## ITALIAN STUDIES STUDENTS' UNION



Introduction
ASSU would like to thank the faculty and staff of the Italian Studies Department for their kind assistance in providing the following evaluations.

## Editor

## ITA 100Y1Y Italian Language for Beginners

Instructor(s): Z. Ahmadian

| Enr: 24 | Resp: 12 |  |  |  |  | Retake: 66\% |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Mean |
| Presents | 8 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 16 | 25 | 0 | 4.2 |
| Explains | 0 | 8 | 16 | 16 | 33 | 16 | 8 | 4.6 |
| Communicates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 50 | 16 | 25 | 5.6 |
| Teaching | 0 | 0 | 16 | 8 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 5.3 |
| Workload | 0 | 0 | 8 | 25 | 41 | 25 | 0 | 4.8 |
| Difficulty | 0 | 0 | 8 | 25 | 33 | 16 | 16 | 5.1 |
| Learn Exp | 8 | 0 | 8 | 33 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 4.4 |

Some students felt that the level of difficulty was a bit high for a beginner's course. Also, a few felt that at times, Ahmadian could have explained things more clearly and structured the class better.

Instructor(s): S. Abdel Tawwab

| Enr: 20 | Resp: 14 |  |  |  |  | Retake: 84\% |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Mean |
| Presents | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 28 | 50 | 6.2 |
| Explains | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 35 | 57 | 6.5 |
| Communicates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 28 | 64 | 6.6 |
| Teaching | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 35 | 57 | 6.5 |
| Workload | 0 | 0 | 15 | 46 | 23 | 15 | 0 | 4.4 |
| Difficulty | 0 | 7 | 7 | 71 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 4.0 |
| Learn Exp | 0 | 0 | 8 | 33 | 25 | 8 | 25 | 5.1 |

Students said Tawwab was a very always willing to give extra help.

Instructor(s): G. Wright

| Enr: 12 | Resp: 10 |  |  |  |  | Retake: 66\% |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Mean |
| Presents | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 30 | 30 | 5.9 |
| Explains | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 22 | 33 | 33 | 5.9 |
| Communicates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 22 | 66 | 6.6 |
| Teaching | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 33 | 55 | 6.4 |
| Workload | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 60 | 0 | 10 | 4.9 |
| Difficulty | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 4.6 |
| Learn Exp | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 55 | 0 | 22 | 5.1 |

Wright was an approachable and very good instructor.

Instructor(s): D. DeLuca

| Enr: 28 | Resp: 24 |  |  |  |  | Retake: 54\% |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Mean |
| Presents | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 33 | 33 | 25 | 5.7 |
| Explains | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 41 | 33 | 6.0 |
| Communicates | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 37 | 33 | 5.8 |
| Teaching | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 21 | 26 | 34 | 5.8 |
| Workload | 0 | 0 | 4 | 41 | 20 | 29 | 4 | 4.9 |
| Difficulty | 0 | 0 | 8 | 34 | 17 | 30 | 8 | 5.0 |
| Learn Exp | 5 | 0 | 10 | 35 | 25 | 20 | 5 | 4.6 |

DeLuca was a helpful, knowledgeable and approachable instructor. Some students felt that the course as a whole was a difficult one and others wanted more oral practice.

## ITA 101Y1Y Elementary Italian Language

Instructor(s): A. Chiafele
Enr: 26
Resp: 20
Retake: 100\%

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Mean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Presents | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 15 | 38 | 6.4 |
| Explains | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 73 | 6.5 |
| Communicates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 7.0 |
| Teaching | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 84 | 6.8 |
| Workload | 5 | 5 | 5 | 68 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 3.9 |
| Difficulty | 5 | 5 | 5 | 63 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 3.9 |
| Learn Exp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 22 | 38 | 27 | 5.8 |

Many thought Chiafele's method of teaching was excellent. She was very enthusiastic and full of energy. Her class environment was very relaxed and attentive. Many thought that she should have taught the whole year.

Instructor(s): D. DiBernardo

| Enr: 24 | Resp: 19 |  |  |  |  | Retake: $78 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Mean |
| Presents | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 47 | 21 | 5.7 |
| Explains | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 44 | 27 | 5.8 |
| Communicates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 50 | 27 | 6.0 |
| Teaching | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 52 | 23 | 6.0 |
| Workload | 5 | 0 | 10 | 42 | 36 | 5 | 0 | 4.2 |
| Difficulty | 5 | 0 | 5 | 52 | 26 | 10 | 0 | 4.3 |
| Learn Exp | 5 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 16 | 27 | 11 | 4.9 |

DiBernardo was described by some as a very helpful, enthusiastic instructor.

Instructor(s): F. Trione
Enr: 22 Resp: 15 Retake: 92\%

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Mean |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Presents | 0 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 46 | 26 | 6 | 5.1 |
| Explains | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 46 | 33 | 13 | 5.5 |
| Communicates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 53 | 20 | 5.9 |
| Teaching | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 33 | 46 | 13 | 5.7 |
| Workload | 0 | 20 | 13 | 60 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3.5 |
| Difficulty | 0 | 6 | 26 | 46 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 3.8 |
| Learn Exp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 4.5 |

Overall, a good course with an "effective instructor.

## ITA 152Y1Y Language Practice

Instructor(s): G. Cicali

| Enr: 21 | Resp: 20 |  |  |  | Retake: $94 \%$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Mean |
| Presents | 0 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 21 | 26 | 26 | 5.4 |
| Explains | 0 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 25 | 15 | 30 | 5.4 |


| Communicates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 35 | 55 | 6.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Teaching | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 50 | 30 | 6.1 |
| Workload | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 4.2 |
| Difficulty | 0 | 0 | 5 | 52 | 21 | 15 | 5 | 4.6 |
| Learn Exp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 18 | 31 | 25 | 5.6 |

Overall, students really enjoyed taking this course and thought Cicali was a very good instructor. He communicated well with his students and was always nice, very accommodating and enthusiastic.

## ITA 210Y1Y Contemporary Italy

Instructor(s): L. Somigli
Enr: 46

$$
\text { Resp: } 34
$$

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Mean |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Presents | 3 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 27 | 33 | 18 | 5.2 |
| Explains | 3 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 18 | 42 | 21 | 5.4 |
| Communicates | 0 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 14 | 44 | 14 | 5.4 |
| Teaching | 0 | 6 | 3 | 16 | 19 | 25 | 29 | 5.4 |
| Workload | 2 | 0 | 5 | 70 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 4.2 |
| Difficulty | 3 | 0 | 21 | 62 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3.9 |
| Learn Exp | 0 | 3 | 3 | 34 | 19 | 11 | 26 | 5.1 |

Somigli did a good job explaining the material clearly and he was knowledgeable and enthusiastic. Some students did feel that he took too long to mark tests and assignments. Otherwise, a great course!

## ITA 251Y1Y Intermediate Italian II

Instructor(s): S. Gastaldi

| Enr: 15 | Resp: 8 |  |  |  |  | Retake: 75\% |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Mean |
| Presents | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 25 | 62 | 0 | 5.5 |
| Explains | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 25 | 62 | 0 | 5.5 |
| Communicates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 37 | 12 | 5.6 |
| Teaching | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 62 | 0 | 5.6 |
| Workload | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 25 | 12 | 0 | 4.5 |
| Difficulty | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 4.8 |
| Learn Exp | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 16 | 5.3 |

ITA 311H1F Mediaeval Italian Literature in Translation: Dante Instructor(s): G. Silano
Enr: 42
Resp: 22

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Mean |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Presents | 4 | 13 | 13 | 22 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 3.9 |
| Explains | 0 | 0 | 18 | 27 | 22 | 22 | 9 | 4.8 |
| Communicates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 36 | 18 | 31 | 5.7 |
| Teaching | 0 | 4 | 4 | 22 | 40 | 18 | 9 | 4.9 |
| Workload | 0 | 0 | 5 | 52 | 15 | 21 | 5 | 4.7 |
| Difficulty | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 4.9 |
| Learn Exp | 0 | 0 | 7 | 35 | 14 | 28 | 14 | 5.1 |

Students thought that Silano was a passionate and enthusiastic instructor who was knowledgeable about the material.

Some students were concerned about the course load which was 4 essays and an exam for a half-year course. A few commented that his hand-writing was sometimes impossible to read. Some students thought that this course had little structure and also that it should become a fullyear course.

ITA 409H1S Masterpieces of Medieval and Renaissance Drama Instructor(s): K. Eisenbichler

| Enr: 7 | Resp: 5 |  |  |  |  | Retake: 60\% |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Mean |
| Presents | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 60 | 6.2 |
| Explains | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 60 | 6.2 |
| Communicates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 60 | 6.2 |
| Teaching | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 60 | 6.2 |
| Workload | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 4.8 |
| Difficulty | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 20 | 5.0 |
| Learn Exp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 66 | 6.3 |

Eisenbichler was said to be an insightful instructor.
ITA 455H1S Women Writers in Italy
Instructor(s): L. Somigli
Enr: 9
Resp: 7
Retake: 85\%

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | Mean |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Presents | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 71 | 0 | 5.7 |
| Explains | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 42 | 28 | 6.0 |
| Communicates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 42 | 28 | 5.9 |
| Teaching | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 71 | 0 | 5.7 |
| Workload | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 4.7 |
| Difficulty | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 14 | 28 | 0 | 4.7 |
| Learn Exp | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 4.2 |



