# East Asian Studies Students' Union



#### Introduction

The East Asian Studies Students' Union (EASSU) is an active student body dedicated to the undergraduate students of the East Asian Department. Throughout the year we hold both academic and social events that relate to the East Asian cultures. If you are interested in getting involved, please contact us - **eassu@yahoo.ca** or drop by our office - Robarts Library, 14th floor, Rm 14299.

# EASSU Executive

## EAS 100Y1Y Modern Standard Chinese I

Instructor(s): Y.	Yan							
Enr: 53		Re	sp: 33	5		Reta	ke: 93%	)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	9	26	47	18	0	4.8
Explains	0	3	9	15	57	12	3	4.8
Communicates	6	3	13	13	32	32	4	4.7
Teaching	0	0	0	27	36	37	0	5.1
Workload	0	0	13	44	12	22	9	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	6	57	28	9	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	4	19	34	28	14	5.3

The general consensus of this course was that it was very informative but required a lot of work. Students found Yan to be a kind and caring person. There was a little concern about class size and the lack of opportunity for individual students to get attention. Students benefitted from the cultural component of the course, as it allowed them to put the language into a cultural context.

# EAS 102Y1Y Introduction to East Asian Civilizations

Instructor(s): J. Stowe

Enr: 306	Resp: 103					Reta	ke: 66%	7 Mean   5 4.6   6 4.5   25 5.5		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	2	3	18	20	32	20	5	4.6		
Explains	0	6	12	29	27	19	6	4.5		
Communicates	0	1	3	12	36	23	25	5.5		
Teaching	2	2	7	23	31	24	11	4.9		
Workload	3	7	14	60	15	0	1	3.8		
Difficulty	1	2	15	66	14	2	0	3.9		
Learn Exp	3	0	4	39	30	18	6	4.7		

Students found Stowe to be a very enthusiastic lecturer. He genuinely cared about the success of each of his students and helped them in many ways by addressing their questions. He also provided information and guidance of the course, such as essay-writing and mastery of perspective. Although, enthusiastic, some students found that Stowe went off topic during his lectures. Many students benefitted from the tutorials, and some wished that there were tutorials every week rather than every 2 weeks. Evaluation methods were satisfactory, but some students expressed desires for more essay-based evaluations, rather than test-based evaluations.

# EAST 110Y1Y Modern Standard Korean I

Instructor(s): H-Y. Im

Enr: 32		Re	sp: 28					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	7	3	3	20	46	21	5.6
Explains	0	3	6	10	25	28	28	5.6
Communicates	0	3	2	14	14	21	46	5.9
Teaching	0	0	3	5	14	32	46	6.1
Workload	0	7	13	59	14	7	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	7	64	21	5	3	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	18	41	16	25	5.5

Students found the instructor to be very enthusiastic, nice, helpful, and eager to assist students after class. However, students felt that the course should have been more structured around oral practice. The textbook was not very well organized which led to confusion. Sometimes the pace was a bit slow and the lectures long.

#### EAS 120Y1Y Modern Standard Japanese I

Instructor(s): M. Kondo

Enr: 19		Res	sp: 13			Retak	e: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	15	23	62	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	8	16	23	53	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	38	54	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	8	15	77	6.7
Workload	0	0	0	16	30	24	30	5.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	30	30	24	16	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	10	36	27	27	5.7

Most students loved this course. Although the workload was heavy and the quizzes were demanding, they found the overall learning experience fantastic. Kondo was always available for help in her office as well. An excellent instructor and course.

# Instructor(s): M. Kondo

Enr: 18		Res	sp: 16			Reta	ke: 86%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	0	20	74	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	14	0	20	66	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	7	7	6	80	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	6	14	6	74	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	20	20	14	46	5.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	44	12	21	32	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	27	0	10	63	6.1

Kondo was wonderful. She was enthusiastic about the course material, always willing to help and effective in her teaching methods. Although the course was very challenging, many students felt that it was worth the effort.

# Instructor(s): M. Kondo

Enr: 16		Res	Resp: 14			Retal	ke: 100	1%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	8	92	6.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	8	7	85	6.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0
Workload	0	0	8	28	21	8	35	5.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	8	21	21	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	10	0	9	81	6.6

Several students found the course difficult, but they greatly appreciated their instructor who was always willing to help. Her teaching was very effective, and she was very kind towards her students. They also appreciated the visual aids she prepared for the lectures. Kondo was praised as an outstanding instructor.

## Instructor(s): M. Kondo

Enr: 16		Re	Resp: 20 Retake: 94%					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	6	31	63	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	16	27	57	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	26	68	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	6	10	84	6.8
Workload	0	0	6	36	22	26	10	5.0
Difficulty	0	0	10	58	10	16	6	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	8	50	42	6.4

Kondo was praised for her energy, efficiency, and willingness to help those students with questions. It was an "amazing" course as the instructor made the difficult material easier. Overall, a great course!

Instructor(s): M. Kondo

Enr: 18		Res	sp: 20	)		Reta	ike: 88%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	5	35	60	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	20	15	65	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	15	79	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	10	15	75	6.7
Workload	0	0	5	35	25	30	5	4.9
Difficulty	0	5	5	40	35	15	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	6	24	29	41	6.1

Once again, Kondo was an exceptional teacher. Students enjoyed working in a small class where everyone knew each other.

# EAS 121H1S Japanese I for Students with Prior Background

Instructor(s): M. Kondo

Enr: n/a		Res	sp: 19			Retak	e: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	26	31	42	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	16	42	42	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	6	16	26	52	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	21	32	47	6.3
Workload	0	0	0	10	21	47	22	5.8
Difficulty	0	0	5	36	21	26	12	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	6	12	31	51	6.2

Students found Kondo Sensei to be very friendly, enthusiastic and helpful, but also strict, since the assignments were marked hard. The course was intensive, but most students were very happy to attend this class anyway.

Students would have appreciated more oral/conversational practice in class, as well as more individual attention.

# EAS 200Y1Y Modern Standard Chinese II

Instructor(s): D. Chen

Enr: 49		Res	sp: 26	i				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	16	28	44	12	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	9	24	57	12	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	3	27	51	19	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	3	27	47	23	5.9
Workload	0	0	4	28	24	24	20	5.3
Difficulty	0	4	0	36	28	24	8	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	35	23	35	4	5.1

Many students enjoyed this class. They found that they learned a great deal of Chinese this year. Many found Chen to be enthusiastic and

kind. Some also found his flexibility to be reassuring and decent. There were several students who were not satisfied with the organization of the course and found that more conversation classes were needed in order to practice. However, overall, the students had a great time.

# Instructor(s): J. Fei

Enr: 34		Res	Resp: 26				Retake: 84%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	16	28	44	12	5.5		
Explains	0	0	0	9	24	57	12	5.7		
Communicates	0	0	0	3	27	51	19	5.8		
Teaching	0	0	0	3	26	47	24	5.9		
Workload	0	0	4	28	24	24	20	5.3		
Difficulty	0	4	0	36	28	24	8	4.9		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	35	26	35	4	5.1		

Students enjoyed this course. Many found Fei to be very nice and they approved of the way she taught her class. Some wanted more conversation classes and others wanted advanced notice of upcoming assignments. However, students did note that the second term greatly improved over the first term. Many expressed their eagerness to enrol in the next level.

# EAS 201Y1Y Modern Chinese II for Students with Prior Background

Instructor(s): Y. Yan

Enr: 49		Resp: 29 Retake: 78%						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	7	21	26	33	10	0	4.1
Explains	3	3	15	50	26	0	3	4.0
Communicates	10	3	14	38	28	7	0	3.9
Teaching	7	3	18	27	32	13	0	4.1
Workload	0	13	21	63	3	0	0	3.6
Difficulty	0	0	10	73	14	3	0	4.1
Learn Exp	8	8	4	48	28	4	0	3.9

Some students found this course enlightening. They were generally satisfied with the teaching of the Chinese language and the way real life, practical examples were given to illustrate usage of new grammar and vocabulary. Some students, however, found the lack of personal attention to the disconcerting and expressed desires for more office hours in order to facilitate individual consultation. Some students also found the marking of quizzes and tests to be difficult. However, many did express satisfaction in what they learned in this course.

#### EAS 204Y1Y Approaches to Modern East Asia

# Instructor(s): Y. Chang

Enr: 121		Re	sp: 70	)		Reta	ke: 52%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	10	10	17	29	22	6	6	3.8
Explains	10	8	13	30	28	5	6	3.9
Communicates	5	1	4	23	25	23	19	5.0
Teaching	10	11	8	24	20	20	7	4.1
Workload	0	0	8	72	15	1	4	4.2
Difficulty	0	1	5	53	27	7	7	4.5
Learn Exp	10	12	12	42	12	4	8	3.7

Many students felt that the organization, presentation and focus of the lectures were unorganized and that the instructor would often go off on tangents. However, this improved as the course progressed. Readings were often too long and unrelated to lectures and assignments. Overall, Chang was very enthusiastic about the course.

# EAS 206Y1Y Classical Chinese I

#### Instructor(s): G. Sanders

Enr: 19		Res	sp: 11 Retake: 90%				)	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents Explains	0 0	0 0	0 0	27 19	36 18	27 36	10 27	5.2 5.7

# 38 EAST ASIAN

Communicates	0	0	0	10	45	18	27	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	19	18	36	27	5.7
Workload	0	10	18	45	27	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	63	27	1	9	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	14	16	42	28	0	4.9

Sanders was very patient and attended to students' problems. He was humorous and knowledgeable, but some students felt that he rushed through certain sections. But overall, an effective instructor.

# EAS 210Y1Y Modern Standard Korean II

Instructor(s): Y.G. Kim

Enr: 19	Resp: 15					Retak	e: 100%	, D
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	33	26	41	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	1	33	66	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	14	86	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	67	33	6.3
Workload	0	13	8	66	13	0	0	3.8
Difficulty	0	0	6	74	20	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	25	17	25	5.3

Students found Kim to be a very knowledgeable and enthusiastic instructor, always eager to make the class fun and worthwhile. He was always willing to meet with students after class for extra help. However, some students would have appreciated more exercises to catch up with the native-Korean speaking students.

# EAS 216Y1Y Modern Standard Korean for Students with Prior Background

Instructor(s): Y.G. Kim

Enr: 19	Resp: 15					Retak	e: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	13	20	60	7	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	6	26	42	26	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	6	8	40	46	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	13	61	26	6.1
Workload	0	0	6	73	13	8	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	6	60	20	14	0	6.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	9	27	45	19	5.7

Students felt Kim conveyed genuine passion and commitment for the subject which made the classroom experience enjoyable and meaningful. He was very responsive to students' needs and answered questions effectively.

#### EAS 220Y1Y Modern Standard Japanese II

Instructor(s): I. Komuro-Lee

Enr: 24		Res	sp: 23			Retak	e: 100%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	5	17	78	6.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	5	17	78	6.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	14	86	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	22	78	6.8
Workload	0	0	4	13	17	53	13	5.6
Difficulty	0	0	4	26	22	48	0	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	5	40	55	6.5

Many students agreed that Komuro-Lee was an outstanding instructor who was very enthusiastic and knowledgeable. Many students also found her friendly and willing to help outside the classroom and her office hours. Several people pointed out that this course had a high workload but they agreed it was worth taking nevertheless.

#### Instructor(s): I. Komuro-Lee

Enr: 22	Resp: 22				Retake: 95%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	4	18	78	6.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	4	36	60	6.5

Communicates	0	0	0	0	4	9	87	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	22	78	6.8
Workload	0	0	0	23	31	23	23	5.5
Difficulty	0	0	4	23	46	18	9	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	10	42	48	6.4

Several students expressed their excitement and enthusiasm for taking this course. Komuro-Lee has been a great impact on the students making them very interested in learning Japanese culture and the language. She was very attentive and was always ready to answer students' questions and would continue to explain until the students understood.

However, several students expressed the difficulty of the material, especially the Chinese characters. The weekly quizzes were also overwhelming to many. But despite all of this, the good organization of the course compensated for the level of difficulty and the heavy workload of the course.

# Instructor(s): I. Komuro-Lee

Enr: 25	Resp: 24					Retake: 90%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	4	12	80	6.7
Explains	0	0	0	4	12	21	63	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	12	76	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	4	21	75	6.7
Workload	0	0	5	25	25	33	12	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	8	38	38	16	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	8	0	15	32	48	6.2

Komuro-Lee received praise from her students - being enthusiastic and well-organized. She encouraged students to study more. However, many students felt that the course workload was very high and students definitely needed to put in more time to study.

#### EAS 271H1F 20th Century Korean History

Instructor(s): A. Schmid

· · /								
Enr: 64		Re	sp: 39	)		Reta	ke: 83%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	15	36	26	21	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	5	31	36	26	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	2	13	44	39	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	22	51	25	6.0
Workload	0	0	2	61	23	15	7	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	2	48	30	12	5	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	16	51	19	12	5.3

Students thought Schmid was very enthusiastic and caring towards students. The pace of lecturing was fast, but enjoyable.

# EAS 272H1S Post-War Korean Society & Culture

Instructor(s): J. Song

Enr: 15		Re	sp: 13	5		Reta	ke: 23%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	7	15	24	31	16	0	7	3.6
Explains	0	16	7	54	16	0	7	4.0
Communicates	0	0	15	24	39	15	7	4.8
Teaching	0	8	8	46	30	8	0	4.2
Workload	0	0	7	7	31	24	31	5.6
Difficulty	0	7	0	31	16	39	7	5.0
Learn Exp	0	9	25	41	16	0	9	4.0

Several students indicated that Song was very helpful in attending to individual student's concerns and questions. However, many students felt that the class time was too short in that they had to watch movies outside of class. There were mixed feelings about group discussions - some felt they were interesting and others, boring.

#### EAS 300Y1Y Modern Standard Chinese III

Instructor(s): J. Liu

<b>F</b> 00		-	4 -	,		<b>D</b> (		
Enr: 20		Re	sp: 17			Reta	ike: 58%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	37	17	35	11	0	4.2
Explains	0	14	23	23	17	23	0	4.2
Communicates	0	3	5	41	17	23	11	4.9
Teaching	11	3	17	23	23	23	0	4.2
Workload	0	0	2	17	35	17	29	5.6
Difficulty	0	0	2	17	29	29	23	5.6
Learn Exp	0	8	18	43	25	6	0	4.1

Students felt overloaded by the difficulty of this course. Liu went too quickly through material in an attempt to cover more material rather than allow for any deep understanding. There was a huge difficulty gap between level 200 and 300.

Some students felt the instructor catered to the students with prior background in Chinese. Also, more time was needed to prepare for oral tests and for the material to sink in.

# EAS 307H1S Chinese Political Philosophy

Instructor(s): V. Shen

Enr: 24	Resp: 20					Retak	e: 100%	, D
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	5	25	50	20	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	15	65	20	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	5	25	50	20	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	5	5	55	35	6.2
Workload	0	0	10	75	15	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	5	80	15	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	13	50	25	12	5.4

Students appreciated Shen's gentle wisdom and profound knowledge of the material, which enriched the class. His knowledge of both Chinese and Western philosophy was complementary and aided students' understanding. He was very sincere as an instructor and as a person.

Some students would have benefitted from more organization in the lectures.

## EAS 310Y1Y Modern Standard Korean III

Instructor(s): H-Y. Im

Enr: 28	Resp: 22					Reta	ke: 85%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	13	41	37	9	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	9	23	40	28	5.9
Communicates	0	5	5	5	22	41	22	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	9	23	40	28	5.9
Workload	0	10	0	66	10	10	4	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	5	54	27	5	9	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	38	17	28	17	5.2

Im was very enthusiastic and passionate in teaching this course. She was very well-organized, attended to students' questions very well and even extended extra help to those who were having difficulty. Many indicated that the level of difficulty was high and they felt that not everyone was on the same level, however, the instructor was aware of this and also of each individual's level.

# EAS 330H1S Narrative Strategies in Modern Japanese Fiction

Instructor(s): E. Spigel

Enr: 25	Resp: 24					Reta	ke: 95%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	21	47	26	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	4	22	41	33	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	2	6	22	72	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	1	12	29	58	6.5
Workload	0	4	2	62	20	8	4	4.4
Difficulty	0	4	8	62	12	9	4	4.2

Learn Exp	0	0	0	13	36	17	34	5.7

ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR

39

Students appreciated Spigel's enthusiasm and organization as a lecturer, as well as his humour. He created an amiable atmosphere in which even the shier students felt comfortable contributing. Students felt that the class wasn't long enough, though, to share all of the opinions. The course material wasn't boring and Spigel made it enjoyable, some event said "fun".

## EAS 334H1F The Chinese Novel

Instructor(s): A. Budde

Enr: 35	Resp: 14					Retake: 69%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	7	38	30	15	7	4.8
Explains	0	0	0	23	53	23	0	5.0
Communicates	0	0	0	23	23	15	38	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	38	38	23	0	4.8
Workload	0	0	15	76	7	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	15	84	0	0	0	3.8
Learn Exp	0	0	8	75	8	0	8	4.2

## EAS 336H1F Chinese Literature (pre-Qin to Tang)

Instructor(s): G. Sanders

Enr: 80		Re	sp: 24			6		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	37	26	37	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	37	26	37	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	12	9	45	34	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	55	25	6.0
Workload	0	5	16	70	5	0	4	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	9	66	17	5	4	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	40	30	10	5.3

Students enjoyed Sanders' class very much. They found him to be a very well-organized lecturer. Many students were pleased by Sanders' patience in answering their questions. He explained things clearly and with sufficient detail. Some students, however, expressed concerns about the fairness of the TA's marking. In general, the students enjoyed the subject and the instructor.

#### EAS 339H1S Tales of Genji: 1000 A.D.

Instructor(s): S. Arntzen

( )								
Enr: 39		Res						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	19	35	38	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	5	16	36	43	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	2	6	22	70	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	4	35	61	6.6
Workload	0	0	5	35	35	16	9	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	56	22	19	3	5.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	30	15	34	5.7

Students appreciated Arntzen's enthusiasm and deep knowledge of the course material. The class appreciated how she brought in different media. It was a very rich course and a pleasure to participate in, due to the in-class seminar-style discussions.

## EAS 347H1S Everyday Life in Interwar Japan

Instructor(s): K. Kawashima

Enr: 23		Res	sp: 19	)		Reta		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	26	36	16	16	5.1
Explains	0	10	0	21	27	27	15	5.1
Communicates	0	5	5	5	27	27	31	5.6
Teaching	0	5	5	5	43	21	21	5.3
Workload	0	0	0	37	42	21	0	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	22	51	11	16	5.2
Learn Exp	0	6	6	44	26	6	12	4.6

# 40 EAST ASIAN

Many students felt that the instructor was very enthusiastic and encouraged open class discussions. He used appropriate examples and was very passionate in teaching the course. However, some students thought that the topic of the course was unclear.

# EAS 362Y1Y Classical Japanese

Instructor(s): S. Arntzen

Enr: 21	Resp: 16					Reta	ke: 87%	, D
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	19	50	31	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	25	50	25	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	1	43	56	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	6	7	31	56	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	20	56	6	18	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	13	41	26	20	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	28	9	42	21	5.6

Students felt that Arntzen was very enthusiastic about the material, and very clear and concise in her explanations.

## EAS 389Y1Y History of Korean Religion

Instructor(s): Y. Yoo

Enr: 26	Resp: 19					Reta	ke: 88%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	11	26	26	26	11	5.0
Explains	0	0	0	21	37	32	10	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	11	31	47	11	5.6
Teaching	0	0	6	21	15	42	15	5.4
Workload	0	0	21	68	11	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	26	63	10	0	0	3.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	58	9	33	0	4.8

Yoo was very enthusiastic and the course material was presented in a timely manner.

## EAS 410Y1Y Modern Standard Korean IV

Instructor(s): Y.G. Kim

Enr: 35	Resp: 25					Reta	ke: 85%	6
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	4	25	42	25	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	40	30	30	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	4	21	34	41	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	37	33	30	5.9
Workload	0	0	25	54	8	5	8	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	70	17	13	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	36	31	11	22	5.2

Many students found the course very interesting and rewarding. On top of that, Kim was a very knowledgeable and enthusiastic. It was a fun class and the instructor was always available for extra help.

# EAS 415Y1Y Advanced Readings in Korean

Instructor(s): H-Y. Im

Enr: 29	Resp: 26					Reta	ike: 92%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	12	40	24	24	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	8	32	36	24	5.8
Communicates	0	0	3	11	35	24	27	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	8	16	50	26	6.0
Workload	0	0	8	52	20	12	8	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	3	47	27	20	3	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	40	25	15	5.3

Most students expressed that Im was a very good teacher and was very enthusiastic in teaching this course.

## EAS 431H1F Advanced Topics in Japanese Cinema

Instructor(s): E. Cazdyn

Enr: 19	Resp: 13				Retake: 91%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	20	40	10	30	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	36	28	36	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	18	72	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	16	33	51	6.3
Workload	0	0	8	53	23	8	8	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	39	15	23	23	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	9	27	9	55	6.1

The course was very challenging although a valuable learning experience. It forced students to do more thinking on their own and work through problems together.

Cazdyn was described as "fantastic" and knowledgeable about the material. He was also very considerate and listened to his students during individual meetings.

EAS 456H1F Japan as seen by ?: Reference, Apparatus, Oper	ation
nstructor(s): A. Sakaki	

Enr: 12		Resp: 7 Retake: 100%				e: 100%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	14	58	28	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	14	29	29	28	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	58	42	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	58	42	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	72	28	0	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	14	58	28	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	14	14	14	58	6.1

Sakaki was praised as very good and amazing. She was described as "stimulating", "passionate", and "almost too good." Students also stated that she was good at encouraging discussions while staying on topic.

# EAS 460Y1Y Modern Standard Japanese IVa

Instructor(s): S. Iwata

Enr: 9	Resp: 9				Retake: 87%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	12	0	33	55	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	12	22	66	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	12	77	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	44	45	6.3
Workload	0	0	12	88	0	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	11	77	12	0	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	17	33	0	50	0	4.8

lwata was a very good lecturer who was very helpful and approachable both in and outside of class. Given that she was a first time teacher, students felt she was able to create a fun and enjoyable classroom environment for students to learn in and effectively use the Japanese language.

# EAS 461Y1Y Modern Standard Japanese IVb

Instructor(s): I. Komuro-Lee

Enr: 10		Resp: 10 Retake: 100%						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	10	90	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8
Workload	0	0	0	10	40	30	20	5.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	10	50	20	20	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	34	22	44	6.1

Komuro-Lee was energetic, fun and cheerful and she really knew how to use effective teaching methods to improve the students' Japanese. The course was demanding so you must be prepared to work hard.

# EAS 468Y1Y Mahayana Buddhist Philosophy

Instructor(s): H. Shiu

Enr: 22		Resp: 16				Retake: 92%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	8	12	31	12	37	5.6	
Explains	0	0	0	0	14	33	53	6.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	7	20	33	40	6.1	
Teaching	0	0	0	14	20	20	46	6.0	
Workload	13	0	6	53	28	0	0	3.8	
Difficulty	7	7	7	46	26	7	0	4.0	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	18	27	10	45	5.8	

Students felt that Shiu was very knowledgeable about the subject and he taught in a very organized manner. Overall, he was a very enthusiastic teacher.

# EAS 469Y1Y Chinese Sectarian Buddhism

Instructor(s): H. Shiu

Enr: 22		Resp: 12 Retake: 100%						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	8	0	8	34	25	25	5.4
Explains	0	0	8	0	17	33	42	6.0
Communicates	0	0	8	8	8	42	34	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	8	17	33	42	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	75	16	0	9	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	66	16	9	9	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	27	10	36	27	5.6

Shiu was a very knowledgeable instructor. He taught the course with enthusiasm and this compensated for the level of difficulty of the course.

# EAS 470H1F Missionaries in Korea

Instructor(s): Y. Yoo

	Resp: 15				Retake: 93%			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
0	0	7	7	33	20	33	5.7	
0	7	0	7	20	33	33	5.7	
0	0	0	20	7	7	66	6.2	
0	0	7	7	13	40	33	5.9	
0	0	7	73	13	7	0	4.2	
0	0	7	66	20	7	0	4.3	
0	0	0	20	20	10	50	5.9	
	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 2   0 0   0 7   0 0   0 0   0 0   0 0   0 0   0 0   0 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	

Students found Yoo to be a very enthusiastic instructor who had a genuine concern for his students. His enthusiasm was reflected in the material he included in his lectures. Many students expressed great satisfaction with the ample use of slides for explaining details. Some also noted that Yoo's use of personal anecdotes made the course much more interesting and informative.

