

**WOODSWORTH COLLEGE COURSES**



Introduction

ASSU would like to thank the Staff and Faculty of Woodsworth College for their assistance in providing the following evaluations.

Editor

**WDW 200Y1Y Introduction to Criminology**

Instructor(s): S. Wortley

	Enr: 158							Resp: 106							Retake: 85%								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	13	27	30	26	0	0	0	8	19	41	29	0	0	0	1	4	16	40	35	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	8	19	41	29	0	0	1	5	15	18	43	16	0	0	0	9	25	47	17	5.9
Communicates	0	0	1	4	16	40	35	0	1	5	15	18	43	16	3	1	12	68	11	2	0	6.0	
Teaching	0	0	0	5	16	38	37	0	0	0	9	25	47	17	1	4	12	63	1	0	2	6.0	
Workload	0	0	1	66	21	7	0	3	1	12	68	11	2	0	0	0	4	67	18	5	1	4.3	
Difficulty	0	0	4	67	18	5	1	1	4	12	63	1	0	2	0	0	4	67	18	5	1	4.3	
Learn Exp	1	0	1	27	30	27	11	0	2	1	46	19	15	14	1	0	1	27	30	27	11	5.1	

Wortley was a very good instructor. He was highly knowledgeable of the material and had a great sense of humour. He was also engaging, enthusiastic and provided students with excellent insight.

However, some students thought that he went off topic at times and that the tests were unfair. Overall, an excellent course with a very good instructor and guest lecturers.

**WDW 220Y1Y Introduction to Criminal Law and Procedure**

Instructor(s): B. Davies

	Enr: 166							Resp: 119							Retake: 85%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	7	22	35	30	0	0	1	6	19	40	31	0	0	0	6	12	46	33	5.8
Explains	0	0	1	6	19	40	31	0	0	1	6	19	40	31	0	0	0	6	12	46	33	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	6	12	46	33	0	0	1	7	20	37	31	0	0	0	6	12	46	33	6.0
Teaching	0	0	1	7	20	37	31	0	0	1	7	20	37	31	0	0	1	7	20	37	31	5.9
Workload	0	0	1	53	25	15	2	0	0	1	53	25	15	2	0	0	1	53	25	15	2	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	36	41	13	6	0	0	0	36	41	13	6	0	0	0	36	41	13	6	4.9
Learn Exp	1	0	0	21	32	27	17	1	0	0	21	32	27	17	1	0	0	21	32	27	17	5.4

Most students thought that Davies was a good instructor who was enthusiastic and communicated effectively. She was well organized and knowledgeable about the material. She clearly illustrated all concepts and addressed questions without hesitation. Students really appreciated that she posted the lecture notes online prior to the lectures.

A few students thought that she spoke too quickly at times. Tutorials were useless and the T.A.s graded the assignments poorly.

Instructor(s): R. Levi

	Enr: 175							Resp: 144							Retake: 96%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	2	13	29	52	0	0	1	2	13	29	52	0	0	0	0	0	8	90	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	1	5	18	74	0	0	0	1	5	18	74	0	0	0	0	0	8	90	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	8	90	0	0	0	0	0	8	90	0	0	0	0	0	8	90	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	2	4	16	76	0	0	0	2	4	16	76	0	0	0	0	0	8	90	6.7
Workload	0	0	4	67	18	6	2	0	0	4	67	18	6	2	0	0	4	67	18	6	2	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	55	29	11	2	0	0	0	55	29	11	2	0	0	0	55	29	11	2	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	10	12	29	45	0	0	0	10	12	29	45	0	0	0	10	12	29	45	6.1

Most students thought that Levi was an excellent instructor. He was a very prepared lecturer and his enthusiasm made the lectures extremely enjoyable. He was very personable and approachable.

The course material was relevant, interesting and informative.

**WDW 244H1F Labour Relations**

Instructor(s): F. Reid

	Enr: 116							Resp: 86							Retake: 77%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	9	17	45	27	0	0	0	9	17	45	27	0	0	0	9	17	45	27	5.9
Explains	0	0	1	10	20	47	19	0	0	1	10	20	47	19	0	0	1	10	20	47	19	5.7
Communicates	0	1	5	15	18	43	16	0	1	5	15	18	43	16	0	1	5	15	18	43	16	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	9	25	47	17	0	0	0	9	25	47	17	0	0	0	9	25	47	17	5.7
Workload	3	1	12	68	11	2	0	3	1	12	68	11	2	0	3	1	12	68	11	2	0	3.9
Difficulty	1	4	12	63	1	0	2	1	4	12	63	1	0	2	1	4	12	63	1	0	2	4.0
Learn Exp	0	2	1	46	19	15	14	0	2	1	46	19	15	14	0	2	1	46	19	15	14	4.9

Students felt that Reid was knowledgeable and enthusiastic. Students appreciated the lecture slides. The lectures were organized and beneficial. Students seemed a little confused about what was expected of them in the tests to get good grades.

**WDW 260H1F Organizational Behaviour**

Instructor(s): L. Riznek

	Enr: 46							Resp: 30							Retake: 82%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	0	3	0	16	43	33	3	0	3	0	16	43	33	3	0	3	0	16	43	33	5.9
Explains	3	0	3	3	10	36	43	3	0	3	3	10	36	43	3	0	3	3	10	36	43	6.0
Communicates	3	0	3	0	3	20	70	3	0	3	0	3	20	70	3	0	3	0	3	20	70	6.4
Teaching	3	0	3	0	3	50	40	3	0	3	0	3	50	40	3	0	3	0	3	50	40	6.1
Workload	0	0	3	34	27	27	6	0	0	3	34	27	27	6	0	0	3	34	27	27	6	5.0
Difficulty	3	0	10	63	20	3	0	3	0	10	63	20	3	0	3	0	10	63	20	3	0	4.1
Learn Exp	4	4	0	4	45	33	8	4	4	0	4	45	33	8	4	4	0	4	45	33	8	5.2

Students found Riznek enthusiastic, dynamic and energetic. She was also extremely approachable.

Students found the method of teaching stimulating with interactive activities to facilitate learning. Some students felt there were too many assignments and the workload was too high.

Instructor(s): L. Riznek

	Enr: 45							Resp: 35							Retake: 93%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	8	28	62	0	0	0	0	8	28	62	0	0	0	0	8	28	62	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	20	74	0	0	0	0	5	20	74	0	0	0	0	5	20	74	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	14	80	0	0	0	0	5	14	80	0	0	0	0	5	14	80	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	34	60	0	0	0	0	5	34	60	0	0	0	0	5	34	60	6.5
Workload	0	0	2	50	29	5	11	0	0	2	50	29	5	11	0	0	2	50	29	5	11	4.7
Difficulty	0	2	2	68	17	5	2	0	2	2	68	17	5	2	0	2	2	68	17	5	2	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	13	10	24	51	0	0	0	13	10	24	51	0	0	0	13	10	24	51	6.1

Many students thought that Riznek was the best instructor of U of T. She was very enthusiastic, clear, understanding and made the class very enjoyable. She was also very creative, innovative and cared about her students. Her lectures were extremely interesting and useful. Her use of personal examples and stories made learning the concepts fun and easy. The course load was a little high with many assignments.

Overall, an excellent course.

**WDW 310H1F Crime and Society in Canadian History**

Instructor(s): S. Lewthwaite

Enr: 46 Resp: 38 Retake: 82%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	15	44	36	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	2	15	47	34	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	2	21	43	32	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	2	10	55	31	6.2
Workload	0	0	2	68	25	2	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	5	80	13	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	17	31	41	10	5.4

Students found that the instructor was enthusiastic and knowledgeable which made the lectures enjoyable.

The lectures moved a little too quickly to follow but students found the lectures and reading material extremely enjoyable.

**WDW 320H1F The Prosecution Process**

Instructor(s): A. Davies

Enr: 30 Resp: 22 Retake: 70%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	9	13	36	40	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	9	13	40	36	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	18	31	50	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	13	9	27	50	6.1
Workload	0	0	4	13	22	36	22	5.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	31	36	22	9	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	22	22	27	27	5.6

**WDW 320H1S The Prosecution Process**

Instructor(s): B. Davies

Enr: 32 Resp: 24 Retake: 86%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	16	41	41	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	12	54	33	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	16	54	33	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	17	43	39	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	29	33	33	4	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	4	54	18	13	9	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	15	30	35	20	5.6

Davies was a great instructor. However students complained about the T.A. who marked the students' papers extremely hard.

**WDW 335H1F Policing**

Instructor(s): D. Demos

Enr: 46 Resp: 33 Retake: 87%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	9	6	15	45	21	5.5
Explains	0	0	3	12	12	48	24	5.8
Communicates	0	3	0	9	18	42	27	5.8
Teaching	0	3	9	0	33	30	24	5.5
Workload	0	0	6	78	9	6	0	4.2
Difficulty	3	0	6	81	6	3	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	3	3	35	29	22	6	4.8

Students found the instructor enthusiastic, engaging and knowledgeable. He was always available for individual consultation.

Students felt that the course was interesting, however, lecture slides would have been beneficial. A few students were unhappy with the TA and the marking scheme.

**WDW 335H1S Policing**

Instructor(s): D. Demos

Enr: 48 Resp: 30 Retake: 80%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	3	43	36	10	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	10	20	50	20	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	3	26	40	30	6.0
Teaching	0	0	3	6	23	43	23	5.8
Workload	0	0	10	73	13	3	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	14	71	14	0	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	13	45	22	18	0	4.5

Demos was a good instructor who presented the material in an enthusiastic and interesting way. However many students complained about the TA, who took a very long time responding to emails.

**WDW 340H1F Penology**

Instructor(s): W. Watson

Enr: 78 Resp: 43 Retake: 69%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	0	7	19	26	23	19	5.1
Explains	0	0	11	18	11	34	23	5.4
Communicates	0	2	0	9	25	41	20	5.7
Teaching	2	2	0	9	20	41	23	5.6
Workload	0	2	11	55	25	4	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	4	41	37	11	4	4.7
Learn Exp	0	3	6	34	28	15	12	4.8

Watson was knowledgeable and friendly which made him approachable.

Some students felt that he should have encouraged more class discussion. Usage of handouts or slides could also have positively affected the experience.

**WDW 346H1F Topics in Employment Relations: Human Resource Planning**

Instructor(s): E. Mock

Enr: 59 Resp: 50 Retake: 76%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	10	18	36	20	14	5.1
Explains	0	0	2	16	22	34	24	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	6	24	28	40	6.0
Teaching	0	0	4	16	33	31	14	5.4
Workload	0	0	0	76	14	6	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	2	40	22	2	2	4.3
Learn Exp	0	2	2	28	36	21	7	4.9

Students felt that the lecturer was good and liked the fact that he based his lectures on his experience which made the classes interesting and engaging. His lecture notes were good and were posted online.

However, a few students felt that the grading scheme was not clear and marked assignments did not have enough feedback.

**WDW 347H1F Topics in Employment Relations: Training and Development**

Instructor(s): L. Riznek

Enr: 18 Resp: 14 Retake: 83%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	57	42	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	28	71	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	28	71	6.7
Workload	0	0	0	7	35	35	21	5.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	71	14	14	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	28	42	28	6.0

Students thought that Riznek was passionate, approachable, enthusiastic and overall, an excellent instructor.

Students found the workload very high but still a positive learning experience.

**WDW 347H1S Topics in Employment Relations: Training and Development**

Instructor(s): L. Riznek

Enr: 18 Resp: 14 Retake: 100%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	7	35	57	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	14	28	57	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	7	0	14	78	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	7	0	50	42	6.3
Workload	0	0	0	14	21	21	42	5.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	30	38	23	7	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	11	44	44	6.3

Riznek was a very good instructor with a lot of enthusiasm for the material. She communicated concepts and theories of training and development in an enthusiastic and meaningful way.

The workload was very high, however students felt that it was worth it. Overall, an extremely valuable learning experience.

**WDW 348H1S Topics in Employment Relations: Recruitment and Selection**

Instructor(s): E. Keys

Enr: 53 Resp: 42 Retake: 65%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	0	2	38	26	23	4	4.7
Explains	4	0	2	26	36	29	0	4.8
Communicates	4	2	9	30	33	19	0	4.4
Teaching	4	0	9	26	40	16	2	4.6
Workload	0	2	7	78	12	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	4	2	4	80	7	0	0	3.8
Learn Exp	4	4	16	48	20	8	0	4.0

Keys used different methods of evaluation which was appreciated, and she used good examples in class.

However, many students commented that the quizzes were not a fair method of evaluation because they had no bearing on students' ability or knowledge. For class, she simply read off her slides. Students suggested that upgrading to using powerpoint instead of overheads and putting it online (on blackboard) would have helped. The test marking scheme was unclear and not a fair representation of the course's overall material.

**WDW 350Y1Y Research Methods in Criminology**

Instructor(s): A. Doob

Enr: 17 Resp: 16 Retake: 93%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	12	56	25	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	6	25	43	25	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	68	31	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	37	62	6.6
Workload	0	0	6	31	56	6	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	37	50	12	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	6	50	31	12	5.5

Doob was an outstanding instructor who was always available, personable and very knowledgeable. He truly cared about each of the students and wanted them to succeed.

**WDW 360H1F Law and Psychiatry**

Instructor(s): W. Watson

Enr: 85 Resp: 49 Retake: 87%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	2	16	24	40	14	5.4
Explains	0	0	2	8	24	44	20	5.7
Communicates	2	0	4	4	26	36	26	5.7
Teaching	0	2	0	6	12	40	38	6.1

Workload	0	0	10	62	20	6	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	2	58	31	8	0	4.5
Learn Exp	2	0	0	25	34	30	6	5.1

Students felt that Watson was enthusiastic, helpful and he made the course enjoyable.

However some students felt that the lecture sessions were too long and as a result sometimes difficult to follow.

**WDW 365H1S Crime and Mind**

Instructor(s): W. Watson

Enr: 95 Resp: 63 Retake: 75%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	11	28	36	22	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	6	23	42	26	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	3	23	44	28	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	3	9	53	33	6.2
Workload	0	0	6	64	14	11	3	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	1	30	40	17	9	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	6	28	30	22	12	5.1

Watson was a very good instructor. He cared about the students very much and made himself available. He always aimed to improve students' ability. He was also very knowledgeable and enthusiastic. His style of lecturing was second to none with brilliant use of examples that made even the most difficult concepts understandable.

However, some students said that the 3 hour lectures were too long.

**WDW 367H1F Compensation**

Instructor(s): W. Weiner

Enr: 48 Resp: 30 Retake: 70%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	20	33	20	23	3	4.6
Explains	0	3	6	20	20	40	10	5.2
Communicates	0	0	10	20	26	30	13	5.2
Teaching	0	0	6	33	13	30	16	5.2
Workload	0	0	6	76	16	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	6	73	13	3	3	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	10	55	15	15	5	4.5

Students felt that it would have been very helpful if the lecture slides were posted earlier on the web. Students were also not very clear which material they would be tested on in tests (textbook or lecture notes).

**WDW 370H1F Youth Justice**

Instructor(s): R. Barnhorst

Enr: 49 Resp: 36 Retake: 87%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	26	47	20	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	26	47	26	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	8	29	38	23	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	3	21	63	12	5.8
Workload	0	0	5	82	11	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	5	79	14	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	22	54	22	0	5.0

Students found the instructor very knowledgeable and pleasant. They felt he was personable and approachable. He also engaged the class in discussions which students appreciated.

Many students did not like the choice of T.A for this course.

**WDW 370H1S Youth Justice**

Instructor(s): R. Barnhorst

Enr: 40 Resp: 28 Retake: 85%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	17	60	10	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	10	10	53	25	5.9
Communicates	3	0	3	0	21	46	25	5.8

**266 WOODSWORTH COLLEGE**

Teaching	0	0	0	7	14	57	21	5.9
Workload	3	0	3	85	7	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	3	0	7	82	7	0	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	28	52	19	0	4.9

This was a very interesting course with a good instructor. Barnhorst was nice and intelligent.

**WDW 375H1F Young Offenders**

Instructor(s): W. O'Grady

Enr: 47	Resp: 36							Retake: 72%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	13	41	36	5	5.3
Explains	0	0	2	8	44	27	16	5.5
Communicates	0	0	5	22	33	22	16	5.2
Teaching	0	0	5	13	38	33	8	5.2
Workload	0	0	0	75	16	2	5	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	5	75	11	8	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	3	7	53	21	14	0	4.4

Students were not happy with the marking scheme of this class. Some suggested a presentation and not having multiple choice questions on the tests.

Students felt that O'Grady was friendly and his use of examples helped to make concepts clearer.

**WDW 380H1F Crime and Gender**

Instructor(s): A. Lunny

Enr: 37	Resp: 25							Retake: 72%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	16	32	40	8	5.3
Explains	0	0	8	12	12	56	12	5.5
Communicates	0	0	4	8	12	36	40	6.0
Teaching	0	0	4	8	16	45	25	5.8
Workload	8	4	4	48	32	4	0	4.0
Difficulty	8	0	8	60	20	4	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	31	31	18	18	5.2

Most students found the instructor enthusiastic, knowledgeable and interesting.

A few students were unhappy with the course itself. They found the assignments too subjective, and the marking scheme unfair. Many students did not like the choice of TA for this class.

**WDW 380H1S Crime and Gender**

Instructor(s): S. Thompson

Enr: 40	Resp: 28							Retake: 77%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	25	57	14	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	3	22	59	14	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	7	21	64	7	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	3	33	44	18	5.8
Workload	0	0	7	32	22	7	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	3	73	23	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	3	34	38	11	11	4.9

Thompson was a knowledgeable instructor who was enthusiastic and approachable. She used examples that helped to illustrate the material. The use of films were very helpful.

A few students thought that she spoke too quickly and needed to work on her presentation during lectures.

**WDW 385H1S Representing Crime and Authority**

Instructor(s): A. Lam

Enr: 44	Resp: 30							Retake: 65%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	6	10	10	23	30	16	5.0
Explains	6	6	6	24	20	20	13	4.6

Communicates	0	6	10	16	16	26	23	5.2
Teaching	6	3	10	27	10	24	17	4.7
Workload	0	3	13	55	6	20	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	6	26	43	10	13	0	4.0
Learn Exp	7	3	30	23	11	9	3	4.0

Students thought the assignments were not outlined clearly, and the expectations were vague. Assignments also did not reflect the class material at all. Some students commented that she talked too quickly and there were too many assignments for a half credit course.

However, some students thought that the instructor was helpful, who taught interesting material and explained concepts well.

**WDW 392H1S Topics in Criminology: Hate Crime**

Instructor(s): A. Lunny

Enr: 49	Resp: 35							Retake: 77%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	8	5	35	38	11	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	14	23	35	26	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	8	17	37	37	6.0
Teaching	0	0	2	11	25	51	8	5.5
Workload	0	0	0	68	22	2	5	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	2	74	8	11	2	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	7	37	18	25	11	5.0

Lunny was an enthusiastic instructor who was knowledgeable and passionate about the course. Students thought that the classes were a little disorganized at times and the T.A.s were not helpful.

**WDW 394H1S Topics in Criminology: Sentencing**

Instructor(s): C. Greene

Enr: 24	Resp: 19							Retake: 78%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	47	26	15	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	10	47	31	10	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	10	42	31	15	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	26	63	10	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	78	15	5	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	10	68	21	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	38	38	11	11	4.9

Greene was a very good instructor who was enthusiastic and helpful. The discussions in class were appreciated.

**WDW 430Y1Y Employment Law**

Instructor(s): M. Certisimo

Enr: 23	Resp: 18							Retake: 72%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	22	22	16	22	11	4.6
Explains	0	0	0	16	38	22	22	5.5
Communicates	0	0	5	0	27	38	27	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	22	38	22	16	5.3
Workload	0	0	5	38	11	16	27	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	35	17	41	5	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	8	41	16	5.4

Students felt that the instructor was enthusiastic. His real world experience was invaluable to the students.

Although the course material was interesting. Students felt that the course was a little disorganized. A few mentioned that class notes would have been helpful and that the marking scheme was unfair.

Instructor(s): M. Fitzgibbon

Enr: 21	Resp: 15							Retake: 92%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	35	42	21	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	21	42	35	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	7	21	35	35	6.0

Teaching	0	0	0	0	35	42	21	5.9
Workload	0	0	13	60	13	6	6	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	13	33	13	33	6	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	40	50	10	5.7

This was a good course with a good instructor. He presented the material in a clear straight-forward manner. The course was also very practical.

Instructor(s): C. Thomlinson

Enr: 24	Resp: 15							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	26	33	33	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	20	33	46	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	13	46	40	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	21	57	21	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	64	21	7	7	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	21	14	14	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	50	33	16	5.7

Students really enjoyed this course. The instructor was enthusiastic, knowledgeable. He presented the material clearly and was very organized.

Instructor(s): J. Mitchell

Enr: 21	Resp: 14							Retake: 92%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	7	0	21	50	14	7	4.9
Explains	0	7	0	14	35	28	14	4.9
Communicates	0	0	7	7	28	42	14	5.5
Teaching	0	0	14	0	50	28	7	5.1
Workload	0	7	0	57	7	21	7	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	57	7	35	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	7	30	15	3	15	5.2

Most students thought that Mitchell was a good instructor. Some students commented that he talked too fast in explaining concepts and suggested to have more case studies. They also suggested to have lecture slides.

