

COMPUTER SCIENCE STUDENTS' UNION

Introduction

The Computer Science Students' Union (CSSU) holds events for students who are in the Computer Science program. To get in touch with the CSSU, check out their website - www.cssu.ca, visit their office in the Bahen Centre, Rm 2283, or email them at cssu@cdf.utoronto.ca.

Editor

CSC 104H1S The Why and How of Computing

Instructor(s): D. Heap

	Resp: 16							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	12	25	25	37	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	25	56	18	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	25	31	43	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	18	18	62	6.4
Workload	0	0	18	12	43	18	6	4.8
Difficulty	0	18	18	25	31	6	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	9	18	36	18	18	5.2

Most students described the instructor as excellent and enjoyed coming to class

CSC 108H1F Introduction to Computer Programming

Instructor(s): P. Gries

	Resp: 102							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	1	1	5	24	30	37	5.9
Explains	0	0	1	4	17	40	36	6.1
Communicates	0	0	1	3	9	15	71	6.5
Teaching	0	0	1	0	14	35	49	6.3
Workload	2	2	10	30	29	18	6	4.6
Difficulty	3	6	18	39	14	12	7	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	4	11	20	43	21	5.7

The instructor was described as very enthusiastic and made the lectures interesting. He responded to questions accurately and clearly.

Assignments and tests were described as being too challenging, and the marking on the assignments unfair. Quite a few stated they came to the course without any prior programming experience and experienced a lot of trouble with the material.

Instructor(s): J. Campbell

	Resp: 23							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	4	17	39	39	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	4	13	39	43	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	17	39	43	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	13	34	52	6.4

Workload	0	4	0	50	18	18	9	4.7
Difficulty	4	9	18	31	22	9	4	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	26	26	15	31	5.5

The instructor explained concepts clearly and used a lot of good examples, however some found the material a bit challenging.

Instructor(s): J. Campbell

	Resp: 16							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	6	6	0	12	25	18	31	5.2
Explains	6	6	0	6	25	37	18	5.2
Communicates	6	6	0	18	12	25	31	5.2
Teaching	12	0	0	6	18	12	50	5.6
Workload	0	6	0	18	25	12	37	5.5
Difficulty	6	0	0	31	12	18	31	5.2
Learn Exp	15	7	0	30	30	15	0	4.0

The instructor was described as being good. Many students found that the material taught in lectures was at a far lower level and covered less, than what was required in assignments.

CSC 108H1S Introduction to Computer Programming

Instructor(s): D. Horton

	Resp: 25							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	8	45	45	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	16	29	54	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	4	25	70	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	29	70	6.7
Workload	0	0	0	32	20	32	16	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	8	32	32	20	8	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	6	12	43	37	6.1

The instructor was described as excellent. She was very open to questions and showed concern for how the students were doing. Students really enjoyed the lectures and thought she explained concepts well. Some found the material hard, and the assignments long.

CSC 148H1S Introduction to Computer Science

Instructor(s): J. Clarke

	Resp: 27							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	3	22	22	44	7	5.3
Explains	0	0	11	7	22	51	7	5.4
Communicates	0	0	3	7	18	37	33	5.9
Teaching	0	0	3	11	18	44	22	5.7
Workload	0	0	0	55	29	14	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	7	46	30	15	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	31	27	27	13	5.2

Students thought the instructor was friendly and that he tried to make sure the students understood what he was doing. Some thought the examples he used in class could have been better.

Instructor(s): P. Gries

	Resp: 62							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	3	13	42	40	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	3	14	27	54	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	1	5	26	66	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	3	1	29	65	6.6
Workload	0	0	8	50	20	18	1	4.5
Difficulty	0	3	1	46	36	8	3	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	19	21	31	27	5.7

The instructor was described as being very enthusiastic, full of energy and inspiring. He gave good explanations and was willing to help and

answer questions. Some students complained about the lack of a textbook for the course.

CSC 165H1S Mathematical Expression and Reasoning for Computer Science

Instructor(s): F. Pitt

	Resp: 24							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	4	50	45	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	8	8	4	41	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	4	33	62	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	12	37	50	6.4
Workload	0	0	12	58	16	12	0	4.3
Difficulty	4	4	8	50	25	4	4	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	17	29	23	29	5.6

The instructor was described as being enthusiastic and he explained concepts clearly, as well as, giving good examples. The materials were described as being unexciting but overall it was a good learning experience.

Instructor(s): F. Pitt

	Resp: 55							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	1	1	0	18	35	41	6.1
Explains	0	1	1	0	21	44	30	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	41	47	6.4
Teaching	0	0	1	3	9	41	43	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	63	23	13	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	1	1	48	28	15	3	4.7
Learn Exp	4	0	2	38	22	18	13	4.8

Students thought that the instructor was really enthusiastic and helpful, and made the material more interesting. Some thought that more examples would have helped. Many found the marking of course work harsh.

CSC 207H1F Software Design

Instructor(s): P. Gries

	Resp: 53							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	1	5	22	24	45	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	11	7	20	60	6.3
Communicates	0	0	1	3	1	11	81	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	9	1	32	56	6.4
Workload	0	0	1	39	32	18	7	4.9
Difficulty	0	1	5	49	23	17	1	4.5
Learn Exp	0	2	4	20	20	27	25	5.4

Students found the instructor very enthusiastic and enjoyable. A few found assignments and the project vague and confusing.

Instructor(s): J. Clarke

	Resp: 46							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	8	6	15	17	17	17	15	4.4
Explains	2	4	17	17	24	17	15	4.7
Communicates	4	0	2	15	28	21	28	5.4
Teaching	0	8	8	15	37	15	13	4.8
Workload	0	0	8	31	35	22	2	4.8
Difficulty	0	4	13	51	24	6	0	4.2
Learn Exp	3	6	12	28	31	12	6	4.4

CSC 207H1S Software Design

Instructor(s): P. Gries

	Resp: 38							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	2	18	2	27	24	24	5.2
Explains	0	10	0	10	16	24	37	5.6

Communicates	0	5	2	2	8	18	63	6.2
Teaching	0	2	8	5	8	35	40	5.9
Workload	0	0	5	37	21	10	24	5.1
Difficulty	2	0	10	35	27	10	13	4.7
Learn Exp	0	6	9	6	18	37	21	5.4

Students found the instructor to be really enthusiastic and interested in the material. He was helpful with answering questions and seemed genuinely concerned about students' success.

Many students complained that the marking took too long, and that some of the assignments were rather difficult.

CSC 209H1S Software Tools and Systems Programming

Instructor(s): M. Craig

	Resp: 21							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	9	33	38	19	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	4	23	47	23	5.9
Communicates	0	0	4	4	19	23	47	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	9	9	57	23	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	42	38	14	4	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	52	28	14	4	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	31	25	37	6	5.2

Students thought that the instructor gave good lectures and had well-organized slides. Students found the material interesting. Some thought the mid-term was a bit long and the assignments were posted late.

Instructor(s): M. Craig

	Resp: 39							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	2	0	5	5	46	20	20	5.4
Explains	2	0	5	5	33	33	20	5.5
Communicates	2	0	5	5	15	43	28	5.7
Teaching	0	5	2	0	25	46	20	5.7
Workload	2	0	5	33	33	23	2	4.7
Difficulty	2	0	5	33	33	20	5	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	3	13	36	30	16	5.4

Most students thought the instructor was good. She was helpful and answered posts on the cdf board promptly. Her slides were well organized and she explained concepts clearly.

CSC 236H1F Introduction to the Theory of Computation

Instructor(s): D. Heap

	Resp: 31							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	12	35	51	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	23	30	46	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	3	16	23	56	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	3	13	30	53	6.3
Workload	0	6	6	55	17	13	0	4.2
Difficulty	3	0	6	55	20	13	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	10	25	30	30	5	4.9

The instructor was described as being effective and able to explain the abstract concepts clearly. He was also described as being helpful and engaging.

Some students complained that there was too much overlap with the pre-requisite course, CSC 165.

Instructor(s): D. Heap

	Resp: 47							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	2	0	0	0	12	31	53	6.3
Explains	0	0	2	4	10	29	53	6.3
Communicates	0	0	4	0	4	32	58	6.4
Teaching	0	2	0	2	4	29	61	6.4

44 COMPUTER SCIENCE

Workload	0	2	0	55	25	12	4	4.6
Difficulty	0	2	4	40	27	23	2	4.7
Learn Exp	0	2	0	36	9	29	21	5.3

The instructor was described by most as being very good and interested in the material. He was a good communicator and made abstract concepts clear.

The course material was a bit difficult but the instructor helped to make the course easier to understand.

CSC 258H1F Computer Organization

Instructor(s): A. Rosenthal

	Resp: 42							Retake: 65%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Enr: 58								Mean
Presents	2	4	9	14	23	26	19	5.1
Explains	2	0	21	9	35	21	9	4.8
Communicates	4	4	4	21	19	28	16	5.0
Teaching	0	4	4	21	19	40	9	5.1
Workload	4	9	4	59	19	0	2	3.9
Difficulty	4	2	12	41	26	7	4	4.2
Learn Exp	3	3	6	35	16	29	6	4.7

Overall students thought that the instructor was good, though the lectures were presented quite formally. Quite a few would have liked the instructor to have involved the students more throughout the lecture, and many felt that the pace was too fast when dealing with difficult topics.

CSC 263H1S Data Structures and Analysis

Instructor(s): S. Toueg

	Resp: 29							Retake: 66%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Enr: 55								Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	17	44	37	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	3	10	34	51	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	34	62	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	10	41	48	6.4
Workload	0	3	6	20	34	31	3	4.9
Difficulty	0	3	0	20	34	27	13	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	4	8	30	43	13	5.5

The instructor was described as being very good. He was enthusiastic and explained the material clearly. He responded quickly to emails.

Many students said the mid-term and assignments were quite difficult.

Instructor(s): S. Toueg

	Resp: 30							Retake: 69%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Enr: 46								Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	20	27	48	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	13	34	51	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	27	65	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	31	48	6.3
Workload	0	0	3	39	25	14	21	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	39	25	14	21	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	12	33	16	37	5.8

Students described the instructor as very good. He explained the material clearly and made it interesting. He was enthusiastic and seemed very passionate about the material.

Students found the assignments and the mid-term difficult.

CSC 290H1F Communication Skills for Computer Scientists.

Instructor(s): L. Blume

	Resp: 21							Retake: 84%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Enr: 23								Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	9	23	61	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	4	4	28	61	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	4	0	95	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	4	4	9	80	6.7
Workload	0	0	4	47	23	14	9	4.8

Difficulty	4	4	19	52	14	0	4	3.9
Learn Exp	5	0	0	0	16	27	50	6.1

Students thought the instructor was enthusiastic, helpful and had interesting lectures.

The material was described as useful and practical for computer scientists.

CSC 300H1S Computers and Society

Instructor(s): D. Heap

	Resp: 18							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Enr: 54								Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	12	37	50	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	18	37	43	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	43	56	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	12	43	43	6.3
Workload	0	0	12	62	12	6	6	4.3
Difficulty	0	13	33	46	6	0	0	3.5
Learn Exp	0	0	7	7	46	38	0	5.2

Students thought the instructor was very good; that he explained concepts clearly and was approachable.

Students liked the material and thought the assignments were interesting.

CSC 301H1F Introduction to Software Engineering

Instructor(s): G. Wilson

	Resp: 40							Retake: 88%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Enr: 58								Mean
Presents	2	0	8	27	27	22	11	4.9
Explains	2	0	2	16	44	27	5	5.1
Communicates	0	0	0	5	8	30	55	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	16	38	30	13	5.4
Workload	0	0	2	36	41	16	2	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	11	52	22	11	2	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	6	25	19	38	9	5.2

Most students really enjoyed the instructor and found the lectures interesting, but a few felt that the instructor was unapproachable.

The grading scheme wasn't always clear for assignments and some felt the course needed to be structured better.

CSC 301H1S Introduction to Software Engineering

Instructor(s): G. Wilson

	Resp: 22							Retake: 68%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Enr: 27								Mean
Presents	4	4	0	22	31	27	9	4.9
Explains	0	0	0	18	36	36	9	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	4	18	18	59	6.3
Teaching	0	4	0	13	18	45	18	5.5
Workload	0	0	4	45	22	18	9	4.8
Difficulty	4	0	9	59	18	4	4	4.2
Learn Exp	6	0	13	13	6	40	20	5.1

Students found the teaching style of the instructor to be useful and very practical. They enjoyed the great lectures.

Some students found the course a little disorganized.

CSC 302H1F Engineering Large Software Systems

Instructor(s): S. Easterbrook

	Resp: 9							Retake: 77%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Enr: 12								Mean
Presents	0	0	0	22	11	22	44	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	22	0	66	11	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	11	11	44	33	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	22	11	33	33	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	44	11	44	0	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	66	33	0	0	4.3

Learn Exp 0 0 0 28 57 14 0 4.9

Students thought the instructor was good and the course was well-structured.

CSC 302H1S Engineering Large Software Systems

Instructor(s): S. Easterbrook

Enr: 60	Resp: 21							Retake: 45%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	19	19	47	14	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	9	33	42	14	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	9	9	52	28	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	4	42	47	4	5.5
Workload	0	0	4	23	42	23	4	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	9	52	38	0	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	10	26	26	15	21	0	4.1

The instructor was enthusiastic about the material and gave good lectures, however some lasted longer than the time allotted.

Students found the material vague at times along with the assignment descriptions but the topics covered are applicable to industry work.

CSC 310H1F Information Theory

Instructor(s): P. Marbach

Enr: 21	Resp: 16							Retake: 53%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	33	13	46	0	5.0
Explains	0	6	37	18	18	18	0	4.1
Communicates	0	0	0	18	25	25	31	5.7
Teaching	0	6	25	6	25	25	12	4.8
Workload	0	0	6	62	25	6	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	56	18	18	6	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	33	22	22	22	0	4.3

Students would have liked to have had more concrete examples. They also thought that the course moved too quickly through the material.

The mid-term was found to be long and some thought some of the questions were not relevant to material found on assignments.

CSC 320H1S Introduction to Visual Computing

Instructor(s): K. Kutulakos

Enr: 28	Resp: 9							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	12	12	50	25	5.9
Explains	0	0	11	11	22	22	33	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	25	37	37	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	25	12	37	25	5.6
Workload	0	0	0	50	37	12	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	62	25	12	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	28	28	28	14	5.3

CSC 321H1S Introduction to Neural Networks and Machine Learning

Instructor(s): G. Hinton

Enr: 29	Resp: 18							Retake: 93%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	11	11	72	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	5	16	22	55	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	5	0	33	61	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	5	0	11	82	6.7
Workload	0	0	23	58	5	5	5	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	23	29	29	17	5.4
Learn Exp	0	6	6	0	26	20	40	5.7

The students agreed overwhelmingly that the instructor was great and that the material was very interesting.

Some complained that the course requirements were slightly vague and that the feedback on assignments was inadequate.

CSC 324H1S Principles of Programming Languages

Instructor(s): F. Pitt

Enr: 104	Resp: 47							Retake: 76%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	6	40	51	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	2	11	31	55	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	26	66	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	8	35	55	6.5
Workload	0	0	15	57	24	2	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	13	48	33	4	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	2	14	40	29	5	5.3

The instructor was described as enthusiastic and friendly. Lectures were well-prepared and organized. Students found that the office hours were very useful and that questions were answered in detail. This was the instructor's first time teaching the course and students felt it was a success.

The course material could have been a bit more practical but overall students found it useful.

CSC 336H1S Numerical Methods

Instructor(s): F. Fairgrieve

Enr: 53	Resp: 36							Retake: 33%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	2	11	41	41	6.2
Explains	0	0	2	11	22	33	30	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	11	11	47	30	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	5	16	50	27	6.0
Workload	2	0	0	54	28	11	2	4.5
Difficulty	2	2	2	31	34	14	11	4.8
Learn Exp	3	0	13	43	20	16	3	4.4

Fairgrieve was a good instructor.

The course material was mathematical based and students found it difficult. However, more real life examples would have been very helpful.

CSC 343H1F Introduction to Databases

Instructor(s): D. Heap

Enr: 36	Resp: 17							Retake: 93%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	23	35	35	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	11	17	29	41	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	5	17	29	47	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	5	17	29	47	6.2
Workload	5	0	0	58	29	5	0	4.2
Difficulty	5	0	17	58	17	0	0	3.8
Learn Exp	7	0	0	15	15	53	7	5.2

Students thought that the instructor was good and explained the material well.

Instructor(s): J. Mylopoulos

Enr: 46	Resp: 24							Retake: 63%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	4	20	29	45	0	0	4.2
Explains	0	4	8	43	34	8	0	4.3
Communicates	8	0	21	39	30	0	0	3.8
Teaching	0	4	8	37	45	4	0	4.4
Workload	0	0	20	66	12	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	12	79	8	0	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	10	0	55	30	5	0	4.2

Many students found the lectures somewhat dull and uninteresting. The instructor often read straight from the slides presented. Many would have liked to have had more interaction and involvement.

46 COMPUTER SCIENCE

CSC 350H1F Numerical Algebra and Optimization

Instructor(s): T. Fairgrieve

	Resp: 18							Retake: 33%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	5	5	38	50	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	5	11	44	38	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	18	56	25	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	72	16	6.1
Workload	0	5	5	50	27	11	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	5	5	50	27	11	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	6	53	20	13	6	4.6

The instructor was very good at explaining concepts and making the ideas clear.

The lectures were described as very well-organized.

CSC 358H1S Introduction to Computer Networks

Instructor(s): P. Marbach

	Resp: 13							Retake: 63%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	8	16	25	50	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	15	0	61	23	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	15	23	61	6.5
Teaching	0	0	7	7	0	61	23	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	53	30	15	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	46	30	23	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	18	27	36	18	5.5

Students felt that the course was very theoretical and found mathematical models confusing.

CSC 363H1F Computational Complexity and Computability

Instructor(s): F. Pitt

	Resp: 32							Retake: 60%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	9	15	75	6.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	9	21	68	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	3	3	15	78	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	9	15	75	6.7
Workload	0	0	3	12	21	37	25	5.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	18	28	31	21	5.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	35	28	21	5.6

The instructor was described as being a great teacher, very enthusiastic and also very helpful during office hours.

Many students liked the way the course was set up, with a lot of assignments to keep on top of the material, but some found it to be excessive and some of the assignments were long and difficult.

A lot of students commented that having another lecture hour instead of a tutorial would have been more beneficial to them.

CSC 365H1S Enriched Computational Complexity and Computability

Instructor(s): S. Cook

	Resp: 8							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	25	75	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	37	62	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	50	50	0	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	25	62	0	12	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	42	14	42	6.0

CSC 369H1F Operating Systems

Instructor(s): A. Scannell

	Resp: 28							Retake: 75%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	32	28	35	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	3	28	39	28	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	17	17	64	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	3	18	40	37	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	3	10	28	57	6.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	7	17	39	35	6.0
Learn Exp	0	0	8	8	39	26	17	5.3

Scannell was described as being enthusiastic, helpful and interested in the material.

The course material was difficult but also described as being very useful. The mid term specifically was difficult and long.

CSC 369H1S Operating Systems

Instructor(s): A. Petersen

	Resp: 18							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	27	72	6.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	16	16	66	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	11	77	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	5	82	6.7
Workload	0	0	0	77	22	50	16	5.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	22	22	50	5	5.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	17	41	29	5.9

Students felt that the instructor made a difficult course enjoyable. The instructor kept students interested in the difficult material and gave enthusiastic lectures.

CSC 373H1F Algorithm Design & Analysis

Instructor(s): F. Pitt

	Resp: 36							Retake: 60%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	2	16	27	52	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	2	13	33	50	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	30	61	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	14	37	49	6.3
Workload	0	0	2	8	8	48	31	6.0
Difficulty	0	0	2	14	8	48	25	5.8
Learn Exp	3	0	3	12	35	35	9	5.2

The Instructor was described as a good teacher who explained concepts well with enthusiasm.

Many students thought that there were too many tests. Most also said the workload was very high as was the difficulty of the material. A lot of students would have preferred another lecture hour in place of a tutorial.

CSC 373H1S Algorithm Design & Analysis

Instructor(s): M. Brudno

	Resp: 22							Retake: 65%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	4	4	9	27	27	18	9	4.6
Explains	4	0	18	9	45	18	4	4.6
Communicates	4	0	0	0	18	31	45	6.0
Teaching	4	0	4	18	36	22	16	5.0
Workload	0	0	0	36	36	22	4	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	22	27	22	27	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	6	18	18	43	12	5.4

Students found the instructor to be knowledgeable and useful, but also a little disorganized and sometimes unprepared. Some thought that the board/lecture slides and class time could have been used more effectively.

CSC 384H1S Introduction to Artificial Intelligence

Instructor(s): H. Ghaderi

Enr: 27	Resp: 10							Retake: 88%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	20	40	20	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	11	44	33	11	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	10	10	60	20	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	20	10	70	0	5.5
Workload	0	0	0	50	50	0	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	70	30	0	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	33	22	11	5.1

CSC 404H1F Introduction to Video Game Design

Instructor(s): S. Engels

Enr: 9	Resp: 9							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	22	33	33	11	5.3
Explains	0	0	11	11	44	22	11	5.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	33	55	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	12	37	37	12	5.5
Workload	0	0	0	22	22	33	22	5.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	22	66	11	0	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	66	16	16	0	4.5

Students liked the course, but found that guidelines were lacking and certain requirements were vague.

CSC 411H1F Machine Learning and Data Mining

Instructor(s): A. Hertzman

Enr: 14	Resp: 7							Retake: 80%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	28	14	42	14	5.4
Explains	0	14	14	0	28	14	28	5.0
Communicates	0	14	0	0	14	42	28	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	14	14	28	42	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	28	42	28	0	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	42	28	28	0	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	28	0	0	71	6.1

The instructor was described as good, and the course was generally interesting.

CSC 418H1F Computer Graphics

Instructor(s): K. Kutulakos

Enr: 40	Resp: 28							Retake: 87%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	3	18	40	33	6.0
Explains	0	0	3	0	22	37	37	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	3	18	33	44	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	3	26	34	34	6.0
Workload	0	0	3	51	22	18	3	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	7	59	25	3	3	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	9	23	28	19	19	5.1

Students found the instructor enjoyable and the course interesting. The mix of theory and practice was good, and the assignments helped clarify ideas.

CSC 454H1F The Business of Software

Instructor(s): P. Stern

Enr: 28	Resp: 14							Retake: 35%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	28	7	28	21	7	7	0	2.9
Explains	28	7	21	21	21	0	0	3.0
Communicates	14	7	14	14	28	14	7	4.1
Teaching	14	14	21	35	14	0	0	3.2
Workload	0	0	0	57	7	35	0	4.8

Difficulty	0	0	0	50	14	21	14	5.0
Learn Exp	20	20	10	10	10	30	0	3.6

Students found lectures unorganized and not useful.

The assignments didn't seem to have any connection with what was done in class, and students didn't think there were adequate instructions for them. There was also a lack of feedback on the assignments.

CSC 458H1F Computer Networks

Instructor(s): Y. Ganjali

Enr: 27	Resp: 13							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	7	23	69	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	7	23	69	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	7	84	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	7	7	84	6.8
Workload	0	0	0	23	46	15	15	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	41	25	16	16	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	9	36	54	6.5

The instructor was very well liked, and was described as being passionate about the material, gave very interesting and did a good job engaging the students.

CSC 465H1F Formal Methods in Software Design

Instructor(s): A. Lai

Enr: 11	Resp: 5							Retake: 0%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	20	20	0	60	0	5.0
Explains	0	0	20	0	20	40	20	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	60	20	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	20	20	40	20	5.6
Workload	0	0	0	50	50	0	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	25	50	25	0	5.0
Learn Exp	0	33	0	0	66	0	0	4.0

The instructor was described as being helpful.

CSC 485H1F Computational Linguistics

Instructor(s): G. Hirst

Enr: 12	Resp: 10							Retake: 75%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	10	50	30	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	20	20	40	20	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	10	40	10	40	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	10	30	30	30	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	30	50	20	0	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	20	30	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	12	37	25	25	5.6

Many students found the material interesting.

The class contained undergraduate and graduate students, with undergrads in the minority and many felt they didn't have the required foundation for the course which made the material difficult.

CSC 486H1F Knowledge Representation and Reasonings

Instructor(s): H. Levesque

Enr: 7	Resp: 6							Retake: 60%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	16	16	33	33	5.8
Explains	0	0	16	33	33	16	0	4.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	83	16	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	16	33	33	16	5.5
Workload	0	0	0	16	50	33	0	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	0	83	16	0	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	20	20	40	0	20	4.8

There was very little emphasis on programming being a highly theoretical

cal course and some liked the lack of required language.

Many students would have liked to have seen more examples to assist in understanding the material better.

DIASPORA & TRANSNATIONAL STUDIES

DTS 200Y1Y Introduction to Diaspora and Transnational Studies I

Instructor(s): R. Iton

Enr: 65

Resp: 37

Retake: 88%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	22	37	34	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	29	32	38	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	17	14	68	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	2	14	20	62	6.4
Workload	3	0	0	54	24	12	6	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	3	46	20	20	10	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	10	17	28	42	6.0

Students found the lectures informative and said that realistic examples were used in class.

Iton was described as "awesome", "wonderful" and "excellent" by his students. They said that he was able to effectively explain concepts.

Instructor(s): R. Berns-McGowan

Enr: 52

Resp: 30

Retake: 86%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	13	26	40	16	5.4
Explains	0	0	3	3	27	34	31	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	16	30	53	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	10	13	27	48	6.1
Workload	0	0	3	70	18	7	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	81	14	3	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	5	23	11	17	41	5.6

Students who took this course thoroughly enjoyed the class. Most found Berns-McGowan to be very enthusiastic and engaging in her teaching. Students wished that the two halves of the course better correlated with each other. Many enjoyed the readings - specifically acknowledging the teacher's use of different types of media.

DTS 401H1F Advanced Topics in Diaspora and Transnationalism

Instructor(s): A. Quayson

Enr: 12

Resp: 9

Retake: 77%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	11	33	22	33	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	11	22	66	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	22	66	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	12	12	37	37	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	0	11	44	44	6.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	0	33	44	22	5.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	20	20	40	5.8

NEW: Tuition payment or fees deferral must be made by Wednesday, August 19th!!

Make sure you read the Timetable and Calendar for all the new changes!!!!

project: universal minds

Volunteer to tutor a high school student for one hour a week.

Get a certificate of recognition from the Dean of Arts & Science.

And a warm and fuzzy feeling inside.

think volunteering.
think ...

Assu
think pink.

For more information or to get your application form, come to ASSU (SS1068) or visit assu.ca.

