
95

# **DEPARTMENT OF FRENCH**



#### Introduction

ASSU would like to thank the Faculty and Staff of the Department of French for their kind assistance in providing these course evaluations.

Editor

#### FCS 195H1S French Culture from Napoleon to Asterix

Instructor(s): C. Taban

Enr: 148		Re	esp: 48	8		Reta	ke: 43%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	8	6	8	19	19	25	12	4.6
Explains	8	4	12	20	25	16	12	4.5
Communicates	10	6	4	25	22	22	8	4.5
Teaching	10	8	10	18	27	20	4	4.2
Workload	2	2	12	55	14	8	4	4.2
Difficulty	2	2	4	57	23	6	4	4.3
Learn Exp	9	14	7	36	24	4	2	3.8

Students felt that Taban spoke too quickly and therefore it was difficult to take notes. They also found the tests too hard. A few students felt the expectations for this class were too high considering it was a 100-level course.

# FCS 290H1S Special Topics in French Cultural Studies I: Pleasures of Versailles: Music in the Grand Century of France

Instructor(s): K. Komisaruk

Enr: 90		Re	esp: 59	9		Retake: 59%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	1	1	10	20	22	29	13	5.0	
Explains	1	0	8	15	32	29	12	5.1	
Communicates	0	0	1	5	10	24	58	6.3	
Teaching	1	0	5	15	20	37	18	5.4	
Workload	1	10	16	58	7	1	3	3.8	
Difficulty	3	3	17	53	12	0	7	4.0	
Learn Exp	9	0	9	34	31	9	6	4.3	

# FCS 291H1F Special Topics in French Cultural Studies I: The Art and Culture of the Networked Society

Instructor(s): D. De Kerckhove

Enr: 27		R	esp: 2	2		Reta	Retake: 61%  7	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	9	13	36	22	4	9	4.1
Explains	0	0	13	18	31	13	22	5.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	18	36	45	6.3
Teaching	0	0	9	31	13	27	18	5.1
Workload	18	9	27	36	4	0	4	3.2

Difficulty	4	13	31	45	0	4	0	3.4
Learn Exp	0	16	11	16	16	27	11	4.6

Students felt the instructor was incredibly enthusiastic and the course was very interesting. However, they felt the methods of evaluation should have been clearer and the instructor's expectations should have been clearly stated in the course outline.

# FCS 292H1S Special Topics in French Cultural Studies I: Sex, Love, Desires, etc

Instructor(s): M-A. Visoi

Enr: 51		Re	esp: 48	8		Reta	ke: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	2	20	34	39	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	2	9	38	50	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	9	30	60	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	2	37	60	6.6
Workload	0	0	20	65	11	2	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	13	68	13	4	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	5	23	29	41	6.1

Students thoroughly enjoyed this course. They found Visoi helpful and enthusiastic, and approachable. The course was thought provoking and interesting, which sparked frequent discussions in class which was encouraged by the instructor.

# FCS 390H1F Special Topics in French Cultural Studies II: Cultural Studies in France: Foucault and After

Instructor(s): A. Motsch

Enr: 18		Re	sp: 1	1		Reta	ake: 81%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	9	18	45	9	18	5.1
Explains	0	0	0	27	27	18	11	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	9	54	36	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	9	36	36	18	5.6
Workload	0	0	9	45	27	18	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	27	45	27	0	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	30	30	20	5.5

Students found Motsch's approach to teaching this class refreshing, interesting and engaging. The learning experience was fulfilling and the level of analysis was challenging but engaging.

# FCS 395H1F Sensuality an the French

Instructor(s): D. Clandfield

Enr: 62		Re	esp: 4	1	Retake: 66%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	9	24	34	19	9	4.9
Explains	0	0	12	24	26	31	4	4.9
Communicates	0	0	0	2	25	52	20	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	15	23	46	15	5.6
Workload	0	0	5	70	15	10	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	4	63	17	12	2	4.4
Learn Exp	3	3	3	40	31	15	3	4.5

Clandfield was highly approachable and available for consultation. He took a significant interest in the well-being of students and was accommodating. However, some students felt his lecture style was a little disorganized. The course itself was interesting.

# FRE 210Y1Y Introduction to Quebec Literature and Culture

Instructor(s): J. Papillon

Enr: 39		Re	sp: 27	7		Reta	ke: 74%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	25	48	18	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	11	7	55	25	6.0
Communicates	0	0	7	0	18	25	48	6.1
Teaching	0	0	7	23	42	26	26	5.9

Workload	0	0	3	85	7	3	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	14	6	14	3	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	8	0	41	25	20	24	4.6

Students felt the instructor was very enthusiastic, cheerful and kind to students.

Instructor(s): B. Havercroft

Enr: 32		Re	sp: 2	1		Retake: 71%       7     Mean       0     5.3       10     5.7       5     5.5		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	15	42	42	0	5.3
Explains	0	0	0	10	15	63	10	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	5	42	47	5	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	5	26	36	31	5.9
Workload	0	0	9	61	23	4	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	4	4	57	23	9	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	5	35	17	29	0	5.1

Students found Havercroft very approachable and willing to answer questions. Students also suggested a little more interaction in classes with overheads, discussions, etc.

FRE 240Y1Y Introduction to Literary Analysis

Instructor(s): A. Motsch

Enr: 25		Re	esp: 2	1		Reta	ake: 52%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	23	19	33	19	4	4.6
Explains	0	0	9	23	33	28	4	5.0
Communicates	0	0	0	14	14	42	28	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	14	23	42	19	5.7
Workload	0	0	0	23	38	14	23	5.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	28	42	4	23	5.2
Learn Exp	0	6	0	37	25	12	18	4.9

Most students found the workload very high and many students felt that the pre-requisite did not adequately prepare them for the level of difficulty of this course.

However, students said Motsch was a very enthusiastic teacher and was extremely knowledgeable about the material. He was friendly and very approachable.

Instructor(s): A. Glinoer

Enr: 27		Re	sp: 24	4		Retake: 68%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	21	26	47	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	21	8	39	30	5.8
Communicates	0	4	0	13	21	34	26	5.6
Teaching	0	0	4	4	16	45	29	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	25	29	37	8	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	26	39	17	17	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	5	26	31	21	15	5.2

While the course had a higher than average workload, students found the course material interesting. A few students suggested posting the lecture notes online, changing the textbook and having discussions in class

Most students found Glinoer to be a good instructor, who was organized and used examples frequently to explain the material.

FRE 272Y1Y The Structure of Modern French: An Introduction

Instructor(s): J. Steele

( )								
Enr: 78		Re	sp: 5	3	Retake: 75%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	1	7	32	57	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	1	15	49	33	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	1	9	45	43	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	10	50	40	6.3
Workload	0	0	4	74	14	6	2	4.3

Difficulty	0	0	2	60	24	10	4	4.5
Learn Exp	0	2	2	28	35	23	7	5.0

Students enjoyed this instructor immensely. He generated much enthusiasm for the subject matter. He explained the material clearly and helped non-francophone students with the concepts.

Instructor(s): N. Guilliot

Enr: 65		Re	esp: 4	5	Retake: 51%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	0	9	36	22	22	6	4.7
Explains	0	0	11	20	44	17	6	4.9
Communicates	2	2	6	31	33	22	2	4.7
Teaching	2	2	6	26	35	20	6	4.8
Workload	0	0	2	76	13	6	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	2	52	30	9	4	4.6
Learn Exp	0	3	9	41	32	12	0	4.4

Students felt the instructor was knowledgeable and knew the subject well. He was a good instructor. However, students suggested that lecture slides would have improved the class experiences, as opposed to writing on the blackboard.

# FRE 305H1F Women and Literature II: Women Writers of the Twentieth Century

Instructor(s): B. Havercroft

Enr: 23		Re	sp: 16	3		ke: 100%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	6	56	37	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	18	43	37	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	37	56	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	6	37	56	6.5
Workload	0	0	6	56	31	6	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	75	12	12	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	22	44	22	5.8

Havercroft was an outstanding instructor who developed enthusiasm for the material.

# FRE 311H1S Advanced Topics in Quebec Literature I: Story Telling with Words and Images

Instructor(s): J. LeBlanc

Enr: 18		Re	sp: 18	3	Retake: 82%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	33	33	27	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	5	22	38	33	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	5	22	33	38	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	5	27	27	38	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	88	5	5	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	88	5	5	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	8	41	16	16	16	4.9

LeBlanc was very enthusiastic, knowledgeable and made herself available to help students regularly. The course was well-organized, structured and had a clear outline and handouts. Students also appreciated the selection of novels they had to read.

# FRE 314H1F From Modernism to Postmodernism: Contemporary Quebec Fiction

Instructor(s): J. LeBlanc

Enr: 20		Re	sp: 1	5	Retake: 78%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	6	0	0	6	46	40	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	6	13	40	40	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	13	40	46	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	6	13	40	40	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	80	6	13	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	80	13	6	0	4.3

Learn Exp	0	0	0	30	46	15	7	5.0
-----------	---	---	---	----	----	----	---	-----

## FRE 321H1F Ardour and Armour in Medieval France

Instructor(s): D. Kullman

Enr: 15		Re	esp: 1	1	Retake: 81%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	10	0	30	30	30	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	30	30	40	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	20	10	20	50	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	27	54	18	5.9
Workload	0	0	18	54	27	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	9	72	18	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	50	33	16	0	4.7

#### FRE 324H1S The 19th Century: 19th Century France

Instructor(s): A. Glinoer

Enr: 14		Re	esp: 10	0	Retake: 60%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	30	50	20	5.9
Explains	0	0	10	0	50	40	0	5.2
Communicates	0	0	0	20	20	50	10	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	20	20	60	0	5.4
Workload	0	0	0	60	20	20	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	50	10	0	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	11	44	22	11	11	4.7

Students found the material interesting, however, they were not very happy with the methods of evaluation used. They felt they were not reflective of the lecture material used in class.

# FRE 325H1F The 18th Century: The Book in the French Enlightenment

Instructor(s): A. Motsch

Enr: 5		Re	esp: 5	;		Retake: 80%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	20	60	0	20	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	40	40	20	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	20	60	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	40	40	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	80	20	0	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	60	40	0	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	20	40	20	5.6

Most students felt that the instructor was enthusiastic and extremely helpful and the course material engaging.

## FRE 364H1F The Golden Age of the Novel

Instructor(s): A. Oliver

Enr: 24		Re	esp: 18	3	Retake: 72%			
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	16	38	27	16	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	16	11	38	33	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	5	17	35	41	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	11	22	38	27	5.8
Workload	0	0	5	64	23	5	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	11	55	27	5	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	18	37	31	12	5.4

Students found the instructor enthusiastic. However, a few students felt spreading out the assignments over the course of the term would have really helped.

# FRE 376H1F French Phonology and Phonetics

Instructor(s): P. Martin

Enr: 29		R	esp: 2	3	Retake: 54%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	Ο	8	13	21	21	21	13	47

Explains	0	0	13	26	34	17	8	4.8
Communicates	0	4	0	0	21	30	43	6.0
Teaching	0	0	4	26	34	21	13	5.1
Workload	0	0	8	69	17	4	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	4	60	30	4	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	83	5	5	5	4.3

Students thought that Martin was very knowledgeable. However, they felt the course needed more structure with regards to term tests, assignments, etc.

#### FRE 375Y1Y Comparative Stylistics

Instructor(s): S. Mastromonaco

Enr: 37		Re	esp: 28	3		Reta	ake: 80%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	7	10	42	25	14	5.3
Explains	0	0	3	10	28	42	14	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	32	57	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	17	14	53	14	5.6
Workload	0	0	14	67	10	3	3	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	60	28	0	10	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	4	30	30	21	13	5.1

Students enjoyed heavily Mastromonaco as the instructor in this course. She was enthusiastic, very approachable and was able to make otherwise boring material interesting. The course was well-organized and structured.

Instructor(s): S. Mastromonaco; J. Hanna

Enr: 38		Re	esp: 29	9		Reta	Retake: 82% 7 Mean  10 5.4 17 5.7 31 5.9 10 5.2  10 4.7		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Mastromonaco:									
Presents	3	0	7	7	17	53	10	5.4	
Explains	0	0	3	10	13	55	17	5.7	
Communicates	0	0	0	10	24	34	31	5.9	
Teaching	3	0	6	10	31	37	10	5.2	
Hanna:									
Presents	6	0	13	24	20	24	10	4.7	
Explains	0	3	3	20	20	37	13	5.3	
Communicates	0	0	0	10	20	34	34	5.9	
Teaching	3	3	6	10	24	31	20	5.2	
Course:									
Workload	0	3	10	75	6	0	3	4.0	
Difficulty	0	0	10	65	13	10	0	4.2	
Learn Exp	0	4	0	32	32	24	8	5.0	

# FRE 378H1F French Syntax

Instructor(s): N. Guilliot

Enr: 34		Re	sp: 24	4		Reta	ke: 69%	
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	4	0	12	20	58	4	5.4
Explains	0	0	8	4	33	41	12	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	4	20	62	12	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	4	17	69	8	5.8
Workload	0	0	8	83	8	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	8	60	21	8	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	9	31	36	22	0	4.7

Students felt the instructor was very knowledgeable and helpful. He was a very good teacher and he was available to students - having office hours twice a week.

A few students felt the lectures were scattered and hard to follow. Some students suggested having the lecture notes posted online.

#### FRE 386H1S French Semantics

Instructor(s): A-M. Brousseau

Enr: 27		Re	sp: 18	3			Reta	ke: 66%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	11	16	44	27	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	5	16	55	22	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	33	55	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	50	38	6.3
Workload	0	0	5	44	44	5	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	33	44	22	0	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	45	36	9	9	4.8

Students found the workload and level of difficulty of the course higher than average. However, they enjoyed the instructor - she was attentive to students and was willing to help them.

## FRE 387H1S French Morphology

Instructor(s): N. Guilliot

Enr: 16		Re	esp: 1	5		Retak	ke: 21%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	7	28	21	28	14	0	4.1
Explains	0	20	20	33	26	0	0	3.7
Communicates	0	0	6	46	26	20	0	4.6
Teaching	0	6	6	40	33	13	0	4.4
Workload	0	0	0	57	28	14	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	7	21	35	35	0	5.0
Learn Exp	0	9	27	63	0	0	0	3.5

Students did not seem to enjoy this course. The instructor was hard to understand and the lectures lacked organization.

# FRE 444H1S Literary Theory

Instructor(s): B. Havercroft

Enr: 15		Re	esp: 12	2		33 33 5. 25 25 5. 25 33 5.			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	16	16	33	33	5.8	
Explains	0	0	0	25	25	25	25	5.5	
Communicates	0	0	16	8	16	25	33	5.5	
Teaching	0	0	0	16	8	33	41	6.0	
Workload	0	0	0	33	50	0	16	5.0	
Difficulty	0	0	0	33	25	16	25	5.3	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	36	27	18	18	5.2	

# FRE 473H1S The Acquisition of French

Instructor(s): J. Steele

Enr: 9		Re	esp: 7	•		Retake: 8 6 7 28 71 28 71 28 71 28 71 42 57 28 14 0 16		ıke: 85%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	28	71	6.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	28	71	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	28	71	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	42	57	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	28	28	28	14	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	33	50	0	16	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	50	25	25	5.8

Although students felt the workload was heavy in this course, they found Steele very enthusiastic, organized and knowledgeable.

#### FRE 479H1S Sociolinguistics of French

Instructor(s): A-M. Brousseau

Enr: 14		Re	sp: 12	2		Retake: 83%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	16	50	25	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	8	8	50	33	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	33	58	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	16	58	25	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	83	16	0	0	4.2

Difficulty	0	0	0	66	25	8	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	11	33	33	22	0	4.7

Students felt that Brousseau was an exciting teacher who was able to motivate her students to do well. They found her to be an effective university teacher.

# FRE 480Y1Y Translation: French to English

Instructor(s): F. Collins

Enr: 34	Resp: 26						Retake: 100%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	3	0	7	42	46	6.6		
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	30	69	6.7		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	15	84	6.8		
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	19	80	6.8		
Workload	0	4	30	43	17	4	0	3.9		
Difficulty	0	8	4	52	26	8	0	4.2		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	5	10	30	55	6.3		

Students really enjoyed the manner with which Collins taught this course. He was an excellent instructor - enthusiastic, knowledgeable and humourous. Students also found this class very inspiring and felt that Collins motivated them to do well.

#### FRE 481Y1Y Translation: English to French

Instructor(s): J. Hanna

Enr: 20		Re	esp: 12	2		Reta	ake: 63%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	18	18	18	18	9	18	0	3.4
Explains	0	0	18	18	9	36	18	5.2
Communicates	0	0	9	9	18	0	63	6.0
Teaching	9	9	18	18	18	27	0	4.1
Workload	0	0	18	63	9	9	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	63	18	18	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	11	11	33	11	33	0	4.4

#### FSL 100H1F French for Beginners

Instructor(s): R. Penate

Enr: 81		Re	esp: 43	3	Retake: 85%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	4	13	37	30	11	5.2
Explains	0	2	2	4	30	30	30	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	13	34	37	13	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	7	21	50	21	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	33	26	26	14	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	77	54	21	11	4	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	35	45	12	6	4.9

Students felt that Penate did a great job overall, and that he was enthusiastic. He was very approachable and available for regular office hours. However, many students felt the course was a little unfair in that many students had a French background even though the course was made for beginners.

Instructor(s): I. Krispis

Enr: 52	·	Re	sp: 32	2			Reta	ke: 93%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	34	50	15	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	3	9	50	37	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	40	56	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	56	43	6.4
Workload	0	3	6	40	34	9	6	4.6
Difficulty	0	3	6	53	28	9	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	15	34	50	0	5.3

Krispis was extremely helpful, dedicated, enthusiastic and caring. She was able to maintain students' interest in the course material throughout the term.

99

However, a few students mentioned that some students had an unfair advantage due to previous experience with the French language.

## Instructor(s): R. Saverino

Enr: 47		Re	esp: 36	6	Retake: 88%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	8	27	61	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	33	61	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	19	75	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	25	68	6.6
Workload	0	5	0	52	19	11	11	4.6
Difficulty	0	8	11	50	16	5	8	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	3	14	14	33	33	5.8

Students found Saverino extremely helpful and enthusiastic. She was very approachable, understanding and caring. Students found the class thorough and informative.

# FSL 100H1S French for Beginners

Instructor(s): R. Warrington

Enr: 52		Re	esp: 3	1		Reta	ke: 89%	
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	3	17	17	32	25	5.5
Explains	0	6	0	6	46	20	20	5.3
Communicates	0	3	0	16	16	45	19	5.6
Teaching	0	3	0	6	10	46	33	6.0
Workload	0	3	6	46	16	26	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	10	60	20	10	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	32	16	48	4	5.2

Students found the instructor enthusiastic, organized and considerate towards students. Some students felt they needed a few more examples to get a clear idea of the concepts.

## Instructor(s): H. Pagan

Enr: 58		Re	sp: 28	3		Retake: 88%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	7	28	21	39	5.9
Explains	0	0	3	10	28	21	35	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	3	17	25	53	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	7	7	39	46	6.2
Workload	0	3	3	59	18	7	7	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	7	57	17	17	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	8	30	34	26	5.8

Although students found the course fast paced and the number of assignments high, they enjoyed the course. Students felt that Pagan was energetic, approachable and responded to any questions students had.

## Instructor(s): H. Koo

Enr: 60		Re	esp: 33	3		Reta	ake: 92%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	3	24	69	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	9	24	66	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	9	18	72	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	9	24	66	6.6
Workload	0	3	6	35	29	19	6	4.7
Difficulty	0	6	16	53	16	3	3	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	19	23	38	19	5.6

# FSL 102H1S Introductory French

Instructor(s): H. Koo

Enr: 18		Re	sp: 12	2	Retake: 80%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	33	66	6.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	33	66	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	33	66	6.7

Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	33	66	6.7
Workload	0	0	0	33	25	25	16	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	66	16	8	8	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	50	37	12	5.6

Koo was friendly and approachable. She made sure her class knew and used the proper pronunciations for the french words.

#### FSL 221Y1Y French Language II

Instructor(s): M. Pillet

Enr: 35		Re	esp: 3	1	Retake: 80%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	16	48	32	6.1
Explains	0	0	6	19	16	32	25	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	6	16	45	32	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	9	16	32	41	6.1
Workload	3	0	17	58	17	3	0	4.0
Difficulty	3	3	6	57	19	12	3	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	32	32	16	20	5.2

Although a few students felt that Pillet went a little too fast in lectures. She was an effective teacher. Students found her enthusiastic, dedicated and extremely knowledgeable in French grammar.

#### Instructor(s): M. Maruknhyak

Enr: 58		Re	esp: 28	8		Reta	ıke: 82%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	25	42	25	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	10	25	42	21	5.8
Communicates	0	0	7	10	21	32	28	5.6
Teaching	0	0	3	3	21	53	17	5.8
Workload	0	0	14	71	7	3	3	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	3	53	32	7	3	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	4	42	23	19	9	4.9

A few students felt that the value of the assigned readings was not very high. Most students felt the instructor was able to communicate effectively in class.

Instructor(s): M. Tsimenis

Enr: 45		Re	sp: 33	3	Retake: 87%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	0	21	75	6.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	3	30	66	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	33	63	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	6	21	72	6.7
Workload	0	0	9	51	30	6	3	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	9	50	28	9	3	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	3	11	26	50	7	5.5

Students enjoyed this course and felt that it was a very valuable learning experience. The instructor was efficient, approachable and overall, effective. A few students felt that the handouts were so well organized and efficient that a textbook was unnecessary for this course.

Instructor(s): M. Tsimenis

Enr: 41		Re	sp: 33	3		Reta	ıke: 93%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	6	12	81	6.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	9	27	63	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	18	75	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	6	25	68	6.6
Workload	0	3	3	65	18	6	3	4.3
Difficulty	0	3	3	65	15	12	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	16	24	24	36	5.8

Students seemed to have enjoyed this course immensely. They said Tsimenis cared about her students and was an extremely effective

teacher.

Instructor(s): S. Marzano

Enr: 42		Re	esp: 22	2		Retake: 95%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	13	4	22	22	36	5.6
Explains	0	0	13	9	9	40	27	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	4	13	81	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	13	9	45	31	6.0
Workload	0	4	4	76	14	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	4	4	57	28	4	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	5	38	16	27	11	5.0

Students found Marzano to be a helpful and positive instructor. She was enthusiastic, and took care in teaching her students well. She inspired her students to do well and ensured that it was a productive learning experience.

#### FSL 277Y1Y French Pronunciation

Instructor(s): J. Steele

Enr: 39	Resp: 24						Retake: 86%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	4	8	45	41	6.2	
Explains	0	0	0	8	4	43	43	6.2	
Communicates	0	0	4	0	8	29	58	6.4	
Teaching	0	0	0	8	4	37	50	6.3	
Workload	0	4	12	41	12	20	8	4.6	
Difficulty	0	0	4	41	29	12	12	4.9	
Learn Exp	6	0	6	6	20	40	20	5.3	

Students found Steele passionate, engaging and humourous. He also encouraged students to make use of his office hours. The course was very well-organized and overall, quite engaging.

Instructor(s): N. Guilliot

Enr: 28		Re	esp: 1	5			ke: 60%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	20	26	33	20	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	33	20	26	20	5.3
Communicates	0	0	6	20	53	13	6	4.9
Teaching	0	0	0	26	40	20	13	5.2
Workload	0	0	13	73	13	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	66	26	6	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	9	9	54	9	9	9	4.3

#### FSL 331Y1Y Practical French I

Instructor(s): M. Gaillard

Enr: 51		Re	esp: 29	9		Retake: 64%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	0	27	34	27	0	6	4.1
Explains	0	0	20	41	31	0	6	4.3
Communicates	3	20	20	17	27	3	6	3.8
Teaching	3	6	24	41	10	6	6	4.0
Workload	0	7	46	46	0	0	0	3.4
Difficulty	0	0	6	79	6	6	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	8	29	41	8	12	0	3.9

Although students felt the instructor was nice, they suggested a little more enthusiasm and encouragement would have fostered a better learning environment.

Instructor(s): C. Danais

Enr: 53		Re	sp: 29	)	Retake: 89%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	33	48	11	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	3	29	59	7	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	59	37	6.3

Teaching	0	0	0	0	25	51	22	6.0
Workload	3	17	28	46	3	0	0	3.3
Difficulty	3	6	20	62	6	0	0	3.6
Learn Exp	0	0	9	40	18	13	18	4.9

Students thoroughly enjoyed this course. Danais was engaging, enthusiastic and entertaining. He made the class fun. Students also really enjoyed the tutorials.

#### FSL 341Y1Y Language Practice I: Written and Oral French

Instructor(s): M. Tsimenis

Enr: 49		Re	sp: 40	)	Retake: 94%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	2	25	72	6.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	25	75	6.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	17	82	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	17	82	6.8
Workload	0	0	5	71	15	5	2	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	5	74	12	5	2	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	32	23	23	20	5.3

Students found the instructor warm, compassionate and dedicated. She was an excellent teacher - enthusiastic and very knowledgeable about the French language.

Instructor(s): M. Raymond-Dufour

Enr: 45		Re	sp: 3	1		Reta	ıke: 67%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	36	16	30	10	5.0
Explains	3	0	6	33	10	30	16	5.0
Communicates	0	0	3	16	10	3	36	5.8
Teaching	0	0	6	3	36	30	23	5.6
Workload	0	3	6	66	10	13	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	3	67	16	12	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	8	52	24	8	8	4.6

The instructor was kind, enthusiastic, and used humour frequently in class. A few students found the reading assignments difficult and/or inappropriate for a "grammar" class.

Instructor(s): C. Micu

Enr: 45		Re	esp: 42	2		Reta	ake: 72%	
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	13	13	39	13	15	4.9
Explains	0	0	0	20	25	30	23	5.6
Communicates	0	0	5	20	12	23	38	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	20	43	12	23	5.4
Workload	2	10	12	56	12	2	5	3.9
Difficulty	0	2	15	61	12	2	5	4.1
Learn Exp	3	0	6	46	16	13	13	4.7

Students found the course fun and interesting. Micu was very passionate about the material and encouraged students to use French in various subjects. He had a sense of humour which encouraged students to learn.

### FSL 431Y1Y Practical French II

Instructor(s): A. Balint-Babos

Enr: 60		Re	esp: 36	3	Retake: 77%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	5	29	23	17	20	5.1
Explains	0	0	5	11	47	14	20	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	9	24	27	39	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	26	29	20	23	5.4
Workload	8	8	38	32	8	0	2	3.4
Difficulty	0	12	21	57	6	0	3	3.7
Learn Exp	0	0	8	40	16	20	16	5.0

Students said that the instructor was enthusiastic and knowledgeable about the material. She was also extremely approachable and available for regular office hours.

However, a few students thought that the course was lacking a little in structure and material.

Instructor(s): M-A. Visoi

Enr: 59		Re	esp: 38	3		Retake: 61%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	28	23	34	10	5.2
Explains	0	0	5	26	31	31	5	5.1
Communicates	0	0	0	13	28	34	23	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	21	21	50	7	5.4
Workload	5	8	16	67	0	2	0	3.6
Difficulty	0	16	10	62	5	5	0	3.7
Learn Exp	0	0	10	39	28	14	7	4.7

Many students found the class size too large for a 4th year French course. A few students felt that the size contributed to the lack of enthusiasm amongst the students. A few students felt that Visoi was helpful and approachable.

FSL 442H1F Language Practice II: Written French

Instructor(s): C. Lebrec

Enr: 21	Resp: 14 Retake: 8					e: 85%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	14	21	64	0	5.5
Explains	0	0	7	7	14	64	7	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	28	50	14	7	5.0
Teaching	0	0	0	7	14	71	7	5.8
Workload	0	7	21	50	7	14	0	4.0
Difficulty	7	0	30	46	7	7	0	3.7
Learn Exp	0	0	16	41	41	0	0	4.2

Students felt Lebrec was enthusiastic and explained the concepts very clearly.

FSL 442H1S Language Practice II: Written French

Instructor(s): M. Tsimenis

Enr: 16	Resp: 13					Retake: 91%		
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	8	8	83	6.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	15	84	6.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	7	92	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	7	92	6.9
Workload	0	0	23	69	7	0	0	3.8
Difficulty	0	0	7	69	15	7	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	22	55	22	6.0

Students found Tseminis to be a very passionate instructor who strived to make the subject interesting to her students. She was able to break down complicated concepts so that her students would be able to understand them. She provided handouts to the students and was able to motivate her students to learn.

FSL 443H1F Language Practice II: Oral French

Instructor(s): S. Sacre

Enr: 20	Resp: 11					Retake: 90%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	36	36	27	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	45	54	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	9	36	54	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	9	36	54	6.5
Workload	10	0	30	60	0	0	0	3.4
Difficulty	10	0	20	60	10	0	0	3.6
Learn Exp	0	0	9	18	18	18	36	5.5

Most students really enjoyed the instructor who was engaging, enthu-

siastic and an excellent teacher. Most students really disliked the book assigned for this course.

### FSL 443H1S Language Practice II

Instructor(s): L. Trahard

Enr: 19	Resp: 17					Retake: 64%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	29	41	5	17	5.0
Explains	0	0	0	29	23	29	17	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	5	17	47	29	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	29	23	17	29	5.5
Workload	5	11	47	35	0	0	0	3.1
Difficulty	0	5	41	47	0	5	0	3.6
Learn Exp	0	7	7	35	21	21	7	4.6

Although students thought the class could have used a little more structure and organization, most students liked Trahard. They felt she was enthusiastic and fostered good discussions in class.

think course unions.

think past tests.

# think volunteering.

# project: universal mind

**Volunteer** to tutor a high school student for **one hour a week**.

Get a certificate of recognition from the Dean of Arts & Science.

For more information or to get your application form, come to ASSU (SS1068) or visit assu.ca.

think.

think pink.

arts & science students' union 100 st. george st. room 1068 (sidney smith hall) students.assu@utoronto.ca http://www.assu.ca 416.978.4903