

**Woodsworth College**



Introduction

ASSU would like to thank the staff and faculty at Woodsworth College for their assistance in providing the following evaluations.

Editor

**WDW 205H1F Introduction to Criminology**

Instructor(s): N. Wortley

	Enr: 162							Resp: 97							Retake: 91%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	4	22	42	29	0	0	1	4	22	42	29	0	0	1	4	22	42	29	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	3	23	40	33	0	0	0	3	23	40	33	0	0	0	3	23	40	33	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	3	18	38	39	0	0	0	3	18	38	39	0	0	0	3	18	38	39	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	4	17	44	34	0	0	0	4	17	44	34	0	0	0	4	17	44	34	6.1
Workload	0	0	1	51	27	17	2	0	0	1	51	27	17	2	0	0	1	51	27	17	2	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	2	55	26	12	3	0	0	2	55	26	12	3	0	0	2	55	26	12	3	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	1	13	30	29	25	0	0	1	13	30	29	25	0	0	1	13	30	29	25	5.6

Students found Wortley to be an enthusiastic and knowledgeable instructor. He was interesting and organized.

While students found the lecture material interesting, they felt that the reader should have been available earlier and that the lecture notes could be posted online before class.

**WDW 210H1S Criminal Justice**

Instructor(s): A. Doob

	Enr: 143							Resp: 80							Retake: 60%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	1	19	30	37	11	0	0	1	19	30	37	11	0	0	1	19	30	37	11	5.4
Explains	0	0	5	15	37	27	14	0	0	5	15	37	27	14	0	0	5	15	37	27	14	5.3
Communicates	1	0	18	22	24	23	10	1	0	18	22	24	23	10	1	0	18	22	24	23	10	4.8
Teaching	0	0	3	14	24	35	22	0	0	3	14	24	35	22	0	0	3	14	24	35	22	5.6
Workload	0	1	1	41	38	12	3	0	1	1	41	38	12	3	0	1	1	41	38	12	3	4.7
Difficulty	0	0	3	46	30	16	2	0	0	3	46	30	16	2	0	0	3	46	30	16	2	4.7
Learn Exp	1	0	1	33	28	25	8	1	0	1	33	28	25	8	1	0	1	33	28	25	8	5.0

Some students found the course interesting, while many more remarked that due to the instructor's style of lectures, the course was boring. A number of students further complained that the criteria for evaluations was not communicated clearly and feedback on assignments was lacking. A majority of students agreed that guest lecturers were interesting, and a valuable part of the course.

**WDW 220Y1Y Introduction to Criminal Law and Procedure**

Instructor(s): R. Levi

	Enr: 161							Resp: 124							Retake: 94%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	1	9	21	67	0	0	0	1	9	21	67	0	0	0	1	9	21	67	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	1	9	21	67	0	0	0	1	9	21	67	0	0	0	1	9	21	67	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	1	3	11	83	0	0	0	1	3	11	83	0	0	0	1	3	11	83	6.8
Teaching	0	0	0	2	7	22	66	0	0	0	2	7	22	66	0	0	0	2	7	22	66	6.5
Workload	0	0	4	52	26	13	2	0	0	4	52	26	13	2	0	0	4	52	26	13	2	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	40	36	19	2	0	0	0	40	36	19	2	0	0	0	40	36	19	2	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	17	24	25	32	0	0	0	17	24	25	32	0	0	0	17	24	25	32	5.7

Students said that Levi was a very engaging lecturer who used humour in class to make the lectures more interesting. He took the time to ensure that his students understood the material he was teaching.

**WDW 244H1S Labour Relations**

Instructor(s): R. Gomez

	Enr: 46							Resp: 31							Retake: 67%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	10	0	23	23	40	3	0	10	0	23	23	40	3	0	10	0	23	23	40	3	4.9
Explains	0	0	0	13	33	36	16	0	0	0	13	33	36	16	0	0	0	13	33	36	16	5.6
Communicates	0	0	0	3	26	40	30	0	0	0	3	26	40	30	0	0	0	3	26	40	30	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	13	33	40	13	0	0	0	13	33	40	13	0	0	0	13	33	40	13	5.5
Workload	0	0	10	80	10	0	0	0	0	10	80	10	0	0	0	0	10	80	10	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	0	0	10	66	23	0	0	0	0	10	66	23	0	0	0	0	10	66	23	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	5	38	50	0	5	0	0	5	38	50	0	5	0	0	5	38	50	0	5	4.6

A few students indicated that this course could have been better organized. They found the instructor knowledgeable, enthusiastic and enjoyed his lectures.

**WDW 260H1F Organizational Behaviour**

Instructor(s): L. Riznek

	Enr: 43							Resp: 36							Retake: 100%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	2	2	30	63	0	0	0	2	2	30	63	0	0	0	2	2	30	63	6.6
Explains	0	0	0	5	0	33	61	0	0	0	5	0	33	61	0	0	0	5	0	33	61	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	11	88	0	0	0	0	0	11	88	0	0	0	0	0	11	88	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	2	34	62	0	0	0	0	2	34	62	0	0	0	0	2	34	62	6.6
Workload	0	0	5	33	27	30	2	0	0	5	33	27	30	2	0	0	5	33	27	30	2	4.9
Difficulty	0	2	8	60	17	11	0	0	2	8	60	17	11	0	0	2	8	60	17	11	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	3	0	3	19	35	38	0	3	0	3	19	35	38	0	3	0	3	19	35	38	6.0

Students found Riznek to be a highly enthusiastic lecturer who clearly loved to teach. She used innovative ways to encourage learning in her course and her dedication was highly appreciated by her students.

Students enjoyed the use of blogging as a learning tool and appreciated the way the course was set up, as well as the marking scheme.

**WDW 300H1F Crime: Theory and Policy**

Instructor(s): M. Light

	Enr: 10							Resp: 6							Retake: 100%							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	16	16	50	16	0	0	0	16	16	50	16	0	0	0	16	16	50	16	5.7
Explains	0	0	0	0	33	33	33	0	0	0	0	33	33	33	0	0	0	0	33	33	33	6.0
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	6.5
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	66	33	0	0	0	0	0	66	33	0	0	0	0	0	66	33	6.3
Workload	0	0	0	33	16	50	0	0	0	0	33	16	50	0	0	0	0	33	16	50	0	5.2
Difficulty	0	0	0	16	33	33	16	0	0	0	16	33	33	16	0	0	0	16	33	33	16	5.5
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	80	20	0	0	0	0	0	80	20	0	0	0	0	0	80	20	0	5.2

Students felt that Light was knowledgeable and enthusiastic. He was supportive and available to students for help.

**250 WOODSWORTH COLLEGE**

**WDW 320H1F The Prosecution Process**

Instructor(s): A. Davies

	Resp: 62							Retake: 96%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	1	8	49	40	6.3
Explains	0	0	0	0	3	40	55	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	3	24	72	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	47	52	6.5
Workload	0	0	0	24	26	31	18	5.4
Difficulty	0	0	1	36	27	27	6	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	8	14	44	32	6.0

Davies was described as a passionate lecturer who was very knowledgeable and enthusiastic. Students appreciated her use of real cases in the classroom, which made the material thoroughly enjoyable.

**WDW 335H1F Policing**

Instructor(s): D. Demos

	Resp: 31							Retake: 93%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	3	12	32	32	16	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	3	30	40	26	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	30	30	40	6.1
Teaching	0	3	0	6	23	30	36	5.9
Workload	0	3	6	77	12	0	0	4.0
Difficulty	3	0	6	67	22	0	0	4.1
Learn Exp	0	0	0	30	45	20	5	5.0

Demos was described by students as approachable, knowledgeable and passionate. She was humorous and cared about her students.

**WDW 335H1S Policing**

Instructor(s): D. Demos

	Resp: 29							Retake: 86%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	3	10	17	21	32	14	5.1
Explains	0	0	7	11	29	37	14	5.4
Communicates	0	0	3	7	14	42	32	5.9
Teaching	0	3	3	13	13	37	27	5.6
Workload	0	0	3	88	0	7	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	3	3	71	10	10	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	8	4	25	20	25	16	5.0

Students generally noted that Demos was friendly, enthusiastic and held engaging lectures. However, quite a few students felt this course could have been better organized. Some students complained about due dates changing frequently and the delay in the availability of required reading (by over a month).

**WDW 340H1F Penology**

Instructor(s): W. Watson

	Resp: 48							Retake: 61%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	2	10	13	34	34	4	5.0
Explains	0	2	2	20	26	31	17	5.4
Communicates	0	0	4	6	43	30	15	5.5
Teaching	0	0	0	15	24	40	20	5.6
Workload	0	0	6	56	29	8	0	4.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	29	50	18	2	4.9
Learn Exp	2	0	8	43	29	10	5	4.5

While students felt that Watson was an overall effective lecturer, they added that the course could benefit from a little more organization. They also felt that the TAs were not very helpful.

**WDW 343H1S Comparative Criminal Justice**

Instructor(s): M. Light

	Resp: 26							Retake: 52%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	3	3	7	19	30	26	7	4.8
Explains	0	0	3	23	26	34	11	5.3
Communicates	0	4	4	20	32	20	20	5.2
Teaching	0	0	4	12	28	48	8	5.4
Workload	0	0	4	12	28	32	24	5.6
Difficulty	0	0	0	7	46	26	19	5.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	53	26	20	0	4.7

Many students found the instructor to be knowledgeable, helpful, caring and enthusiastic. However, students complained that readings were excessive and their relevance was not always clear. They found the exam difficult, and few found it unfair. Finally, students appreciated the extra credit assignments so they could improve their course grade.

**WDW 346H1F Topics in Employment Relations: HR Planning**

Instructor(s): E. Mock

	Resp: 43							Retake: 71%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	2	16	23	45	11	5.5
Explains	0	0	0	4	23	45	26	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	2	21	47	28	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	14	17	46	21	5.8
Workload	2	0	2	48	34	11	0	4.5
Difficulty	0	0	6	60	25	6	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	3	0	48	24	15	9	4.8

Students generally enjoyed having Mock as a lecturer; they felt he was enthusiastic and able to explain the concepts of the course generally well.

However, students felt that the expectations of the TA and the instructor did not match up for the assignment and it was unfair that the group work carried such a high percentage of the overall mark.

**WDW 347H1F Topics in Employment Relations: Training & Development**

Instructor(s): L. Riznek

	Resp: 21							Retake: 95%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	14	85	6.9
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	14	85	6.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	5	95	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	15	85	6.8
Workload	0	0	0	19	33	38	9	5.4
Difficulty	0	0	0	52	38	9	0	4.6
Learn Exp	0	0	0	6	6	6	81	6.6

Riznek took an active interest in her students and motivated them to enjoy the learning experience. She was enthusiastic, extremely knowledgeable and clearly enjoyed teaching. She created a relaxed learning environment which helped students to learn.

**WDW 347H1S Topics in Employment Relations: Training & Development**

Instructor(s): L. Riznek

	Resp: 22							Retake: 95%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Mean
Presents	0	0	0	0	9	27	63	6.5
Explains	0	0	0	0	18	9	72	6.5
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	13	86	6.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	27	72	6.7
Workload	0	0	0	4	18	45	31	6.0
Difficulty	0	0	9	36	22	27	4	4.8
Learn Exp	0	0	0	6	6	37	50	6.3

Many students praised Riznek's teaching style and methods as innovative and effective. They found her passionate about the course content and supportive of students in the learning process. However, a few critiqued her evaluation techniques while others found them effective and practical.

**WDW 348H1F Topic in Employment Relations: Recruitment & Selection**

Instructor(s): E. Mock

Enr: 48	Resp: 35							Retake: 70%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	3	0	9	18	21	34	12	5.1	
Explains	0	0	6	9	37	28	18	5.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	3	25	34	37	6.1	
Teaching	0	3	3	12	34	28	18	5.4	
Workload	0	0	0	56	31	12	0	4.6	
Difficulty	0	0	3	78	18	0	0	4.2	
Learn Exp	4	0	8	37	29	4	16	4.7	

Students found Mock very enthusiastic, knowledgeable and understanding. They found that there was a disconnect between the TA and the course, because students did not seem to be clear on the requirements of the assignments.

**WDW 350H1F Research Methods in Criminology**

Instructor(s): A. Doob

Enr: 30	Resp: 28							Retake: 92%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	0	0	0	0	14	35	50	6.4	
Explains	0	0	0	0	7	46	46	6.4	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	11	44	44	6.3	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	3	35	60	6.6	
Workload	0	0	17	57	21	0	3	4.1	
Difficulty	0	0	3	57	35	3	0	4.4	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	17	13	30	39	5.9	

Doob was an "excellent" instructor who made the material enjoyable and interesting with the use of examples from relevant research. He was organized and clear when presenting the course material.

**WDW 360H1F Law and Psychiatry**

Instructor(s): W. Watson

Enr: 94	Resp: 56							Retake: 67%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	0	0	1	21	41	30	5	5.2	
Explains	0	0	0	16	27	40	16	5.6	
Communicates	0	0	0	14	30	41	14	5.6	
Teaching	0	0	0	9	14	58	18	5.9	
Workload	0	1	7	70	18	1	0	4.1	
Difficulty	0	0	1	47	40	10	0	4.6	
Learn Exp	0	2	7	38	28	14	9	4.7	

While students said that Watson was a knowledgeable lecturer, they felt that having lecture notes would have helped to provide the class with some organization and structure.

**WDW 365H1S Crime and Mind**

Instructor(s): W. Watson

Enr: 93	Resp: 41							Retake: 60%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	0	0	4	29	21	31	12	5.2	
Explains	0	2	0	10	25	35	27	5.7	
Communicates	0	0	2	7	31	24	34	5.8	
Teaching	0	0	0	4	29	34	31	5.9	
Workload	2	0	10	62	22	2	0	4.1	
Difficulty	2	0	0	35	45	15	2	4.8	
Learn Exp	0	2	0	32	47	11	5	4.8	

Students felt that Watson was a very enthusiastic, intelligent, and approachable instructor. Students especially liked Watson's availability outside class to discuss assignments.

Students cautioned though that this class was very dense, and that the workload was challenging. But overall, a good course and instructor.

**WDW 367H1S Compensation**

Instructor(s): R. Gomez

Enr: 47	Resp: 37							Retake: 57%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	0	10	21	21	18	21	5	4.4	
Explains	0	2	11	13	41	22	8	4.9	
Communicates	0	0	0	16	30	36	16	5.5	
Teaching	0	0	2	22	30	36	8	5.2	
Workload	0	0	2	75	18	2	0	4.2	
Difficulty	0	0	0	86	10	2	0	4.2	
Learn Exp	0	0	4	52	20	16	8	4.7	

Students felt that Gomez was a very enthusiastic instructor. Students felt though that the course could have been better organized. Students felt that the course could have benefitted from more straightforward lectures.

**WDW 370H1F Youth Justice**

Instructor(s): D. Demos

Enr: 49	Resp: 38							Retake: 94%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	2	0	2	15	28	28	21	5.4	
Explains	0	2	0	0	23	44	28	5.9	
Communicates	0	2	0	0	10	47	39	6.2	
Teaching	0	2	0	0	21	44	31	6.0	
Workload	0	0	7	84	7	0	0	4.0	
Difficulty	0	0	7	81	10	0	0	4.0	
Learn Exp	0	0	3	35	22	22	16	5.1	

Demos was an interesting and knowledgeable lecturer who explained the concepts well.

**WDW 375H1F Young Offenders**

Instructor(s): W. O'Grady

Enr: 53	Resp: 39							Retake: 82%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	0	0	2	7	43	33	12	5.5	
Explains	0	0	0	5	36	42	15	5.7	
Communicates	0	0	2	17	33	38	7	5.3	
Teaching	0	0	0	15	39	39	5	5.3	
Workload	0	0	7	74	10	5	2	4.2	
Difficulty	0	0	5	74	15	5	0	4.2	
Learn Exp	0	4	0	73	13	8	0	4.2	

Students found O'Grady to be an overall organized and effective instructor. However, a few students felt that the TA was inaccessible.

**WDW 375H1S Young Offenders**

Instructor(s): W. O'Grady

Enr: 50	Resp: 31							Retake: 73%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	0	3	3	19	29	32	12	5.2	
Explains	0	0	6	9	35	32	16	5.4	
Communicates	0	0	3	6	41	38	9	5.5	
Teaching	0	0	0	12	35	45	6	5.5	
Workload	0	0	16	67	6	9	0	4.1	
Difficulty	0	0	9	83	0	6	0	4.0	
Learn Exp	0	4	4	59	22	0	9	4.4	

**WDW 378H1F Employment Health**

Instructor(s): B. Leech

	Resp: 38							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	7	21	47	23	5.9
Explains	0	0	0	7	18	34	39	6.1
Communicates	0	0	0	5	0	13	81	6.7
Teaching	0	0	0	2	15	36	44	6.2
Workload	0	2	5	78	8	5	0	4.1
Difficulty	0	0	10	78	10	0	0	4.0
Learn Exp	0	3	3	15	37	28	12	5.2

Students felt that the instructor made otherwise boring material, interesting with the use of humour and real life examples.

**WDW 380H1S Crime and Gender**

Instructor(s): R. Gartner

	Resp: 74							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	1	17	56	24	6.0
Explains	0	0	0	2	21	53	21	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	0	29	43	27	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	4	20	52	22	5.9
Workload	0	0	5	68	17	8	0	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	1	73	17	6	0	4.3
Learn Exp	0	0	0	22	46	24	6	5.2

Students were overwhelming in their praise for Gartner. She was described as a very well-organized and enthusiastic instructor.

Students, however, felt that the midterm was far too difficult, and that it did not reflect the course work. Students did find the rest of the course, including the quizzes, of great benefit. Overall, students felt this was an exceptional course.

**WDW 383H1F Immigration and Crime**

Instructor(s): S. Bucierius

	Resp: 32							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	0	21	43	34	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	0	18	46	34	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	0	18	37	43	6.2
Teaching	0	0	0	3	9	50	37	6.2
Workload	0	0	6	75	15	3	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	6	75	15	3	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	25	35	35	5	5.2

While students found the reading material heavy, and some of the articles too long, they seemed to have enjoyed the course overall. They found Bucierius to be an approachable and enthusiastic instructor.

**WDW 383H1S Immigration and Crime**

Instructor(s): S. Bucierius

	Resp: 38							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	8	25	41	25	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	5	24	40	29	5.9
Communicates	0	0	0	8	13	37	40	6.1
Teaching	0	0	0	2	21	59	16	5.9
Workload	0	0	0	76	21	0	2	4.3
Difficulty	0	0	5	71	21	2	0	4.2
Learn Exp	0	0	0	40	40	16	4	4.8

Bucierius was a great instructor who was praised for being nice, caring and enjoyable.

**WDW 387H1S Legal Regulations of Morality**

Instructor(s): M. Valverde

	Resp: 31							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	9	19	48	22	5.8
Explains	0	0	0	0	30	30	40	6.1
Communicates	0	0	3	0	25	32	38	6.0
Teaching	0	0	0	3	22	41	32	6.0
Workload	0	0	6	54	16	16	6	4.6
Difficulty	0	0	3	35	48	12	0	4.7
Learn Exp	0	0	4	41	41	8	4	4.7

Students felt that Valverde was very knowledgeable and enthusiastic. Students appreciated how dedicated to the material Valverde was.

Students though, felt that there could have been more choice for the final essay topic.

**WDW 391H1F Topics in Criminology: Race Colonialism & Violence**

Instructor(s): L. Marshall

	Resp: 16							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	6	56	25	12	5.4
Explains	0	0	0	0	50	25	25	5.8
Communicates	0	0	0	0	31	43	25	5.9
Teaching	0	0	0	0	21	37	37	6.1
Workload	0	0	6	46	13	26	6	4.8
Difficulty	0	0	0	42	28	14	14	5.0
Learn Exp	0	0	0	6	20	40	33	6.0

Students enjoyed the format of the lectures and the course. Marshall was described as knowledgeable, enthusiastic and helpful. Students enjoyed the discussion sessions in class.

**WDW 393H1F Topics in Criminology: Criminological Imagination**

Instructor(s): A. Lam

	Resp: 18							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	0	0	5	16	38	38	6.1
Explains	0	0	0	5	11	38	44	6.2
Communicates	0	0	0	11	5	27	55	6.3
Teaching	0	0	0	5	16	22	55	6.3
Workload	0	0	5	77	5	11	0	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	5	50	38	5	0	4.4
Learn Exp	0	0	0	17	41	23	17	5.4

Students found this course different from more "traditional" Criminology and enjoyed the learning experience.

Lam was an informative and approachable instructor who encouraged discussion in the classroom.

**WDW 394H1S Topics in Criminology: Managing Dissent**

Instructor(s): D. Demos

	Resp: 32							Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Presents	0	6	6	18	34	18	15	5.0
Explains	0	3	0	9	28	37	21	5.6
Communicates	0	3	0	15	21	25	34	5.7
Teaching	0	6	0	18	21	34	18	5.3
Workload	0	0	6	81	0	9	3	4.2
Difficulty	0	0	9	71	9	6	3	4.2
Learn Exp	0	3	7	48	29	7	3	4.4

Students felt that Demos was a good lecturer, but that she suffered from a lack of organization. Students felt that the course work was returned much too late in the term.

**WDW 420H1F Current Issues in Criminal Law: Legal Policy Issues in Youth Justice**

Instructor(s): R. Barnhorst

Enr: 8	Resp: 7							Retake: 100%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	0	0	0	16	0	50	33	6.0	
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	14	85	6.9	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	14	85	6.9	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	28	71	6.7	
Workload	0	0	14	57	14	14	0	4.3	
Difficulty	0	0	0	42	42	14	0	4.7	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	50	50	0	0	4.5	

While students found the instructor knowledgeable and enthusiastic about the subject matter, they found the lectures somewhat disorganized. Many students felt the lecture slides and/or notes would have helped to organize the course and focus the lectures a little.

**WDW 430Y1Y Employment Law**

Instructor(s): J. Mitchell

Enr: 21	Resp: 14							Retake: 36%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	0	0	0	28	35	35	0	5.1	
Explains	0	7	7	21	42	21	0	4.1	
Communicates	0	0	0	14	42	42	0	5.3	
Teaching	0	0	0	7	50	42	0	5.4	
Workload	0	0	7	53	23	7	7	4.5	
Difficulty	0	0	7	28	42	21	0	4.8	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	66	0	0	33	5.0	

Students felt that this course could have been better organized with more current material.

Instructor(s): M. Fitzgibbon

Enr: 28	Resp: 23							Retake: 76%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	0	0	0	0	26	34	39	6.1	
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	26	73	6.7	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	8	30	60	6.5	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	4	43	52	6.5	
Workload	0	0	0	50	30	15	5	4.8	
Difficulty	0	0	0	42	38	14	4	4.8	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	23	35	29	5.8	

Students felt that Fitzgibbon was an incredible instructor. Lectures were well-organized, and students enjoyed the course material.

**WDW 444H1S Seminar in Employment Relations: Leadership**

Instructor(s): L. Riznek

Enr: 12	Resp: 12							Retake: 100%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	8	91	6.9	
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	8	91	6.9	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	16	83	6.8	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	8	91	6.9	
Workload	0	0	0	25	16	25	33	5.7	
Difficulty	0	0	0	50	25	16	8	4.8	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	0	0	12	87	6.9	

Basically, students felt this was an amazing course taught by an amazing instructor. More than one students called this course "transformative".

**WDW 480H1S Interpersonal Violence**

Instructor(s): R. Gartner

Enr: 11	Resp: 10							Retake: 100%	Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Presents	0	0	0	0	0	33	66	6.7	
Explains	0	0	0	0	0	20	80	6.8	
Communicates	0	0	0	0	0	11	88	6.9	
Teaching	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	7.0	
Workload	0	0	0	50	30	20	0	4.7	
Difficulty	0	0	0	70	20	10	0	4.4	
Learn Exp	0	0	0	11	0	33	55	6.3	

Students felt this course was an exceptional educational experience taught by an first-rate instructor.

