

Introduction

ASSU would like to thank the faculty and staff of Innis College for their kind assistance in providing the following evaluations.

Editor

INI 115Y1Y Introduction to Film Study

Instructor(s): B. Testa

Enr: 165		Re	esp: 8	1		Reta	ke: 77%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	2	12	19	28	27	6	4.8
Explains	3	3	8	23	23	23	14	4.8
Communicates	2	6	2	28	28	24	7	4.8
Teaching	1	3	6	17	34	25	10	5.0
Workload	0	1	11	60	17	7	1	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	10	62	17	7	1	4.3
Learn Exp	1	3	6	36	13	27	12	4.9

Testa's lectures were organized, easy to understand and interesting. He used clear and excellent examples to illustrate his points. Some students thought Testa wasn't enthusiastic, making lectures a bit dry. Others felt that the slides were shown too quickly and that Testa relied heavily on notes to instruct. Overall, students appreciated this course because it gave them a good introduction to film study despite the minor problems.

Instructor(s): B. Testa

Enr: 177		Res	sp: 112	2		Reta		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	11	21	29	28	7	5.0
Explains	0	0	7	18	27	31	13	5.2
Communicates	0	2	10	19	22	31	12	5.1
Teaching	0	0	4	20	28	40	6	5.2
Workload	0	1	3	65	23	5	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	1	5	69	15	6	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	1	1	27	26	32	10	5.2

Testa was a "pleasant, knowledgeable and clear" instructor. However, he spoke too quickly at times and went through the slides swiftly making it difficult for students to take notes. The slides contained too much information which was hard to follow. Students thought a course website would have been useful given the amount of information presented to them. A few thought Testa did not answer questions effectively. Films, texts and examples were carefully chosen and relevant resulting in the students' enjoyment of the overall learning experience.

INI 204Y1Y The Academic Writing Process

Instructor(s): R. Riendeau

Enr: 33		Res	sp: 32		Retake: 93%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	9	29	32	25	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	3	12	41	41	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	32	54	6.4

Teaching	0	0	0	3	9	51	35	6.2
Workload	0	3	25	53	15	3	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	3	12	58	19	6	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	13	21	39	26	5.8

Students considered Riendeau a very enthusiastic, passionate, knowledgeable and fun instructor. He was attentive to students' needs and was always providing constructive feedback on essays. His assignments were clearly instructed and well-planned - ensuring that they reflected the material learned in class. He also gave students sample writings, which were very useful in illustrating concepts regarding the writing process.

INI 212Y1Y Film History

Instructor(s): C. Keil

Enr: 103		Resp: 72 Retake: 94%					%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	5	40	50	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	2	10	34	52	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	1	16	82	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	1	2	23	72	6.7
Workload	0	1	1	69	22	2	2	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	1	73	22	1	1	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	20	38	29	5.9

Keil was a favourite among students! He was funny, witty, very effective and friendly. He encouraged a high level of discourse and was "equally challenging and supportive". His expectations on students' work were always clearly stated. His lectures were informative, interesting and organized. Students highly recommended taking Keil's course as the learning experience was valuable.

INI 214Y1Y Film Theory

Instructor(s): C. Columpar

Enr: 81		Res	sp: 51		Retake: 48%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	8	24	34	28	5.7
Explains	1	1	7	5	29	25	27	5.5
Communicates	0	0	1	11	23	41	21	5.7
Teaching	0	2	4	4	30	30	28	5.7
Workload	0	0	0	25	37	23	13	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	5	1	752	23	5.9
Learn Exp	2	0	8	25	22	34	5	4.9

Columpar was a well-organized, clear and approachable instructor. Her knowledge of the material was impressive - she was able to convey difficult and boring concepts into clear and interesting ones. However, she tried to relate too much challenging information quickly, affecting students' digestion of the material. The concepts brought up in class were complex and required "a whole other type of reading and understanding of film and theoretical texts". Students also wished there was sufficient time allotted for the screenings so that they did not cut into lecture time.

INI 223H1F Fundamental Environmental Skills

Instructor(s): B. Savan

Enr: 43		Re	sp: 29)		Ref	ake: 3%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	10	10	10	34	13	20	0	3.9
Explains	10	0	20	31	20	17	0	4.0
Communicates	10	0	10	17	24	24	13	4.7
Teaching	10	0	17	17	31	24	0	4.3
Workload	0	0	0	25	17	14	42	5.8
Difficulty	3	0	10	50	14	17	3	4.4
Learn Exp	8	16	12	40	16	8	0	3.6

Though a few students were positive about this course, overall, students were dissatisfied. Attitudes about the instructor were mixed. Students felt Savan was not clear about the expectations for submitted work. The majority of students felt the workload was more suitable for a full-year course.

INI 224Y1Y Authorship in the Cinema

Instructor(s): M. Gieri

Enr: 62		Re	sp: 15	5		Reta	ke: 73	%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	13	0	6	6	40	20	13	4.7
Explains	6	0	6	33	6	13	33	5.1
Communicates	0	6	6	6	6	20	53	5.9
Teaching	6	6	6	6	20	6	46	5.3
Workload	0	0	13	80	6	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	6	80	6	0	6	4.2
Learn Exp	10	10	10	10	30	10	20	4.5

Gieri's enthusiasm was high. She was knowledgeable, passionate about the material and very helpful. She ensured that students understood the ideas she taught. Some students thought she was a bit disorganized because she "went off on tangents at times" and talked about off-topic issues.

INI 235Y1Y American Popular Film Since 1970

Instructor(s): L. Morrow

Enr: 35		Re	sp: 26	;	Retake: 68%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	23	19	46	7	5.3
Explains	0	0	3	7	23	57	7	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	7	34	38	19	5.7
Teaching	0	0	7	15	38	30	7	5.2
Workload	0	0	28	60	8	0	4	3.9
Difficulty	0	8	4	72	12	0	4	4.0
Learn Exp	0	5	10	42	36	0	5	4.3

This was an informative course, but the lectures were a bit dry at times. Students liked the guest speakers who gave interesting talks on a variety of issues. They felt that a textbook or a course reader would have helped their learning experience.

INI 304H1S The Illusion and the Reality of Evidence

Instructor(s): R. Riendeau

Enr: 23		Resp: 19 Retake: 66%					%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	5	5	21	15	15	26	10	4.5
Explains	5	5	21	10	26	21	10	4.5
Communicates	0	0	5	5	0	36	52	6.3
Teaching	5	0	10	15	15	36	15	5.1
Workload	0	0	10	73	15	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	5	57	31	5	0	4.4
Learn Exp	5	16	0	22	27	22	5	4.4

Riendeau showed great enthusiasm towards the material. There was no course reader and there was a lot of material presented in such a limited time. Overall, students believed the course would develop positively in the future and was worth taking.

INI 307Y1Y Urban Sustainability

Instructor(s): P. Robinson

11101140101(0). 1.		0011						
Enr: 27		Res	sp: 20			Retake: 100%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	5	42	52	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	36	57	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	5	94	6.9
Workload	0	0	0	15	47	26	10	5.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	35	30	30	5	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	5	11	11	70	6.5

"Excellent, engaged, witty, passionate and inspiring" were only a few of the adjectives that students used to describe Robinson. She was appreciated by her students because "she gave much more than expected of an instructor, offering advice and guidance above and beyond the

course." Students liked the "salon and process-oriented group work" as these gave them "lessons that were life-changing." Students were disappointed when they learned that Robinson will no longer be teaching at UofT.

INI 308H1S The City of Toronto

Instructor(s): D. Lewis Stein

Enr: 20		Re	sp: 19)		Reta	ke: 89%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	26	47	15	10	5.1	
Explains	0	0	0	5	22	50	22	5.9	
Communicates	0	0	0	5	10	42	42	6.2	
Teaching	0	0	0	5	36	21	36	5.9	
Workload	5	5	27	55	0	5	0	3.6	
Difficulty	5	0	31	57	5	0	0	3.6	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	40	13	20	26	5.3	

Lewis Stein was organized, helpful, accommodating and enthusiastic. He captivated his students with his stories about Toronto. However, students felt they didn't really know what their situation was in the course because they weren't required to hand in work until March - after the drop date. They also thought it would have been better if the instructor gave them the assignment on paper instead of verbally, so that clearer instructions existed. Overall, students still enjoyed the course despite the minor criticisms.

INI 309H1S Urban Infrastructure

Instructor(s): D. Stonehouse

Enr: 17	Resp: 17				Reta	ke: 93	%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	43	18	31	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	18	50	31	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	50	43	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	6	43	50	6.4
Workload	0	0	25	62	6	0	6	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	18	68	6	6	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	7	35	35	21	5.7

Students found the material and Stonehouse's method of teaching very interesting and effective.

INI 320Y1Y National and International Environmental Policy Making

Instructor(s): D. MacDonald

Enr: 26		Res	sp: 22		Retake: 57%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	0	0	18	31	18	27	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	22	18	36	22	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	13	18	68	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	9	18	27	45	6.1
Workload	0	0	9	40	31	18	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	4	31	50	13	0	4.7
Learn Exp	0	5	5	41	17	5	23	4.8

Everyone appreciated MacDonald's helpfulness and flexibility. He was more than willing to meet with students to discuss their assignments or concerns. Students liked the discussions because the atmosphere was relaxed and warm, and interesting issues were discussed. Newspaper articles were used to illustrate the material. Some students thought that the essay proposal was worth too much - it was weighted at only 5% less than the final paper.

INI 324Y1Y American Film Making in the Studio Era

Instructor(s): C. Keil

Enr: 37		Res	sp: 37		Retake: 97%			
2111. 07	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	19	36	44	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	13	36	50	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	25	72	6.7

Teaching	0	0	0	0	2	36	61	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	63	27	8	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	77	19	2	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	3	42	35	17	5.7

The class and tutorials were a joy to attend. Keil ensured that they were engaging and fun through his enthusiasm and unique way of teaching. The choice of readings and films was excellent, enriching students' experience in the course. Keil was also very approachable and willing to assist students at any time. For many students, he was one of the finest instructors at UofT.

INI 325Y1Y Documentary Film

Instructor(s): K. Armatage

Enr: 73		Resp: 48				Reta	ke: 55%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	10	8	31	29	18	2	4.4	
Explains	0	6	14	22	33	16	6	4.6	
Communicates	0	4	2	8	34	26	23	5.5	
Teaching	0	2	8	29	27	29	4	4.9	
Workload	0	2	4	80	8	4	0	4.1	
Difficulty	0	0	12	62	18	2	4	4.2	
Learn Exp	2	2	12	50	20	12	0	4.2	

Armatage was very knowledgeable and quite enthusiastic about the material. Most students liked her teaching style and felt that she treated students fairly while a few felt that she was somewhat condescending. Some students also had a difficult time trying to reach her through email, as she didn't always respond to the first request.

Overall, students liked the film selection but were evenly split as to lecture presentations.

INI 329Y1Y Theories of Film Genres and Filmic Narration

Instructor(s): B. Testa

Enr: 55	Resp: 32				Reta	ke: 76%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	9	19	29	35	6	5.1
Explains	0	0	9	9	28	40	12	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	15	34	50	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	9	35	35	19	5.6
Workload	0	0	0	15	28	40	15	5.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	9	34	37	18	5.7
Learn Exp	0	3	0	18	25	40	11	5.3

Though students found the course interesting and enjoyable, they felt that the readings were a bit much and often too dense. They also believed that the readings should have been put together in a course reader because the Innis library was not always conveniently open. Testa was very knowledgeable and energetic, "teaching material he obviously loved." There were three required essays and the criteria for these were not clear

INI 332H1F Culture and Nature

Instructor(s): J. Cypher

Enr: 27		Re	sp: 17	,	Retake: 88%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	0	47	47	0	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	23	11	52	11	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	52	35	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	11	5	58	23	5.9
Workload	0	5	23	70	0	0	0	3.6
Difficulty	0	5	17	64	11	0	0	3.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	42	28	14	5.4

Students agreed that this course was "fun, refreshing, enjoyable and non-traditional". Cypher introduced various methods of evaluation, which students found interesting and creative. She also provided a comfortable learning environment that encouraged students to openly participate in discussions. She was a very enthusiastic and effective lecturer.

INI 335H1F Environmental Design

Instructor(s): P. Robinson

Enr: 19	Resp: 14				Reta	ke: 92	%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	14	50	35	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	7	14	50	28	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	7	92	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	7	30	61	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	64	21	14	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	7	21	57	0	14	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	27	36	36	6.1

Robinson was an amazing instructor who had a "unique skill in teaching". Students had a great time in this class and highly recommended taking it. They liked the field trips and found the use of different examples extremely helpful in understanding concepts. Robinson was extremely enthusiastic and passionate about the material. She encouraged her students to seek help from her anytime, even after office hours. Students found her "inspiring, motivating, a fair marker and fun!" She was one of the best instructors students had experienced at UofT.

INI 340H1S Informed Environmental Practice

Instructor(s): P. Robinson; D. Powell

Enr: 27		Res	sp: 19			Retak	e: 100%	0
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Robinson:								
Presents	0	0	0	0	31	42	26	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	10	15	47	26	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	26	63	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	47	47	6.4
Powell:								
Presents	0	0	0	4	22	50	27	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	5	33	38	22	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	5	16	22	55	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	5	5	38	50	6.3
Course:								
Workload	0	0	5	26	42	21	5	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	5	52	31	10	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	22	11	38	27	5.7

Students' ability to work in groups was improved a lot by taking this course. They were allowed to facilitate class discussions, which were interesting. Both instructors were supportive, approachable, enthusiastic and knowledgeable. It was a very enjoyable course and it prepared students for the senior level environmental courses.

INI 341H1F Environment and Human Health

Instructor(s): A. Abelsohn

Enr: 26		Resp: 19				Reta	ke: 77%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	21	42	26	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	57	36	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	42	47	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	5	15	63	15	5.9
Workload	0	0	8	84	10	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	5	68	26	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	00	0	0	22	33	11	33	5.6

The material covered in the course was interesting. Abelsohn was friendly and approachable. He was very knowledgeable and enthusiastic about the material, and communicated the goals of the course effectively. A few students complained that the weight of the final assignment, which was 60%, was too high.

INI 381H1S Interdisciplinary Independent Study I: Aspects of a National Cinema

Instructor(s): C. Columpar

Enr: 24		Re	sp: 16	3		Reta	Retake: 86% 7 Mean 13 5.9 18 5.9 20 6.1	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	26	60	13	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	25	56	18	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	13	66	20	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	33	40	26	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	73	20	6	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	6	80	6	6	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	30	40	20	10	5.1

Columpar clearly and enthusiastically communicated the material. She was very good at providing helpful comments and guidelines on essays and assignments. The "graduated series of assignments leading up to the final essay" was a great method of evaluation and was highly valued by students. This "promoted a more constructive writing process". Some students commented that the readings were not incorporated into the assignments so they found their value low, though interesting.

INI 422H1F Environmental Law

Instructor(s): P. Muldoon

Enr: 21	Resp: 11					Retake: 81%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	9	45	36	9	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	9	72	18	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	18	36	45	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	18	63	18	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	45	36	18	0	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	45	36	18	0	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	22	55	22	0	5.0

Muldoon was an enthusiastic instructor who delivered interesting and informative lectures. He used "real life examples to help students understand the concepts clearly".

INI 428H1S The Cinematic City

Instructor(s): B. Testa

Enr: 16	Resp: 13				Retake: 84%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	7	0	15	15	53	7	5.3
Explains	0	0	15	7	15	46	15	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	7	15	23	53	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	15	7	38	38	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	15	46	15	23	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	7	46	30	15	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	9	9	27	36	18	5.5

Testa was viewed as an exceptional teacher who genuinely cared about his students. He was enthusiastic, clear, knowledgeable and helpful. Although the readings were enjoyable and important, there was too many of them so several students found it difficult to keep up. Generally, it was a valuable learning experience.

INI 440Y1Y Professional Experience Course

Instructor(s): P. Robinson: D. Powell

Enr: 8	Resp: 6					%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Robinson:								
Presents	0	0	0	33	33	33	0	5.0
Explains	0	0	0	33	50	16	0	4.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	16	50	33	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	16	83	6.8
Powell:								
Presents	0	0	0	33	33	33	0	5.0
Explains	0	0	0	33	33	33	0	5.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	33	33	33	6.0

Teaching Course:	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	0	33	16	50	6.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	60	40	0	5.4

Students agreed that the workload was too high - the placement experience plus school requirements were difficult to handle.

INI 446H1S Cities and Urban Environmentalists in a Global Context

Instructor(s): C. Gore

Enr: 14		Res	sp: 10	1		%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	33	11	33	22	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	10	30	20	40	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	33	0	66	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	20	60	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	60	40	0	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	80	10	10	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	42	42	0	5.3

Students considered Gore an effective, enthusiastic and insightful instructor. The environment Gore promoted was comfortable and welcoming. Students enjoyed the interactive nature of the class, the films shown and the assignments. The snacks and tea were great too!

INI 463H1F Early Cinema

Instructor(s): C. Keil

Enr: 9	Resp: 9					%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	14	28	57	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	14	14	71	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	14	0	85	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	28	71	6.7
Workload	0	0	0	28	14	42	14	5.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	28	42	14	14	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	28	28	42	6.1

Students felt this course was one of the best courses they have ever taken at UofT! Though the material was challenging, they were able to get a good grasp of it due to Keil's clear instruction. Keil was deemed as an excellent teacher who always helped students. He was very enthusiastic and knowledgeable, and had a great sense of humour. A worthwhile class to take!

INI 483H1F "American Independent Film"

Instructor(s): C. Columpar

Enr: 20		Re	sp: 20)		Reta	ke: 84%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	10	40	10	35	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	10	30	25	55	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	5	15	25	55	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	10	15	25	50	6.2
Workload	0	0	10	85	0	5	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	15	75	5	5	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	8	16	33	16	25	5.3

Many students found this course enjoyable. The instructor structured the discussions well and was very knowledgeable. Students also appreciated Columpar's enthusiasm and ability to "create an appropriately difficult and challenging course that provoked a lot of critical thinking". They also liked the group and individual presentations as these allowed for greater participation.