# East Asian Studies Students' Union



### Introduction

The East Asian Studies Students' Union (EASSU) is an active student body dedicated to the undergraduate students of the East Asian Department. Throughout the year we hold both academic and social events that relate to the East Asian cultures. If you are interested in getting involved, please contact us - eassu@yahoo.ca or drop by our office - Robarts Library, 14th floor, Rm 14299.

### **EASSU Executive**

### EAS 100Y1Y Modern Standard Chinese I

Instructor(s): H. Wu

Enr: 43		Re	sp: 30	3			Retak	Retake: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	3	9	51	19	16	5.4	
Explains	0	0	0	9	43	37	9	5.5	
Communicates	0	0	0	12	31	25	31	5.8	
Teaching	0	0	0	9	28	37	25	5.8	
Workload	0	0	3	33	24	24	15	5.2	
Difficulty	0	0	6	31	40	18	3	4.8	
Learn Exp	0	0	4	12	36	44	4	5.3	

Many students felt that the number of assignments was too heavy. Some students felt that TAs were often unprepared to answer questions. However, many students felt that the overall learning experience was good.

Instructor(s): H. Wu

Enr: 50		Re	sp: 20	0		Reta	ıke: 85%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	15	60	10	15	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	15	35	30	20	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	15	35	30	20	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	5	35	30	30	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	15	35	35	15	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	5	35	30	25	5	4.9
Learn Exp	7	0	0	35	28	21	7	4.7

Most students thought that Wu was very nice and helpful but that the TAs were disorganized and unprepared. Many of them felt the amount of assignments was too high but the course was good for introductory Chinese.

# EAS 101Y1Y Modern Chinese I for Students with Prior Background

Instructor(s): H. Wu

Enr: 45		Re	sp: 2	5	Retake: 77%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	4	4	20	28	32	12	5.2

Explains	0	4	4	20	32	24	16	5.2
Communicates	4	0	4	8	24	40	20	5.5
Teaching	4	0	0	16	28	24	28	5.5
Workload	0	8	0	33	8	37	12	5.0
Difficulty	0	8	4	37	37	4	8	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	31	26	36	5	5.2

Many students thought that Wu was helpful, but on the other hand, they felt that the TAs were not.

### EAS 102Y1Y Introduction to East Asian Civilizations

Instructor(s): R. Guisso

Enr: 291	Resp: 116						Retake: 86%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	1	17	33	29	16	5.4	
Explains	0	0	0	7	28	35	27	5.8	
Communicates	0	0	0	3	18	37	39	6.1	
Teaching	0	0	0	5	19	41	33	6.0	
Workload	3	7	10	64	11	2	0	3.8	
Difficulty	0	7	16	62	10	2	0	3.9	
Learn Exp	0	1	2	26	35	23	11	5.1	

Guisso was a very good instructor who explained the material in an enthusiastic and interesting manner. Sometimes his voice faded out at the end of sentences and it was hard to catch what he was saying.

Overall, a great experience.

Instructor(s): J. Stowe

Enr: 77		Re	esp: 4	1		Reta	ke: 77%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	14	2	39	19	17	2	4.1
Explains	0	9	9	17	39	24	0	4.6
Communicates	0	0	0	4	36	36	21	5.8
Teaching	2	4	9	12	31	24	14	5.0
Workload	2	0	19	56	19	2	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	2	12	70	10	5	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	8	5	38	23	17	5	4.5

Many students felt that the instructor was very enthusiastic, knowledgeable and had a great sense of humour. Stowe provided a lot of examples that helped students to understand the material.

Some students thought that this course encouraged them to be analytical which would help them in future studies. A few students felt that the course would have been better if the lectures had been more organized. Some students said that the TA was very kind and helpful.

## EAS 104Y1Y Elementary Vietnamese

Instructor(s): K-H. Nguyen

Enr: 7		Re	esp: 5			Reta	ke: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	20	40	40	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	40	40	20	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	40	40	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	40	40	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	80	20	0	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	80	20	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	0	33	33	5.7

Students found Nguyen very effective, kind and sincere. Nguyen encouraged students to learn. Also, students felt that more oral practice during the class would have been better.

# EAS 110Y1Y Modern Standard Korean I

Instructor(s): H-Y. Im

Enr: 34		Resp: 27						ake: 91%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	29	37	25	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	11	25	25	37	5.9

Communicates	0	0	3	18	14	22	40	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	3	29	29	37	6.0
Workload	0	11	7	59	18	3	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	7	7	40	29	11	3	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	4	26	13	39	17	5.4

Many students found Im to be a very good instructor. Not only was she enthusiastic but also kind, patient, great at communicating, and passionate. Many students also mentioned that she explained concepts very effectively.

EAS 120Y1Y Modern Standard Japanese I

Instructor(s): K. Sato

Enr: 144		Re	sp: 8	1	Retake: 84%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	20	44	24	5.8
Explains	0	1	1	7	21	44	23	5.8
Communicates	0	1	2	8	17	49	20	5.7
Teaching	0	0	1	7	18	44	27	5.9
Workload	0	0	1	22	15	30	30	5.7
Difficulty	0	1	2	30	20	27	17	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	17	14	32	34	5.8

Overall, many students found the course to be very rewarding and enjoyable. Students found Sato to be very nice, approachable and helpful. Many also commented on the difficult of the course especially for beginners.

Many students also said that the tests and quizzes did not reflect the material learned and that the oral examinations were very difficult.

Instructor(s): M. Kondo

Enr: 144		Re	sp: 8′	1		Reta	ıke: 84%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	1	0	0	2	16	41	38	6.1
Explains	0	0	1	1	18	37	41	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	1	6	31	61	6.5
Teaching	1	0	1	3	11	39	42	6.1
Workload	0	0	1	22	15	30	30	5.7
Difficulty	0	1	2	30	20	27	17	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	17	14	32	34	5.8

Many students praised Kondo for her enthusiasm, helpfulness and approachability. Overall, most students enjoyed the course and found it very rewarding and interesting.

However, many students also found the course difficult, especially for beginners. Students also said the tests and quizzes were difficult and not reflective of the material learned.

EAS 121H1S Japanese I for Students with Prior Background

Instructor(s): M. Kondo; K. Sato

Enr: 5		Re	esp: 5	Retake: 100%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Kondo:								
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	80	20	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	60	40	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	60	40	6.4
Sato:								
Presents	0	0	0	0	20	60	20	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	20	40	40	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	60	20	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	60	40	6.4
Course:								
Workload	0	0	0	0	40	40	20	5.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	20	20	20	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	0	40	40	6.0

Students thought the instructors were very helpful and knowledgeable. The workload was very high.

#### EAS 200Y1Y Modern Standard Chinese II

Instructor(s): H. Wu

Enr: 35		Re	esp: 23	3		Reta	Retake: 68%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	4	8	8	30	34	8	4	4.3	
Explains	0	8	4	34	34	17	0	4.5	
Communicates	0	4	0	30	39	17	8	4.9	
Teaching	4	4	21	21	17	21	8	4.4	
Workload	0	4	0	36	13	31	13	5.1	
Difficulty	0	4	0	30	26	26	13	5.1	
Learn Exp	0	5	0	35	23	23	11	4.9	

Some students felt that the exams were too hard and the workload was too high.

Instructor(s): H. Wu

Enr: 26		Re	esp: 18	3		5 23 0 2 17 0			
-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	5	35	35	23	0	4.8	
Explains	0	0	5	23	52	17	0	4.8	
Communicates	0	0	0	29	29	17	23	5.4	
Teaching	0	0	11	35	29	17	5	4.7	
Workload	0	0	0	29	47	23	0	4.9	
Difficulty	0	0	5	47	29	17	0	4.6	
Learn Exp	0	0	7	42	21	21	7	4.8	

Students felt that Wu was nice and fair. Some students found that tests failed to reflect material that was focussed on in class. Some students also found that the grammar explanation was inadequate. Many thought the TA was very knowledgeable and helpful.

EAS 201Y1Y Modern Chinese II for Students with Prior Background

Instructor(s): Yan Yan

Enr: 49		Re	esp: 20	6		Reta	ake: 80%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	11	7	34	42	3	5.2
Explains	0	0	4	0	60	32	4	5.3
Communicates	0	0	3	11	42	26	15	5.4
Teaching	0	0	7	7	46	30	7	5.2
Workload	0	4	8	70	12	0	4	4.1
Difficulty	0	4	4	44	32	4	12	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	17	29	17	23	11	4.8

Students felt that Yan was enthusiastic, organized and responsible. Some felt that the instructor went through the texts too fast and there was not much conversation practice in class. But other students thought that Yan used a lot of examples to illustrate key grammar points.

### EAS 204Y1Y Modern East Asian History

Instructor(s): Y. Chang

111011140101 (0): 11	Onang	,						
Enr: 115	Resp: 29						Reta	ıke: 75%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	20	24	41	13	5.5
Explains	0	0	3	24	31	24	17	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	20	13	41	24	5.7
Teaching	0	0	3	17	17	48	13	5.5
Workload	0	6	0	75	6	3	6	4.2
Difficulty	0	7	7	71	7	3	3	4.0
Learn Exp	4	4	4	30	30	17	8	4.7

Many felt that Chang was very enthusiastic and helpful. They also liked Chang's sense of humour. A few students felt that the assigned readings did not reflect the course material.

A lot of students found that tutorials were useless due to their TA's lack of knowledge of the course material.

#### EAS 206Y1Y Classical Chinese I

Instructor(s): I. Pidhainy

Enr: 24		Re	esp: 2	1		Retake: 78%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	0	4	19	33	14	23	5.1
Explains	4	0	4	28	23	23	14	5.0
Communicates	0	4	0	9	33	19	33	5.6
Teaching	4	0	0	19	23	23	28	5.4
Workload	0	0	14	66	14	4	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	71	9	14	4	4.5
Learn Exp	0	4	0	33	23	28	9	5.0

Students found that the instructor was very enthusiastic and willing to help out students when they had problems. Pidhainy introduced discussions throughout the course which helped students in understanding the material effectively.

### EAS 209Y1Y Approaches to East Asia

Instructor(s): G. Sanders

Enr: 199		Re	sp: 12	21		Retake: 22%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	5	7	12	35	22	11	4	4.1	
Explains	6	6	11	36	21	13	3	4.1	
Communicates	5	3	7	26	29	21	5	4.6	
Teaching	4	3	9	24	32	19	6	4.6	
Workload	0	0	2	48	21	20	6	4.8	
Difficulty	0	0	1	30	23	24	20	5.3	
Learn Exp	12	6	16	36	16	8	5	3.8	

Students felt that the course was too difficult for a 200-level and that the readings were too long and boring. Sanders did not adequately relate the concepts to real situations in East Asian studies. Tutorials were very helpful, but overall the material seemed irrelevant. Students wondered why this course was a program requirement. The students who most appreciated the material were only the few with a sincere curiosity in abstract philosophies and ideologies. More discussion (student-led) of readings would have facilitated understanding. Sanders was funny and approachable.

Instructor(s): A. Sakaki

Enr: 171		Re	sp: 10	16			Retake: 23%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	7	2	8	33	31	10	9	4.4		
Explains	7	4	15	26	28	8	6	4.2		
Communicates	5	5	7	18	33	14	12	4.6		
Teaching	7	3	1	24	36	15	8	4.6		
Workload	0	1	2	39	21	16	17	5.0		
Difficulty	0	1	0	32	21	23	20	5.2		
Learn Exp	9	6	10	47	6	9	8	4.0		

Many students thought that the readings were too much, and too difficult. Sakaki was an organized instructor who was passionate and smart. Some students suggested that this course should have had a website.

### EAS 210Y1Y Modern Standard Korean II

Instructor(s): Y.G. Kim

Enr: 12		Re	esp: 1	1		Reta	ke: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	9	18	45	27	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	36	63	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	18	18	63	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	9	18	27	45	6.1
Workload	0	10	20	70	0	0	0	3.6
Difficulty	0	0	10	80	10	0	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	12	37	50	6.4

Students thought that the instructor was very good and helpful. Students felt that attendance was necessary because 40% of the total

grade was based on quizzes, assignments and class participation, so if a student missed one class, it was hard to catch up.

EAS 237Y1Y Japanese Cinema: Film, Form and the Problems of Japanese Modernity

Instructor(s): E. Cazdyn

Enr: 76		Re	sp: 56	6		Reta	ke: 67%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	5	29	38	14	7	4.8
Explains	0	0	7	22	35	29	5	5.0
Communicates	0	0	0	5	11	40	42	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	16	32	39	12	5.5
Workload	0	0	7	42	25	23	1	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	3	30	39	14	12	5.0
Learn Exp	2	0	6	22	34	27	6	5.0

Many students enjoyed Cazdyn's course and found it very insightful and rewarding. They found Cazdyn to be a good instructor who was very passionate about the material. However, students also found the class too long (4 hrs) and sometimes difficult to follow as there were no notes or slides. As well, some students brought up the issue that there were too many readings to keep up with.

### EAS 247H1F Japanese Culture & Modernity

Instructor(s): K. Kawashima

Enr: 68		Re	sp: 50	0		Reta	ıke: 60%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	2	6	18	40	24	8	5.0
Explains	2	0	6	14	34	36	8	5.2
Communicates	0	0	2	6	26	44	22	5.8
Teaching	0	4	4	16	34	28	14	5.2
Workload	0	2	2	46	22	20	8	4.8
Difficulty	0	2	2	32	32	26	6	5.0
Learn Exp	0	4	2	40	25	20	6	4.8

Kawashima was a good lecturer who was very knowledgeable and detailed. His style of teaching demonstrated both his enthusiasm and discipline in the course. However, the mark distribution of having 2 tests at 45% each made the course very hard and stressful. The test time was too short to finish 3 long essays and 5 short essays in 2 hours. It would have been better to have had other assignments.

### EAS 271H1F 20th Century Korean History

Instructor(s): M. Hwang

Enr: 41		Re	sp: 28	3		Reta	ıke: 92%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	14	33	37	14	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	14	29	29	25	5.7
Communicates	0	0	3	3	21	32	39	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	7	18	48	25	5.9
Workload	0	0	11	59	11	11	7	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	3	37	33	18	7	4.9
Learn Exp	0	5	0	25	10	35	25	5.4

Hwang was organized, well-prepared, enthusiastic and explained the material well. She demonstrated enthusiasm and encouraged involvement and creative thinking. She was also very approachable.

It would have been better to have had one formal essay to hand it instead of in-class essays. Students could have used lecture slides to guide them through the lectures. Overall, a very good learning experience.

# EAS 272H1S Postwar Korean Society & Culture

Instructor(s): J. Song

Enr: 22		Re	sp: 1	5		Reta	ke: 71%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	20	40	26	13	5.3
Explains	0	0	6	20	33	33	6	5.1
Communicates	0	0	0	6	33	40	20	5.7

Teaching	0	0	0	20	26	26	26	5.6
Workload	0	0	0	40	33	20	6	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	13	26	33	13	13	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	16	33	16	5.3

Students thought that Song was very enthusiastic and organized. The amount of weekly assigned readings and films were a bit too much. Class discussions also helped students understand the material and helped them to think critically.

### EAS 300Y1Y Modern Standard Chinese III

Instructor(s): Yan Yan

Enr: 29	Resp: 24						Reta	ake: 85%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	17	17	39	26	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	17	13	34	34	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	8	8	39	43	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	13	8	47	30	6.0
Workload	0	0	4	73	13	8	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	4	69	17	8	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	15	36	26	21	5.5

Students thought the instructor's explanation of culture was very interesting and enjoyable. They felt that they needed more practice speaking to improve their Chinese.

## EAS 303H1F Technology of Social Engineering: Women in 20th Century East Asia

Instructor(s): J. Song

Enr: 9		Re	esp: 8				Retake: 100%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	12	37	25	25	5.6	
Explains	0	0	0	0	37	37	25	5.9	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	25	62	6.5	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	37	62	6.6	
Workload	0	0	0	12	50	25	12	5.4	
Difficulty	0	0	0	12	50	25	12	5.4	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	25	25	50	6.2	

Every student in the course enjoyed this class very much. The small class size made it easier for students to speak up in class. Song was an excellent instructor - she was knowledgeable, encouraging, supportive and helpful.

Overall, a wonderful course.

### EAS 307H1S Chinese Political Philosophy

Instructor(s): V. Shen

		_		_				1000/
Enr: 25		Re	esp: 18	3			Retak	ke: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	23	11	35	29	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	16	16	16	50	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	29	58	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	18	31	50	6.3
Workload	0	6	6	53	33	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	13	33	53	0	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	30	20	50	6.2

Students thought that Shen was very passionate and knowledgeable about the material. Some students felt that the topics were too broad and felt it was difficult to focus on them.

# EAS 309H1F Modern Chinese Prose

Instructor(s): J. Liu

Enr: 26		Re	esp: 14	4		ke: 92%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	7	0	7	42	21	14	7	4.4
Explains	0	7	21	28	14	14	14	4.5
Communicates	Ω	Ω	7	7	35	21	28	5.6

Teaching	0	7	14	21	21	21	14	4.8
Workload	0	0	7	78	7	7	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	57	21	14	7	4.7
Learn Exp	14	0	0	35	28	7	14	4.4

Students felt Liu was friendly but her lectures were somewhat disorganized and unclear. Visual aids would have been helpful to explain the concepts. The quality of readings was average, but Liu expected too high a depth of analysis.

### EAS 310Y1Y Modern Standard Korean III

Instructor(s): H-Y. Im

Enr: 23		Re	esp: 19	9		Reta	ake: 93%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	21	42	31	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	5	10	52	31	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	10	15	47	26	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	10	42	47	6.4
Workload	0	0	15	52	21	10	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	5	50	27	5	11	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	27	36	36	0	5.1

Im was a very kind and patient instructor who was knowledgeable and helpful.

# EAS 318H1S Rethinking Modernism: The Perspectives of Mainland China, Taiwan, and Hong Kong

Instructor(s): Y. Meng

Enr: 33		Re	esp: 20	0		Reta	Retake: 65%  7		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	5	10	30	45	5	5	4.4	
Explains	0	0	5	35	40	10	10	4.8	
Communicates	0	0	0	15	45	25	15	5.4	
Teaching	0	0	5	25	45	20	5	4.9	
Workload	0	0	10	85	5	0	0	4.0	
Difficulty	0	0	0	80	20	0	0	4.2	
Learn Exp	0	0	7	57	28	7	0	4.4	

Many students found the course very interesting and enjoyable. Meng was very approachable and helpful, especially for students who had problems in the course. She was very knowledgeable and showed enthusiasm in the material.

## EAS 320Y1Y Modern Standard Japanese III

Instructor(s): I. Komuro-Lee

Enr: 20		Re	sp: 18	3		Retal	ke: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	5	22	72	6.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	27	72	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	11	88	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	22	77	6.8
Workload	0	0	0	16	33	27	22	5.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	38	44	5	11	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	6	0	26	66	6.5

Students thought Komuro-Lee was very nice and helpful. They felt they learned a lot in class.

### EAS 361H1S Zen Buddhism

Instructor(s): J. Ahn

Enr: 37		Re	sp: 2	1			Retal	ke: 94%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	9	28	28	33	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	4	19	52	23	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	14	9	76	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	19	14	66	6.5
Workload	0	0	4	28	38	23	4	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	14	38	42	4	5.4

Learn Exp 0 0 0 5 16 38 38 6.1

Many students felt that the instructor was very friendly and enthusiastic. Many students found the course very interesting and inspiring. They also found the instructor's comments and detailed feedback on their assignment very helpful.

Ahn established good communication with students. Some students thought that the tests were very difficult and the amount of work was too much. Despite this, many students felt that the course was one of the best courses at UofT.

## EAS 362Y1Y Classical Japanese

Instructor(s): E. Spigel

Enr: 27		Re	sp: 17	7		Reta	ke: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	23	29	47	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	41	52	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	17	76	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	23	76	6.8
Workload	0	0	0	64	29	5	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	5	23	47	17	5	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	21	7	35	35	5.9

Spigel had a great sense of humour and made every lecture entertaining and educational. Many students felt that the course was one of the best courses they have taken at university.

## EAS 368Y1Y The Philosophy of the Buddha

Instructor(s): H. Shiu

Enr: 22		Re	sp: 14	4		Reta	ke: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	7	0	14	14	35	28	5.6
Explains	7	0	0	0	7	14	71	6.3
Communicates	7	0	0	0	7	42	42	6.0
Teaching	7	0	0	0	0	42	50	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	50	28	0	21	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	21	7	21	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	11	33	44	6.1

Shiu was always willing to help students understand the material. Many students felt the assigned readings were hard to understand but Shiu provided excellent examples to help them understand the concepts and major issues in the readings.

# EAS 369Y1Y Transformation of Buddhist Practice in the Contemporary World

Instructor(s): H. Shiu

Enr: 13		Re	sp: 1′	1		Reta	ake: 81%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	18	27	45	9	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	18	27	54	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	9	18	18	54	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	9	9	36	45	6.2
Workload	0	0	9	72	18	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	72	27	0	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	30	20	20	30	5.5

A lot of students felt that Shiu was influential, knowledgeable and insightful. He had constant enthusiasm for the material and always tried to make lectures enjoyable.

Some students liked that they were given choices to either do two short essays or one longer essay. They also thought that Shiu was very organized and prepared for every lecture.

Some students found the assigned readings too difficult to understand. However, many students wrote that the course was highly recommended

### EAS 373H1S Choson History

Instructor(s): A. Bohnet

Enr: 17		Re	esp: 1	2	Retake: 66%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	33	25	25	16	0	4.2
Explains	0	0	8	41	33	16	0	4.2
Communicates	0	0	0	16	25	33	25	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	25	33	33	8	5.2
Workload	0	0	0	66	16	8	8	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	33	8	8	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	42	42	14	0	4.7

Bohnet showed great enthusiasm for the course material and was very attentive to students' questions. Some students felt that the instructor tried to cover too much material in a short period of time and due to the huge load of Korean names and dates, the course could seem a bit overwhelming.

### EAS 374H1S Modern Japan and Colonialism

Instructor(s): K. Kawashima

Enr: 32		Re	sp: 23	3		Reta	ıke: 80%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	34	34	21	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	4	21	39	34	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	4	21	73	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	17	39	43	6.3
Workload	0	0	0	21	34	30	13	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	17	30	47	4	5.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	46	40	13	5.7

Students thought Kawashima was very enthusiastic and very knowledgeable. The amount of weekly reading was very heavy and many students found them very hard to understand. However, the readings were put together with a great deal of concern in order to provide the class with a strong grounding in historical background.

The course was very challenging but was very eye-opening for many students.

## EAS 389Y1Y History of Korean Religion

Instructor(s): Y. Yoo

Enr: 32		Re	esp: 20	)			Reta	ke: 57%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	5	0	11	11	23	41	5	4.9
Explains	0	5	5	16	22	38	11	5.2
Communicates	0	0	5	5	11	41	35	5.9
Teaching	0	6	0	13	33	33	13	5.3
Workload	0	5	5	76	5	5	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	5	5	70	5	11	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	55	22	22	0	4.7

Most students thought that Yoo was organized and enthusiastic about the material. He had a good sense of humour which kept students interested in the lecture material.

However, some students felt that he asked unreasonable questions on the exam.

### EAS 400Y1Y Modern Standard Chinese IV

Instructor(s): Yan Yan

Enr: 30		Re	esp: 2	5		Retake: 78%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	4	29	41	20	4	4.9	
Explains	0	0	4	34	43	17	0	4.7	
Communicates	0	0	8	26	39	21	4	4.9	
Teaching	0	0	8	17	43	21	8	5.0	
Workload	0	0	24	60	12	4	0	4.0	
Difficulty	0	0	4	75	16	0	4	4.2	
Learn Exp	0	0	5	47	29	17	0	4.6	

### EAS 408H1S Modern Taiwanese Literature

Instructor(s): J. Liu

Enr: 14		Re	sp: 10	)		Reta	ke: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	30	20	30	20	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	20	40	30	10	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	30	40	30	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	50	30	20	5.7
Workload	0	0	0	70	20	0	10	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	80	10	10	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	22	33	11	5.2

Liu was a very friendly instructor, who was always willing to help.

# EAS 410Y1Y Modern Standard Korean IV

Instructor(s): Y.G. Kim

Enr: 30		Re	esp: 2	4	Retake: 90%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	12	16	25	12	33	5.4
Explains	0	4	0	12	29	25	29	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	20	20	33	25	5.6
Teaching	0	4	4	21	17	21	30	5.4
Workload	0	0	8	52	8	26	4	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	4	40	22	22	9	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	11	5	33	22	27	3.5

## EAS 411H1F Art and Archaeology of Early China I

Instructor(s): C. Shen

Enr: 15		R	esp: 1	0		Retake: 66%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	20	40	10	30	5.5	
Explains	0	0	10	10	40	10	30	5.4	
Communicates	0	0	10	10	10	30	40	5.8	
Teaching	0	0	0	20	20	10	50	5.9	
Workload	0	0	0	20	20	10	50	5.9	
Difficulty	0	0	0	60	30	10	0	4.6	
Learn Exp	14	0	14	14	14	28	14	4.6	

Shen was a very enthusiastic instructor. His passion for Chines archaeology was very evident. Some thought he marked hard depending on his expectations of your ability/qualifications. More class discussions would have enhanced the class experience. Having a course reader would have also helped.

## EAS 412H1S Art and Archaeology of Early China II

Instructor(s): C. Shen

Enr: 8		R	esp: 8	;	Retake: 100%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	25	50	25	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	12	12	37	37	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	25	0	37	37	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	37	62	6.6
Workload	0	0	12	50	25	12	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	12	62	25	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	50	0	16	5.0

Shen was an excellent and enthusiastic instructor.

### EAS 415Y1Y Advanced Readings in Korean

Instructor(s): H-Y. Im

Enr: 28		Re	sp: 20	)			Retake: 100% 6 7 M 50 16 42 31		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	11	22	50	16	5.7	
Explains	0	0	0	5	21	42	31	6.0	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	26	57	15	5.9	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	25	50	25	6.0	
Workload	0	5	0	63	15	10	5	4.4	

Difficulty	0	0	5	60	30	0	5	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	28	14	42	14	5.4

## EAS 444H1F The City, Body, and Text in Modern Japanese Literature

Instructor(s): A. Sakaki

Enr: 5		Re	esp: 5	Retake:	100%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	40	60	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	40	60	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8
Workload	0	0	0	60	0	20	20	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	20	40	40	0	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	33	0	66	6.3

Sakaki was a well-mannered, well-organized, and enthusiastic instructor. He was knowledgeable and attentive. It would have been better if they had had a reading package.

Overall, a very good course.

## EAS 460Y1Y Modern Standard Japanese IVa

Instructor(s): I. Komuro-Lee

Enr: 13	Resp: 6					Retake: 66%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	16	0	16	0	66	6.0
Explains	0	0	16	16	0	0	66	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	16	16	66	6.5
Teaching	0	0	16	0	16	16	50	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	16	0	50	33	6.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	16	33	0	50	5.8
Learn Exp	0	0	33	33	0	0	33	4.7

Komuro-Lee was a very good instructor, however, some students thought that the class was very difficult even if you were a native Japanese speaker.

### EAS 461Y1Y Modern Standard Japanese IVb

Instructor(s): I. Komuro-Lee

Enr: 14	Resp: 12					Retake: 80%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	16	33	50	6.3
Explains	0	0	8	16	16	25	33	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	8	8	25	58	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	8	16	25	50	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	9	36	18	36	5.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	27	27	18	27	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	11	44	33	6.0

### EAS 477Y1Y Missionaries in Korea

Instructor(s): Y. Yoo

` '								
Enr: 23	Resp: 21				Retake: 76%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	25	30	40	5	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	40	10	35	15	5.2
Communicates	0	0	0	15	20	30	35	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	21	26	31	21	5.5
Workload	0	5	15	55	15	5	5	4.2
Difficulty	5	0	10	40	25	15	5	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	43	18	31	6	5.0