workload	0	0	22	72	0	5	0	3.9	
Difficulty	0	0	10	52	26	10	0	4.4	
Learn Exp	0	7	14	42	28	7	0	4.1	

Students were unclear about the expectations of the lecturer. They felt that he was very nice and enthusiastic but somewhat disorganized.

FRE 479H1F Sociolinguistics of French

Instructor(s): A-M. Brousseau

Enr: 16		Resp: 14						Retake: 92%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	7	21	21	50	6.1	
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	35	64	6.6	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	21	78	6.8	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	7	35	57	6.5	
Workload	0	0	7	85	7	0	0	4.0	
Difficulty	0	0	0	92	7	0	0	4.1	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	40	20	30	10	5.1	

The lecturer's enthusiasm and passion for the subject motivated her students to do well. Students said she was approachable and friendly.

FRE 480Y1Y Translation: French to English

Instructor(s): F. Collins

	Resp: 22							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	4	13	36	45	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	4	31	63	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	31	68	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	27	72	6.7
Workload	4	0	22	68	4	0	0	3.7
Difficulty	0	4	18	72	4	0	0	3.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	5	29	29	35	5.9

Students found Collins to be an inspiring instructor who was enthusiastic in class and explained translation theory in a way that was accessible to everyone. Several students said that he was the "best" instructor they had at UofT.

FRE 481Y1Y Translation: English to French

Instructor(s): J. Hanna; A.G. Falconer

Enr: 33	Resp: 17						Retake: 70%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
<u>Hanna:</u>								
Presents	5	5	29	29	0	23	5	4.1
Explains	0	11	5	0	35	29	17	5.2
Communicates	0	5	0	17	17	41	17	5.4
Teaching	5	11	11	17	23	17	11	4.4
<u>Falconer:</u>								
Presents	0	0	0	17	23	35	23	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	5	23	52	17	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	17	58	23	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	11	23	41	23	5.8
<u>Course:</u>								
Workload	0	5	11	70	5	5	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	6	6	43	31	12	0	4.4
Learn Exp	6	0	6	53	6	6	20	4.5

Students felt that Hanna was fair and enthusiastic. They commended Hanna's focus on vocabulary. However, students felt that she could have been more accessible and recommended using Blackboard to communicate with students.

Students described Falconer as enthusiastic, well-spoken, and knowledgeable. They felt that he was challenging. Students wished he would have made use of Blackboard to communicate with students and to post notes.

FRE 489H1S Special Topics in Advanced Linguistics II: Mapping Syntax to Semantics

Instructor(s): N. Guillot

	Resp: 7							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	28	0	28	42	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	14	14	42	28	5.9
Communicates	0	0	14	0	14	42	28	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	14	42	42	6.3
Workload	0	0	0	42	14	14	28	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	0	57	0	42	5.9
Learn Exp	0	0	20	40	0	0	40	5.0

FSL 100H1F French for Beginners

Instructor(s): R. Saverino

	Resp: 30							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	10	40	50	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	16	30	53	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	3	13	16	66	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	6	20	73	6.7
Workload	0	10	6	37	17	24	3	4.5
Difficulty	0	3	3	44	20	20	6	4.7

Learn Exp	0	0	0	9	33	47	9	5.6
-----------	---	---	---	---	----	----	---	-----

Students described Saverino as very approachable, communicative and enthusiastic.

Instructor(s): A. Sabodach

	Resp: 31							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	6	40	16	36	5.8
Explains	0	0	3	3	20	41	31	5.9
Communicates	0	0	3	3	23	33	36	6.0
Teaching	0	0	3	3	13	30	50	6.2
Workload	3	0	10	48	27	10	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	3	6	55	13	20	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	4	8	24	36	20	0	4.8

The instructor was liked by almost everyone. Students said she was enthusiastic and approachable.

FSL 100H1S French for Beginners

Instructor(s): A. Balint-Babos

	Resp: 34							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	5	0	20	35	38	6.0
Explains	0	0	5	0	11	26	55	6.3
Communicates	0	2	0	2	11	26	55	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	3	3	34	59	6.5
Workload	0	0	12	68	12	3	3	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	8	61	26	2	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	3	0	11	14	33	37	5.9

The instructor was described as enthusiastic and very well-organized. Students found her to be helpful and attentive to questions and concerns.

Instructor(s): A. Balint-Babos

	Resp: 39							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	2	0	10	28	58	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	2	12	28	56	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	25	61	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	2	7	28	61	6.5
Workload	0	7	13	52	18	7	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	7	7	52	18	10	2	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	21	21	28	28	5.6

Students seemed to have enjoyed having Balint-Babos as a course instructor. She was very understanding and approachable. Students said that she made a hard course fun and easy.

FSL 102H1S Introductory French

Instructor(s): I. Krispis

	Resp: 21							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	4	0	4	33	14	19	23	5.0
Explains	0	0	5	25	25	10	35	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	4	14	14	66	6.4
Teaching	4	0	0	14	23	19	38	5.6
Workload	5	5	10	47	21	5	5	4.1
Difficulty	5	0	5	45	35	5	5	4.4
Learn Exp	0	17	0	17	17	11	35	5.1

Instructor(s): M.T. Ballin

	Resp: 27							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	3	25	25	25	18	5.3
Explains	0	3	3	18	18	33	22	5.4

90 FRENCH

Communicates	0	0	0	7	22	37	33	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	7	25	44	22	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	55	22	22	0	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	7	51	29	11	0	4.4
Learn Exp	4	0	4	21	52	8	8	4.8

Ballin was an enthusiastic and approachable lecturer who took interest in her students.

FSL 121Y1Y French Language I

Instructor(s): H. Koo

	Resp: 18							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	5	0	5	17	47	23	5.7
Explains	0	5	0	0	23	29	41	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	17	29	52	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	11	11	47	29	5.9
Workload	0	6	0	80	6	6	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	6	12	75	6	0	0	3.8
Learn Exp	0	0	6	40	26	13	13	4.9

Students felt that Koo was a good instructor. They said she was friendly and approachable. However, students seemed to find the course a little difficult for a first year French course.

Instructor(s): M. Witek

	Resp: 25							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	21	39	30	8	5.3
Explains	0	0	0	9	40	40	9	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	22	40	27	9	5.2
Teaching	0	0	0	4	36	45	13	5.7
Workload	0	0	22	59	9	9	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	8	65	17	8	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	40	33	13	13	5.0

Students appreciated the integration of exercises into the class. Students found Witek to be a pleasant and calm instructor.

FSL 221Y1Y French Language II

Instructor(s): M. Marukhnyak

	Resp: 20							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	30	45	20	5	5.0
Explains	0	0	0	30	40	20	10	5.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	42	42	15	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	5	45	45	5	5.5
Workload	0	0	15	55	15	10	5	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	5	57	26	10	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	42	28	21	7	4.9

Students said that the instructor was enthusiastic and a good teacher. They appreciated the handouts.

Instructor(s): E. Kalisa

	Resp: 11							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	9	54	18	9	9	4.5
Explains	0	9	0	27	36	18	9	4.8
Communicates	0	0	0	27	27	18	27	5.5
Teaching	0	9	0	9	54	18	9	5.0
Workload	0	0	0	81	18	0	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	54	45	0	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	50	16	0	4.8

Instructor(s): N. Lenina

	Resp: 13							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	7	0	23	30	38	5.9
Explains	0	0	7	15	15	23	38	5.7
Communicates	7	0	7	7	30	23	23	5.2
Teaching	0	0	0	7	23	30	38	6.0
Workload	0	16	16	50	16	0	0	3.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	41	41	16	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	11	0	11	33	44	0	5.0

Students particularly disliked the textbook. However, students felt that Lenina effectively answered students' questions.

FSL 277Y1Y French Pronunciation

Instructor(s): D. de Kerckhove

	Resp: 25							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	8	28	44	4	4	12	4.0
Explains	4	0	20	28	32	0	16	4.5
Communicates	0	4	0	8	41	16	29	5.5
Teaching	4	0	4	37	37	8	8	4.6
Workload	0	0	13	78	4	0	4	4.0
Difficulty	0	4	17	60	17	0	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	6	37	12	25	18	5.1

Although students found the course to be lacking in organization, they seemed to like the lecturer, and said that he was friendly and approachable.

Instructor(s): N. Guilliot

	Resp: 20							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	25	30	45	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	5	10	50	35	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	15	50	35	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	5	20	45	30	6.0
Workload	5	0	15	45	20	10	5	4.2
Difficulty	0	5	15	40	20	10	10	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	25	37	25	12	5.2

Students found Guilliot to be an enthusiastic and knowledgeable instructor who was able to teach French pronunciation effectively. The course was organized and well-taught.

Instructor(s): P. Martin

	Resp: 15							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	6	0	13	33	40	6	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	20	26	26	26	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	7	0	14	78	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	26	13	60	0	5.3
Workload	0	7	14	57	21	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	13	73	6	6	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	50	20	30	0	4.8

Martin was an enthusiastic instructor who was compassionate and accommodating.

FSL 331Y1Y Practical French I

Instructor(s): V. Richard

	Resp: 30							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	10	13	20	31	13	10	0	3.6
Explains	10	10	17	31	24	6	0	3.7
Communicates	10	3	20	31	20	10	3	3.9
Teaching	10	10	10	31	24	13	0	3.9

Workload	10	20	27	37	3	0	0	3.0
Difficulty	0	3	31	48	17	0	0	3.8
Learn Exp	15	7	15	38	11	7	3	3.6

While the instructor was fairly enthusiastic, students felt the course lacked organization and structure. The material was sometimes difficult to grasp and the instructor did not provide thorough explanations. Students were unhappy with the marking scheme of the tests, particularly where each multiple choice answer was worth 5 marks.

Instructor(s): Y. Medvedev

Enr: 38	Resp: 38							Retake: 54%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	5	2	20	14	26	26	2	4.4
Explains	3	0	9	24	15	30	18	5.1
Communicates	0	0	11	23	20	35	8	5.1
Teaching	3	6	12	12	28	28	9	4.8
Workload	6	18	21	51	3	0	0	3.3
Difficulty	0	6	25	37	25	6	0	4.0
Learn Exp	11	11	15	26	15	11	7	3.9

Students felt the instructor was generally enthusiastic and approachable. They found the course to be disorganized, unstructured and not challenging. Students were unhappy that the instructor/TAs switched too often.

FSL 341Y1Y Language Practice I: Written and Oral French

Instructor(s): C. Micu

Enr: 28	Resp: 18							Retake: 66%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	23	41	23	5	5.0
Explains	0	0	5	17	29	35	11	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	29	41	29	6.0
Teaching	0	0	5	11	35	23	23	5.5
Workload	0	0	6	93	0	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	6	87	6	0	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	85	0	14	0	4.3

Instructor(s): C. Micu

Enr: 30	Resp: 25							Retake: 64%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	44	36	8	8	4.7
Explains	0	0	0	32	40	16	12	5.1
Communicates	0	0	4	4	16	36	40	6.0
Teaching	0	0	4	16	36	20	24	5.4
Workload	0	8	8	79	4	0	0	3.8
Difficulty	0	0	19	71	9	0	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	4	18	36	22	18	0	4.3

Students generally liked the instructor but felt that the oral section of the course should have been longer.

Instructor(s): C. Micu

Enr: 27	Resp: 25							Retake: 78%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	0	4	28	12	32	20	5.1
Explains	0	0	0	20	8	28	24	5.4
Communicates	0	0	4	12	4	32	48	5.2
Teaching	0	0	4	16	24	16	40	5.7
Workload	0	0	20	72	8	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	8	72	20	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	4	0	9	50	9	18	9	4.5

Students felt the instructor was enthusiastic and passionate about the material. However, his explanation of concepts were occasionally lengthy rather than concise. He was available to answer questions.

Instructor(s): H. Koo

Enr: 24	Resp: 12							Retake: 63%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	8	0	0	8	25	33	25	5.4
Explains	0	9	0	18	18	36	18	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	8	33	25	33	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	18	72	9	5.9
Workload	0	0	16	58	25	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	16	41	33	8	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	21	37	37	12	0	4.5

Students found the instructor to be enthusiastic, knowledgeable and patient. They also found the course organized.

FSL 362Y1Y La Francophonie

Instructor(s): S. Sacre

Enr: 36	Resp: 28							Retake: 57%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	33	40	22	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	3	34	30	30	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	38	50	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	3	23	38	34	6.0
Workload	0	0	11	77	0	3	7	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	3	74	11	7	3	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	37	12	18	31	5.4

Although the students found the instructor enthusiastic and overall a good teacher, they found the material boring and non-motivational.

FSL 431Y1Y Practical French II

Instructor(s): A. Balint-Babos

Enr: 41	Resp: 28							Retake: 85%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	11	7	29	44	7	5.3
Explains	0	0	3	3	17	46	28	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	39	53	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	10	14	35	39	6.0
Workload	3	7	32	53	3	0	0	3.5
Difficulty	3	3	21	67	3	0	0	3.6
Learn Exp	0	0	13	43	8	21	13	4.8

The lecturer was very enthusiastic and passionate about the material. She took an interest in her students and was very approachable.

Instructor(s): D. Kullmann

Enr: 35	Resp: 17							Retake: 37%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	5	11	41	11	17	5	5	3.6
Explains	5	5	23	23	17	17	5	4.2
Communicates	5	17	5	29	17	11	11	4.2
Teaching	0	23	17	23	17	17	0	3.9
Workload	12	0	25	62	0	0	0	3.4
Difficulty	6	6	18	43	18	6	0	3.8
Learn Exp	7	35	28	28	0	0	0	2.8

Most students felt that the lectures were disorganized and that the instructor was overly critical. A few mentioned that it was not a good experience.

Instructor(s): M-A. Visoi

Enr: 36	Resp: 32							Retake: 90%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	46	34	15	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	3	43	34	18	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	21	37	40	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	31	31	37	6.1
Workload	0	3	21	46	15	6	6	4.2

92 FRENCH

Difficulty	0	0	9	65	9	9	6	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	37	20	25	16	5.2

Students found the instructor to be attentive, helpful, and frequently available to answer questions. Students found the lectures to be informative and well organized.

Instructor(s): M-F. Raymond-Dufour

Enr: 31	Resp: 22							Retake: 77%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	27	36	31	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	9	27	40	22	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	18	40	40	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	4	27	50	18	5.8
Workload	0	18	13	63	4	0	0	3.5
Difficulty	0	9	4	81	4	0	0	3.8
Learn Exp	0	0	20	33	20	0	26	4.8

Students felt that the instructor was enthusiastic and approachable. She explained concepts well and offered constructive comments during lecture discussions and on written work.

Instructor(s): M-F. Raymond-Dufour

Enr: 41	Resp: 26							Retake: 92%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	0	3	15	19	42	15	5.3
Explains	3	0	3	7	23	42	19	5.5
Communicates	0	3	0	3	11	42	38	6.0
Teaching	0	3	0	3	23	61	7	5.6
Workload	3	0	15	65	15	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	3	0	3	65	19	3	3	4.2
Learn Exp	0	5	5	16	50	16	5	4.8

The instructor was enthusiastic and engaging. Students found her knowledgeable and enjoyed her creative lecturing style. She was frequently available for consultation.

FSL 443H1S Language Practice II: Oral French

Instructor(s): S. Sacre

Enr: 30	Resp: 21							Retake: 73%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	0	14	19	19	23	19	5.0
Explains	0	4	4	23	19	23	23	5.2
Communicates	0	0	4	9	9	33	42	6.0
Teaching	0	0	5	20	20	35	20	5.4
Workload	0	4	33	52	9	0	0	3.7
Difficulty	0	4	14	61	14	4	0	4.0
Learn Exp	6	6	0	50	31	6	0	4.1

Students enjoyed the class and felt Sacre was enthusiastic. While students were generally happy with the structure of the course, they felt emphasis should have been placed on discussion rather than presentations.

FSL 461Y1Y Practical French III

Instructor(s): N. Daou

Enr: 30	Resp: 20							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	15	45	30	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	10	5	75	10	5.8
Communicates	0	0	10	5	10	55	20	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	15	65	20	6.1
Workload	5	5	20	65	5	0	0	3.6
Difficulty	5	5	20	70	0	0	0	3.5
Learn Exp	0	0	6	25	50	12	6	4.6

Most students thought Daou was very good and lectures were always well prepared and interesting. They felt Daou was very helpful and kind.

Instructor(s): M-A. Visoi

Enr: 24	Resp: 18							Retake: 72%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	38	44	16	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	5	17	52	23	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	22	44	33	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	61	27	6.2
Workload	0	0	17	58	5	11	5	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	5	64	11	11	5	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	7	23	46	7	15	5.0

Students found Visoi to be helpful and frequently available for consultation. Students commended her on answering emails promptly. Students felt that the course was organized and the content interesting.

Instructor(s): A. Balint-Babos

Enr: 29	Resp: 25							Retake: 83%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	0	16	16	20	20	24	5.0
Explains	0	0	4	20	24	36	16	5.4
Communicates	0	4	0	8	20	32	36	5.8
Teaching	0	4	0	20	28	24	24	5.4
Workload	16	8	8	48	16	4	0	3.5
Difficulty	12	16	8	52	8	4	0	3.4
Learn Exp	5	15	5	40	10	15	10	4.2

Students found the instructor to be enjoyable, approachable and helpful. However, a few students noted that classes were sometimes disorganized and often not challenging.

NEW: "Virtual Monday" – Wednesday, November 11th
– on this day Monday classes will be held;
no regularly scheduled Wednesday classes.

