

TRINITY COLLEGE COURSES



Introduction

ASSU would like to thank the Staff and Faculty at Trinity College for their kind assistance in providing these evaluations.

Editor

**TRN 150Y1Y National versus International**

Instructor(s): R. Bothwell; C. Spittal

Enr: 25	Resp: 21							Retake: 80%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
<u>Bothwell:</u>								
Presents	0	4	4	33	47	4	4	4.6
Explains	0	0	4	19	28	28	19	5.4
Communicates	0	0	5	10	15	55	15	5.7
Teaching	0	4	9	9	14	28	33	5.5
<u>Spittal:</u>								
Presents	0	0	4	19	47	23	4	5.0
Explains	0	0	14	28	23	28	4	4.8
Communicates	0	5	5	10	30	35	16	5.3
Teaching	0	23	4	14	19	23	14	4.6
<u>Course:</u>								
Workload	0	0	0	5	25	40	30	5.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	4	0	57	38	6.3
Learn Exp	0	0	5	0	29	41	23	5.8

Overall, a worthwhile course with a lot of interesting class discussions. However, a few felt that the instructors were not accepting of differing views/opinions.

**TRN 151Y1Y Global Governance**

Instructor(s): T. Tielku

Enr: 25	Resp: 19							Retake: 94%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	21	42	36	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	15	21	63	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	10	89	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	15	78	6.7
Workload	0	0	0	5	57	36	0	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	0	42	47	10	5.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	20	40	40	6.2

Tielku's enthusiasm made for a very enjoyable class. He was an "excellent" instructor! Students enjoyed this introduction to International Relations.

**TRN 170Y1Y Ethics and the Creative Imagination**

Instructor(s): M. Kingwell

Enr: 24	Resp: 24							Retake: 95%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	20	54	25	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	4	50	45	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	16	83	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	4	29	66	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	60	21	13	4	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	29	41	25	4	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	12	50	37	6.2

Students found Kingwell extremely enthusiastic and enjoyable to listen to. He was knowledgeable and struck a great balance between teaching and having philosophical discussions in class.

The course was enjoyable overall, and the material was interesting. The reading load was heavy but the material and interactive learning style was a lot of fun.

**TRN 171Y1Y Ethics and the Public Sphere**

Instructor(s): J. Duncan

Enr: 23	Resp: 22							Retake: 85%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	4	22	45	22	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	4	13	54	27	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	4	14	9	71	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	4	9	40	45	6.3
Workload	0	0	0	9	27	40	22	5.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	4	27	40	27	5.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	6	20	4	33	6.0

Duncan was a very effective instructor who demonstrated a high level of enthusiasm for the course material.

A few students felt that there was not enough instruction given to them for the 1st term essay.

**TRN 190Y1Y Critical Reading and Critical Writing**

Instructor(s): D. Anderson

Enr: 21	Resp: 18							Retake: 83%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	16	27	44	11	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	5	38	44	11	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	50	44	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	5	38	38	16	5.7
Workload	0	0	0	66	22	5	5	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	38	50	5	5	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	30	23	15	30	5.5

Anderson's enthusiasm made the course enjoyable. A few students felt that the grading was unfair.

Students did appreciate the class discussions.

**TRN 200Y1Y Modes of Reasoning**

Instructor(s): D. Allen

Enr: 58	Resp: 43							Retake: 92%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	4	95	7.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	11	88	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	2	97	7.0
Workload	0	0	7	53	31	4	2	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	2	44	25	25	2	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	12	28	58	6.5

Students were very happy and honoured to have had Allen as their instructor. They all agreed that he was "exceptional", "amazing", and "the best". Students appreciated Allen's dedication to this course. He was very patient and knowledgeable and was able to break down difficult concepts

for a clearer understanding. His handouts and lectures were very organized, and he was always available to give help or answer questions.

The course itself was "one of the best courses at U of T" - very useful, informative and "highly recommended".

**TRN 305Y1Y Basic Principles of Law**

Instructor(s): T. Miedema

Enr: 82 Resp: 47 Retake: 78%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	2	14	23	27	25	4	4.7
Explains	0	0	2	8	23	44	21	5.7
Communicates	0	0	2	2	17	32	45	6.2
Teaching	0	4	6	11	28	26	22	5.3
Workload	0	0	4	78	12	4	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	2	4	63	25	2	2	4.3
Learn Exp	2	0	2	17	40	20	17	5.2

Miedema was slow handing marked assignments and tests back.

However, she was said to be enthusiastic, funny and explained concepts clearly. Students appreciated Miedema's knowledge and passion for the material.

**TRN 410Y1Y Selected Topics in International Studies**

Instructor(s): W. Walker

Enr: 12 Resp: 12 Retake: 100%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	8	8	83	6.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	8	16	75	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Workload	0	0	0	44	22	22	11	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	40	20	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	16	16	66	6.5

Students described this as a valuable and fabulous course.

Walker's extensive knowledge and engaging and funny demeanor made this course enjoyable. Student thought he was influential and inspirational and were upset that he was not staying at U of T.

**TRN 411Y1Y Selected Topics in International Studies**

Instructor(s): D. Webster

Enr: 7 Resp: 7 Retake: 100%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	14	71	14	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	71	28	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	28	71	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	57	42	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	57	42	0	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	85	14	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	20	60	20	6.0

Webster was described as a very available and approachable instructor.

**TRN 412H1F Seminar in Ethics, Society and Law**

Instructor(s): J. Duncan

Enr: 23 Resp: 20 Retake: 84%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	35	35	30	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	40	15	45	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	35	60	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	50	45	6.4
Workload	0	0	5	65	30	0	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	5	60	35	0	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	35	23	29	5.7

Duncan was enthusiastic and extremely personable. Students felt comfortable approaching him with questions.

The course material was interesting and the lecture discussions were highly informative.

**TRN 412H1S Seminar in Ethics, Society, and Law**

Instructor(s): J. Duncan

Enr: 23 Resp: 16 Retake: 75%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	25	56	12	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	6	68	25	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	31	62	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	6	75	18	6.1
Workload	0	0	6	60	26	6	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	25	56	12	6	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	33	50	16	5.8

Duncan was a very knowledgeable and enthusiastic instructor who gave clear and insightful lectures.

The course was interesting and enjoyable, but a few students felt that the essay was difficult to write.

Instructor(s): A. Ron

Enr: 19 Resp: 11 Retake: 70%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	18	27	18	9	27	5.0
Explains	0	18	9	18	18	18	18	4.6
Communicates	0	0	0	27	27	18	27	5.5
Teaching	0	9	0	18	9	27	36	5.5
Workload	0	9	27	27	27	9	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	10	80	10	0	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	10	10	50	10	10	10	4.3

**TRN 419Y1Y Comparative American, British and Canadian Foreign Policy**

Instructor(s): R. Bothwell; J. Gilmour

Enr: 15 Resp: 13 Retake: 100%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
<u>Bothwell:</u>								
Presents	0	0	0	0	15	53	30	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	38	61	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	23	76	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	7	32	6.9
<u>Gilmour:</u>								
Presents	0	0	0	0	7	53	38	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	46	53	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	15	84	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	7	92	6.9
<u>Course:</u>								
Workload	0	0	0	7	30	38	23	5.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	7	61	15	15	5.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	20	10	70	6.5

Both Bothwell and Gilmour were knowledgeable and great instructors. A challenging but very rewarding course.

**TRN 425Y1Y Law Workshops Course**

Instructor(s): T. Miedema

Enr: 15 Resp: 14 Retake: 85%

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	7	35	42	7	7	4.7
Explains	0	0	0	14	28	50	7	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	42	57	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	35	50	14	5.8
Workload	0	0	7	78	7	7	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	7	42	42	7	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	7	30	38	23	0	4.8

While students really enjoyed the presentations and class discussions, some felt that more structure could have been integrated into the

course.

Miedema displayed a good sense of humour, was enthusiastic and was clearly passionate about the study of law. Students appreciated her insights.

**WRITING COURSES**

**WRT 306H1S Writing for Scientists**

Instructor(s): M. Perry

	Resp: 19							Retake: 94%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	31	36	21	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	31	36	31	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	5	21	31	42	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	21	42	36	6.2
Workload	10	21	26	36	5	0	0	3.1
Difficulty	10	31	21	36	0	0	0	2.8
Learn Exp	0	6	0	20	26	26	20	5.3

A very effective and useful course. Perry was enthusiastic and a very good instructor.

Instructor(s): D. Repka

	Resp: 16							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	37	25	31	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	6	12	37	43	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	37	18	43	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	12	37	50	6.4
Workload	6	0	12	37	18	25	0	4.4
Difficulty	6	0	12	50	25	6	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	8	25	25	41	6.0

Instructor(s): D. Repka

	Resp: 16							Retake: 92%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	31	56	6	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	6	12	50	31	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	12	0	43	43	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	18	56	25	6.1
Workload	0	6	6	43	31	12	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	6	18	50	25	0	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	30	30	20	5.5

Students learned a lot and felt that their writing skills improved. Repka was enthusiastic and a great instructor.

**S.KELLY** THE TIMES-PICAYUNE @2005

