



**TORONTO UNDERGRADUATE
GEOGRAPHY SOCIETY**

Introduction

The Toronto Undergraduate Geography Society (TUGS) is the geography student union. If you are taking a GGR course or are enrolled in a geography program, you are automatically a member of TUGS. Throughout the year, TUGS organizes events, career days and seminars of interest to all geography students. We also represent geography students on the Arts & Science Students' Union (ASSU) Council and on a number of other committees in the geography department. TUGS is a great link between the geography department and geography students, addressing the issues and needs of the undergraduates. In addition, we have an office with information on courses, lectures and events, as well as a file of old geography exams available for photocopying.

There are several ways to get involved with TUGS. You can be a class rep, or you can be a member of the Executive, or a volunteer, helping our Executive organize events, or you can just come out to our events during the year! TUGS is a great way to meet people, have fun and get more involved in the UofT community. Drop by our office in the basement of Sidney Smith Hall (room 613), equipped with a telephone, comfy couches and a microwave. We can also be reached online at <http://tugsonline.cjb.net> or by telephone at (416) 978-2057.

TUGS Executive

GGR 100Y1Y Introduction to Physical Geography

Instructor(s): L. Burge

	Enr: 152							Mean
	Resp: 74							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	1	0	2	32	47	16	5.7
Explains	0	0	7	14	24	44	8	5.3
Communicates	1	1	7	20	37	21	10	5.0
Teaching	0	2	1	11	32	47	4	5.3
Workload	0	0	5	71	13	6	2	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	2	64	22	8	1	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	3	52	26	12	6	4.7

Burge's lectures were clearly organized but students felt that Burge read off the slides too much. Students identified a need for additional assistance in labs.

Instructor(s): A. Davis

	Enr: 179							Mean
	Resp: 83							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	2	12	30	33	21	5.6
Explains	1	1	7	4	30	33	21	5.5
Communicates	0	0	3	9	13	25	48	6.0
Teaching	0	0	2	8	25	34	29	5.8
Workload	1	1	10	51	27	8	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	1	2	57	25	9	3	4.5

	0	3	6	31	34	15	9	4.8
Learn Exp	0	3	6	31	34	15	9	4.8

Some students felt that there was too much material on the slides to copy down and not enough time was given to do so. Davis taught the class with enthusiasm. Students enjoyed the class involvement and felt it to be an enriching experience. Davis was widely available outside of class for questions.

GGR 107Y1Y Environment, Food and People

Instructor(s): J. Galloway

	Enr: 263							Mean
	Resp: 133							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	3	11	27	34	22	5.6
Explains	0	0	2	10	24	42	19	5.6
Communicates	0	3	10	24	26	25	10	4.9
Teaching	0	0	1	17	32	28	19	5.4
Workload	1	7	15	59	11	2	1	3.9
Difficulty	2	3	22	58	10	2	0	3.8
Learn Exp	0	0	10	49	18	17	2	4.5

Galloway presented the lectures in a well-organized manner and conveyed interest in the material. Many students wanted more explicit guidelines for the assignments. Also, the tutorial sessions could have been more valuable if more thought provoking discussions were utilized. Galloway responded quickly to emails and was very helpful.

GGR 124Y1Y Urbanization, Contemporary Cities and Urban Life

Instructor(s): L. Bourne

	Enr: 185							Mean
	Resp: 82							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	1	6	22	38	32	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	1	16	43	39	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	1	11	34	53	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	2	10	42	45	6.3
Workload	0	5	8	70	11	5	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	3	10	70	15	1	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	1	0	28	19	37	12	5.3

Bourne was a very good lecturer and his sense of humour was much appreciated. Students found the material interesting and commented that it was a good introductory course. The assignments were not well worded and were sometimes challenging. The lectures were well-organized and clearly communicated the goals of the course.

Instructor(s): D. Dupuy

	Enr: 160							Mean
	Resp: 100							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	1	12	31	40	14	5.6
Explains	0	0	3	15	36	34	11	5.4
Communicates	1	0	4	14	41	26	13	5.3
Teaching	0	0	1	6	47	34	10	5.5
Workload	0	8	5	72	11	3	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	2	16	72	7	2	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	6	46	33	5	7	4.6

Dupuy clearly presented and explained the course material. The overheads and slides were useful and well-organized, but the readings from the texts were too general. Class interaction made the course more interesting and Dupuy was knowledgeable and approachable. Many students felt that there wasn't enough time to copy down the notes.

GGR 201H1S Geomorphology

Instructor(s): J. Desloges

	Enr: 56							Mean
	Resp: 31							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	3	3	36	30	26	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	6	26	43	23	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	6	13	46	33	6.1

82 GEOGRAPHY

Teaching	0	0	0	6	20	50	23	5.9
Workload	3	0	0	68	17	10	0	4.3
Difficulty	3	0	3	64	25	3	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	44	32	20	4	4.8

Desloges was an enthusiastic and engaging lecturer who provided useful examples. Students also enjoyed the field work. The textbook was difficult to follow. Desloges was attentive to student questions and clearly explained the concepts.

GGR 203H1S Introduction to Climatology

Instructor(s): D. Harvey

Enr: 38	Resp: 26			Retake: 39%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	3	26	38	23	7	5.0
Explains	7	7	0	34	19	23	7	4.5
Communicates	0	0	3	15	15	50	15	5.6
Teaching	0	3	7	23	38	23	3	4.8
Workload	0	0	0	32	40	12	16	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	20	16	29	33	5.8
Learn Exp	0	5	16	33	38	0	5	4.3

Harvey was very knowledgeable and enthusiastic about the topic. Many students thought that the level of difficulty of the course was too high for an introductory course. The need for a formal textbook was stressed. Many students commented that the problem sets were very difficult for those who did not have a strong mathematics background.

GGR 205H1F Introduction to Soil Science

Instructor(s): V. Timmer

Enr: 39	Resp: 20			Retake: 73%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	20	30	35	15	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	25	40	25	10	5.2
Communicates	0	0	0	15	26	21	36	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	10	40	35	15	5.6
Workload	0	0	15	80	5	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	75	20	5	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	7	38	38	15	0	4.6

Timmer showed great enthusiasm for the course material, and was very attentive to students' questions. Students felt that the material was a little dry and it would have been better if the course was split into two one hour sessions. The field trip was very useful and a fun experience.

GGR 206H1F Introduction to Hydrology

Instructor(s): J. Chen

Enr: 44	Resp: 25			Retake: 52%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	4	12	40	20	24	0	4.5
Explains	4	0	16	25	20	33	0	4.6
Communicates	0	0	4	20	41	20	12	5.2
Teaching	4	0	4	40	20	28	4	4.7
Workload	4	4	20	68	4	0	0	3.6
Difficulty	4	4	16	68	8	0	0	3.7
Learn Exp	5	0	29	29	29	5	0	3.9

Students found Chen enjoyable and genuinely interested in the material. However, some students had difficulty understanding the requirements of the assignments and the lecture presentations. Also, many felt the course was too mathematical.

GGR 220Y1Y The Spatial Organization of Economic Activity

Instructor(s): R. Di Francesco

Enr: 185	Resp: 110			Retake: 42%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	1	11	29	26	24	5	4.8
Explains	0	2	7	34	32	17	5	4.7

Communicates	1	11	14	36	20	10	4	4.1
Teaching	0	4	9	28	34	15	6	4.6
Workload	0	4	13	69	10	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	5	64	20	9	0	4.3
Learn Exp	1	9	9	51	19	5	3	4.1

Di Francesco was friendly and gave clear explanations. Many however, thought lectures were disorganized and felt assignments should be more specific. Students would have preferred overheads or powerpoint presentations in lectures.

Instructor(s): J. Britton

Enr: 169	Resp: 96			Retake: 39%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	1	4	15	25	31	15	5	4.5
Explains	1	4	12	22	30	25	3	4.7
Communicates	2	5	11	32	22	17	8	4.5
Teaching	1	4	6	27	31	25	4	4.8
Workload	0	2	10	69	14	3	0	4.1
Difficulty	1	0	6	54	26	10	1	4.4
Learn Exp	1	8	11	51	16	7	2	4.1

Britton was unclear at times, and didn't leave enough time for the class to take down notes. The assignments were unfairly graded and the tutorials weren't useful.

GGR 233Y1Y Environmental Management for Sustainable Development

Instructor(s): S. Prudham

Enr: 144	Resp: 83			Retake: 80%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	1	1	3	20	45	27	5.9
Explains	0	1	0	3	19	49	25	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	3	7	56	32	6.2
Teaching	0	0	1	7	17	43	30	5.9
Workload	0	0	6	56	23	12	1	4.5
Difficulty	0	1	4	74	12	7	0	4.2
Learn Exp	1	0	0	20	44	12	20	5.3

Prudham interacted well with the class relating course material to everyday life. Students did feel that at times Prudham moved too quickly. There was a concern that the weighting of the assignments did not represent the workload required for each. Students also felt that the assignment questions could have been clearer and more feedback on marked assignments would have been helpful. Overall, students recognized Prudham's enthusiasm (noting the chicken suit he wore to class) but would have been able to follow lectures easier if notes were posted on the web before class.

***Note:** for M. Diamond's section, only the comments are available as the forms were filled out incorrectly

Instructor(s): M. Diamond

Enr: 132	Resp: 41			Retake: 72%				
----------	----------	--	--	-------------	--	--	--	--

Many students felt that Diamond was very enthusiastic and knowledgeable. At times, her lectures were full of information but the slides were well-organized. Some students felt that there was too much science and chemistry in the material for a social science course.

GGR 240Y1Y Historical Geography of the Americas

Instructor(s): J. Leydon; J. Galloway

Enr: 76	Resp: 48			Retake: 72%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
<u>Leydon:</u>								
Presents	0	0	2	11	26	37	22	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	6	40	35	17	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	6	21	36	34	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	4	23	43	28	6.0

Galloway:

Presents	0	2	2	11	42	37	4	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	10	50	26	13	5.4
Communicates	0	2	8	17	35	28	6	5.0
Teaching	0	0	0	6	57	28	6	5.4
Course:								
Workload	0	0	8	69	17	4	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	6	76	10	4	2	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	12	30	40	17	0	4.6

Students noted a large difference in teaching styles between the instructors and that the instructors switched too frequently. Students felt that the tutorials were not helpful.

GGR 246H1F Geography of Canada

Instructor(s): J. Leydon

Enr: 179	Resp: 110							Retake: 81%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	10	31	38	18	5.6	
Explains	0	0	0	9	26	36	27	5.8	
Communicates	0	0	4	4	19	40	30	5.8	
Teaching	0	1	0	8	24	40	23	5.7	
Workload	0	0	5	77	13	3	0	4.2	
Difficulty	0	1	7	71	11	6	0	4.2	
Learn Exp	2	0	2	35	26	25	8	4.9	

Students enjoyed Leydon's sense of humour, which always made lectures interesting. He was approachable and understanding, although many students felt that he was a hard marker. Some felt that he spoke too quickly and covered too much material. A few students suggested that notes be posted online and also that there be more choice for assignment topics. Overall, students really enjoyed this course and thought that Leydon was well-organized and very enthusiastic.

GGR 249H1F Contemporary Latin America

Instructor(s): J. Galloway

Enr: 56	Resp: 34							Retake: 75%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	5	11	32	41	8	5.4	
Explains	0	0	8	8	23	44	14	5.5	
Communicates	0	5	5	26	11	35	14	5.1	
Teaching	0	0	6	9	27	48	9	5.5	
Workload	2	5	5	76	8	0	0	3.8	
Difficulty	0	5	11	76	5	0	0	3.8	
Learn Exp	0	0	4	44	32	16	4	4.7	

Most students enjoyed this course and found Galloway to be knowledgeable, interesting and approachable. Some students felt that he spoke too quietly and only focussed on certain topics as opposed to covering many regions of Latin America. Many students also commented that they enjoyed the type of assignments in this course, but could have used better explanations of what was expected.

GGR 252H1F Marketing Geography

Instructor(s): R. Di Francesco

Enr: 87	Resp: 63							Retake: 61%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	7	30	20	33	7	5.0	
Explains	1	0	9	28	31	22	6	4.8	
Communicates	0	3	20	30	20	19	6	4.5	
Teaching	3	0	3	28	28	31	4	4.9	
Workload	0	3	14	66	12	1	1	4.0	
Difficulty	0	1	15	63	12	4	1	4.1	
Learn Exp	2	6	6	46	24	6	10	4.4	

Most students found Di Francesco well-organized and able to clearly communicate the material. His use of current examples was particularly appreciated. Some felt that evaluations should have been spread out throughout the course, instead of having the final exam worth 50%.

GGR 252H1S Marketing Geography

Instructor(s): S. Swales

Enr: 179	Resp: 141							Retake: 89%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	1	8	14	40	28	7	5.1	
Explains	0	0	0	4	32	37	23	5.8	
Communicates	0	0	0	5	25	43	24	5.9	
Teaching	0	0	0	5	34	45	13	5.7	
Workload	1	4	22	65	5	0	0	3.7	
Difficulty	0	6	15	68	7	0	0	3.8	
Learn Exp	0	0	4	45	30	12	5	4.6	

Overall, most students enjoyed Swales' lectures and found him to be enthusiastic and knowledgeable. Some students felt that a course website with lecture notes would have been helpful. Assignments were thought to be weighted too heavily and tutorials were ineffective. However, many students were pleased with the interesting course material and real-life examples.

Instructor(s): S. Swales

Enr: 180	Resp: 70							Retake: 84%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	1	2	8	37	32	16	5.5	
Explains	0	0	0	6	18	39	36	6.1	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	19	45	35	6.2	
Teaching	0	1	0	2	20	52	22	5.9	
Workload	2	4	11	74	4	0	1	3.8	
Difficulty	2	1	20	63	8	1	1	3.8	
Learn Exp	1	1	1	24	41	16	11	5.0	

Swales was enthusiastic, friendly and approachable. Students appreciated the "real world" examples and found the assignments useful. Posting lectures online would have been appreciated, as would having the assignment marking criteria before handing in the assignments. Students appreciated Swales' sense of humour.

GGR 254H1S Geography USA

Instructor(s): R. Lewis

Enr: 174	Resp: 106							Retake: 82%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	4	25	29	39	6.0	
Explains	0	0	0	4	20	37	36	6.0	
Communicates	0	0	0	3	16	35	43	6.2	
Teaching	0	0	0	6	23	39	29	5.9	
Workload	0	0	7	71	14	4	0	4.2	
Difficulty	0	0	9	66	18	3	0	4.2	
Learn Exp	0	1	2	38	25	22	9	4.9	

Lewis was a very enjoyable, approachable and enjoyable lecturer. He had great overheads and maps, and students appreciated his sense of humour. Some students thought that the essay was worth too much of the final mark. Some students also thought that the lecture notes should have been posted online.

GGR 256H1F Recreation and Tourism

Instructor(s): J. Leydon

Enr: 97	Resp: 67							Retake: 78%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	1	0	1	10	37	29	19	5.5	
Explains	1	0	1	8	26	31	29	5.7	
Communicates	1	0	1	5	16	43	31	5.9	
Teaching	1	0	0	6	16	49	26	5.9	
Workload	0	0	10	76	13	0	0	4.0	
Difficulty	0	0	18	74	7	0	0	3.9	
Learn Exp	0	3	1	37	30	17	8	4.8	

Students found Leydon very humorous and enthusiastic about the material. Lectures flowed well, although some would have preferred to

84 GEOGRAPHY

have lectures online.

GGR 272H1F Geographic Information and Mapping I

Instructor(s): D. Boyes

	Enr: 103							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	3	26	30	40	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	4	16	39	39	6.1
Communicates	0	0	1	0	13	40	44	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	5	16	38	40	6.1
Workload	0	0	6	45	25	18	5	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	3	45	33	16	1	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	2	29	25	27	15	5.2

Students enjoyed the class and Boyes was a very good lecturer who answered questions effectively. It was too difficult to copy down all the information from the powerpoint slides, and having the presentations posted online would have been helpful.

GGR 273H1S Geographic Information and Mapping II

Instructor(s): C. Rinner

	Enr: 44							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	29	23	17	29	5.5
Explains	5	5	11	35	11	29	0	4.3
Communicates	12	6	6	43	0	31	0	4.1
Teaching	5	0	5	29	29	17	11	4.8
Workload	0	0	5	50	33	11	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	41	58	0	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	6	25	43	25	0	4.9

Rinner was well-organized but often just read from his notes and could be quite dry and monotonous at times. The assignments were challenging but helpful. Students found the tutorials very useful.

GGR 301H1S Fluvial Geomorphology

Instructor(s): L. Burge

	Enr: 13							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	33	44	22	0	4.9
Explains	0	0	11	33	11	44	0	4.9
Communicates	11	0	22	11	55	0	0	4.0
Teaching	0	0	0	25	62	12	0	4.9
Workload	0	0	0	44	33	22	0	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	77	22	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	28	42	14	14	0	4.1

Overall, Burge was seen as a good lecturer who tried to get the students involved. Some students thought that too much information was covered too quickly and that more examples of calculations in class would have been helpful for understanding the lab exercises.

GGR 302H1F Quaternary Paleoclimatic Reconstruction

Instructor(s): D. Harvey

	Enr: 11							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	11	22	11	44	11	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	33	11	22	33	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	33	55	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	11	44	22	22	5.6
Workload	0	0	0	50	37	12	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	37	12	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	16	50	16	16	5.3

Harvey was knowledgeable and helpful.

GGR 303H1F Climate-Biosphere Interactions

Instructor(s): S. Cowling

	Enr: 33							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	5	0	10	15	47	21	5.6
Explains	0	0	5	21	26	31	15	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	10	21	26	42	6.0
Teaching	0	5	5	0	10	47	31	5.8
Workload	0	0	5	52	26	10	5	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	63	26	5	5	4.5
Learn Exp	5	0	0	35	23	29	5	4.8

Cowling was enthusiastic about the class and was attentive to students' questions and concerns. The course was very informative, although some felt that too much material was covered in the allotted time.

GGR 305H1F Biogeography

Instructor(s): A. Davis

	Enr: 43							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	8	32	36	24	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	4	20	40	36	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	4	12	44	40	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	4	16	54	25	6.0
Workload	0	0	8	80	4	8	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	4	66	20	4	4	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	40	35	2	5	4.9

Students felt that Davis was a very good instructor who showed much enthusiasm in the course material. Davis used good examples but some students felt that, at times, he went through lecture material too quickly. Some students felt that the research paper was weighted too heavily. Overall, though, most students were pleased with both the instructor and the course.

GGR 314H1F Global Warming

Instructor(s): D. Harvey

	Enr: 125							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	8	8	31	36	15	5.4
Explains	0	0	3	11	35	38	11	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	31	56	6.4
Teaching	0	0	1	11	18	46	21	5.8
Workload	0	0	1	28	40	25	5	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	36	27	24	11	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	23	31	25	19	5.4

Overall, students felt that Harvey was very knowledgeable and a good instructor. Most students also felt that there were too many readings and that the tests were challenging.

GGR 323H1S Issues in Population Geography

Instructor(s): J. Leydon

	Enr: 85							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	5	19	50	25	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	1	21	46	30	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	1	13	46	38	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	1	11	62	23	6.1
Workload	1	0	5	67	21	1	1	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	7	75	17	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	13	37	40	8	5.4

Leydon taught the class with humour and enthusiasm.

GGR 324H1F Transportation Geography and Planning

Instructor(s): A. Brown

	Resp: 34							Retake: 71%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	0	0	3	15	45	30	6	5.2	
Explains	0	0	0	9	39	33	18	5.6	
Communicates	0	0	0	15	21	36	27	5.8	
Teaching	0	0	3	9	27	42	18	5.6	
Workload	0	0	0	57	27	9	6	4.6	
Difficulty	0	0	0	54	24	18	3	4.7	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	28	33	4	5.1	

Brown's sense of humour was appreciated. Some students felt that there were too many overheads and the speed of lectures was fairly fast-paced. Powerpoint slides and online lectures would have been appreciated.

GGR 326H1F Industrial Location: Theory, Applications and Policy

Instructor(s): J. Britton

	Resp: 27							Retake: 57%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	7	0	11	19	30	26	3	4.6	
Explains	0	3	7	25	33	18	11	4.9	
Communicates	0	3	14	22	22	29	7	4.8	
Teaching	0	0	3	22	29	37	7	5.2	
Workload	0	3	3	62	22	7	0	4.3	
Difficulty	0	0	7	55	29	3	3	4.4	
Learn Exp	4	4	4	39	26	13	8	4.5	

Most students enjoyed Britton and his use of examples during lectures to explain concepts. He was knowledgeable and straight-forward.

GGR 331H1S Resource and Environmental Theory

Instructor(s): S. Prudham

	Resp: 36							Retake: 59%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	0	0	2	17	20	45	14	5.5	
Explains	0	0	14	5	22	40	17	5.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	8	17	42	31	6.0	
Teaching	0	0	2	13	16	47	19	5.7	
Workload	0	2	23	55	5	5	5	4.1	
Difficulty	0	0	0	26	38	26	8	5.2	
Learn Exp	4	4	4	27	31	22	4	4.6	

The majority of students felt Prudham was a good lecturer and led interesting class discussions. He was knowledgeable and provided students with valuable feedback. His approach to topics was comprehensive and thought provoking. Several students felt that lecture slides should have been posted before the lecture.

GGR 332H1F Urban Waste Management

Instructor(s): C. Hostovsky

	Resp: 36							Retake: 96%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	0	0	0	11	40	31	17	5.5	
Explains	0	0	0	0	20	42	37	6.2	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	42	54	6.5	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	45	34	6.1	
Workload	0	0	2	83	11	2	0	4.1	
Difficulty	0	0	2	72	22	2	0	4.2	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	33	40	11	5.5	

Students found Hostovsky to be very enthusiastic and had a great sense of humour. Many students enjoyed his use of examples and prior personal experiences in the field. Students appreciated having lectures online, but felt that the course notes needed to be updated more frequently.

GGR 334H1S Water Resource Management

Instructor(s): R. White

	Resp: 44							Retake: 81%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	0	0	0	15	38	27	18	5.5	
Explains	0	0	0	6	29	38	25	5.8	
Communicates	0	0	0	6	36	36	20	5.7	
Teaching	0	0	0	6	29	47	15	5.7	
Workload	0	0	6	83	9	0	0	4.0	
Difficulty	0	0	11	81	6	0	0	4.0	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	35	23	7	5.1	

White was organized, knowledgeable and approachable. Several students voiced concerns over being marked for presentation over content in tests and also that the course reader could have been improved.

GGR 335H1F Business and Environmental Change

Instructor(s): C. Hostovsky

	Resp: 39							Retake: 78%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	0	2	2	11	22	44	16	5.5	
Explains	0	0	2	8	24	37	27	5.8	
Communicates	0	2	0	7	18	26	44	6.0	
Teaching	0	0	2	10	15	47	23	5.8	
Workload	0	0	0	78	21	0	0	4.2	
Difficulty	0	0	7	63	26	2	0	4.2	
Learn Exp	0	0	6	33	23	30	6	5.0	

Students felt Hostovsky's humour was unparalleled at this University. Hostovsky made good use of "real world" examples, was very approachable and flexible to students' needs. Some students noted a lack in organizational structure of the lectures and more integration of the readings in lectures would have been appreciated. Students did express a concern that the textbook was too old and too expensive.

GGR 336H1S Urban Historical Geography of North America

Instructor(s): R. Lewis

	Resp: 56							Retake: 64%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	0	0	1	9	18	50	20	5.8	
Explains	0	0	0	9	12	61	16	5.9	
Communicates	0	0	1	3	16	52	25	6.0	
Teaching	0	0	3	7	16	56	16	5.7	
Workload	0	0	3	70	18	5	1	4.3	
Difficulty	0	0	0	68	24	7	0	4.4	
Learn Exp	0	0	6	45	32	13	2	4.6	

Students found Lewis to be an enthusiastic lecturer. He was very approachable and helpful outside of class. A few students felt that papers were marked too hard.

GGR 337H1S Environmental Remote Sensing

Instructor(s): J. Chen

	Resp: 16							Retake: 81%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	0	0	6	6	43	37	6	5.3	
Explains	0	0	0	31	37	18	12	5.1	
Communicates	0	0	0	6	25	53	12	5.8	
Teaching	0	0	0	6	62	25	6	5.3	
Workload	0	7	7	64	21	0	0	4.0	
Difficulty	0	0	0	66	26	0	6	4.5	
Learn Exp	0	0	15	38	30	77	13	4.5	

Overall, students enjoyed this course. A number found the lecturer difficult to understand. The course had a lot of scientific content that was not emphasized in the course outline. Chen was described as being very knowledgeable. The online notes were useful.

GGR 339H1F Urban Geography, Planning and Political Processes

Instructor(s): L. Veronis

	Enr: 47							Mean
	Resp: 30							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	3	6	10	24	44	10	5.3
Explains	0	3	0	17	34	31	13	5.3
Communicates	0	3	6	17	31	31	10	5.1
Teaching	0	3	10	6	34	44	0	5.1
Workload	0	3	3	78	10	3	0	4.1
Difficulty	3	0	3	74	11	7	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	15	50	20	15	0	4.3

Veronis was found to be an informative lecturer, and often gave helpful comments on assignments. Students enjoyed the walking tour. Many found lectures to be disorganized however, and would have liked more interactive methods of teaching.

GGR 341H1S Arctic Canada

Instructor(s): D. Boyes

	Enr: 64							Mean
	Resp: 57							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	1	5	25	67	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	1	16	30	51	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	1	5	30	62	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	8	39	51	6.4
Workload	0	1	10	75	8	3	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	3	21	68	7	0	0	3.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	23	34	23	17	5.3

Most students found Boyes to be an excellent lecturer and loved the guest speakers. They found the course to be an interesting learning experience and appreciated the powerpoint presentations (great maps and pictures). Some felt it was the best course they've very taken.

GGR 342H1F Changing Geography of Southeast Asia

Instructor(s): A. Daniere

	Enr: 104							Mean
	Resp: 57							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	1	10	21	36	19	10	4.9
Explains	1	0	5	25	33	25	8	5.0
Communicates	0	1	1	21	25	35	14	5.3
Teaching	0	3	1	14	40	25	14	5.3
Workload	0	0	1	48	37	10	1	4.6
Difficulty	0	1	1	60	26	7	1	4.4
Learn Exp	2	2	6	44	16	23	4	4.6

Overall, many students were pleased with Daniere's lecture presentations and greatly appreciated her first-hand knowledge and experience in this subject. Some students felt that there could have been better co-ordination between the assignment and the lectures, given that lecture material relevant to the assignments were given after assignments were due.

GGR 350H1S Canada in a Global Context

Instructor(s): G. Gad

	Enr: 57							Mean
	Resp: 43							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	2	25	47	25	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	7	21	56	14	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	2	25	37	34	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	12	58	29	6.2
Workload	0	0	11	76	7	4	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	2	11	69	9	6	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	2	28	31	20	17	5.2

Gad brought in guest speakers to make the course more interesting. He was very accessible to students and the course website was helpful.

GGR 361H1S Understanding the Urban Landscape

Instructor(s): K. Rankin

	Enr: 54							Mean
	Resp: 33							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	9	9	65	15	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	9	27	33	30	5.8
Communicates	0	0	6	9	21	36	27	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	12	24	45	18	5.7
Workload	0	0	3	71	21	3	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	6	78	12	0	3	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	21	32	28	17	5.4

Overall, students were very impressed and happy with both the course and Rankin's lectures. Students felt that the lecture material used appropriate examples and case studies to explain concepts clearly and that lectures were well-organized.

GGR 371H1S Advanced Quantitative Methods in Geography

Instructor(s): R. Di Francesco

	Enr: 9							Mean
	Resp: 8							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	12	0	0	50	37	0	5.0
Explains	0	0	12	37	25	12	12	4.8
Communicates	0	0	0	14	57	14	14	5.3
Teaching	0	0	0	25	50	25	0	5.0
Workload	0	0	0	50	12	25	12	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	25	25	25	25	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	14	42	28	0	14	4.6

Many students enjoyed the practical applications in the assignments and were very pleased overall. However, some students expressed the need for a tutorial or for more help in using the software.

GGR 373H1F Advanced Geographic Information Systems

Instructor(s): D. Boyes

	Enr: 22							Mean
	Resp: 19							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	5	0	42	52	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	5	10	47	36	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	21	73	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	47	47	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	36	42	0	21	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	10	26	47	10	5	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	6	25	31	37	6.0

Students enjoyed Boyes as an instructor and benefited from his enthusiasm. Many students found the midterm difficult and assignments were very time consuming. Students looked forward to coming to class and found the course worthwhile.

GGR 390H1F Field Methods

Instructor(s): J. Desloges; A. Davis

	Enr: 15							Mean
	Resp: 14							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Desloges:								
Presents	0	0	0	7	23	53	15	5.8
Explains	0	7	0	0	15	69	7	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	23	46	30	6.1
Teaching	0	7	0	0	15	38	38	5.9
Davis:								
Presents	0	0	0	7	28	50	14	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	14	64	21	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	14	28	57	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	21	28	50	6.3
Course:								
Workload	0	0	0	38	23	15	23	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	53	30	7	7	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	22	11	22	44	5.9

Students felt that there was not enough time for the experiments. Most felt that Desloges did a good job.

Students would have preferred clearer requirements for the projects. Students also felt that 80% for one project was weighted too high.

GGR 391H1S Research Design

Instructor(s): J. Britton

	Enr: 51							Mean
	Resp: 38							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	5	10	36	28	15	2	4.5
Explains	0	5	5	25	30	25	8	4.9
Communicates	2	0	8	36	25	16	11	4.8
Teaching	0	0	8	16	40	24	10	5.1
Workload	5	0	5	55	21	13	0	4.3
Difficulty	2	5	2	57	21	7	2	4.2
Learn Exp	7	3	14	33	11	25	3	4.3

Britton was enthusiastic and helpful. The class was large, making it difficult to work through the research proposals. Students would have liked more guidance with their research proposals and would have appreciated lectures on current research methods.

GGR 393H1S Environmental Impact Assessment

Instructor(s): S. Wakefield

	Enr: 35							Mean
	Resp: 19							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	5	36	52	5	5.6
Explains	0	0	15	5	36	26	15	5.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	36	47	15	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	10	36	42	10	5.5
Workload	0	0	5	78	10	5	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	11	66	22	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	7	7	61	15	0	7	4.2

Wakefield was seen as very enthusiastic and willing to spend time addressing student questions. However, there were some concerns that assignment expectations were not communicated clearly enough.

GGR 403H1S Global Ecology and Biogeochemical Cycles

Instructor(s): S. Cowling

	Enr: 15							Mean
	Resp: 10							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	11	22	33	33	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	22	11	33	33	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	30	10	60	6.3
Teaching	0	0	10	0	30	30	30	5.7
Workload	0	0	10	40	40	10	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	10	60	0	30	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	11	0	22	11	22	33	5.3

Some students liked the seminar atmosphere of the course and enjoyed the independent feel of the course. Cowling was noted to be hard to contact by some students. Some students would have preferred more structure in the course.

GGR 404H1S Seminar in Physical Geography

Instructor(s): J. Desloges

	Enr: 11							Mean
	Resp: 8							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	14	42	42	0	5.3
Explains	0	0	0	14	71	0	14	5.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	25	12	62	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	25	37	37	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	37	37	25	0	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	37	12	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	42	14	28	14	5.1

There were interesting guest speakers that led to interesting discus-

sions. Several students commented that the objectives of the course (pertaining to questions and assignments) needed more explicit explanation. Overall, an enjoyable learning experience with a great instructor.

GGR 409H1F Contaminants in the Environment

Instructor(s): M. Diamond

	Enr: 13							Mean
	Resp: 13							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	10	10	10	30	20	20	0	4.0
Explains	20	0	0	30	30	20	0	4.1
Communicates	10	0	0	0	20	20	50	5.8
Teaching	0	0	20	10	40	20	10	4.9
Workload	10	0	20	40	0	30	0	4.1
Difficulty	11	0	11	55	11	11	0	3.9
Learn Exp	14	0	14	42	28	0	0	3.7

Diamond was an engaging and enthusiastic lecturer. Some students felt that there was too much emphasis on chemistry and not enough on geography. A more helpful textbook would also have been appreciated.

GGR 415H1S Resource and Environmental Planning

Instructor(s): C. Hostovsky

	Enr: 52							Mean
	Resp: 45							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	2	2	15	27	47	5	5.3
Explains	0	0	7	7	25	38	20	5.6
Communicates	0	0	2	5	21	28	42	6.0
Teaching	0	0	5	7	17	41	28	5.8
Workload	0	0	7	77	5	7	2	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	4	80	2	9	2	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	29	44	17	8	5.1

Hostovsky was very knowledgeable and enthusiastic. He made the course more interesting by applying real life examples, and his unique sense of humour. He will be greatly missed!

GGR 421H1S History of Geographical Thought

Instructor(s): J. Galloway

	Enr: 9							Mean
	Resp: 6							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	16	33	50	0	5.3
Explains	0	0	0	16	33	50	0	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	50	16	33	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	33	66	0	5.7
Workload	0	0	16	66	16	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	66	33	0	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	75	0	25	0	4.5

Galloway was very knowledgeable and led interesting seminar discussions. It was suggested that more emphasis on student participation would have been helpful. Also, lectures could have been more clearly structured.

GGR 431H1F Regional Dynamics

Instructor(s): M. Gertler

	Enr: 33							Mean
	Resp: 17							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	11	29	41	17	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	5	35	41	17	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	5	47	41	5	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	35	58	5	5.7
Workload	0	0	0	47	35	17	0	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	64	17	17	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	33	33	0	5.0

Students found Gertler to be very knowledgeable, approachable and able to synthesize material from earlier geography courses. Students enjoyed the guest lecturers.

GGR 435H1S Technology, Toronto, and Global Warming

Instructor(s): D. Harvey

	Enr: 12							Mean
	Resp: 11							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	27	63	9	0	4.8
Explains	0	0	0	45	36	18	0	4.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	45	54	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	9	54	36	0	5.3
Workload	0	0	0	36	9	27	27	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	45	18	27	9	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	16	66	16	0	5.0

Students found Harvey very knowledgeable and enthusiastic about the course material. Many students felt that the reading material was difficult for those with little background knowledge, but overall the course was worth the effort. Some students felt it was difficult to express their opinions in the tutorials

GGR 451H1F Health and Place

Instructor(s): S. Wakefield

	Enr: 37							Mean
	Resp: 22							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	4	9	47	38	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	15	40	45	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	15	35	50	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	5	20	30	45	6.2
Workload	0	0	4	38	47	4	4	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	4	81	13	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	35	17	23	23	5.4

Students thought this was an informative course. Wakefield was a very good instructor and very approachable.

GGR 462H1S Geographic Information Systems

Instructor(s): J. Chen; D. Boyes

	Enr: 10							Mean
	Resp: 9							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
<u>Chen:</u>								
Presents	0	0	0	11	33	33	22	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	22	22	44	11	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	11	0	66	22	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	22	44	33	6.1
<u>Boyes:</u>								
Presents	0	0	0	11	11	44	33	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	11	22	55	11	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	11	11	33	44	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	33	55	6.4
<u>Course:</u>								
Workload	0	0	0	22	22	33	22	5.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	11	55	22	11	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	11	22	66	6.6

Students enjoyed the course and felt that it was a good learning experience with a focus on practical skills. The small class sizes meant that there was a lot of interaction between students and instructors, and many opportunities for discussion. Feedback on assignments was constructive and much appreciated.

GGR 473H1F Cartographic Design

Instructor(s): C. Rinner

	Enr: 13							Mean
	Resp: 11							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	9	63	27	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	18	72	9	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	9	36	45	9	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	27	54	18	5.9
Workload	9	18	9	27	27	9	0	3.7
Difficulty	18	9	9	45	9	9	0	3.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	30	10	60	0	5.3

Overall, students were pleased with this course and found Rinner to be helpful and approachable. Some students suggested the assignments could have been more challenging, although they did require a lot of detail.

