TRINITY COLLEGE COURSES



Introduction

We would like to thank the staff and faculty members at Trinity College for their assistance in providing these evaluations.

Editor

TRN 150Y1Y National versus International

Instructor(s): A. Kilsenko; R. Bothwell

Enr: 22		Re	sp: 1		Reta	Retake: 80%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Kilsenko:								
Presents	0	0	0	14	28	28	28	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	20	13	40	26	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	6	26	26	40	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	6	13	43	33	6.4
Bothwell:								
Presents	0	0	0	13	33	20	33	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	26	26	20	26	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	20	20	20	40	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	26	40	33	6.1
Course:								
Workload	0	0	0	20	26	46	6	5.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	0	46	40	13	5.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	14	14	57	6.1

Instructor(s): M. MacMillan

Enr: 22		Re	sp: 13	3		Reta	ake: 70%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	7	38	46	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	7	7	30	53	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	38	53	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	15	15	69	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	20	40	30	10	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	18	27	45	9	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	10	30	10	50	6.0

TRN 151Y1Y Global Governance

Instructor(s): J. Kirton; G. Stephens

Enr: 21		Re	esp: 20	C		Retake: 50% 7 Mean 0 4.1 5 4.1 31 5.6		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Kirton:								
Presents	0	10	21	31	26	10	0	4.1
Explains	5	10	26	15	15	21	5	4.1
Communicates	0	0	5	10	31	21	31	5.6
Teaching	0	0	26	21	26	15	10	4.6
Stephens:								
Presents	0	5	11	22	44	16	0	4.6
Explains	5	0	5	11	33	38	5	5.1
Communicates	0	0	0	5	33	33	27	5.8
Teaching	0	0	17	23	29	17	11	4.8
Course:								
Workload	0	0	11	33	38	11	5	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	22	38	22	16	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	6	25	37	6	12	12	4.3

TRN 170Y1Y Ethnics and the Creative Imagination

Instructor(s): M. Kingwell

(-)	5							
Enr: 19		Re	sp: 17	7		Reta	ke: 88%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	17	35	35	11	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	5	17	47	29	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	41	47	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	58	29	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	52	23	23	0	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	35	41	17	5	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	6	20	33	40	6.1

Kingwell was enthusiastic and engaging. Excellent discussion, but could have covered more in class. The presentation and assignment was extremely interesting. A clearer outline of assignments would have been more helpful, and more feedback on assignments would have been useful.

TRN 171Y1Y Ethics and the Public Sphere

Instructor(s): J. Duncan

Enr: 18		Re	sp: 1	5			e: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	33	26	20	20	5.3
Explains	0	0	0	13	40	13	33	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	46	53	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	13	46	40	6.3
Workload	0	0	0	26	53	20	0	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	13	46	26	13	5.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	16	33	50	6.3

Duncan was a great instructor who was enthusiastic and approachable. Overall, a great course.

TRN 190Y1Y Critical Reading and Critical Writing

Instructor(s): D. Anderson

Enr: 21		Re	sp: 17	7			Reta	ke: 82%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	0	11	23	35	23	5.5
Explains	0	5	0	11	17	29	35	5.7
Communicates	0	0	5	0	5	41	47	6.2
Teaching	0	5	0	5	17	23	47	5.9
Workload	0	0	5	64	23	5	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	5	64	17	11	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	7	0	7	23	23	38	5.7

TRN 200Y1Y Modes of Reasoning

Instructor(s): D. Allen

Enr: 59		Re	esp: 50	C	Retake: 97%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	0	4	93	6.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	10	90	6.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	18	80	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	8	92	6.9
Workload	0	0	12	40	36	12	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	2	0	40	44	12	2	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	2	15	26	55	6.4

Allen was a professional, intelligent, kind, understanding and caring instructor. Some students commented that he was the best instructor at UofT. It was a great course that many students enjoyed.

TRN 305Y1Y Basic Principles of Law

Instructor(s): T. Miedema

Enr: 72		Re	esp: 5	9	Retake: 71%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	5	1	12	24	25	25	5	4.6
Explains	1	3	0	16	18	28	30	5.6
Communicates	1	3	0	1	11	27	54	6.2

240 TRINITY COLLEGE

Teaching	5	0	1	15	17	36	24	5.4
Workload	0	0	6	70	17	3	1	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	1	50	35	10	1	4.6
Learn Exp	6	2	2	24	38	16	12	4.8

Miedema was engaging and entertaining, extremely enthusiastic, and made class very interesting. However, she was sometimes late for class, and tended to get off topic easily, but she made up for it with her humour. She also marked very hard and took a long time to return assignments. Overall, a very enjoyable and interesting course.

TRN 311H1S Ethics and Human Liberation

Instructor(s): M. Hewitt

Enr: 23		Re	esp: 17	7		Reta	ke: 66%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	5	41	29	17	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	11	17	52	17	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	5	1	41	41	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	6	6	56	31	6.1
Workload	0	0	12	75	12	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	5	52	29	11	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	38	7	23	30	5.5

Hewitt was an enthusiastic and knowledgeable instructor.

TRN 410Y1Y Selected Topics in International Studies

Instructor(s): W. Walker

Enr: 14		Re	sp: 14	1		Reta	ke: 85%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	7	57	35	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	14	28	57	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	21	78	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	21	78	6.8
Workload	0	0	0	28	50	14	7	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	28	64	0	7	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	16	8	50	25	5.8

Walker was an excellent instructor who was very knowledgeable, enthusiastic and passionate about the course.

TRN 412H1F Seminar in Ethics, Society and Law

Instructor(s): J. Duncan

Enr: 22		Re	sp: 20	C		Reta	ike: 80%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	5	5	0	5	30	35	20	5.3
Explains	5	0	0	0	10	50	35	6.0
Communicates	5	0	0	0	5	25	65	6.3
Teaching	5	0	5	0	26	21	42	5.7
Workload	0	0	5	65	10	15	5	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	30	40	25	5	5.1
Learn Exp	13	0	0	6	26	13	40	5.3

Many students thought that Duncan was enthusiastic and explained concepts clearly and was able to keep the seminar interesting. He was very approachable and connected well with the students.

However, many were disappointed that there was no room for class discussion. The material was very challenging, and having one book for an entire semester became tiresome and tedious.

TRN 412H1S Seminar in Ethics, Society and Law

Instructor(s): J. Duncan

Enr: 23		Re	esp: 20	C	Retake: 63%			
	1	2	3	4	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	5	5	5	20	50	15	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	10	25	40	25	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	45	45	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	5	25	40	30	5.9
Workload	0	5	10	70	10	5	0	4.0

Difficulty	5	0	0	65	25	5	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	12	6	18	18	43	0	4.8

Duncan was enthusiastic, approachable and friendly. Some students commented that it was hard for students with no background in the topics.

TRN 419Y1Y	Comparative American	, British, and	d Canadian	Foreign Policy
Instructor(s):	A. Kislenko; R. Bothw	/ell		

Enr: 15		Re	esp: 12	Retake: 81%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Kislenko:								
Presents	0	0	10	10	10	40	30	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	41	58	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	25	75	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	58	41	6.4
Bothwell:								
Presents	0	0	18	18	18	27	18	5.1
Explains	0	0	8	8	0	41	41	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	8	25	8	58	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	16	8	50	25	5.8
Course:								
Workload	0	0	0	0	18	72	9	5.9
Difficulty	0	0	8	8	16	58	8	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	20	50	10	5.5

Both instructors were good and knowledgeable. The workload for this class was extremely high.

TRN 425Y1Y Law Workshops Course

Instructor(s): T. Miedema

Enr: 11		Re	esp: 9	1		Retake: 100%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	11	11	44	33	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	11	22	66	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	11	88	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	44	44	6.3
Workload	11	0	0	44	33	0	11	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	37	25	25	12	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	25	12	62	6.4

A great course that was very engaging with enjoyable discussions.

WRITING COURSES

WRT 306H1S Writing for Scientists

Instructor(s): D. Repka

Learn Exp

Enr: 19	Resp: 16						Retake: 86%		
	1	2	3	4	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	6	6	43	31	12	5.4	
Explains	0	0	0	18	12	50	18	5.7	
Communicates	0	0	0	12	25	37	25	5.8	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	31	43	25	5.9	
Workload	6	0	18	31	37	6	0	4.1	
Difficulty	6	0	26	60	6	0	0	3.6	
Learn Exp	0	0	8	8	33	33	16	5.4	

Repka was a knowledgeable instructor. A valuable learning experience!

25

16 25

33

Mean 5.4 5.6 5.8 5.9 4.1 4.1

5.7

Instructor(s): D. Repka										
Enr: 17		Re	esp: 1		Reta	ke: 93%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Me		
Presents	0	0	0	20	26	46	6	:		
Explains	0	0	0	13	26	46	13	:		
Communicates	0	0	0	6	26	46	20	!		
Teaching	0	0	0	7	14	57	21	:		
Workload	6	0	13	46	26	6	0	4		
Difficulty	6	0	6	60	20	6	0	4		

0

0

0