

# FRENCH COURSE UNION



## Introduction

The French Course Union (FCU) is a student run group that organizes seminars and social events for students in the Department of French. If you are interested in joining the FCU, please drop by the ASSU office - SS 1068. Thank you to the Staff and Faculty at the Department of French for their assistance with these course evaluations.

Editor

## FCS 195H1S FRENCH CULTURE FROM NAPOLEON TO ASTERIX

Instructor(s): H. Koo

Enr: 66	Resp: 51							Retake: 89%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	20	18	62	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	2	12	36	50	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	6	14	80	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	6	12	36	44	6.2
Workload	2	2	32	48	14	0	2	3.8
Difficulty	4	2	18	68	6	0	2	3.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	21	29	21	26	5.5

Koo was a patient teacher who instructed with great enthusiasm and organization. Her passion towards teaching was obvious, especially when she used interactive means to convey various concepts. She also exerted effort in getting to know her students. Many hoped she would teach more courses in the future.

## FCS 294H1F WOMEN & POWER IN FRENCH SOCIETY

Instructor(s): J. Hanna

Enr: 35	Resp: 30							Retake: 71%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	7	14	28	25	21	3	4.5
Explains	0	3	14	25	32	14	10	4.7
Communicates	0	0	3	6	13	50	26	5.9
Teaching	3	3	13	16	33	20	10	4.7
Workload	0	10	28	53	7	0	0	3.6
Difficulty	0	13	25	58	3	0	0	3.5
Learn Exp	4	4	8	29	25	12	16	4.7

Overall, students took issue with the fact that Hanna did not lecture at all throughout the course since the course was exclusively student presentations. However, Hanna was enthusiastic.

## FCS 298H1F FRENCH CULTURE AND ASIA

Instructor(s): M. O'Neill-Karch

Enr: 34	Resp: 33							Retake: 82%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	6	40	26	26	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	10	20	46	23	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	3	13	31	51	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	6	13	34	44	6.2
Workload	0	3	20	65	6	3	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	3	27	58	6	3	0	3.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	40	13	13	31	5.4

Students found the course to be interesting and informative. O'Neill-Karch was enthusiastic about the course material.

## FCS 310Y1Y FRENCH CINEMA

Instructor(s): P. Fitting

Enr: 17	Resp: 13							Retake: 66%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	15	30	38	15	0	4.5
Explains	0	0	0	30	46	15	7	5.0
Communicates	0	0	0	30	15	30	23	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	23	38	15	23	5.4
Workload	0	0	15	69	15	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	84	15	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	12	50	12	25	0	4.5

Fitting's knowledge of the subject matter was outstanding. Students enjoyed taking the course for the most part. However, Fitting's lectures were somewhat scattered at times, which made it quite difficult for some students to follow.

## FCS 331H1F CINEMA AND LITERATURE IN FRANCE

Instructor(s): D. de Kerckhove

Enr: 20	Resp: 18							Retake: 93%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	23	29	35	5	5.1
Explains	0	0	5	11	27	38	16	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	16	77	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	17	35	47	6.3
Workload	5	0	11	58	17	5	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	87	6	6	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	7	15	46	30	6.0

All of the students thought de Kerckhove was engaging, interesting and intelligent. He was also enthusiastic about the course material. They found him to be an outstanding instructor. Students loved the learning experience.

## FCS 395H1S SENSUALITY AND THE FRENCH

Instructor(s): D. Clandfield

Enr: 97	Resp: 49							Retake: 71%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	4	4	12	26	30	22	5.4
Explains	2	2	4	16	24	38	12	5.2
Communicates	0	0	0	10	20	26	42	6.0
Teaching	2	0	2	10	30	28	26	5.6
Workload	2	6	18	54	14	4	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	10	14	61	8	6	0	3.9
Learn Exp	5	2	10	40	8	18	13	4.5

Students saw Clandfield as "cool" and funny. He was always available for consultation when students needed help. He was extremely knowledgeable and passionate of the subject matter. No one would ever fall asleep in his lectures because he was simply great at them!

**FRE 140Y1Y THE PLEASURE OF READING**

Instructor(s): F. Case

Enr: 18	Resp: 11							Retake: 90%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	9	18	27	27	18	5.3
Explains	0	0	9	0	18	45	27	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	18	36	45	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	18	18	27	36	5.8
Workload	0	0	30	40	20	10	0	4.1
Difficulty	10	0	20	20	30	20	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	28	14	57	6.3

Case's students enjoyed taking this course. His passion for the subject was obvious and thus made him a very enthusiastic instructor. He inspired his students in many ways and always encouraged them to think beyond the classroom

**FRE 172H1S FRENCH GRAMMAR, WITHIN REASON**

Instructor(s): O. Malet

Enr: 43	Resp: 35							Retake: 60%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	14	20	34	28	2	4.9
Explains	0	0	0	11	41	35	11	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	2	11	40	45	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	11	25	34	28	5.8
Workload	2	11	5	70	8	0	0	3.7
Difficulty	0	5	11	57	25	0	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	6	43	23	20	6	4.8

Malet made dull French grammar entertaining. He was energetic when delivering lectures. His passion for the material was obvious as he made it fun and interesting for everyone.

**FRE 210Y1Y INTRODUCTION TO QUEBEC LITERATURE AND CULTURE**

Instructor(s): J. LeBlanc

Enr: 34	Resp: 25							Retake: 77%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	28	52	12	5.7
Explains	0	0	4	4	32	48	12	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	8	16	37	37	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	8	16	64	12	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	58	29	12	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	56	39	0	4	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	10	30	20	35	5	4.9

Students praised LeBlanc's in-depth knowledge of the literature she covered in class. She was an effective lecturer as she was able to convey the concepts clearly. In addition, she was organized and approachable.

Some mentioned that the level of difficulty was high - one would have a difficult time if one failed to do the readings before coming to class.

**FRE 240Y1Y INTRODUCTION TO LITERARY ANALYSIS**

Instructor(s): A. Oliver

Enr: 32	Resp: 25							Retake: 52%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	0	8	8	32	44	4	5.1
Explains	0	0	4	16	16	48	16	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	12	20	40	28	5.8
Teaching	0	4	0	20	40	28	8	5.1
Workload	0	0	4	88	8	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	4	0	60	16	12	8	4.6
Learn Exp	4	0	9	45	27	9	4	4.4

Students agreed that Oliver was very knowledgeable and had a lot of insightful things to say. However, a few students felt that he was not very welcoming of other's views.

Instructor(s): M. Lebron

Enr: 47	Resp: 32							Retake: 96%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	12	64	22	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	15	43	40	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	28	71	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	3	51	45	6.4
Workload	0	0	0	84	6	3	6	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	0	78	12	3	6	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	10	6	41	41	6.1

Some students complained about the long 3-hour class. Lebron was a very friendly, enthusiastic and helpful instructor.

**FRE 250Y1Y LITERARY HISTORY IN CONTEXT**

Instructor(s): C. Vercollier

Enr: 18	Resp: 17							Retake: 76%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	17	52	29	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	23	47	29	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	18	43	37	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	6	31	31	31	5.9
Workload	0	0	11	64	11	11	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	64	23	11	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	8	16	33	25	16	5.2

One main complaint that a few students had was how fast everything moved along in the course and the amount that was packed in.

However, Vercollier was a very good instructor - organized, enthusiastic and helpful.

**FRE 272Y1Y THE STRUCTURE OF MODERN FRENCH: AN INTRODUCTION**

Instructor(s): P. Bhatt

Enr: 46	Resp: 37							Retake: 91%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	2	32	64	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	2	32	64	6.6
Communicates	0	0	2	0	2	32	62	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	8	29	62	6.5
Workload	2	5	8	67	13	2	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	2	64	21	10	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	7	32	28	25	7	4.9

Bhatt presented and explained the course material very clearly. He was praised for being enthusiastic and always available to give extra help. An excellent instructor!

Tests were challenging and required a lot of memorization.

Instructor(s): Y. Roberge

Enr: 39	Resp: 31							Retake: 68%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	20	41	34	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	10	53	36	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	16	36	46	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	0	13	60	26	6.1
Workload	3	0	16	58	19	3	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	6	3	61	16	6	6	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	50	20	20	8	4.9

One thing students agreed on was Roberge's ability to explain the course material with great clarity. He was enthusiastic as well, and highly knowledgeable. He talked slowly to make sure students understood the complex concepts in the course.



## 72 FRENCH

Instructor(s): J. Steele

	Resp: 30							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	6	34	58	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	6	27	34	31	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	3	20	26	50	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	33	46	6.3
Workload	0	3	6	80	10	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	0	70	16	13	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	47	19	33	0	4.9

Steele was well-organized and prepared. Students found the over-heads during lectures to be very helpful. They thought putting these over-heads on the web was an excellent idea. His ability to explain concepts in a clear manner as well as the organization of the course, increased the value of this learning experience.

### FRE 277Y1Y ORTHOEPEY

Instructor(s): P. Bhatt

	Resp: 22							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	4	4	45	45	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	9	9	40	40	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	9	0	50	40	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	4	9	50	36	6.2
Workload	0	9	22	59	9	0	0	3.7
Difficulty	0	0	22	59	13	4	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	50	35	10	5	4.7

Students found the course enjoyable, mostly due to Bhatt's enthusiasm. He was described as a very good teacher who presented the material very clearly.

A few students mentioned that tests relied too much on memorization.

Instructor(s): J. Steele

	Resp: 17							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	17	64	17	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	5	29	47	17	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	11	23	29	35	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	5	88	5	6.0
Workload	0	0	11	64	23	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	5	58	23	11	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	37	25	25	12	5.1

Steele was described as a pleasant, very organized, enthusiastic and professional instructor. Students felt that they learned a lot by taking this course and that it helped to improve their French pronunciation.

Instructor(s): S. Mastromonaco

	Resp: 27							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	7	25	25	33	7	5.1
Explains	0	0	0	11	40	37	11	5.5
Communicates	0	0	0	3	7	38	50	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	14	25	25	33	5.8
Workload	3	7	25	55	3	3	0	3.6
Difficulty	0	3	25	51	18	0	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	41	33	12	12	5.0

Mastromonaco was a very enthusiastic instructor who cared very much for her students. There were a few complaints regarding course organization and material, but overall, most students found the class to be a great learning experience.

### FRE 312H1F NOVELS OF THE QUIET REVOLUTION: QUEBEC FICTION OF THE 60s

Instructor(s): M. Charlebois

	Resp: 10							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	30	40	20	10	0	4.1
Explains	0	0	10	50	20	20	0	4.5
Communicates	0	0	20	20	10	30	20	5.1
Teaching	0	0	20	50	10	10	10	4.4
Workload	0	0	10	60	10	20	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	10	80	0	10	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	28	57	0	0	14	4.1

Most students praised the instructor's choice of primary and secondary material for the course. However, many of them found that the goals for the class were not well-communicated and that the material was presented in a scattered manner.

### FRE 315H1S FAMILY MATTERS IN QUEBEC THEATRE

Instructor(s): R. Brazeau

	Resp: 28							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	3	64	32	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	10	57	32	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	55	40	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	7	57	35	6.3
Workload	0	0	14	82	3	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	14	74	11	0	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	47	23	23	4	4.9

Brazeau was very enthusiastic and well-organized and he communicated his knowledge very clearly. He was readily available to help his students.

Students enjoyed the plays and thought they were well-chosen and interesting. An excellent course overall!

### FRE 322Y1Y THE 18TH CENTURY: THE AGE OF ENLIGHTENMENT

Instructor(s): A. Motsch

	Resp: 17							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	20	20	33	6	13	6	0	2.9
Explains	0	7	28	35	14	14	0	4.0
Communicates	0	6	6	20	33	13	20	5.0
Teaching	0	6	26	40	20	0	6	4.0
Workload	0	0	0	56	25	6	12	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	62	25	6	6	4.6
Learn Exp	0	7	15	53	15	0	7	4.1

Many students were disappointed with Motsch as an instructor. His lectures were unorganized and not well prepared. Also, he spent too much time talking about things not related to the course. Students were frustrated with this lack of structure and the fact that the course material was not fully discussed in class.

### FRE 326Y1Y THE 20TH CENTURY: FROM SURREALISM TO POST-MODERNISM AND BEYOND

Instructor(s): A. Cozea

	Resp: 19							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	6	6	31	6	31	12	6	4.1
Explains	5	0	23	23	35	0	11	4.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	35	58	6.5
Teaching	0	6	0	25	25	31	12	5.1
Workload	0	0	0	62	31	6	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	17	64	17	0	5.0
Learn Exp	0	7	7	30	30	15	7	4.6

Students valued Cozea's original approach to the subject matter and

she was described as nice and approachable. However, some students felt that the course could have been better structured.

### FRE 332H1F FRANCOPHONE LITERATURE I

Instructor(s): D. Issa-Sayegh

Enr: 7	Resp: 5							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	20	20	40	20	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	40	20	40	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	40	40	20	5.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	80	0	5.8
Workload	0	0	0	60	40	0	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	60	0	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	66	0	33	5.7

All students found their overall experience in the class to be very positive. The instructor encouraged personal reflection and class discussions which appealed to a lot of students.

### FRE 359H1S STUDIES IN DRAMA I: PRE-1800

Instructor(s): M. Marrouchi

Enr: 7	Resp: 5							Retake: 75%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	25	50	25	0	5.0
Explains	0	0	0	0	20	60	20	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	40	60	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	60	20	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	80	20	0	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	80	20	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	33	66	0	0	4.7

Students described Marrouchi as a very pleasant, enthusiastic and understanding instructor.

### FRE 364Y1Y THE GOLDEN AGE OF THE NOVEL

Instructor(s): C. Vercollier

Enr: 18	Resp: 17							Retake: 81%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	6	31	62	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	0	6	43	50	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	12	0	37	50	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	6	13	40	40	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	56	25	12	6	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	37	43	12	6	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	27	9	36	27	5.6

Students appreciated Vercollier's passion and knowledge of the literature. She was approachable, enthusiastic and articulate.

### FRE 368H1F STUDIES IN THE 20TH CENTURY FRENCH NOVEL II

Instructor(s): D. de Kerckhove

Enr: 15	Resp: 12							Retake: 58%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	33	41	8	16	0	4.1
Explains	0	0	9	18	45	27	0	4.9
Communicates	0	0	0	8	16	16	58	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	41	16	16	25	5.2
Workload	0	0	0	25	41	33	0	5.1
Difficulty	0	0	0	41	33	25	0	4.8
Learn Exp	0	10	0	30	20	20	20	5.0

Students found that while de Kerckhove was not the most organized in presenting his lectures and rarely discussed the books that students were required to read, his choice of material was still interesting. The website allowed students to enrich their learning experience and teach each other.

### FRE 375Y1Y COMPARATIVE STYLISTICS

Instructor(s): J. Hanna

Enr: 22	Resp: 22							Retake: 85%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	10	45	40	5	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	10	25	45	20	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	20	40	40	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	5	15	55	25	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	80	15	5	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	75	15	5	5	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	26	20	40	13	5.4

Hanna was a lively lecturer. She was always enthusiastic about the course material and was very encouraging. She truly cared about her students. She applied practical approaches to her teaching; students definitely felt that they benefitted from her methods. However, a few felt that her expectations were quite high.

Instructor(s): F. Collins

Enr: 37	Resp: 27							Retake: 80%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	15	26	38	19	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	7	34	26	30	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	7	11	53	26	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	7	11	50	30	6.0
Workload	0	0	23	73	3	0	0	3.8
Difficulty	0	0	15	65	7	7	3	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	4	32	28	20	16	5.1

Many students felt they have benefitted from taking Collin's class. He was very enthusiastic and witty.

### FRE 376H1F FRENCH PHONOLOGY AND PHONETICS

Instructor(s): B. Bhatt

Enr: 16	Resp: 14							Retake: 75%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	7	57	35	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	7	21	42	28	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	21	35	42	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	7	7	57	28	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	57	42	0	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	69	23	7	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	35	35	14	14	5.1

Students found Bhatt to be very friendly, approachable and well-organized.

### FRE 378H1F FRENCH SYNTAX

Instructor(s): Y. Roberge

Enr: 11	Resp: 10							Retake: 80%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	20	50	30	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	20	40	40	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	77	22	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	80	20	0	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	60	30	10	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	37	37	12	12	5.0

### FRE 384H1S TEACHING FRENCH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE

Instructor(s): D. Issa-Sayegh

Enr: 19	Resp: 15							Retake: 64%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	33	40	26	0	4.9
Explains	0	0	0	6	53	40	0	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	6	40	26	26	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	6	33	46	13	5.7

## 74 FRENCH

Workload	0	6	0	73	13	0	6	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	86	0	6	6	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	11	11	44	11	22	5.2

The instructor was good and communicated the objectives of the course well. However, students found the textbook inadequate.

### FRE 386H1S FRENCH SEMANTICS

Instructor(s): A.-M. Brousseau

Enr: 24	Resp: 18							Retake: 83%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	5	11	44	33	5	5.2
Explains	0	0	0	16	44	27	11	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	16	72	11	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	38	50	11	5.7
Workload	0	0	5	72	22	0	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	11	61	27	0	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	47	35	17	0	4.7

Brousseau was well-liked by her students. She presented the concepts very clearly.

### FRE 388H1F THE ACQUISITION OF FRENCH

Instructor(s): J. Steele

Enr: 23	Resp: 18							Retake: 50%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	11	27	50	11	5.6
Explains	0	0	5	27	44	22	0	4.8
Communicates	0	0	0	5	33	44	16	5.7
Teaching	0	0	0	16	44	38	0	5.2
Workload	0	0	0	61	27	11	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	22	55	16	5	5.1
Learn Exp	0	0	5	35	41	11	5	4.8

Students found the textbook to be much too difficult and technical to follow. Steele was very organized however, and provided online notes for lectures.

### FRE 404H1S FEMINIST READINGS

Instructor(s): B. Havercroft

Enr: 25	Resp: 23							Retake: 80%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	13	40	45	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	18	36	45	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	18	40	40	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	18	45	36	6.2
Workload	0	0	0	86	8	4	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	4	69	26	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	18	25	25	31	5.7

Havercroft was very good! She gave clear information to students, and lectured thoroughly. She was also genuinely interested in her students' work and opinions.

### FRE 411H1S ADVANCED TOPICS IN QUEBEC STUDIES II

Instructor(s): M. Marrouchi

Enr: 11	Resp: 11							Retake: 90%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	18	18	63	0	0	4.5
Explains	0	0	10	30	30	30	0	4.8
Communicates	0	0	0	18	9	27	45	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	18	27	36	18	5.5
Workload	0	0	18	72	9	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	0	90	9	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	11	44	22	11	11	4.7

"Pleasant, amazing and very enthusiastic" were just a few of the adjectives used by students to describe Marrouchi. His lectures were really enjoyable.

### FRE 419H1F LITERATURE OF THE RENAISSANCE

Instructor(s): A. Cozea

Enr: 15	Resp: 11							Retake: 80%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	20	30	10	40	0	4.7
Explains	0	0	0	10	20	60	10	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	20	70	6.6
Teaching	0	0	9	0	27	9	54	6.0
Workload	0	0	0	54	18	27	0	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	0	27	54	18	0	4.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	50	0	16	33	5.3

Cozea was very enthusiastic about the course. There were mixed feelings regarding the laid back nature of the course.

### FRE 450H1S THE SABLE CENTRE SEMINAR IN 19TH CENTURY FRENCH STUDIES

Instructor(s): D. Speirs

Enr: 12	Resp: 8							Retake: 75%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	12	25	62	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	12	37	50	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	0	87	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	12	25	62	6.5
Workload	0	12	0	50	37	0	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	12	50	25	12	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	50	0	0	50	5.5

Speirs was a remarkable teacher. She obviously cared about her students. She was always approachable and kind. She lectured with a lot of eagerness and clarity.

### FRE 479H1F SOCIOLINGUISTICS OF FRENCH

Instructor(s): Y. Roberge

Enr: 12	Resp: 11							Retake: 90%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	10	40	50	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	10	50	40	6.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	30	60	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	10	10	80	6.7
Workload	0	10	30	50	10	0	0	3.6
Difficulty	0	10	10	80	0	0	0	3.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	33	44	11	5.6

Students found Roberge to be an outstanding instructor. He was enthusiastic, thorough and helpful. He explained in a clear, concise manner and many would gladly take another course with him.

Students found the course to be educational and enjoyable.

### FRE 481Y1Y TRANSLATION: ENGLISH TO FRENCH

Instructor(s): J. Hanna

Enr: 19	Resp: 16							Retake: 80%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	18	12	50	18	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	6	12	31	50	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	25	75	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	6	13	40	40	6.1
Workload	0	0	0	66	26	0	6	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	31	12	6	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	18	9	18	54	6.1

Hanna was very knowledgeable about the material. Students absolutely enjoyed her instruction. Her expectations were quite high. Other than that, it was a great learning experience.

**FRE 489H1S SPECIAL TOPICS IN ADVANCED LINGUISTICS II**

Instructor(s): Y. Roberge

Enr: 7	Resp: 5							Retake: 80%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	80	20	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	60	40	6.4
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	40	60	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	0	80	20	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	20	40	40	0	5.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	40	40	20	5.8

Roberge was a fantastic teacher. He was approachable and widely available when students needed help. Some recommended that the course be offered as a full-year credit since there were too many concepts that needed to be covered in such a short time.

**FSL 100H1F FRENCH FOR BEGINNERS I**

Instructor(s): J. Hunt

Enr: 53	Resp: 38							Retake: 79%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	8	35	32	24	5.7
Explains	2	0	2	16	21	35	21	5.5
Communicates	0	0	5	13	30	25	25	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	8	22	41	27	5.9
Workload	0	0	13	16	33	16	19	5.1
Difficulty	0	5	5	40	24	16	8	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	7	37	18	33	3	4.9

Students found Hunt to be a very good instructor for this course. She always explained concepts clearly. However, many found this course too accelerated for beginners.

**FSL 101H1F FRENCH FOR BEGINNERS II**

Instructor(s): E. DaSilva

Enr: 39	Resp: 36							Retake: 91%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	2	0	0	2	5	30	58	6.3
Explains	2	0	0	0	11	20	65	6.4
Communicates	2	0	0	2	0	8	85	6.7
Teaching	2	0	0	2	2	31	60	6.4
Workload	0	2	2	60	17	11	5	4.5
Difficulty	0	5	17	51	17	8	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	3	13	31	24	27	5.6

Students found DaSilva to be a very enthusiastic instructor. He was very approachable and clear in his explanations. The course material had to be covered at a fast pace yet DaSilva still made it a fun and enjoyable learning experience.

Instructor(s): L. Morra

Enr: 22	Resp: 15							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	7	35	57	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	7	21	28	42	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	7	21	71	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	14	21	64	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	64	28	7	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	7	71	21	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	18	18	45	18	5.6

Students found Morra to be a good, caring instructor who was clear in her explanations and often put in extra time and effort in order to ensure that her students understood. Her encouragement and knowledge made this a great learning experience.

**FSL 101H1S FRENCH FOR BEGINNERS II**

Instructor(s): N. Daou

Enr: 16	Resp: 12							Retake: 91%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	8	0	8	58	25	5.9
Explains	0	0	8	0	25	58	8	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	58	33	6.2
Teaching	0	8	0	0	8	50	33	5.9
Workload	8	0	8	66	8	8	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	8	8	75	0	8	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	22	44	22	5.8

Daou was accommodating and explained concepts clearly. This was an excellent course and very good instructor.

**FSL 102H1S INTRODUCTORY FRENCH**

Instructor(s): C. Barker

Enr: 38	Resp: 20							Retake: 75%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	20	35	30	5	5	4.2
Explains	15	15	5	20	35	0	10	3.8
Communicates	15	15	5	35	20	10	0	3.6
Teaching	10	20	0	25	35	5	5	3.9
Workload	0	0	10	40	25	25	0	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	5	45	25	25	0	4.7
Learn Exp	11	5	5	27	27	22	0	4.2

Some students liked Barker's teaching, others were unhappy about it. His expectations were too high. The tests were difficult and long.

Instructor(s): K. Bellavance

Enr: 37	Resp: 23							Retake: 90%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	4	13	4	39	39	6.0
Explains	0	0	8	13	8	21	47	5.9
Communicates	4	0	4	8	8	30	43	5.8
Teaching	0	0	4	17	4	39	34	5.8
Workload	4	0	0	65	21	8	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	4	0	69	8	13	4	4.4
Learn Exp	0	5	5	33	11	33	11	4.9

Students felt that Bellavance was an enthusiastic teacher. She explained concepts clearly and was friendly and approachable. However, some of the concepts taught in class were not on the tests.

**FSL 121Y1Y INTERMEDIATE FRENCH**

Instructor(s): A. Cozea

Enr: 28	Resp: 20							Retake: 88%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	5	5	26	36	21	5	4.8
Explains	0	5	5	11	50	22	5	4.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	15	40	45	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	21	31	31	15	5.4
Workload	0	0	5	50	16	22	5	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	5	35	41	11	5	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	40	26	33	0	4.9

Instructor(s): M. Marrouchi

Enr: 43	Resp: 35							Retake: 96%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	28	42	20	8	5.1
Explains	0	0	0	22	42	20	14	5.3
Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	31	65	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	2	34	40	22	5.8
Workload	0	2	2	64	17	11	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	5	76	14	2	0	4.1

## 76 FRENCH

Learn Exp 0 0 0 42 35 14 7 4.9

Students found Marrouchi to be very enthusiastic about the French language. He made the course interesting and enjoyable.

Instructor(s): A. Watanabe

Enr: 18	Resp: 9							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	77	22	6.2
Explains	0	0	0	0	11	55	33	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	33	66	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	55	44	6.4
Workload	0	11	0	44	22	22	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	22	33	33	11	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	12	37	0	50	5.9

Watanabe was enthusiastic! He taught the course well. He encouraged his students to participate in class to help them understand the material more clearly.

Instructor(s): M. Marrouchi

Enr: 41	Resp: 27							Retake: 88%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	8	20	20	12	32	8	4.6
Explains	4	0	28	4	20	28	16	4.8
Communicates	0	0	7	11	11	19	50	5.9
Teaching	3	0	11	23	3	42	15	5.1
Workload	0	11	7	42	26	11	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	3	7	50	26	11	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	4	32	36	16	12	5.0

Marrouchi was a good language instructor who had an amazing sense of humour. His wry wit, however, offended some students. As the year progressed, he got better at it, so students who complained about him felt better in the end. He made French classes fun and interesting.

### FSL 161Y1Y PRACTICAL FRENCH

Instructor(s): S. Sonina

Enr: 31	Resp: 10							Retake: 77%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	20	20	40	20	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	10	10	40	40	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	10	0	50	40	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	20	30	50	6.3
Workload	0	20	20	50	10	0	0	3.5
Difficulty	0	22	11	66	0	0	0	3.4
Learn Exp	0	0	12	50	0	25	12	4.8

Many students wrote that Sonina was a wonderful instructor. They also felt the laboratories were not very helpful.

Instructor(s): I. Delpech

Enr: 24	Resp: 17							Retake: 85%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	6	18	43	25	6	5.1
Explains	0	0	5	23	23	41	5	5.2
Communicates	0	0	5	5	5	58	2	5.9
Teaching	0	0	5	5	41	29	17	5.5
Workload	0	0	13	46	26	6	6	4.5
Difficulty	6	0	12	56	18	6	0	4.0
Learn Exp	8	0	0	50	25	8	8	4.4

Overall, students found the course to be very time consuming and difficult. Delpech's expectations for her students seemed too high for this course.

Instructor(s): R. Saverino

Enr: 20	Resp: 18							Retake: 80%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	12	31	56	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	31	31	37	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	6	12	31	50	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	0	11	35	52	6.4
Workload	0	0	6	66	13	13	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	6	6	73	6	0	6	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	54	18	9	18	4.9

Saverino was "terrific, enthusiastic and dynamic!" She was well-prepared in class and delivered lectures enthusiastically.

Instructor(s): D. Martin

Enr: 14	Resp: 14							Retake: 42%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	7	7	7	46	23	0	7	4.0
Explains	7	7	21	28	28	7	0	3.9
Communicates	0	0	28	50	21	0	0	3.9
Teaching	7	0	35	35	21	0	0	3.6
Workload	14	0	35	50	0	0	0	3.2
Difficulty	0	14	21	42	21	0	0	3.7
Learn Exp	0	0	38	46	7	7	0	3.8

Although Martin was profoundly knowledgeable, he had difficulty communicating his objectives to the class. He seemed intimidating and unapproachable to many members of the class. He also threatened students who missed his classes with drastically lowered participation marks.

Instructor(s): D. Schabad

Enr: 36	Resp: 18							Retake: 43%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	52	35	0	11	4.7
Explains	0	5	11	23	35	11	11	4.7
Communicates	0	0	5	5	47	23	17	5.4
Teaching	0	0	0	23	47	23	5	5.1
Workload	0	5	29	58	5	0	0	3.6
Difficulty	0	0	11	76	5	5	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	7	28	21	21	7	14	4.4

Students had mixed feelings about the course and Schabad. Some said it was a valuable learning experience and that the instructor's enthusiasm and knowledge were good. Others maintained that the course was poorly organized. The textbook was ineffective.

### FSL 163H1F PRACTICAL FRENCH: ORAL

Instructor(s): D. de Kerckhove

Enr: 17	Resp: 17							Retake: 82%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	25	18	25	31	0	4.6
Explains	0	0	6	12	37	25	18	5.4
Communicates	0	0	6	6	0	31	56	6.2
Teaching	6	0	0	0	13	53	26	5.8
Workload	12	6	43	37	0	0	0	3.1
Difficulty	0	0	31	37	18	6	6	4.2
Learn Exp	7	0	0	28	17	21	28	5.2

Overall, students thought de Kerckhove was a knowledgeable and interesting instructor. The small class size allowed for discussions. Students found that the course was a rich learning experience.

### FSL 181Y1Y LANGUAGE PRACTICE I

Instructor(s): M. Lebron

Enr: 48	Resp: 33							Retake: 85%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	3	21	53	21	5.9

Explains	0	0	0	3	18	43	34	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	15	71	6.6
Teaching	0	0	0	0	3	65	31	6.3
Workload	0	0	0	71	21	6	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	3	59	28	9	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	18	48	29	3	5.2

Students appreciated Lebron's enthusiasm. She was very approachable and friendly and was always available and attended to students' needs.

Instructor(s): M. Lebron

Enr: 16	Resp: 10							Retake: 100%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	30	50	20	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	44	55	6.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	10	10	80	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	40	60	6.6
Workload	0	0	0	60	40	0	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	50	10	0	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	10	50	20	5.7

### FSL 261Y1Y PRACTICAL FRENCH II

Instructor(s): H. Pagan

Enr: 21	Resp: 18							Retake: 82%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	27	55	16	5.9
Explains	0	0	5	11	16	44	22	5.7
Communicates	0	0	0	0	5	11	83	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	5	0	55	38	6.3
Workload	0	5	11	66	16	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	5	61	27	5	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	6	0	25	31	31	6	5.0

Students agreed that Pagan was wonderful! Her ability to transfer her enthusiasm into the course made for a great overall class experience.

Instructor(s): C. Ionescu

Enr: 32	Resp: 23							Retake: 59%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	4	0	0	8	39	26	21	5.4
Explains	0	4	0	13	21	39	21	5.6
Communicates	4	0	0	14	33	19	28	5.4
Teaching	4	0	0	4	9	45	36	6.0
Workload	0	0	4	59	22	4	9	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	0	91	4	4	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	10	45	25	10	10	4.7

Students found Ionescu to be a very patient and helpful instructor. Some mentioned that they would have liked to spend more time on oral and listening exercises rather than stressing written work. Overall, a challenging course.

Instructor(s): S. Farsandaj

Enr: 37	Resp: 35							Retake: 81%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	5	5	17	42	25	5.7
Explains	0	2	0	8	17	40	31	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	2	14	28	54	6.3
Teaching	0	0	2	5	20	34	37	6.0
Workload	0	3	22	61	6	6	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	19	61	16	3	0	4.0
Learn Exp	3	0	3	46	19	19	7	4.7

Farsandaj was enthusiastic and very approachable. She spoke very clearly and taught topics that were relevant. Students appreciated the handouts she provided. These helped students obtain better understanding of the course.

Instructor(s): M. Charlebois

Enr: 30	Resp: 21							Retake: 27%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	38	23	14	9	9	4	0	2.4
Explains	42	14	19	14	0	9	0	2.4
Communicates	40	10	5	25	5	15	0	2.9
Teaching	42	19	14	14	0	9	0	2.4
Workload	0	26	5	63	0	5	0	3.5
Difficulty	0	15	15	40	20	10	0	4.0
Learn Exp	50	0	16	11	11	11	0	2.7

Students were extremely disappointed with the instructor and the course. Charlebois was not approachable at all and was unavailable for consultation. She acted unprofessionally when she insulted a bunch of students by stating their names and announcing their marks in class. Students' knowledge of the French language did not improve at all.

Instructor(s): M. Charlebois

Enr: 37	Resp: 32							Retake: 71%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	9	6	21	45	3	3	4.4
Explains	3	3	16	30	36	6	3	4.3
Communicates	0	3	10	26	36	16	6	4.7
Teaching	3	6	18	31	31	6	3	4.1
Workload	3	12	29	48	3	3	0	3.5
Difficulty	0	9	22	61	3	3	0	3.7
Learn Exp	5	26	10	42	10	5	0	3.4

Some students who commented on Charlebois' performance were quite unhappy about the way the course was handled. Not enough grammar was taught and the instructor failed to follow the course outline.

Instructor(s): H. Pagan

Enr: 18	Resp: 15							Retake: 64%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	7	21	57	14	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	28	64	7	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	7	0	42	50	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	64	35	6.4
Workload	0	0	13	46	33	6	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	6	53	33	6	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	38	30	30	0	4.9

Pagan's passion for teaching as evident in the way she handled the course. She successfully held conversational classes, which gave students a great deal of training. She was approachable and very helpful.

### FSL 266H1F READING FRENCH

Instructor(s): S. Mastromonaco

Enr: 34	Resp: 22							Retake: 85%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	18	22	50	9	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	40	59	0	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	0	27	40	31	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	0	40	40	18	5.8
Workload	0	4	22	72	0	0	0	3.7
Difficulty	0	4	36	50	9	0	0	3.6
Learn Exp	0	0	6	56	31	6	0	4.4

Students with the minimum prerequisites felt it was difficult to be in the same class as students with more extensive backgrounds in French.

Instructor(s): R. Brazeau

Enr: 25		Resp: 24Retake: 58%						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	12	50	33	4	5.3
Explains	0	0	0	16	37	41	4	5.3



## 78 FRENCH

Communicates	0	0	4	8	25	45	16	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	4	12	58	25	6.0
Workload	0	8	13	56	21	0	0	3.9
Difficulty	4	4	8	60	21	0	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	40	40	0	5.2

Students found Brazeau to be a patient, approachable and helpful instructor. They found the course a good learning experience. Many suggested that it should be a full year course for better understanding and retention of the course material.

### FSL 281Y1Y LANGUAGE PRACTICE II: WRITTEN AND ORAL FRENCH

Instructor(s): T. El-Hoss

	Resp: 40							Retake: 75%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	2	0	12	46	38	6.2
Explains	0	0	2	2	7	50	37	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	2	20	76	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	2	51	46	6.4
Workload	0	2	2	86	7	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	2	65	26	5	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	41	16	29	12	5.1

El-Hoss was an enthusiastic and approachable instructor. Due to the fact that the class level of French was divided, many found the marking to be quite strict. Most did not find the computer-assisted learning useful to them.

Instructor(s): C. Prud'Homme

	Resp: 23							Retake: 86%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	9	50	31	9	5.4
Explains	0	4	4	22	22	40	4	5.0
Communicates	0	4	0	0	40	31	22	5.6
Teaching	0	0	0	13	36	40	9	5.5
Workload	0	9	31	54	4	0	0	3.5
Difficulty	0	4	13	68	13	0	0	3.9
Learn Exp	6	6	0	40	26	20	0	4.3

Students found Prud'Homme to be a very good instructor. Many thought that she was very clear in her explanations and made lectures interesting. She often involved students in class.

Instructor(s): M. Tsimenis

	Resp: 24							Retake: 91%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	29	70	6.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	20	79	6.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	12	87	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	20	79	6.8
Workload	0	4	12	66	16	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	12	66	20	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	5	11	41	41	0	5.2

Tsimenis was a very effective instructor. She explained the concepts clearly and thoroughly. She made the class interesting and fun. It was a truly positive experience for the students.

Instructor(s): A. Watanabe

	Resp: 14							Retake: 85%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	21	7	57	14	5.6
Explains	0	0	0	7	21	35	35	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	7	7	50	35	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	0	28	35	35	6.1
Workload	0	7	21	57	14	0	0	3.8
Difficulty	0	0	14	78	7	0	0	3.9
Learn Exp	0	0	0	20	30	40	10	5.4

Watanabe was enthusiastic, humorous and helpful. Students loved his style of teaching. He made sure students were engaged with the discussions. The only recommendation made was for him to be stricter in assigning homework. Other than that, students felt fantastic about the course.

Instructor(s): S. Farsandaj

	Resp: 41							Retake: 70%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	2	9	26	41	19	5.7
Explains	0	0	2	7	35	35	20	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	5	15	47	32	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	7	20	53	17	5.8
Workload	0	2	2	58	20	15	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	5	59	21	13	0	4.4
Learn Exp	3	0	10	28	25	28	3	4.7

Students concurred that Farsandaj was a genuinely caring teacher. She made sure that the concepts she explained to class were well-understood. Students also appreciated her sincere efforts to help them improve their French-speaking and writing abilities.

### FSL 361Y1Y PRACTICAL FRENCH III

Instructor(s): K. Zawada

	Resp: 36							Retake: 82%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	5	25	55	13	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	8	25	40	25	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	2	11	44	41	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	2	27	50	19	5.9
Workload	0	5	5	65	17	2	2	4.1
Difficulty	2	0	2	60	28	5	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	3	3	19	38	35	0	5.0

Students found Zawada to be very enthusiastic and friendly. She used both auditory and visual methods of presenting material to the class which students really liked. However, many found that at times she spoke too quietly and quickly.

Instructor(s): M.-A. Visoi

	Resp: 30							Retake: 90%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	23	33	23	20	5.4
Explains	0	0	3	16	40	20	20	5.4
Communicates	0	0	0	3	26	36	33	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	6	26	46	20	5.8
Workload	0	6	17	68	6	0	0	3.8
Difficulty	3	0	16	60	16	3	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	4	4	36	22	22	9	4.8

Visoi was genuinely interested in improving her students' French-speaking and reading abilities. She successfully communicated the goals of the course and was very encouraging during class discussions. The one thing students complained about, however, was that the instructions given prior to writing tests were unclear - students were told they were allowed to use dictionaries during the test, but when test day came, they were told that this was not allowed. Other than that, the course was well-taught by a very good instructor.

Instructor(s): J. LeBlanc

	Resp: 19							Retake: 77%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	5	15	42	36	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	5	5	55	33	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	5	21	47	26	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	21	47	31	6.1
Workload	0	0	15	78	0	0	5	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	21	68	5	5	0	3.9

Learn Exp 0 0 0 57 14 28 0 4.7

Students thought LeBlanc was very understanding, helpful and knowledgeable, and a very good teacher overall.

### FSL 362Y1Y LA FRANCOPHONIE

Instructor(s): F. Case

	Resp: 17							Retake: 70%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	11	0	5	23	29	29	5.5
Explains	0	5	5	0	5	47	35	5.9
Communicates	0	5	0	0	11	17	64	6.3
Teaching	0	11	0	0	23	17	47	5.8
Workload	0	0	29	64	5	0	0	3.8
Difficulty	0	0	17	76	0	5	0	3.9
Learn Exp	6	6	0	12	6	43	25	5.4

Case was a dynamic instructor who taught in unique ways. He was enthusiastic and did not fail to help his students in any way he could. He was also humorous, which made his classes fun. Many students thought that he was one of the best instructors here at UofT.

### FSL 366H1F BUSINESS FRENCH

Instructor(s): M.-A. Visoi

	Resp: 40							Retake: 53%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	7	25	47	20	0	4.8
Explains	0	0	2	22	47	27	0	5.0
Communicates	0	2	2	22	35	32	5	5.1
Teaching	0	2	0	17	32	45	2	5.2
Workload	0	0	30	57	10	0	2	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	20	710	10	0	0	3.9
Learn Exp	3	0	9	53	28	3	3	4.2

Students found Visoi to be a good instructor. Many found the in-class presentations and debates to be good exercises. However, students took issue with the size of the class as well as the class being 3 hours in duration since they did not feel that it allowed for optional learning. Many felt that Visoi needed to communicate the goals and requirements of the project more clearly.

### FSL 381Y1Y LANGUAGE PRACTICE III: WRITTEN AND ORAL FRENCH

Instructor(s): S. Joseph

	Resp: 12							Retake: 66%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	8	41	50	6.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	8	66	25	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	58	41	6.4
Workload	0	0	27	63	0	9	0	3.9
Difficulty	0	0	9	72	0	18	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	9	36	36	9	9	4.7

Overall, students really liked Joseph as she was very enthusiastic and ready to help her students. Similarly they enjoyed the course. Some would have liked a larger grammar component to the course.

Instructor(s): F. Collins

	Resp: 16							Retake: 81%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	18	37	31	12	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	25	50	25	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	12	31	5.6	6.4
Teaching	0	0	0	0	18	31	5	6.3
Workload	0	12	37	43	6	0	0	3.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	56	37	6	0	4.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	42	35	21	0	4.8

Students deemed Collins as enthusiastic, knowledgeable and awesome in many respects. He was understanding of students' needs and was always helpful when needed. He also provided a very comfortable learning environment. However, students found the labs useless because the language wasn't really being practical. Other than that, it was an enjoyable experience for many students.

### FSL 461Y1Y PRACTICAL FRENCH IV

Instructor(s): R. Brazeau

	Resp: 13							Retake: 83%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	15	23	46	7	7	4.7
Explains	0	0	0	30	30	30	7	5.2
Communicates	0	0	0	7	23	30	38	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	23	15	38	23	5.6
Workload	0	0	23	23	38	15	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	7	69	23	0	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	61	7	30	0	4.7

Brazeau was evaluated positively by his students. He was very helpful and accommodating. He was extremely knowledgeable and enthusiastic. He also provided a comfortable learning environment, which students found a rarity at this institution. However, there was a lack of organization in the course - a syllabus was not provided so students had to rely on weekly email to find out the contents of the lecture for the week.

### FSL 483H1S LANGUAGE PRACTICE IV: ORAL FRENCH

Instructor(s): M.-A. Visoi

	Resp: 13							Retake: 92%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	30	30	38	0	5.1
Explains	0	0	7	15	46	23	7	5.1
Communicates	0	0	0	23	15	53	7	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	7	46	46	0	5.4
Workload	0	15	38	46	0	0	0	3.3
Difficulty	0	7	30	61	0	0	0	3.5
Learn Exp	0	0	9	36	54	0	0	4.5

Visoi was approachable and friendly.



*Assu*