

# ANTHROPOLOGY STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION



## Introduction

The Anthropology Students' Association represents you as a student to the department. We act as your voice for any concerns and questions you may have about U of T's Anthropology programs. We also house valuable resources such as a collection of past tests and field school information.

In addition to providing academic services the ASA is here to make sure your university experience is enjoyable and fun! We host events such as movie nights, bake sales, lecture series, and pub nights.

Anyone is free to attend our weekly meetings to contribute ideas for the ASA so come join us and get involved in your academic community!

Drop by our office at 19 Russell Street, Room 100 or check out our website - <http://anthro.sa.utoronto.ca>

ASA Executive

## ANT 100Y1Y Introduction to Anthropology

Instructor(s): S. Lehman; C. Watts

Enr: 1091	Resp: 525							Retake: 67%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
<b>Lehman:</b>								
Presents	0	0	0	4	15	34	42	6.1
Explains	1	0	0	2	13	33	49	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	1	4	12	80	6.7
Teaching	1	0	0	3	8	32	53	6.3
<b>Watts:</b>								
Presents	1	1	7	14	30	27	17	5.2
Explains	2	1	5	18	30	29	13	5.1
Communicates	6	7	10	18	28	19	8	4.5
Teaching	2	1	6	20	27	29	11	5.0
<b>Course:</b>								
Workload	3	5	10	63	10	4	3	4.0
Difficulty	1	2	6	61	17	8	3	4.3
Learn Exp	1	0	3	37	29	19	6	4.8

Students felt that certain aspects of course expectations were unclear, and students would have liked to have had more opportunities for evaluation. Students would have benefitted from a more formal review session.

Students were unhappy with the length of time taken to mark the first assignment, and would have liked to have had an evaluation before writing the second assignment.

Students thought that Lehman showed lots of enthusiasm which helped engage students. Lehman was also knowledgeable, well-organized and approachable.

Watts demonstrated good knowledge of the material, and students commented favourable regarding his use of media during presentations. The delivery of the material was criticized by a few students for being a bit dry.

Instructor(s): I. Kalmar; L. Jermyn

Enr: 895	Resp: 403							Retake: 60%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
<b>Kalmar:</b>								
Presents	0	1	2	14	29	34	17	5.5
Explains	0	1	3	11	25	32	25	5.6
Communicates	0	0	1	8	20	37	30	5.8
Teaching	0	1	3	11	28	34	20	5.5
<b>Jermyn:</b>								
Presents	5	5	8	17	28	27	12	5.0
Explains	0	1	4	15	31	28	17	5.3
Communicates	4	1	9	21	32	23	8	4.8
Teaching	1	2	4	19	35	27	8	5.0
<b>Course:</b>								
Workload	1	1	12	62	13	5	2	4.1
Difficulty	0	1	8	63	17	5	2	4.2
Learn Exp	1	3	6	45	24	13	5	4.5

Many students found Kalmar to be an enthusiastic and entertainingly funny lecturer. His lectures were interesting and challenging. The videos he used to support the topics were quite effective in reinforcing the course material, unfortunately, some students expected more clarity and examples.

Kalmar was very approachable overall, and made the students feel involved in the course, especially when he used personal life examples as added flavour. Despite the fact that assignments were not marked in a more efficient manner, and despite the technicality of the textbook, overall, Kalmar's lecture was thoroughly enjoyed.

Students had a lot of difficulty with Jermyn's section of the course. Many commented that the assignments took too long to get back, and the expectations were not clear. Tutorials were highly recommended by students.

Many found the lectures enjoyable and liked Jermyn's usage of videos. Students felt that they would have been better able to grasp the material if slides were used. Many found it hard to write notes because Jermyn spoke too quickly.

## ANT 200Y1Y Introduction to Archaeology

Instructor(s): M. Chazan

Enr: 191	Resp: 125							Retake: 82%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
<b>Chazan:</b>								
Presents	0	1	5	14	34	31	11	5.2
Explains	0	0	1	8	27	34	26	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	3	5	23	67	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	7	20	37	33	5.9
Workload	0	5	9	75	8	1	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	3	14	71	7	2	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	3	28	25	25	15	5.2

Most students believed that Chazan was an enthusiastic teacher, impressing many students and influencing them to pursue more classes in archaeology. The only complaint was that he was a little disorganized and that lecture and textbook material often overlapped. Overall, most students enjoyed the class, and recommended it.

## ANT 203Y1Y Human Biology & Evolution

Instructor(s): D. Begin

Enr: 141	Resp: 94							Retake: 75%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
<b>Begin:</b>								
Presents	0	2	1	13	30	29	22	5.5
Explains	0	0	2	12	24	36	24	5.7
Communicates	0	1	1	10	27	33	26	5.7
Teaching	0	3	3	9	26	37	19	5.5
Workload	0	2	5	72	11	6	1	4.2

## 8 ANTHROPOLOGY

Difficulty	0	2	7	48	29	6	4	4.4
Learn Exp	1	0	2	44	26	8	16	4.9

Begun was described as a well-organized, knowledgeable lecturer. Students found the material well-presented and interesting. However, some found tests focussed on unnecessary details.

### ANT 204Y1Y Social and Cultural Anthropology

Instructor(s): L. Jermyn

Enr: 263	Resp: 178							Retake: 73%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	4	16	38	39	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	5	16	39	37	6.1
Communicates	0	1	1	8	23	38	26	5.8
Teaching	1	0	1	9	24	40	24	5.7
Workload	0	0	1	49	34	10	4	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	2	50	32	11	2	4.6
Learn Exp	1	0	3	22	22	28	20	5.3

As a whole, students greatly enjoyed this course. Jermyn's lectures were considered especially useful, including her use of films. However, Jermyn was considered by many to be unapproachable, and communications between her and the TAs was insufficient. Grading was strict and the workload was heavy, but the overall learning experience was great.

### ANT 210H1S Craft of Social/Cultural Anthropology

Instructor(s): J. Barker

Enr: 30	Resp: 19							Retake: 55%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	5	21	15	42	10	5.2
Explains	0	0	5	15	21	42	15	5.5
Communicates	0	0	5	15	21	10	45	5.8
Teaching	0	0	5	10	10	47	26	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	5	10	31	52	6.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	15	26	26	31	5.7
Learn Exp	6	0	6	18	18	25	25	5.2

Students found Barker organized and flexible regarding assignments. He was also considered approachable and insightful. Students found him knowledgeable and able to clearly convey concepts to students.

Students found the course load unusually high for a second year course. Students also felt that it should be a full year course. Students found that there was an overwhelming number of assignments.

### ANT 253H1F Language and Society

Instructor(s): M. Danesi

Enr: 492	Resp: 36							Retake: 96%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	0	8	13	16	13	44	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	8	14	8	68	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	11	80	6.7
Teaching	0	2	0	5	14	11	62	6.3
Workload	2	5	27	58	5	0	0	3.6
Difficulty	2	2	29	55	5	2	0	3.7
Learn Exp	0	0	8	37	20	4	29	5.1

Most students described Danesi as a very good and enthusiastic instructor. He showed great interest in the material but students expressed that he tended to stray off topic.

Students also felt the essay was weighted too heavily, however, his tests were straight forward.

### ANT 315H1F Arctic Archaeology

Instructor(s): M. Friesen

Enr: 32	Resp: 27							Retake: 63%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	19	53	23	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	20	48	32	6.1

Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	36	52	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	15	42	42	6.3
Workload	0	0	3	80	11	3	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	8	76	16	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	38	38	22	0	4.8

Friesen was considered to be a good and enthusiastic instructor who had a good teaching style. A number of students believed the instructor created a sense of interest in arctic archaeology, that they were unaware of before taking this course. The students enjoyed the lectures.

### ANT 319Y1Y Archaeology of North America

Instructor(s): C. Watts

Enr: 34	Resp: 28							Retake: 92%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	11	51	37	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	14	42	42	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	10	25	21	42	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	17	32	50	6.3
Workload	0	0	3	82	14	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	82	17	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	31	36	31	0	5.0

Watts was a very good lecturer with detailed knowledge on the subject. His lecture slides were very detailed and organized. He kept his lectures from being too dry with funny anecdotes of past experiences.

The course was too general for a 300-level course. There was so much information that the 2 hour class felt too short and rushed.

### ANT 322H1S Anthropology of Youth Culture

Instructor(s): M. Danesi

Enr: 276	Resp: 83							Retake: 97%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	1	1	4	14	37	37	5.9
Explains	1	0	1	3	6	25	62	6.4
Communicates	1	0	0	0	1	9	87	6.8
Teaching	1	6	0	4	6	17	70	6.5
Workload	6	7	20	57	6	1	1	3.6
Difficulty	4	13	19	54	3	1	2	3.5
Learn Exp	0	3	1	18	14	18	44	5.8

Danesi was described as being enthusiastic, humorous, insightful and approachable. An overwhelming number of students said he was one of the best instructors at UofT. He was often described as an amazing lecturer.

Overall, students highly enjoyed this course. Some felt that the requirements for the essay could have been clearer, and some felt the tests were too easy.

### ANT 323Y1Y Social Theory through Contemporary Culture

Instructor(s): I. Kalmar

Enr: 52	Resp: 34							Retake: 72%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	23	20	41	14	5.5
Explains	0	0	2	8	20	50	17	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	50	41	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	5	20	47	26	5.9
Workload	0	0	2	61	26	5	2	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	44	29	20	5	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	23	38	26	11	5.3

Kalmar was described as a good instructor who did an amazing job explaining difficult concepts. Both Kalmar and the TA were very approachable and helpful.

The course material was described as very interesting. There were mixed emotions about the usefulness of the tutorials.

### ANT 326Y1F Human Evolutionary Anatomy

Instructor(s): D. Begun

Enr: 47	Resp: 26							Retake: 73%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	34	50	7	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	3	26	57	11	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	3	7	69	19	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	30	61	7	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	26	34	30	7	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	24	20	16	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	31	18	43	6	5.2

Students thought that the instructor displayed enthusiasm for his subject. However, some felt that more classes would have been nice to cover more material.

### ANT 333Y1Y Living Primate Adaptations

Instructor(s): S. Lehman

Enr: 45	Resp: 32							Retake: 77%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	6	18	40	31	5.9
Explains	0	0	6	3	12	37	40	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	18	75	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	6	15	28	50	6.2
Workload	0	3	3	71	15	6	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	6	65	21	3	3	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	10	24	31	27	6	5.0

Students found Lehman to be very enthusiastic and knowledgeable. The course was well-structured and the labs were beneficial to the students' learning experience.

### ANT 340H1F Anthropology of Latin America

Instructor(s): V. Napolitano-Quayson

Enr: 31	Resp: 18							Retake: 70%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	5	5	5	22	38	16	5	4.6
Explains	0	5	5	17	23	41	5	5.1
Communicates	0	0	5	5	16	38	33	5.9
Teaching	0	0	5	5	41	35	11	5.4
Workload	0	0	0	72	22	5	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	88	5	5	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	41	41	16	0	4.8

Students felt that the instructor was enthusiastic and generally interested in the students' learning experience. However, some felt that the course was not presented in an organized manner and few questioned the value of the reading material.

### ANT 358H1F Medical Anthropology and Social Justice

Instructor(s): R. Lee

Enr: 82	Resp: 61							Retake: 90%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	3	28	48	16	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	3	19	51	25	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	33	53	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	5	12	54	28	6.1
Workload	0	0	12	68	14	5	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	12	79	3	5	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	2	15	41	17	23	5.4

Lee showed enthusiasm and a wide breadth of knowledge. Some students were concerned over the distribution of grades, with the majority of the grading occurring at the end of the course. A few students also felt that there was a similarity to ANT 348.

### ANT 364Y1Y Environment and Globalization

Instructor(s): L. Jermyn

Enr: 71	Resp: 52							Retake: 93%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	1	7	21	69	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	11	21	67	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	30	63	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	34	59	6.5
Workload	0	0	2	40	36	22	0	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	3	52	29	9	3	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	2	2	20	32	42	6.1

Jermyn was described as a great instructor and changed the way many students looked at the world. She was very interesting and intense. Overall, a fantastic instructor.

There were some complaints that the assignment marking scheme and spacing of due dates. The title of the course made many believe that it was for environment students, which it wasn't. It was a great course covering many topics related to all disciplines!

### ANT 365H1F Native America and the State

Instructor(s): K. Sieciechowicz

Enr: 32	Resp: 27							Retake: 88%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	48	29	14	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	1	46	42	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	33	59	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	3	7	53	34	6.2
Workload	0	0	3	37	22	33	3	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	7	62	25	3	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	17	30	17	34	5.7

The instructor was considered to have interesting lectures and have extensive knowledge in her area of study. Students thought the readings were a little dense for a half year course. A few students believed Sieciechowicz went off topic at times, however, overall, the students enjoyed the course and the instructor.

### ANT 366H1S Anthropology of Social Movements: Theory and Method

Instructor(s): N. Dave

Enr: 67	Resp: 50							Retake: 75%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	2	0	14	44	36	6.1
Explains	2	0	0	6	16	46	28	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	2	12	38	46	6.3
Teaching	0	2	0	2	12	38	44	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	18	30	40	12	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	4	24	34	32	4	5.1
Learn Exp	2	0	0	9	31	31	24	5.6

Dave was a very enjoyable instructor with engaging lectures. However, there were too many readings. The black board discussions were difficult for many due to their lack of understanding of the material.

### ANT 367Y1F Indigenous Spirituality

Instructor(s): D. Turner

Enr: 30	Resp: 17							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	58	17	23	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	17	52	29	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	17	82	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	41	58	6.6
Workload	0	5	17	47	29	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	5	11	58	17	5	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	18	0	36	45	6.1

Students thoroughly enjoyed the course and described the instructor as

## 10 ANTHROPOLOGY

being one of their most memorable. A few described the course load as heavy but felt the instructor more than made up for this due to his use of personal examples and film.

### ANT 411H1S Advanced Archaeological Theory

Instructor(s): E. Swenson

Enr: 16	Resp: 15						Retake: 85%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	20	13	66	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	15	23	61	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	14	78	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	7	92	6.9
Workload	0	0	0	13	40	46	0	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	13	26	60	0	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	8	16	25	50	6.2

Swenson was described as an amazing and brilliantly enthusiastic instructor. He was great at explaining all concepts of archaeological theory and he made very difficult concepts easy and enjoyable to follow. Swenson was very easy to approach and was kind to everyone. Swenson was like a "walking encyclopedia!"

The course material was made very easy to comprehend and many students wished that the class was an hour longer or had tutorials to discuss more of the readings.

### ANT 417H1F Archaeology of Settlements & Households

Instructor(s): T. Banning

Enr: 21	Resp: 16						Retake: 68%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	18	37	18	25	5.5
Explains	0	0	6	18	25	25	25	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	6	12	31	50	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	12	50	37	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	12	62	18	6	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	6	62	25	6	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	22	33	33	11	5.3

Students thought the instructor did a good job but went through the slides too quickly. Some students felt that they didn't know which information was important enough to copy down in the limited time.

### ANT 419H1S Current Debates in Paleolithic Archaeology

Instructor(s): M. Chazan

Enr: 24	Resp: 20						Retake: 85%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	10	5	31	21	31	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	40	25	35	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	25	65	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	5	20	20	55	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	60	35	5	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	5	75	10	10	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	21	21	26	31	5.7

Most students praised the course for its approach and subject matter. Some students commented that the instructor was one of the best at the university and that, overall, this course was both valuable and enjoyable.

Some questioned the evaluation system and would have appreciated knowing their grade going into the final. However, most praised the 'Notebook' approach both for building Analytical skills and for Practical knowledge.

### ANT 425H1S Language in Anthropological Thought

Instructor(s): H. Luong

	Enr: 12							Mean
	Resp: 10							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	11	44	44	0	5.3
Explains	0	0	0	11	22	66	0	5.6

Communicates	0	0	0	11	22	55	11	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	10	10	80	0	5.7
Workload	0	0	0	40	30	30	0	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	20	30	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	44	33	22	0	4.8

Luong was described as enthusiastic and clear. Students appreciated the effort he made to remember their names and the contributions they made to class discussions.

Students appreciated the emphasis on class discussions and found the material very interesting. While some students appreciated the methods of evaluations; some felt that they were worth too much and the instructions were vague.

### ANT 429H1S Palaeoanthropology

Instructor(s): D. Begun

Enr: 18	Resp: 15					Retake: 80%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	40	46	6	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	6	33	33	26	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	6	20	53	20	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	7	21	42	28	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	46	40	13	0	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	26	53	13	6	5.0
Learn Exp	8	8	0	8	41	33	0	4.7

### ANT 440H1S Society in Transition

Instructor(s): C. Montgomery

Enr: 14	Resp: 9						Retake: 77%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	11	11	66	11	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	22	22	44	11	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	25	75	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	22	66	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	33	22	44	0	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	33	33	22	11	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	0	57	28	6.0

Students appreciated Montgomery as an instructor. They found her to be enthusiastic, highly approachable and encouraging.

### ANT 446H1F Anthropology of Western Europe: Issues and Ideas

Instructor(s): G. Alderson-Smith

Enr: 8	Resp: 5						Retake: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	20	0	60	20	0	4.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	40	60	0	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5
Workload	20	0	0	80	0	0	0	3.4
Difficulty	0	20	0	40	20	20	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	33	0	33	5.3

Students found the course to be very rewarding. They found the instructor to be approachable, interesting and enthusiastic.

### ANT 450H1F Anthropological Approaches to Environmentalism

Instructor(s): H. Cunningham

Enr: 20	Resp: 21						Retake: 88%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	21	26	42	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	31	26	42	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	15	78	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	15	21	63	6.5
Workload	0	0	15	26	47	5	5	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	5	52	31	10	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	6	43	25	25	5.7



Students found this class to be very enjoyable. Many commented on the instructor's high level of enthusiasm and knowledge regarding the subject.

#### ANT 454H1F The Anthropology of Music and Art

Instructor(s): D. Turner

Enr: 24	Resp: 24							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	25	41	25	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	9	31	59	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	4	26	69	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	13	30	56	6.4
Workload	9	4	18	54	4	4	4	3.7
Difficulty	4	0	18	50	18	9	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	17	0	11	70	6.4

Students found this course to be exceptional and Turner to have had a great, if unconventional teaching method.

#### ANT 456H1F Sexuality, Culture and Movement

Instructor(s): N. Dave

Enr: 16	Resp: 12							Retake: 83%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	8	33	58	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	10	50	40	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	25	75	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	8	8	16	66	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	25	16	41	16	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	25	33	16	25	5.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	11	33	44	6.1

Dave was engaged with the material and demonstrated passion for the subject matter. Overall, students found the course highly worthwhile, despite some noting a high quantity of content to cover.

#### ANT 459H1S Maps and Places

Instructor(s): K. Sieciechowicz

Enr: 15	Resp: 11							Retake: 80%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	9	9	63	18	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	9	45	45	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	30	50	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	9	45	45	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	72	9	18	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	72	18	9	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	30	30	40	6.1

Sieciechowicz was described as being a stimulating and encouraging instructor. The material was complex with students stressing the value of class discussions. Overall, a fantastic course, however, some students felt that it should have another hour of class time each week.

#### ANT 462H1F Anthropology and the Intimate: Studies in Affect and Action

Instructor(s): N. Dave

Enr: 21	Resp: 19							Retake: 78%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	0	21	36	36	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	5	15	47	31	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	16	83	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	5	0	31	63	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	11	33	38	16	5.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	5	31	31	31	5.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	6	31	18	43	6.0

Most students described Dave as an excellent instructor who inspired her students, and was able to bring out the best in them. Dave was also very well spoken and able to make complex material easier to under-

stand.

The content was dense, however, the required reading was very valuable.

#### ANT 463H1S Native Rights, Canadian Law

Instructor(s): K. Sieciechowicz

Enr: 11	Resp: 8							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	12	87	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	12	87	6.9
Workload	0	0	0	12	62	12	12	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	62	0	25	12	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	0	14	71	6.4

Highly recommended course. Sieciechowicz was a brilliant teacher who led engaging discussions.

#### ANT 471H1S Human Nutritional Ecology

Instructor(s): D. Sellen

Enr: 58	Resp: 49							Retake: 85%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	11	11	31	29	15	5.3
Explains	0	0	2	8	26	43	19	5.7
Communicates	0	0	2	4	6	31	56	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	2	17	36	43	6.2
Workload	0	6	6	54	20	12	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	4	8	77	8	2	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	5	19	33	25	16	5.3

The students felt that the instructor should have lectured more. Too much emphasis was placed on readings and class participation did not seem necessary. The online blogs were far too time consuming. The class size was seen as being too large for a seminar course.

Sellen was seen as "great", very interesting and approachable.

#### ANT 481H1F Special Topics in Biological Anthropology: Biological Anthropology of Children and Childcare

Instructor(s): D. Sellen

Enr: 22	Resp: 19							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	5	36	52	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	15	85	6.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	10	89	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	36	63	6.6
Workload	0	5	10	63	10	10	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	5	73	5	15	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	8	8	25	58	6.3

Sellen was a great instructor who facilitated discussion in class. Students found the course to be informative, and the seminar format was much appreciated.

Students thought that he was enthusiastic and knowledgeable.

## ARCHAEOLOGY

#### ARH 305H1F Archaeological Interpretation

Instructor(s): E. Swenson

Enr: 32	Resp: 24							Retake: 90%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	27	36	36	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	9	40	50	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	9	13	77	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	4	38	57	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	59	18	18	4	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	54	31	4	9	4.7

## 12 ANTHROPOLOGY

Learn Exp 0 0 0 10 36 26 26 5.7

Some students felt that there was not enough available time to absorb the lecture material. A few suggested an extension of the lectures from 2 hours to 3 hours.

Swenson was regarded as a very good and highly enthusiastic instructor despite his tendency to rush through the material.

### ARH 312Y1Y Archaeological Laboratory

Instructor(s): T. Banning

	Resp: 12							Retake: 83%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	8	16	8	41	25	5.6
Explains	0	0	9	0	18	63	9	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	58	33	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	8	16	50	25	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	54	27	18	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	41	16	33	8	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	30	40	20	10	5.1

Banning was a good instructor who was knowledgeable and a sweet and kind teacher. The labs were usually poorly explained and difficult to follow. However, they were helpful in understanding archaeological concepts.

Make sure you read the Registration Handbook/Timetable and Calendar to find out all of the **NEW** changes for this year!!!

### ARH 482H1F Special Topics in Archaeology: Archaeological Ethics and Law

Instructor(s): M. Kersel

Enr: 11	Resp: 7							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	28	71	6.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	28	71	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	14	85	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	28	71	6.7
Workload	0	0	14	42	42	0	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	18	71	14	0	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	0	28	71	6.7

Students thought that the course was fantastic. Kersel was an excellent, knowledgeable and engaging instructor.

Students enjoyed the projects which were helpful and interesting.

**NEW:** "Virtual Monday" – Wednesday, November 11th – on this day Monday classes will be held and no regularly scheduled Wednesday classes.

