#### 43

# East Asian Studies Students' Union



#### Introduction

The East Asian Studies Students' Union (EASSU) is an active student body dedicated to the undergraduate students of the East Asian Department. Throughout the year we hold both academic and social events that relate to the East Asian cultures. If you are interested in getting involved, please contact us - eassu@yahoo.ca or drop by our office - Robarts Library, 14th floor, Rm 14299.

**EASSU Executive** 

#### EAS 100Y1Y Modern Standard Chinese I

Instructor(s): H. Wu

Enr: 42	Resp: 25						Retake: 80%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	16	37	33	12	5.4		
Explains	0	0	4	16	33	37	8	5.3		
Communicates	0	0	4	4	4	62	25	6.0		
Teaching	0	0	8	12	16	45	16	5.5		
Workload	0	0	4	20	16	28	32	5.6		
Difficulty	0	0	4	29	12	37	16	5.3		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	18	36	36	9	5.4		

Many students enjoyed this class very much, however, many were concerned with the heavy workload. Many would have appreciated if they had chances to ask questions and speak more in class.

Instructor(s): H. Wu

Enr: 43	Resp: 13						Retake: 90%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	8	25	41	25	0	4.8		
Explains	0	0	8	8	41	33	8	5.2		
Communicates	0	0	0	8	41	25	25	5.7		
Teaching	0	0	0	9	36	45	9	5.5		
Workload	0	0	0	18	27	36	18	5.5		
Difficulty	0	0	0	41	33	25	0	4.8		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	10	30	40	20	5.7		

Wu was enthusiastic and open to questions. This course was one of the highest workload courses however. Students did enjoy the class.

### EAS 101Y1Y Modern Chinese I for Students with Prior Background

Instructor(s): H. Wu

Enr: 49 Presents		Re	esp: 2	9	Retake: 74%			
	11	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
	0	0	14	21	21	28	14	5.1

Explains	3	0	3	17	21	28	25	5.4
Communicates	0	3	3	17	17	31	27	5.5
Teaching	0	0	7	10	10	50	21	5.7
Workload	0	3	0	31	6	34	24	5.4
Difficulty	0	3	0	58	6	27	3	4.7
Learn Exp	4	4	8	24	16	36	8	4.8

Wu was a very good instructor who was enthusiastic and knowledgeable. The workload was heavy and some were disappointed with the TAs.

#### EAS 102Y1Y Introduction to East Asian Civilizations

Instructor(s): R. Guisso

Enr: 306		Re	sp: 14	-6	Retake: 86%			
	11	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	3	19	24	29	19	5.3
Explains	0	1	2	10	24	33	27	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	1	19	26	51	6.3
Teaching	0	0	2	5	19	41	32	6.0
Workload	4	5	9	70	4	3	0	3.8
Difficulty	2	4	15	62	12	2	0	3.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	29	34	20	14	5.2

Students thoroughly enjoyed the material and found Guisso to be a very engaging and enthusiastic lecturer. His anecdotes and side stories were very much appreciated, and he was always available for any inquiries. Some students felt that he spoke a little too quickly, and was a bit disorganized at times - a more thorough course outline would have been helpful.

Students found the tutorials to be of little value. They felt that the readings for tutorials should have been geared more towards the course material, and not be of a supplementary nature.

#### EAS 104Y1Y Elementary Vietnamese

Instructor(s): K.H. Nguyen

Enr: 6		R	esp: 5	j	Retake: 100%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	40	40	20	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	40	40	20	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	60	40	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	40	60	0	5.6
Workload	0	0	20	60	20	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	20	0	0	60	20	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	50	25	25	5.8

Nguyen was very nice and it was wonderful that he was able to integrate basic Vietnamese with enough guidance in English. Small classes made learning fun and much more interactive.

#### EAS 110Y1Y Modern Standard Korean I

Instructor(s): H-Y. Im

Enr: 29		Re	esp: 20	)	Retake: 89%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	25	40	35	6.1
Explains	0	5	0	5	5	40	45	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	5	15	45	35	6.1
Teaching	0	0	5	0	10	30	55	6.3
Workload	0	5	25	60	5	5	0	3.8
Difficulty	0	5	10	65	15	5	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	21	14	28	35	5.8

Im was a well-organized, polite and patient instructor who had a very efficient method of teaching.

#### 44 EAST ASIAN

#### EAS 120Y1Y Modern Standard Japanese I

Instructor(s):	K. Ikeda
----------------	----------

Enr: 18		Re	sp: 17	7	Retake: 100%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	5	11	82	6.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	17	82	6.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	5	94	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	23	76	6.8
Workload	0	0	0	17	35	41	5	5.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	35	29	17	17	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	6	6	0	86	6.7

Ikeda was considered as an excellent instructor who was very caring, helpful, and enthusiastic. Although the material was considered to be difficult for this course, students enjoyed the class.

Instructor(s): M. Kondo

Enr: 16	Resp: 18						ake: 94%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	16	16	66	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	22	16	61	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	16	77	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	16	72	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	38	33	27	0	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	58	17	17	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	5	0	5	5	23	58	6.2

Kondo was praised for her helpfulness, enthusiasm and dedication. Students enjoyed having Kondo as their instructor. They also appreciated the course's organization and the overall learning experience, although some students commented on the workload of the course.

Instructor(s): M. Kondo

Enr: 15		Re	sp: 1	5	Retake: 100%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	6	13	80	6.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	6	13	80	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	13	86	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	6	93	6.9
Workload	0	6	0	20	33	26	13	5.1
Difficulty	0	6	6	26	26	26	6	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	0	33	66	6.7

Students regarded Kondo as a dedicated, caring, excellent and enthusiastic instructor. However, some commented on the intensity of this course.

Instructor(s): K. Ikeda

Enr: 16		Re	sp: 18		Retake: 100%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	0	44	50	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	5	0	27	66	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	5	0	22	72	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	5	5	27	61	6.4
Workload	0	0	5	5	11	27	50	6.1
Difficulty	0	0	5	33	27	16	16	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	7	42	50	6.4

Ikeda was an excellent, enthusiastic, friendly and approachable instructor. Some students complained about many aspects of this course, such as its intensity, fast-pace, and lack of time to prepare for the final oral presentation. At the same time, they praised the enthusiasm and helpfulness of both Ikeda and the TAs.

Instructor(s): K. Ikeda

Enr: 16		Resp: 15					Retake: 92%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	0	6	20	73	6.7		
Explains	0	0	0	0	6	40	53	6.5		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	40	56	6.5		
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	40	60	6.6		
Workload	0	0	6	26	13	46	6	5.2		
Difficulty	0	0	6	26	33	20	13	5.1		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	6	0	26	66	6.5		

Ikeda was highly appreciated for her creativity and enthusiasm. Some students felt that she was really quick in responding to emails. Five days of class in a week seemed heavy, but the majority of the class considered it necessary.

Instructor(s): K. Ikeda

Enr: 13		Re	sp: 13	3	Retake: 100%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	23	76	6.8	
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	38	61	6.6	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	15	76	6.7	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	30	69	6.7	
Workload	0	8	0	33	41	16	0	4.6	
Difficulty	0	7	7	76	7	0	0	3.8	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	10	10	30	50	6.2	

Ikeda was enthusiastic, interesting and she explained the material very clearly. Some students said that the five-day schedule of this class made organizing their timetable difficult.

Instructor(s): K. Ikeda

Enr: 18		Resp: 14					Retake: 91%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	0	7	14	78	6.7		
Explains	0	0	0	0	7	21	71	6.6		
Communicates	0	0	0	7	0	14	28	6.6		
Teaching	0	0	0	0	7	14	78	6.7		
Workload	0	7	0	23	23	23	23	5.2		
Difficulty	0	7	0	23	46	7	15	4.9		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	10	20	0	70	6.3		

Students liked Ikeda for her enthusiasm, effectiveness and helpfulness

Instructor(s): M. Kondo

Enr: 16		Resp: 11					Retake: 90%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	0	9	18	72	6.6		
Explains	0	0	0	0	9	36	54	6.5		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	18	81	6.8		
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	9	90	6.9		
Workload	0	0	9	36	9	36	9	5.0		
Difficulty	0	9	18	18	54	0	0	4.2		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	16	0	0	83	6.5		

#### Instructor(s): M. Kondo

Enr: 15		Resp: 12					Retake: 100%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	16	83	6.8		
Explains	0	0	0	0	8	16	75	6.7		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0		
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	16	83	6.8		
Workload	0	0	0	25	33	25	16	5.3		
Difficulty	0	8	8	25	33	16	8	4.7		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0		

Kondo made the class very enjoyable and was considered an excellent Japanese teacher. Quizzes assigned in classes were difficult but clearly reflected the material taught by the instructor.

Instructor	(s	):	M.	Kondo
------------	----	----	----	-------

Enr: 15		Resp: 14					Retake: 92%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	30	69	6.7	
Explains	0	0	0	0	14	42	42	6.3	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	0	92	6.9	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	35	64	6.6	
Workload	0	0	7	23	23	7	38	5.5	
Difficulty	0	0	7	23	30	30	7	5.1	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	15	53	30	6.2	

Kondo was a wonderful instructor who was enthusiastic, knowledgeable and communicated very well with students. However, many complained about the workload, and some suggested that the clearness of the textbook in explaining grammar could have been improved.

#### EAS 121H1S Japanese I for Students with Prior Background

Instructor(s): M. Kondo

Enr: 5		Resp: 5					Retake: 100%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	40	60	6.6		
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	60	40	6.4		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	40	60	6.6		
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	40	60	6.6		
Workload	0	0	0	40	20	20	20	5.2		
Difficulty	0	0	20	40	20	20	0	4.4		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	40	0	60	6.2		

Instructor(s): M. Kondo

Enr: 5		Re	esp: (	5		Retake: 100%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8	
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8	
Workload	0	0	0	60	20	20	0	4.6	
Difficulty	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	4.0	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	0	40	60	6.6	

## EAS 200Y1Y Modern Standard Chinese II

Instructor(s): H. Wu

Enr: 51		Re	sp: 32	2	Retake: 62%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	3	9	22	25	29	6	4.8
Explains	3	3	6	19	25	32	9	5.0
Communicates	6	3	6	9	22	35	16	5.1
Teaching	9	3	3	9	19	45	9	5.0
Workload	0	0	6	37	18	25	12	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	3	40	21	18	15	5.0
Learn Exp	3	11	0	25	22	22	14	4.8

Students had a few problems with Wu. Those who commented complained that Wu often came to class late. Equally emphasized was that students who had a Chinese background should have been removed because it was not fair to those who did not.

As for the class, some students pointed out that the class was not well organized, and the 25% oral was weighted too heavily.

Instructor(s): H. Wu

Enr: 31		R	esp: 1	0			Retake: 90%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	10	20	30	30	10	5.1		
Explains	0	0	0	40	20	20	20	5.2		

Communicates	0	0	10	30	30	0	30	5.3
Teaching	0	0	10	10	20	40	20	5.5
Workload	0	0	0	30	50	0	20	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	10	60	10	20	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	50	0	25	25	5.2

Again, students complained that those who already had a Chinese background were allowed to take this course, making it unfair for those without.

## EAS 201Y1Y Modern Chinese II for Students with Prior Background

Instructor(s): Y. Yan

Enr: 50		Re	esp: 30	0		Retake: 56%  7		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	20	0	10	30	26	13	0	3.8
Explains	13	3	16	20	36	10	0	3.9
Communicates	20	0	10	33	23	6	6	3.9
Teaching	16	3	16	30	13	20	0	3.8
Workload	0	10	20	46	6	3	13	4.1
Difficulty	0	3	3	50	23	6	13	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	14	40	18	11	14	4.7

Students asked for more interaction, more feedback to improve their language abilities, and a clear marking scheme.

#### EAS 204Y1Y Modern East Asian History

Instructor(s): Y. Chang

Enr: 127		Re	esp: 90	0		Retake: 70%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	1	5	22	33	27	9	5.1
Explains	1	1	5	29	32	22	6	4.9
Communicates	1	1	10	11	32	23	19	5.2
Teaching	1	2	3	27	32	23	9	5.0
Workload	0	1	5	75	12	3	1	4.1
Difficulty	0	1	5	73	18	1	0	4.1
Learn Exp	2	1	3	47	21	15	7	4.6

Students appreciated Chang's presentation and organization but a few did not. Chang was helpful and nice in general.

Students pointed out that the class focussed on China too much. They also noted the different ways of marking between the two TAs.

## EAS 206Y1Y Classical Chinese I

Instructor(s): G. Sanders

Enr: 20		Re	esp: 1	5		Reta	ke: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	20	6	26	46	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	6	20	20	53	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	33	46	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	13	26	60	6.5
Workload	0	20	0	73	6	0	0	3.7
Difficulty	0	6	13	66	13	0	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	6	13	13	26	40	5.8

Graham was a really great instructor with clear explanation of the material. However, a few students pointed out that this course did require a relatively high knowledge of Chinese.

#### EAS 209Y1Y Approaches to East Asia

Instructor(s): E. Cazdyn

Enr: 200		Re	esp: 9	4		Reta	ake: 50%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	3	12	20	26	18	14	4.7
Explains	3	1	14	20	18	18	23	5.0
Communicates	1	0	3	12	11	27	44	5.9
Teaching	1	2	3	25	14	24	29	5.4
Workload	1	0	0	39	36	14	8	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	2	17	30	29	19	5.5

Learn Exp 4 2 12 26 14 18 21 4.8

Students praised Cazdyn for his passion and his enthusiasm for the material. The praise was tempered by feelings that the readings were difficult. Too many films were required and the subject matter was sometime difficult or too broad.

Other comments espoused the belief that the course was more suited to 3rd or 4th year.

Instructor(s): G. Sanders

Enr: 139		Re	sp: 11	5	Retake: 36%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	1	3	7	31	36	12	4	4.6
Explains	2	0	12	31	31	16	4	4.6
Communicates	1	2	8	28	24	25	7	4.8
Teaching	2	2	3	24	35	23	6	4.8
Workload	0	0	0	35	28	13	22	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	32	25	28	13	5.2
Learn Exp	5	3	11	39	26	7	6	4.3

Some students complained that the readings for this course were too difficult for a 200-level course. Students wished that Sanders could change his lecturing style instead of simply reading his notes. Readings were often considered irrelevant.

EAS 210Y1Y Modern Standard Korean III

Instructor(s): Y. Kim

Enr: 27		Re	esp: 1	8		Reta	ake: 88%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	11	44	22	11	5	4.4
Explains	0	0	11	16	27	38	5	5.1
Communicates	0	0	0	11	5	50	33	6.1
Teaching	0	0	5	16	33	33	11	5.3
Workload	5	5	33	55	0	0	0	3.4
Difficulty	5	5	11	44	27	5	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	6	33	33	26	0	4.8

Kim was regarded as a very nice, enthusiastic and approachable instructor. While many stated that he was a good instructor, some commented that his lectures sometimes went off topic. It would have been helpful if graded work could have been returned earlier. More conversation was also requested.

EAS 216Y1Y Modern Standard Korean for Students with Prior Background Instructor(s): Y. Kim

Enr: 20		Re	esp: 1	3		Reta	ake: 92%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	7	0	0	23	15	23	30	5.3
Explains	0	0	0	7	15	30	46	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	7	7	7	76	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	16	0	33	50	6.2
Workload	0	0	23	61	7	0	7	4.1
Difficulty	0	7	7	46	7	23	7	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	10	0	40	50	6.3

Kim was very friendly, helpful and enthusiastic. Lectures were enjoyable. However, people with extensive Korean background had a substantial advantage over those who didn't regardless of how hard those people worked in class.

EAS 220Y1Y Modern Standard Japanese II

Instructor(s): I. Komuro-Lee

Enr: 15		Re	sp: 12	-			ke: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	8	41	50	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	16	33	50	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	8	83	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	8	0	33	58	6.4

Workload	0	0	8	8	25	41	16	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	9	18	36	18	18	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	16	25	25	33	5.8

Komuro-Lee was challenging, logical and fun.

Instructor(s): I. Komuro-Lee

Enr: 19		Re	sp: 20	)		Retal	ke: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	10	35	55	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	5	10	35	50	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	35	60	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	30	65	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	20	50	10	20	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	5	40	30	15	10	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	21	10	68	6.5

Instructor(s): I. Komuro-Lee

Enr: 14		Re	sp: 12	2		Reta	ke: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	8	0	91	6.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	9	90	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Workload	0	8	8	41	16	16	8	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	54	18	18	9	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	0	11	88	6.9

Komuro-Lee was demanding but the experience was worth it. In the words of one student, "Greatest course ever!".

#### EAS 247H1F Japanese Culture & Modernity

Instructor(s): K. Kawashima

Enr: 59		Re	sp: 52	2		Reta	ıke: 91%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	0	30	28	24	16	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	15	23	43	17	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	10	18	32	40	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	12	24	36	26	5.8
Workload	0	0	7	50	29	9	1	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	5	37	31	23	1	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	18	33	39	9	5.4

Students thought the lectures were engaging, fun and easy to follow. Although the readings were quite dry, Kawashima's humour, energy and enthusiasm made it interesting. Very well-organized lectures but sometimes the readings were not relevant to the lectures. Students would have appreciated a visual lecture outline to follow. A good learning experience overall.

### EAS 272H1S Post-war Korean Society & Culture

Instructor(s): J. Song

Enr: 14		R	esp: 7				ıke: 85%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	14	57	28	0	5.1
Explains	0	0	0	14	28	28	28	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	14	0	28	57	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	14	14	42	28	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	14	28	14	42	5.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	14	57	14	14	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	16	0	33	16	33	5.5

Several students found that the discussion-based format of the course made it possible to understand the readings and films on a much deeper level. However, because of the relatively high amount of required readings, several students could not come to class entirely prepared, which in turn impeded the discussions.

Some students felt it would have been more logical for the second draft

of the term paper to be weighted more heavily than the first.

#### EAS 300Y1Y Modern Standard Chinese III

Instructor(s): J. Liu

Enr: 13		Re	esp: 1	1		Reta	ake: 72%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	18	18	27	36	5.8
Explains	0	0	9	9	45	27	9	5.2
Communicates	0	0	0	9	9	27	54	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	9	18	36	36	6.0
Workload	0	0	18	18	27	9	27	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	54	0	9	36	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	25	50	25	0	5.0

Although difficult, several students commented that their Chinese improved greatly, and considered the class even more educational and effective than their 100- and 200-level Mandarin courses combined.

The intensity of the workload, however, should not be overlooked. More than one student claimed they were "driven to tears" by the numerous homework assignments. Be prepared!

#### EAS 310Y1Y Modern Standard Korean III

Instructor(s): H-Y. Im

Enr: 25	Resp: 13						Reta	ke: 91%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	7	0	23	23	46	6.0
Explains	7	0	0	0	38	23	30	5.5
Communicates	7	0	0	7	30	23	30	5.5
Teaching	7	0	0	0	23	23	46	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	38	38	15	7	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	38	23	38	0	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	0	58	8	5.4

Im was clearly dedicated to her students and several commented on her accessibility.

#### EAS 337H1S Chinese Literature

Instructor(s): J. Stowe

Enr: 82		Re	esp: 4	1			Reta	ke: 78%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	5	5	2	15	32	27	12	5.0
Explains	4	2	4	17	31	21	17	5.0
Communicates	4	0	2	7	21	24	39	5.7
Teaching	2	2	2	14	26	36	14	5.3
Workload	0	0	10	72	17	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	9	78	9	2	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	3	6	42	18	24	6	4.7

Stowe had a real passion to teach but had poor organizational skills. He went off topic at times and therefore it was hard to follow.

## EAS 347H1S Everyday Life in Interwar Japan

Instructor(s): K. Kawashima

Enr: 27		Re	sp: 12	2		Reta	ke: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	50	41	8	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	33	66	0	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	8	16	58	16	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	8	66	25	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	45	36	0	18	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	18	54	9	18	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	28	71	0	5.7

This course was eye-opening, stimulating and challenging. Kawashima wrote many helpful comments on the essays. His class on Modernity and everyday life was very rewarding.

#### EAS 362Y1Y Classical Japanese

Instructor(s): D. Waterhouse

Enr: 10		Re	esp: 1	0			Reta	ke: 80%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	20	10	30	40	0	4.9
Explains	0	0	0	20	20	40	20	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	10	10	10	70	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	10	30	30	30	5.8
Workload	10	0	10	50	30	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	20	30	10	5.1
Learn Exp	0	11	11	11	22	33	11	4.9

Waterhouse was very enthusiastic and approachable. He introduced various aspects of Japanese culture. It might have been better if the translation was done systematically.

#### EAS 368Y1Y The Philosophy of the Buddha

Instructor(s): H. Shiu

Enr: 33		Re	sp: 24	4		Retake: 91% 6 7 Mean		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	12	29	29	25	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	8	16	29	45	6.1
Communicates	0	0	4	0	4	41	50	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	4	13	43	39	6.2
Workload	0	0	4	78	17	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	8	65	21	4	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	27	33	27	5.8

## EAS 369Y1Y Transformation of Buddhist Practice in the Contemporary World

Instructor(s): H. Shiu

Enr: 26	Resp: 22						Reta	ake: 94%
	11	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	5	25	30	35	5.8
Explains	0	5	0	0	21	31	42	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	5	0	42	52	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	5	0	45	50	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	65	30	0	5	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	36	47	10	5	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	16	16	33	33	5.8

Shiu explained the concepts clearly and was readily available to help with students' questions and emails within a reasonable time.

## EAS 389Y1Y History of Korean Religion

Instructor(s): Y. Yoo

Enr: 31	Resp: 28						Reta	ake: 86%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	32	12	36	20	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	19	34	34	11	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	3	25	33	37	6.0
Teaching	0	3	0	7	30	23	34	5.7
Workload	0	0	15	69	15	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	11	73	11	3	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	26	47	15	10	5.1

Yoo not only encouraged students to meet with him during the office hours, but also offered to meet them at their convenience. Assignment expectations were not clearly outlined.

### EAS 400Y1Y Modern Standard Chinese IV

Instructor(s): H.W. Rupprecht

Enr: 15	Resp: 15					Resp: 15 Retake:					ıke: 93%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean			
Presents	0	0	0	0	13	13	73	6.6			
Explains	0	0	0	0	20	20	60	6.4			
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	40	53	6.5			
Teaching	0	0	0	6	0	33	60	6.5			

Workload	0	0	0	20	6	60	13	5.7
Difficulty	0	0	6	26	13	46	6	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	7	7	46	38	6.2

Rupprecht was very effective and she really cared about her students. The workload was higher than other 400-level courses. A highly recommended course!

#### EAS 410Y1Y Modern Standard Korean IV

Instructor(s): Y. Kim

Enr: 36		Re	esp: 20	0		Retake: 93%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	11	11	17	41	11	5.1
Explains	0	0	13	20	26	6	33	5.3
Communicates	0	5	0	11	11	41	29	5.7
Teaching	0	6	0	12	37	18	25	5.4
Workload	0	5	10	78	5	0	0	3.8
Difficulty	0	5	5	64	17	0	5	4.2
Learn Exp	0	7	0	0	42	35	14	5.4

Students enjoyed the course and at the same time, they learned a lot of Korean. Kim was nice and approachable, but his lectures were disorganized at times. There were so many questions on the tests that he hadn't taught, making it difficult.

#### EAS 415Y1Y Advanced Readings in Korean

Instructor(s): H-Y. Im

Enr: 31	Resp: 22						Retake: 93%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	0	9	40	50	6.4		
Explains	0	0	0	0	18	40	40	6.2		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	27	27	45	6.2		
Teaching	0	0	0	0	27	31	40	6.1		
Workload	0	5	5	70	10	5	5	4.2		
Difficulty	0	0	4	72	4	4	13	4.5		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	23	29	35	5.9		

Im's teaching was very detail-oriented and organized. Many Korean students were in the class so that non-native speakers had to study extremely hard. Material was mostly about languages and articles on social problems in Korea and elsewhere, and not about prose or poetry.

EAS 444H1S The City, Body and Text in Modern Japanese Literature

Instructor(s): A. Sakaki

Enr: 12	Resp: 9						Retake: 100%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	0	22	44	33	6.1		
Explains	0	0	0	0	22	44	33	6.1		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	11	88	6.9		
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	33	66	6.7		
Workload	0	0	0	55	33	11	0	4.6		
Difficulty	0	0	11	55	22	11	0	4.3		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	33	33	33	6.0		

Sakaki was very kind and thoughtful and directed class discussions very well. The course material contained very good choices of fiction and some considerable critiques.

EAS 452H1S The Construction of Gender in Traditional China

Instructor(s): R. Guisso

		-						
Enr: 26		Re	sp: 18	8	Retake: 100%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	16	61	22	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	16	55	27	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	35	52	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	44	55	6.6
Workload	0	5	5	72	16	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	5	77	11	5	0	4.2

Learn Exp 0 0 0 21 35 28 14 5.4

Guisso was a very engaging speaker and very understanding instructor. Students liked how they could choose their evaluation methods. The course gave an opportunity to discuss women's positions in societies in East Asia - highly recommended!

## EAS 456H1F Japan as seen by?: Reference, Apparatus, Operation

Instructor(s): A. Sakaki

Enr: 6	Resp: 5						Retake: 60%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	80	20	6.2	
Explains	0	0	0	0	20	40	40	6.2	
Communicates	0	0	0	20	0	20	60	6.2	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	40	60	6.6	
Workload	0	0	20	60	0	20	0	4.2	
Difficulty	0	0	20	20	20	20	20	5.0	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	0	33	33	5.7	

#### EAS 461H1Y Modern Standard Japanese IVb

Instructor(s): I. Komuro-Lee

Enr: 10	Resp: 10						Retake: 87%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	0	10	50	40	6.3		
Explains	0	0	0	0	10	30	60	6.5		
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	20	70	6.6		
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	30	70	6.7		
Workload	0	0	0	20	30	40	10	5.4		
Difficulty	0	0	0	30	40	20	10	5.1		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	14	42	42	6.3		

Komuro-Lee was very nice and approachable. The workload was as high as a full-year course.

#### EAS 477Y1F Missionaries in Korea

Instructor(s): Y. Yoo

Enr: 25	Resp: 21					Retake: 84%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	10	10	14	10	28	28	5.2	
Explains	5	10	14	0	33	28	24	5.7	
Communicates	0	10	14	19	10	43	33	6.8	
Teaching	5	0	10	14	19	38	14	5.1	
Workload	0	0	10	81	24	28	0	4.1	
Difficulty	0	5	5	76	10	5	0	4.1	
Learn Exp	6	0	0	19	19	38	19	5.4	

Yoo was a very knowledgeable and friendly teacher who was very passionate about his course. However, some said that the material covered on the test was too specific.

