

TORONTO UNDERGRADUATE GEOGRAPHY SOCIETY

Introduction

The Toronto Undergraduate Geography Society (TUGS) is the geography student union. If you are taking a GGR course or are enrolled in a geography program, you are automatically a member of TUGS. Throughout the year, TUGS organizes events, career days and seminars of interest to all geography students. We also represent geography students on the Arts & Science Students' Union (ASSU) Council and on a number of other committees in the geography department. TUGS is a great link between the geography department and geography students, addressing the issues and needs of the undergraduates. In addition, we have an office with information on courses, lectures and events, as well as a file of old geography exams available for photocopying.

There are several ways to get involved with TUGS. You can be a class rep, or you can be a member of the Executive, or a volunteer, helping our Executive organize events, or you can just come out to our events during the year! TUGS is a great way to meet people, have fun and get more involved in the UofT community. Drop by our office in the basement of Sidney Smith Hall (room 613), equipped with a telephone, comfy couches and a microwave. We can also be reached online at <u>http://tugson-line.cjb.net</u> or by telephone at (416) 978-2057.

TUGS Executive

GGR 100Y1Y INTRODUCTION TO PHYSICAL GEOGRAPHY

Instructor(s): A. Davis

Enr: 146			Resp	Re	etake: 54%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	1	0	2	13	35	32	14	5.4
Explains	2	1	2	16	24	35	17	5.3
Communicates	1	1	0	6	14	32	44	6.0
Teaching	1	0	1	10	28	43	14	5.5
Workload	0	1	5	43	28	14	6	4.7
Difficulty	0	2	5	40	30	11	9	4.7
Learn Exp	3	1	1	38	25	26	3	4.7

Davis was enthusiastic and well-organized. Some students enjoyed his sense of humour and teaching style. Although students had access to lecture notes in the library, many stated they would preferred them online.

GGR 107Y1Y ENVIRONMENT, FOOD AND PEOPLE

Instructor(s): J. Gallowav

Enr: 250		F	R	Retake: 63%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	1	3	15	31	31	15	5.3

Explains	0	0	3	9	31	36	19	5.6
Communicates	3	2	5	18	37	23	8	4.9
Teaching	0	1	3	14	31	36	11	5.3
Workload	0	0	18	61	15	3	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	17	68	7	3	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	3	9	42	28	10	6	4.5

Many students were very enthusiastic about Galloway's lecture style, sense of humour and use of charts and diagrams to support examples. Some students suggested there was some repetitions and the course could have been improved with audio/visual aids. A number were very unhappy with the essay marking scheme that the TA's used, saying it was subjective and that there was a lot of guess work in figuring out what was required for assignments/essays. Overall, there was an extreme dichotomy of opinion.

GGR 124Y1Y URBANIZATION, CONTEMPORARY CITIES AND URBAN LIFE

Instructor(s): C. Teixeira

Enr: 176		I	Resp:	Re	etake: 78%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	1	2	8	18	33	19	16	5.1
Explains	0	0	0	13	23	36	25	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	9	83	6.7
Teaching	0	0	2	5	15	31	45	6.1
Workload	0	1	12	61	17	5	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	2	13	67	9	4	1	4.0
Learn Exp	0	4	2	27	30	19	15	5.0

Teixeira was an amazing, funny and enthusiastic instructor. The fieldtrip was great, it should be mandatory for this course!

Instructor(s): D. Dupuy

Enr: 160			Resp:	Re	Retake: 62%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	12	30	33	19	5.5
Explains	0	0	3	9	42	31	12	5.4
Communicates	1	2	3	29	32	21	9	4.9
Teaching	0	0	0	12	37	35	11	5.4
Workload	0	4	12	66	7	4	2	4.0
Difficulty	0	1	16	64	12	1	1	4.0
Learn Exp	2	3	3	45	26	10	7	4.5

Overall, performed well as an instructor. The material was really well-organized and he explained concepts clearly. Presentation could have been a little more enthusiastic.

GGR 201H1S GEOMORPHOLOGY

Instructor(s): D. Carlyle-Moses

Enr: 60			Resp	: 32			Retake: 55%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	3	9	31	40	12	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	28	25	28	18	5.4
Communicates	0	0	6	21	40	15	15	5.1
Teaching	0	0	0	25	29	35	9	5.3
Workload	0	0	12	59	18	6	3	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	15	53	18	9	3	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	4	43	26	17	8	4.8

An interesting class, but some of the material was difficult. Math was a large component of the test, and the questions were difficult to answer. The lectures were well-organized and delivered. The instructor was good and related well to students.





GGR 203H1S INTRODUCTION TO CLIMATOLOGY

Instructor(s): D. Harvey

Enr: 17			Resp	Retake: 87%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	10	70	10	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	10	0	50	40	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	60	30	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	10	0	60	30	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	50	25	25	0	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	37	37	12	12	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	37	12	37	12	5.2
,	0	0	0	37	12	37	12	

Harvey was approachable and helpful. The tests/problem sets were marked a bit strictly. Students generally enjoyed the class.

GGR 205H1F INTRODUCTION TO SOIL SCIENCE

Instructor(s): V. Timmer

Enr: 57			Resp	R	Retake: 44%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	5	5	19	27	30	8	4.9
Explains	0	11	1	425	20	22	5	4.5
Communicates	2	5	5	19	16	30	19	5.1
Teaching	0	2	11	17	34	25	8	4.9
Workload	2	2	5	63	19	2	2	4.1
Difficulty	2	0	2	51	22	11	8	4.6
Learn Exp	3	3	7	33	29	22	0	4.5

Timmer was deemed a "nice guy" but some students felt he could have explained some things a little more clearly.

The midterm was described as hard and students felt more time was needed.

GGR 206H1F INTRODUCTION TO HYDROLOGY

Instructor(s): D. Carlyle-Moses

Enr: 34			Resp	Retake: 96%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	18	55	25	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	7	22	40	29	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	3	33	44	18	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	3	14	62	18	6.0
Workload	0	0	11	74	14	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	14	70	11	3	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	40	27	18	13	5.0

Students were very happy with this course, but found the text expensive.

GGR 220Y1Y THE SPATIAL ORGANIZATION OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY

Instructor(s): R. DiFrancesco

Enr: 183		I	Resp:	Retake: 45%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	1	2	4	21	38	29	2	4.9
Explains	1	0	5	21	48	16	5	4.9
Communicates	4	8	17	27	26	11	3	4.1
Teaching	0	2	4	26	39	23	2	4.8
Workload	0	2	14	75	5	2	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	3	6	56	27	4	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	1	15	50	18	9	2	4.3

Students seemed unhappy with DiFrancesco's lecturing capability. He needed to project his voice better or use a microphone. Lectures were long and dry, and he just basically read from his notes. He seemed bored with the material.

Instructor(s): J. Britton

Enr: 157			Resp	: 79			Retake: 45%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	5	15	26	31	21	0	4.5	
Explains	0	5	5	15	42	31	0	4.9	
Communicates	0	10	10	31	21	21	5	4.6	
Teaching	0	0	15	10	47	21	5	4.9	
Workload	0	5	10	70	15	0	0	4.0	
Difficulty	5	0	15	50	25	5	0	4.1	
Learn Exp	0	0	16	66	11	5	0	4.1	

Britton was a good lecturer. He didn't leave the notes on the overhead long enough, and the room was uncomfortable making it hard to concentrate. Britton was well-versed in the subject and provided detailed information.

GGR 233Y1Y ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Instructor(s): S. Prudham

Enr: 185			Resp	: 88			Re	etake: 89%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	14	60	23	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	2	20	49	28	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	17	49	32	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	3	16	50	29	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	71	18	9	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	4	71	18	5	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	26	31	30	11	5.3

Students found Prudham to be a good instructor. Many commented on his ability to present material in an interesting and enthusiastic way.

Overall, students found the course to be fun, interesting and enjoyable and wished that Prudham taught this as a full year course.

Instructor(s): M. Diamond

Enr: 173			Resp	Re	Retake: 64%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	1	8	22	44	19	3	4.8
Explains	0	0	4	19	45	27	1	5.0
Communicates	1	0	1	15	23	38	18	5.5
Teaching	0	1	3	20	43	26	5	5.1
Workload	0	0	0	56	23	16	3	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	51	30	18	0	4.7
Learn Exp	2	0	4	42	40	11	0	4.5

GGR 240Y1Y HISTORICAL GEOGRAPHY OF THE AMERICAS

*Please note that for this course, half of the students filled out the forms Leydon/Galloway and the other half filled them out Galloway/Leydon - thus, we've included both sets of summaries

Instructor(s): J. Leydon; J. Galloway

	-			-				
Enr: 76			Resp	R	etake: 68%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Leydon:								
Presents	0	0	0	4	38	38	19	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	5	35	40	20	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	4	22	40	31	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	33	28	38	6.0
Galloway:								
Presents	0	5	0	38	44	11	0	4.6
Explains	0	0	10	10	42	36	0	5.1
Communicates	0	5	10	5	36	21	21	5.2
Teaching	0	5	5	16	36	26	10	5.1
Course:								
Workload	0	0	4	81	13	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	13	81	0	4	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	5	52	26	10	5	4.6

ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR 81

82 GEOGRAPHY

Instructor(s): J. Galloway; J. Leydon

Enr: 76			Resp		Retake: 85%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Galloway:								
Presents	0	0	0	10	51	20	17	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	3	53	32	10	5.5
Communicates	0	0	3	10	44	31	10	5.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	48	41	10	5.6
Leydon:								
Presents	0	0	0	3	28	53	14	5.8
Explains	0	0	3	0	39	46	10	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	7	25	40	25	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	28	57	14	5.9
Course:								
Workload	0	3	3	79	13	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	21	71	7	0	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	44	16	5	4.9

GGR 246H1F GEOGRAPHY OF CANADA

Instructor(s): J. Leydon

Enr: 182			Resp	F	Retake: 81%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	4	10	25	32	24	5.6
Explains	0	0	4	8	18	35	33	5.9
Communicates	1	2	1	6	20	35	33	5.8
Teaching	2	0	2	8	21	38	27	5.7
Workload	2	1	5	77	11	2	0	4.0
Difficulty	2	3	15	65	11	2	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	2	1	28	37	17	12	5.1

Leydon's enthusiasm towards course material, coupled with his consistent humour made him "one of the best instructors" that the students in the class have had. Many students recommended the class to everyone who would like to gain an informed current perspective of Canada in the domestic and international context.

GGR 252H1F MARKETING GEOGRAPHY

Instructor(s): R. DiFrancesco

Enr: 90			Resp	Re	Retake: 59%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	15	20	41	14	4	4.6
Explains	0	6	9	30	30	22	1	4.6
Communicates	6	7	22	25	20	15	1	4.0
Teaching	1	1	11	23	36	19	6	4.7
Workload	0	4	6	75	12	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	4	11	70	11	1	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	6	12	39	25	8	8	4.4

Some students felt DiFrancesco taught the material well, while others suggested that lectures could have been more organized and interesting. Several students felt that the TA was unfamiliar with the course and assignment expectations. They also suggested that more recent examples and a more current textbook be used.

GGR 252H1S MARKETING GEOGRAPHY

Instructor(s): S. Swales										
Enr: 179		I	Resp:	F	Retake: 81%					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	4	23	26	33	12	5.3		
Explains	0	0	1	10	27	37	23	5.7		
Communicates	0	0	1	7	30	37	22	5.7		
Teaching	0	0	0	10	28	41	17	5.6		
Workload	2	0	16	71	4	3	0	3.9		
Difficulty	1	2	18	69	5	3	0	3.8		
Learn Exp	1	0	7	45	26	13	6	4.6		

Swales had a good sense of humour and was enthusiastic. Lectures were clear with good examples. Some students were dissatisfied with the

textbook and the absence of a course website.

Instructor(s): S. Swales

Enr: 177			Resp	: 71			Re	etake: 88%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	1	2	26	27	26	15	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	8	20	45	25	5.9
Communicates	0	0	1	8	21	40	27	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	5	30	44	20	5.8
Workload	4	4	17	62	10	1	0	3.7
Difficulty	2	5	19	63	8	0	0	3.7
Learn Exp	3	0	1	38	31	22	1	4.7

Swales was very enthusiastic, approachable and performed well as a university instructor.

GGR 254H1S GEOGRAPHY USA

Instructor(s): R. Lewis

Enr: 179		F	Resp:	Retake: 86%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	11	34	44	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	4	11	44	36	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	4	10	38	46	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	5	10	56	27	6.0
Workload	0	3	8	73	9	4	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	7	78	9	1	1	4.1
Learn Exp	1	1	1	25	45	14	11	5.0

Lewis was organized, enthusiastic and approachable. Students found the course interesting.

GGR 256H1F RECREATION GEOGRAPHY

Instructor(s): J. Leydon

Enr: 86			Resp	Retake: 85%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	13	34	25	24	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	14	35	42	8	5.5
Communicates	0	0	3	17	31	29	18	5.4
Teaching	0	0	1	20	27	29	20	5.5
Workload	0	1	10	81	5	1	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	6	22	63	3	3	0	3.7
Learn Exp	2	0	4	48	26	17	0	4.5

Students felt the course was interesting and that Leydon performed effectively as an instructor. A few students commented that tests were marked strictly.

GGR 272H1F GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION AND MAPPING I

Instructor(s): D. Boyes

Enr: 61			Resp	Retake: 73%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	16	35	42	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	4	21	40	33	6.0
Communicates	0	2	0	2	16	38	40	6.1
Teaching	0	2	0	7	19	39	31	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	50	33	11	4	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	2	40	38	16	2	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	2	31	31	28	5	5.0

Students found Boyes to be an enthusiastic lecturer. Most found the text to be dry and tedious. The slides were great, but students wished they could have been made accessible to them. Some students "could-n't wait to have him again." The course was time consuming, but worth it.

ASSU ANTI-CALENDAR 83

GGR 272H1S GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION AND MAPPING I

Instructor(s): D. Boyes

	-							
Enr: 48			Resp	F	Retake: 83%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	6	63	27	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	9	41	48	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	9	62	28	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	9	67	22	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	32	51	9	6	4.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	32	51	9	6	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	4	25	20	37	12	5.3

Students enjoyed the lectures because of Boyes' enthusiasm. The Powerpoint presentations were great and gave concrete examples. It would have helped if the lectures were posted on the website. The textbook was not always helpful, and more help with labs would have been appreciated. The course was engaging and students really enjoyed the class.

GGR 273H1S GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION AND MAPPING II

Instructor(s): C. Rinner

Enr: 48			Resp	Retake: 75%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	32	46	17	5.8
Explains	0	3	7	14	39	32	3	5.0
Communicates	0	10	3	28	32	25	0	4.6
Teaching	0	0	7	7	35	42	7	5.4
Workload	0	0	0	44	40	14	0	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	35	46	17	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	4	24	32	40	0	5.1

The lectures were well-presented. The first lab was difficult, however, they improved and were very beneficial. It would have helped to have an introduction to the software.

Rinner was enthusiastic about the class and knowledgeable.

GGR 300H1S ARCTIC CANADA

Instructor(s): D. Boyes

Enr: 42			Resp	Re	take: 100%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	3	40	53	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	3	0	59	37	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	34	65	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	15	62	21	6.1
Workload	0	3	9	65	18	3	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	3	12	68	15	0	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	15	53	19	11	5.3

The class was very enjoyable and Boyes obviously put a lot of effort into his Powerpoint presentations. It would have been nice if the presentations were posted online. The subjects covered were interesting and addressed many important issues.

GGR 301H1S FLUVIAL GEOMORPHOLOGY

Instructor(s): L. Burge

Enr: 15			Resp	F	Retake: 75%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	20	50	30	0	5.1
Explains	0	0	20	10	40	20	10	4.9
Communicates	0	0	10	0	50	40	0	5.2
Teaching	0	0	0	20	50	30	0	5.1
Workload	0	0	0	40	30	10	20	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	60	10	20	10	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	50	25	25	0	4.8

Students agreed that the topics covered in class were interesting. They found the slides very helpful and full of details. They also thought that the lab experience was awesome.

Burge was a good instructor even though he taught the course for the first time. He was willing to interact with students and lend them his support when needed. As a result, students had fun taking this course.

GGR 303H1F CLIMATE-BIOSPHERE INTERACTIONS

Instructor(s): S. Cowling

Enr: 20			Resp	: 13			R	etake: 76%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	15	15	38	30	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	38	46	15	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	15	30	53	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	7	15	23	53	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	53	30	15	0	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	84	0	15	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	11	11	11	33	33	5.7

Most students felt they got a lot out of this course. Cowling was enthusiastic, organized, approachable and helpful. The vast majority of students really enjoyed it.

GGR 305H1F BIOGEOGRAPHY

Instructor(s): A. Davis

Enr: 38			Resp	Retake: 83%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	42	42	15	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	19	5	30	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	15	34	50	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	26	38	34	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	72	22	4	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	95	0	4	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	30	35	30	5	5.1

Davis was an excellent lecturer. He was enthusiastic, entertaining and had great slides. His lectures were well-organized and he explained concepts clearly.

GGR 307H1S SOIL AND WATER: LANDSCAPE PROCESSES

Instructor(s): M. Diamond

Enr: 25			Resp	F	Retake: 69%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	15	30	23	30	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	15	7	69	7	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	23	30	46	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	7	38	38	15	5.6
Workload	0	0	15	61	15	7	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	7	7	46	23	7	7	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	50	16	0	4.8

An interesting course that covered a lot of topics. Diamond was enthusiastic and helped students with topics and explained the information in detail. Too much time was spent on the projects.

GGR 310H1S CULTURAL BIOGEOGRAPHY

Instructor(s): A. Davis

Enr: 42			Resp	Re	etake: 89%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	15	45	40	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	10	65	25	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	40	60	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	5	0	50	45	6.3
Workload	0	0	5	85	10	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	5	85	10	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	27	33	27	11	5.2

Students enjoyed Davis' course. They thought he was enthusiastic and a very good instructor. His sense of humour was also appreciated.

84 GEOGRAPHY

GGR 314H1F GLOBAL WARMING

Instructor(s): D. Harvey

Enr: 104			Resp	F	Retake: 71%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	0	2	9	16	37	32	5.8
Explains	2	0	2	2	13	44	34	6.0
Communicates	2	0	0	0	9	23	65	6.4
Teaching	2	0	0	9	18	32	37	5.9
Workload	0	2	0	39	29	21	7	4.9
Difficulty	0	2	4	26	34	14	17	5.0
Learn Exp	3	0	0	18	24	30	24	5.5

Most students enjoyed the course. Harvey was an enthusiastic lecturer who knew his stuff. A number of students commented that there was a lot of material for a half credit course and that the marking scheme should be changed (the final exam was worth 60%).

GGR 323H1S ISSUES IN POPULATION GEOGRAPHY

Instructor(s): J. Leydon

	•							
Enr: 73			Resp	: 53			F	Retake: 92%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	1	0	7	33	26	30	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	7	33	28	30	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	3	22	39	33	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	7	21	32	38	6.0
Workload	0	1	3	79	15	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	1	5	75	13	1	1	4.1
Learn Exp	2	0	0	29	31	27	9	5.1

Leydon was considered a very good instructor. He was well organized and coherent. His knowledge of the material was exceptional and presented the material with enthusiasm and humour.

GGR 324H1F TRANSPORTATION GEOGRAPHY AND PLANNING

Instructor(s): A. Brown

Enr: 60			Resp	Re	take: 56%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	4	19	26	36	10	2	4.4
Explains	2	6	15	32	28	10	4	4.3
Communicates	0	2	13	21	26	23	13	5.0
Teaching	0	2	10	28	30	21	6	4.8
Workload	0	0	0	60	26	13	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	4	50	34	8	2	4.5
Learn Exp	2	2	13	48	18	10	2	4.2

Some students thought there were many good examples of "real world" concepts, however, others thought there were too many theoretical concepts. Students found Brown enthusiastic about the subject but complained that there were too many overheads. Students suggested having a website or having notes available to them since they spent a lot of time writing and trying to listen at the same time.

GGR 326H1F INDUSTRIAL LOCATION: THEORY, APPLICATIONS, AND POLICY

Instructor(s): J. Britton

Enr: 22			Resp	F	Retake: 54%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	25	50	25	0	5.0
Explains	0	0	0	18	27	36	18	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	9	36	9	45	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	16	16	33	33	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	66	25	8	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	8	58	33	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	18	9	63	9	0	4.6

Britton was an excellent lecturer, communicated well with the class and showed great enthusiasm in what he taught. All this, yet students wanted more overheads!

GGR 331H1F RESOURCE AND ENVIRONMENTAL THEORY

Instructor(s): C. Hostovsky

Enr: 40			Resp	R	etake: 81%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	23	58	5	11	5.1
Explains	0	0	0	11	23	52	11	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	25	37	37	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	6	31	56	6	5.6
Workload	0	0	5	70	23	0	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	5	64	29	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	41	16	8	5.0

Hostovsky was an engaging instructor who knew how to bridge theory and practice. He cared about teaching and conducted very enjoyable lectures.

GGR 331H1S RESOURCE AND ENVIRONMENTAL THEORY

Instructor(s): D. Harvey

Enr: 35			Resp	Retake: 44%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	11	11	44	33	0	5.0
Explains	0	0	5	31	26	10	21	4.9
Communicates	0	0	0	5	15	31	47	6.2
Teaching	0	11	5	16	22	22	22	5.1
Workload	0	0	0	5	27	38	27	5.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	16	33	11	38	5.7
Learn Exp	0	9	0	45	18	27	0	4.5

GGR 332H1F URBAN WASTE MANAGEMENT

Instructor(s): C. Hostovsky

Enr: 49			Resp	Retake: 83%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	3	3	16	32	29	12	5.1
Explains	0	0	3	12	19	45	19	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	3	12	32	51	6.3
Teaching	0	3	0	12	25	29	29	5.6
Workload	3	3	0	66	20	6	0	4.2
Difficulty	3	3	3	63	16	10	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	4	0	30	30	13	21	5.1

The field trip was very interesting and most students enjoyed Hostovsky as a lecturer. A number of students suggested he could be better organized and stick to lecture notes.

GGR 334H1S WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Instructor(s): R. White

Enr: 45			Resp	R	etake: 90%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	4	58	29	8	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	16	32	40	12	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	4	25	54	16	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	4	28	48	20	5.8
Workload	0	0	4	72	16	8	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	79	16	4	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	17	52	23	5	5.2

The course was interesting and informative and the instructor enthusiastic and humorous. Some of the readings were long and the test and exam counted for too much of the final mark.

GGR 335H1F BUSINESS AND ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE

Instructor(s): R. White

Enr: 57			Resp	Re	take: 87%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents Explains	0 0	0 2	2 0	14 11	42 34	28 34	11 17	5.3 5.5

Communicates	0	0	0	11	28	42	17	5.7
Teaching	0	0	2	8	34	31	22	5.6
Workload	0	0	5	74	17	0	2	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	2	68	22	2	2	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	41	20	4	5.0

Students enjoyed White's enthusiasm and sense of humour. His lectures were said to be interesting and well-organized, although sometimes repetitive.

GGR 336H1S URBAN HISTORICAL GEOGRAPHY OF NORTH AMERICA

Instructor(s): R. Lewis

Enr: 56			Resp	Retake: 82%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	15	51	27	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	3	24	45	27	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	18	51	30	6.1
Teaching	0	0	6	3	18	60	12	5.7
Workload	0	0	6	60	21	12	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	6	68	9	12	3	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	8	32	40	16	4	4.8

The lectures were well-organized and the instructor enthusiastic about the material. The page limit for assignments was too stringent and not enough resources were available for the essays. Students noted that it was difficult for the entire class to be working on the same topic. The course was informative and very interesting and Lewis provided a lot of detail. A good learning experience.

GGR 337H1S ENVIRONMENTAL REMOTE SENSING

Instructor(s): J. Chen

Enr: 12			Resp	R	etake: 75%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	41	33	16	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	25	25	41	8	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	33	33	33	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	8	41	16	33	5.8
Workload	0	0	8	58	16	16	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	16	50	25	8	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	45	27	18	9	4.9

GGR 338H1S ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

Instructor(s): A. Daniere

Enr: 89			Resp	Re	etake: 89%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	15	32	30	17	5.4
Explains	0	0	2	4	32	30	30	5.8
Communicates	0	0	2	6	32	30	28	5.8
Teaching	0	0	2	8	28	32	28	5.8
Workload	0	0	4	41	34	15	4	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	2	73	19	4	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	34	24	24	17	5.2

Daniere was genuinely interested in the course she taught and used current material. Students felt more time with Daniere in smaller groups or longer durations would have been better.

GGR 339H1F URBAN GEOGRAPHY, PLANNING AND POLITICAL PROCESSES

Instructor(s): L. Veronis

Enr: 43			Resp	Retake: 74%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	3	3	29	25	25	7	4.8
Explains	3	0	7	30	19	34	3	4.8
Communicates	0	3	3	17	42	25	7	5.0
Teaching	3	3	7	14	22	29	18	5.1
Workload	0	0	7	71	14	7	0	4.2

				4550	J AN	ТІ-С/	ALENDAR	85
Difficulty	0	0	3	75	17	3	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	9	13	36	22	4	13	4.4

Veronis was widely regarded as being approachable and enthusiastic. There was some concern over the heavily weighted final exam. There was also a tendency for lectures to run a little long but overall, students were pleased with Veronis and felt the course was valuable.

GGR 339H1S URBAN GEOGRAPHY, PLANNING AND POLITICAL PROCESSES

Instructor(s): J. Leydon

Enr: 27			Resp	: 16			Retake: 92%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	0	25	43	31	6.1	
Explains	0	0	0	0	18	43	37	6.2	
Communicates	0	0	0	12	12	37	37	6.0	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	18	43	37	6.2	
Workload	0	0	0	66	26	6	0	4.4	
Difficulty	0	0	0	53	33	13	0	4.6	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	28	28	14	28	5.4	

Leydon had a "coffee shop" type of approach to lectures. He was very laid back and could discuss his material in a forum type format. Part of this had to do with his fierce sense of humour which many considered to be an ice-breaker. More instructors should follow this format.

GGR 340H1S REGIONALISM IN CANADA

Instructor(s): C. Marchand

Enr: 47			Resp	Re	Retake: 33%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	8	8	29	20	25	8	0	3.7
Explains	4	4	20	24	36	4	8	4.3
Communicates	4	0	13	47	26	4	4	4.2
Teaching	4	4	12	33	33	8	4	4.3
Workload	0	0	12	60	24	4	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	4	70	20	4	0	4.2
Learn Exp	5	10	15	60	0	5	5	3.8

Some students found Marchand to be a good instructor, however, many expressed concerns regarding her communication of material. Some felt that the instructor did not allow enough time to record overheads.

GGR 371H1S ADVANCED QUANTITATIVE METHODS IN GEOGRAPHY

Instructor(s): R. DiFrancesco

Enr: 14			Res	R	etake: 85%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	14	28	42	14	5.6
Explains	0	0	14	0	14	28	42	5.9
Communicates	0	0	14	0	14	71	0	5.4
Teaching	0	0	14	0	0	42	42	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	14	42	14	28	5.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	28	42	14	14	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	14	0	28	57	0	5.3

Students found this course useful. The assignments were challenging and the textbook was not always useful. Tutorials or additional help with assignments might have been useful. Most students felt the instructor was very good and really liked his teaching style.

GGR 373H1F ADVANCED GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Instructor(s): D. Boyes

Enr: 24			Resp	Retake: 75%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	41	47	11	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	11	35	23	29	5.7
Communicates	0	0	5	5	0	41	47	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	17	23	35	23	5.6

86 GEOGRAPHY

Workload	0	0	0	11	29	17	41	5.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	17	23	47	11	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	28	21	35	14	5.4

Boyes was enthusiastic, however, students believed the workload was too heavy. Also, they felt that the distribution of marks should be revised. There were also concerns about expectations.

Overall, students found Boyes interesting and the course a good learning experience.

GGR 390H1F FIELD METHODS

Instructor(s): A. Davis

Enr: 12			Resp	Retake: 81%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	20	40	40	0	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	75	25	0	5.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	18	9	72	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	70	10	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	36	54	9	0	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	45	36	18	0	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	10	30	30	30	5.8

Davis was very enthusiastic and helpful with the projects. There was some difficulty in certain groups where group members did not contribute.

GGR 391H1S RESEARCH DESIGN

Instructor(s): J. Britton

Enr: 47			Resp		Retake: 50%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	37	28	28	2	4.9
Explains	0	0	5	22	42	22	5	5.0
Communicates	0	0	8	20	20	34	17	5.3
Teaching	0	0	2	8	26	47	14	5.6
Workload	0	2	2	58	27	8	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	2	2	66	16	8	2	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	12	20	28	28	12	5.1

Most students complimented the instructor for the time he took to give feedback and provide consultation on the research proposal. However, a few students found little value in the theoretical underpinnings of the course while the goals of the course were not clearly expressed.

GGR 393H1S ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Instructor(s): S. Wakefield

Enr: 54			Resp	Retake: 66%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	19	36	41	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	4	19	48	26	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	24	41	34	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	4	21	41	31	6.0
Workload	0	2	2	36	34	12	12	4.9
Difficulty	0	2	0	37	45	12	2	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	30	36	26	6	5.1

Wakefield answered students' questions effectively. The assignments were not well explained and vague. Too much material as covered in class time. The group assignment was difficult to complete. The readings were useful and helped to explain the class lectures.

GGR403H1S GLOBAL ECOLOGY AND BIOGEOCHEMICAL CYCLES

Instructor(s): S. Cowling

Enr: 10			Res	p: 7	Retake: 1009			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	20	60	20	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	20	40	40	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	16	83	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	42	57	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	42	42	14	0	4.7

Difficulty	0	0	0	14	57	14	14	5.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	33	33	33	6.0

Many students said that this was one of their favourite courses of the year. Cowling was enthusiastic about the course and approachable. The lecturers and the interdisciplinary aspect of the course were appreciated. More general readings would have been helpful.

GGR 404H1S SEMINAR IN PHYSICAL GEOGRAPHY

Instructor(s): S. Cowling

Enr: 13			Resp	Retake: 81%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	42	42	14	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	42	42	14	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	42	14	42	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	28	28	42	6.1
Workload	0	9	27	45	9	9	0	3.8
Difficulty	0	0	9	54	36	0	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	11	44	11	5.3

The weekly readings and lecture material should be available at the beginning of the course as a package. There was too much emphasis on participation and not all lectures were relevant or dealt with current issues. Students found the course interesting and Cowling very approachable and enthusiastic.

GGR 409H1F CONTAMINANTS IN THE ENVIRONMENT

Instructor(s): M. Diamond

Enr: 21			Resp	Retake: 66%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	33	33	20	13	5.1
Explains	0	0	0	20	33	26	20	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	26	53	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	6	33	33	26	5.8
Workload	0	0	6	53	13	6	20	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	6	40	20	26	6	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	30	23	30	15	5.3

All respondents were very appreciative of Diamond's enthusiastic approach and friendly demeanor. Diamond was highly praised for making a complex subject very understandable. The problem sets were difficult.

GGR 415H1S RESOURCE AND ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING

Instructor(s): C. Hostovsky

Enr: 23			Resp	Retake: 42%				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	33	40	13	13	5.1
Explains	0	0	0	20	26	40	13	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	20	20	13	46	5.9
Teaching	6	0	6	20	26	26	13	4.9
Workload	0	6	0	73	6	6	6	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	6	66	20	0	6	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	25	25	25	8	16	4.7

This term Hostovsky missed enough lectures that impacted students' opinions of the class. Students found Hostovsky very approachable despite the above issue.

GGR 418H1F POLITICAL ECONOMY OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Instructor(s): S. Prudham

Enr: 10			Res		Retake: 100%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	33	33	33	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	66	33	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	83	16	6.2
Teaching	0	0	6	0	0	66	33	6.3
Workload	0	0	0	16	16	33	33	5.8

	ASSU	ANTI-CALENDAR	87
--	------	---------------	----

Difficulty	0	0	0	16	0	50	33	6.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	0	20	60	6.2

Respondents were universally pleased with the teaching, course material, and learning experience in this course.

GGR 431H1F REGIONAL DYNAMICS

Instructor(s): M. Gertler

Enr: 29	Resp: 24							Retake: 78%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	8	30	34	26	5.8		
Explains	0	0	0	4	25	37	33	6.0		
Communicates	0	0	0	8	17	52	21	5.9		
Teaching	0	0	0	8	25	45	20	5.8		
Workload	0	0	0	30	56	13	0	4.8		
Difficulty	0	0	0	52	39	8	0	4.6		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	19	33	38	10	5.4		

Gertler was said to be attentive and organized; a pleasure to learn from

Students thought the final paper was weighted too heavily.

GGR 446H1S APPROACHES TO HISTORICAL GEOGRAPHY

Instructor(s): J. Galloway

Enr: 15	Resp: 9						Retake: 66%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	11	11	33	33	11	5.2	
Explains	0	0	0	22	22	44	11	5.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	44	44	11	5.7	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	44	33	22	5.8	
Workload	0	11	22	44	22	0	0	3.8	
Difficulty	0	0	11	77	11	0	0	4.0	
Learn Exp	0	0	33	33	0	33	0	4.3	

Galloway was a very informed, well-read and experienced in his area of study. He had vast knowledge in this subject area, so much in fact that he often went beyond the boundaries of what the class was about. Many students found this outside experience intellectually stimulating.

Almost all students felt the course needed some sort of course reader.

GGR 451H1F HEALTH AND PLACE

Instructor(s): S. Wakefield

Enr: 32		Resp: 27						Retake: 87%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean		
Presents	0	0	0	7	14	22	55	6.3		
Explains	0	0	0	3	29	18	48	6.1		
Communicates	0	0	0	7	7	33	51	6.3		
Teaching	0	0	0	7	18	48	25	5.9		
Workload	0	0	7	51	22	7	11	4.6		
Difficulty	0	0	11	62	2	20	3	4.2		
Learn Exp	0	0	0	19	28	28	23	5.6		

She was organized, enthusiastic, well-prepared and approachable. However, the assignments could have been clearer.

GGR 452H1F SPACE, POWER, GEOGRAPHY: UNDERSTANDING SPATIALITY

Instructor(s): S. Ruddick

Enr: 18	Resp: 15						Retake: 64%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	13	46	13	26	5.5	
Explains	0	0	0	6	26	33	33	5.9	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	53	26	6.1	
Teaching	0	0	0	13	13	53	20	5.8	
Workload	0	0	0	30	46	23	0	4.9	
Difficulty	0	0	0	20	33	33	13	5.4	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	35	0	50	14	5.4	

The course material dealt with abstract ideas. Most students found it interesting and stimulating.

Although many students found the readings to be too complicated, concepts were delivered clearly and with enthusiasm by Ruddick. Students appreciated Ruddick's attitude and found her teacher very helpful.

GGR 462H1S GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Instructor(s): D. Boyes; J. Chen

Enr: 15	Resp: 12						Retake: 90%	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Boyes:								
Presents	0	0	0	27	27	36	9	5.3
Explains	0	0	0	18	9	63	9	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	9	18	54	18	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	9	18	54	18	5.8
Chen:								
Presents	0	0	0	8	41	41	8	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	25	66	8	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	33	50	16	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	16	58	25	6.1
Course:								
Workload	0	0	0	0	58	16	25	5.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	25	50	8	16	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	12	25	50	12	5.6

Both instructors performed well, however, some felt that the instructors were a little ambiguous.

GGR 473H1F CARTOGRAPHIC DESIGN

Instructor(s): C. Rinner

Enr: 8	Resp: 8						Retake: 100%		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean	
Presents	0	0	0	12	25	50	12	5.6	
Explains	0	0	0	42	28	14	14	5.0	
Communicates	0	0	0	42	42	0	14	4.9	
Teaching	0	0	0	14	57	14	14	5.3	
Workload	0	14	14	42	28	0	0	3.9	
Difficulty	0	14	14	42	28	0	0	3.9	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	25	25	50	0	5.2	

Students thought Rinner performed well overall as a university teacher and lecture handouts were said to be very helpful.

However, it was said that more time was needed between assignments.

